Visualizing the geometry of state space in plane Couette flow

By J. F. GIBSON, J. HALCROW AND P. CVITANOVIC

School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

(Received 9 August 2007 and in revised form 11 February 2008)

Motivated by recent experimental and numerical studies of coherent structures in wall-bounded shear flows, we initiate a systematic exploration of the hierarchy of unstable invariant solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. We construct a dynamical, $10⁵$ -dimensional state-space representation of plane Couette flow at $Re = 400$ in a small, periodic cell and offer a new method of visualizing invariant manifolds embedded in such high dimensions. We compute a new equilibrium solution of plane Couette flow and the leading eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of known equilibria at this Re and cell size. What emerges from global continuations of their unstable manifolds is a surprisingly elegant d ynamical-systems visualization of moderate- Re turbulence. The invariant manifolds tessellate the region of state space explored by transiently turbulent dynamics with a rigid web of continuous and discrete symmetry-induced heteroclinic connections.

1. Introduction

In a seminal paper, [Hopf \(1948\)](#page-21-0) envisioned the function space of Navier-Stokes velocity fields as an infinite-dimensional state space, parameterized by viscosity, boundary conditions and external forces, in which each 3D fluid velocity field is represented as a single point. Laminar states correspond to equilibria that are globally stable for sufficiently large viscosity. As the viscosity decreases (Reynolds number increases), turbulence sets in, represented by chaotic state-space trajectories. Hopf's observation that viscosity causes state-space volumes to contract under the action of dynamics led to his key conjecture: that long-term, typically observed solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations lie on finite-dimensional manifolds embedded in the infinite-dimensional state space of allowed velocity fields. These manifolds, known today as 'inertial manifolds,' are well-studied in the mathematics of spatio-temporal PDEs. Their finite dimensionality for non-vanishing viscosity parameters has been rigorously established in certain settings by [Foias](#page-21-1) et al. [\(1985\)](#page-21-1) and collaborators.

Since Hopf's time, engineers and applied mathematicians have assembled a body of empirical evidence that moderately turbulent flows exhibit organized, intrinsically low-dimensional behavior for a variety of conditions (see [Holmes](#page-21-2) *et al.* [\(1996\)](#page-21-2), [Panton \(1997\)](#page-22-0), and [Robinson \(1991](#page-22-1)) for good overviews of this large body of work). The experiments of [Kline](#page-22-2) et al. [\(1967\)](#page-22-2), for example, revealed spatially organized streaks in the turbulent boundary layer. The numerical simulations of Kim [et al.](#page-21-3) [\(1987](#page-21-3)) opened access to the full 3D velocity field of channel flows and paved the way for more detailed studies of organization in wall-bounded flows. The work of [Hamilton](#page-21-4) et al. [\(1995\)](#page-21-4) began a very fruitful line of research; it identified from numerical simulations a remarkably well-defined, quasi-cyclic process among streamwise streaks and vortices (or 'rolls') in low-Reynolds number plane Couette flow. [Waleffe \(1995](#page-22-3), [1997](#page-22-4)) further developed these ideas into a 'selfsustaining process theory' that explains the quasi-cyclic roll-streak behavior in terms of the forced response of streaks to rolls, growth of streak instabilities, and nonlinear feedback from streak instabilities to rolls.

The preponderance of recurrent, coherent states in wall-bounded shear flows suggests that their long-time dynamics lie on low-dimensional state-space attractors. This has motivated a number of researchers to model such flows with low-dimensional dynamical systems. [Aubry](#page-21-5) *et al.* [\(1988\)](#page-21-5); [Holmes](#page-21-2) *et al.* [\(1996\)](#page-21-2) used 'Proper Orthogonal Decomposition' [POD] of experimental data and Galërkin projection of the Navier-Stokes equations to produce low-order models of coherent structures in boundary-layer turbulence. These models reproduce some qualitative features of the boundary layer, but the quantitative accuracy and the validity of simplifying assumptions in their derivation are uncertain [\(Zhou & Sirovich \(1992\)](#page-23-0); [Sirovich & Zhou \(1994](#page-22-5)); [Gibson \(2002](#page-21-6))). POD models for plane Couette were developed by [Smith](#page-22-6) et al. [\(2005](#page-22-6))

Another class of low-order models of plane Couette flow derives from the 'self-sustaining process theory' discussed above [\(Dauchot & Vioujard \(2000](#page-21-7)); [Moehlis](#page-22-7) et al. [\(2004,](#page-22-7) [2005\)](#page-22-8); [Manneville \(2004\)](#page-22-9); [Skufca \(2005\)](#page-22-10)). These models use analytic basis functions explicitly designed to represent the streaks, vortices, and instabilities of the self-sustaining process, compared to numerical basis functions of the POD, which represent statistical features of the flow. They improve on the POD models by capturing the linear stability of the laminar flow and saddle-node bifurcations of non-trivial 3D equilibria consisting of rolls, streaks, and streak undulations. The work of [Skufca](#page-22-11) et al. [\(2006\)](#page-22-11), based on a [Schmiegel](#page-22-12) [\(1999\)](#page-22-12) 9-variable model, offers an elegant dynamical systems picture, with the stable manifold of a periodic orbit defining the basin boundary that separates the turbulent and laminar attractors at $Re < 402$ and the stable set of a higher-dimensional chaotic object defining the boundary at higher Re . However, these models share with POD models a sensitive dependence on modeling assumptions and uncertain quantitative relations to true Navier-Stokes flows. A systematic study of the convergence of POD/Galërkin models of plane Couette flow to fully-resolved simulations indicates that dimensions typical in the literature (10-10²) are orders of magnitude too low for either short-term quantitative prediction or reproduction of long-term statistics [\(Gibson \(2002](#page-21-6))).

The lack of quantitative success in low-dimensional modeling motivates yet another approach: the calculation of exact invariant solutions of the fully-resolved Navier-Stokes equations. The idea here is to bypass low-dimensional modeling and to treat fullyresolved CFD algorithms directly as very high-dimensional dynamical systems. [Nagata](#page-22-13) [\(1990\)](#page-22-13) computed a 'lower-branch' and 'upper-branch' pair of nontrivial equilibria solutions to plane Couette flow by continuation and bifurcation from a wavy vortex solution of Taylor-Couette flow. Starting with physical insights from the self-sustaining process theory, [Waleffe \(1998,](#page-22-14) [2001,](#page-22-15) [2003](#page-22-16)) generated, ab initio, families of exact 3D equilibria and traveling waves of Navier-Stokes in plane Couette and Poiseuille flows for a variety of boundary conditions and Re numbers, using a $10⁴$ -dimensional Newton search and continuation from non-equilibrium states that approximately balanced the mechanisms highlighted by the self-sustained process. As noted in [Waleffe \(2003\)](#page-22-16), these solutions, and [Clever & Busse \(1992](#page-21-8))'s equilibria of plane Couette flow with Rayleigh-Benard convection, are homotopic to the Nagata equilibria under smooth transformations in the flow conditions. [Faisst & Eckhardt \(2003\)](#page-21-9) and [Wedin & Kerswell \(2004](#page-22-17)) carried the idea of a self-sustaining process over to pipe flow and applied Waleffe's continuation strategy to derive families of traveling-wave solutions for pipes. Traveling waves for plane Couette flow were computed by [Nagata \(1997\)](#page-22-18) using a continuation method. Later, traveling waves for pressure-driven channel flow were obtained by [Itano & Toh \(2001\)](#page-21-10) with a shooting method. The first short-period unstable periodic solution of Navier-Stokes were computed by [Kawahara & Kida \(2001\)](#page-21-11). Recently, [Viswanath \(2007](#page-22-19)b) has computed rel-

ative periodic orbits (orbits which repeat themselves with a translation) and further periodic orbits of plane Couette flow that exhibit break-up and reformation of roll-streak structures.

The exact solutions described above turn out to be remarkably similar in appearance to coherent structures observed in DNS and experiment. [Waleffe \(2001](#page-22-15)) coined the term 'exact coherent structures' to emphasize this connection. The upper-branch solution, for example, captures many statistical features of turbulent plane Couette flow and appears remarkably similar to the roll-streak structures observed in direct numerical simulations (compare figure $1(b)$ to figure $3(c)$). [Waleffe \(2003](#page-22-16)) showed that the upper and lowerbranch equilibria appear at lowest Reynolds number with streak spacing of $100⁺$ wall units, an excellent match to that observed in [Kline](#page-22-2) *et al.* [\(1967](#page-22-2)). The periodic orbits of [Kawahara & Kida \(2001\)](#page-21-11) and [Viswanath \(2007](#page-22-19)b) appear to be embedded in plane Couette flow's natural ergodic measure, and most of them capture basic statistics more closely than the equilibria. In pipe flow, high speed streaks that match the traveling-wave solutions in cross-section have been observed in beautiful experiments using stereoscopic particle image velocimetry (Hof [et al.](#page-21-12) [\(2004\)](#page-21-12); [Busse \(2004](#page-21-13)); [Barenghi \(2004\)](#page-21-14)). Additionally, there is preliminary evidence that the instabilities of these exact solutions play important dynamic roles. The relevance of steady solutions to sustained turbulence and transition to turbulence is discussed in Waleffe (2003) and Jiménez *et al.* (2005) . The stable manifold of the lower-branch solution is conjectured to control the basin bound-ary between the turbulent and laminar attractors (Waleffe & [Wang](#page-22-21) (2005) ; Wang et al. [\(2007\)](#page-22-21); [Viswanath \(2007](#page-22-22)a)). [Kerswell & Tutty \(2007\)](#page-21-16)'s numerical simulations have established that lower-branch traveling waves as act as similar boundaries in pipe flow, and that turbulent fields make occasional visits to the neighborhoods of traveling waves.

Together, these results form a new way of thinking about coherent structures and turbulence: (a) that coherent structures are the physical images of the flow's least unstable invariant solutions, (b) that turbulent dynamics consists as a series of transitions between these states, and (c) that intrinsic low-dimensionality in turbulence results from the low number of unstable modes for each state [\(Waleffe \(2002\)](#page-22-23)). The long-term goals of this research program are to develop this vision into quantitative, predictive description of moderate-Re turbulence, and to use this description to control flows and explain their statistics. Much of this has already been accomplished in the simpler context of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation [\(Christiansen](#page-21-17) et al. [\(1997\)](#page-21-17); Cvitanović et al. [\(2008\)](#page-21-18)).

In this paper, we take a few steps towards realizing these goals in the case of plane Couette flow. In § [2](#page-2-0) we review the physical characteristics and symmetries of plane Couette flow. § [3](#page-6-0) discusses the computation of invariant solutions and their eigenvalues and presents (a) a new equilibrium solution of plane Couette and (b) the linear stability analysis of this and the lower and upper-branch equilibria. These computations set the stage for the main advance reported in this paper, visualization and exploration of the state space of moderate-Re plane Couette flow, undertaken in $\S 4$. The combination of equilibrium solutions, linear stability analysis, and state-space portraiture reveals previously unseen dynamical connections amongst the known invariant solutions of plane Couette flow. Particularly beautiful and unexpected are the discrete symmetry enforced interrelations between unstable manifolds manifest in figure [5-](#page-13-0)figure [9.](#page-18-0)

2. Plane Couette flow

Plane Couette flow is comprised of an incompressible viscous fluid confined between two infinite parallel plates moving in-plane at constant velocities. We take the length scale L to be half the distance between the walls and the velocity scale U to be half the

FIGURE 1. Snapshots of plane Couette turbulence at $Re = 400$. Velocity fields (u, v, w) in a periodic cell of size $\Omega = [7\pi/4, 2, 6\pi/5]$ [\(Hamilton](#page-21-4) *et al.* [\(1995](#page-21-4))) are shown with arrows for in-plane velocity and a colormap for the streamwise velocity component u : red/blue indicates $u = \pm 1$; green, $u = 0$. The upper wall at $y = 1$ and the upper half of the fluid is cut away to show the velocity in the $y = 0$ midplane. The two snapshots shown are different instants from a simulation initiated with a random pertubation, selected to show (a) minimum and (b) maximum organization in the turbulent field. In particular, (b) resembles the upper-branch equilibrium shown in figure [3](#page-8-0)*(c)*.

relative wall velocity. After nondimensionalization and absorption of fluid density into the pressure field, the Navier-Stokes equations take the form

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0,
$$

where the Reynolds number is defined as $Re = UL/\nu$ and ν is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. The plates move at speed ± 1 along the 'streamwise' x-axis, the direction normal to the plates is the 'wall-normal' y-axis, $y \in [-1, +1]$, and the in-plane z-axis, normal to the plate velocity, is referred to as 'spanwise.' The x, y, z unit vectors are $\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}, \hat{\mathbf{z}}$. (We use boldface to indicate vectors in three spatial dimensions.) The velocity field **u** has streamwise, wall-normal, and spanwise components $\mathbf{u} = [u, v, w]$; the velocity at point **x** and time t is $u(\mathbf{x}, t) = [u, v, w](x, y, z, t)$. The no-slip boundary conditions at the walls are $\mathbf{u}(x, \pm 1, z) = [0, \pm 1, 0]$. Numerical computations replace the infinite x and z directions with a periodic cell of lengths L_x and L_z , or equivalently, the fundamental wavenumbers $\alpha = 2\pi/L_x$ and $\gamma = 2\pi/L_z$. We denote the periodic domain of the cell by $\Omega = [0, L_x] \times [-1, 1] \times [0, L_z]$ or simply $\Omega = [L_x, 2, L_z]$. We assume that the spatial mean of the pressure gradient is zero, *i.e.* there is no pressure drop across the cell in x or z .

Replacing **u** with $u+y\hat{x}$ recasts Navier-Stokes in terms of the difference of the velocity from laminar flow:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + y \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x} + v \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0.
$$
 (2.1)

The difference **u** satisfies Dirichlet conditions at the walls, $\mathbf{u}(x, \pm 1, z) = 0$. Henceforth we refer to the difference **u** as 'velocity' and $\mathbf{u} + y \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ as 'total velocity,' and we take [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1) as the Navier-Stokes equations for plane Couette flow.

Plane Couette flow is the simplest of all shear flows, and it is here that roll-streak structures take their simplest form. For moderate values of Re , the rolls span the full distance between the walls, whereas in channel and boundary-layer flows such structures are bounded by a wall on one side and open flow on the other. Figure [1](#page-3-0) shows two typical velocity fields from a simulation in the 'HKW' cell $\Omega = [7\pi/4, 2, 6\pi/5]$ at $Re = 400$.

The numerical simulations of [Hamilton](#page-21-4) et al. [\(1995](#page-21-4)) indicate that this is roughly the smallest cell and Reynolds number that sustains turbulence for long time scales. Rollstreak structures are evident, particularly in figure $1(b)$. The rolls circulate high-speed fluid towards the walls and low-speed flow away; the resulting streaks of high-speed fluid near the walls dramatically increase drag compared to laminar flow. For example, the power input needed to maintain constant wall velocity in plane Couette flow increases by a factor of three if the flow goes turbulent (see figure $2(e)$). The practical importance of roll-streak dynamics derives from their role in momentum transfer and turbulent energy production and their generic occurrence in wall-bounded shear flows.

Except for figure [1](#page-3-0) and parts of figure [2,](#page-5-0) the results in this paper are for $Re = 400$ and $\Omega = [2\pi/1.14, 2, 4\pi/5]$, first studied in [Waleffe \(2002](#page-22-23)). This cell matches the HKW cell $[7\pi/4, 2, 6\pi/5]$ closely in x $(7/4 \approx 2/1.14)$. The z length scale $L_z = 4\pi/5$ was chosen as a compromise between $L_z = 6\pi/5$ of the HKW cell (which sustains turbulence for long time scales but has equilibria only with doubled period in z) and its first harmonic $L_z = 3\pi/5$ (which has equilibria at the fundamental harmonic, but tends to decay to laminar flow). Simulations for these parameters tend to decay to the laminar state in within several hundred nondimensionalized time units L/U , but the transient dynamics serves well to illustrate our invariant manifolds construction. Whether a given cell size sustains turbulence indefinitely is a subtle dynamical issue: [Schmiegel & Eckhardt \(1997\)](#page-22-24) and [Schmiegel \(1999\)](#page-22-12) observe only chaotic transients in their studies.

2.1. Energy transfer rates

The kinetic energy density E , the bulk dissipation rate D , and the power input I of total velocity field of plane Couette flow are given by

$$
E(t) = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u} + y \hat{\mathbf{x}}|^2
$$
\n(2.2)

$$
D(t) = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{x} \, |\mathbf{\nabla} \times (\mathbf{u} + y \, \hat{\mathbf{x}})|^2 \tag{2.3}
$$

$$
I(t) = 1 + \frac{1}{2A} \int_A dx \, dz \, \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \Big|_{y=1} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \Big|_{y=-1} \right) \,, \tag{2.4}
$$

where $V = 2L_xL_z$ and $A = L_xL_z$. The normalizations are chosen so that $D = I = 1$ for laminar flow and $\dot{E} = I - D$. Figure $2(e)$ shows I vs. D for a turbulent trajectory and several invariant solutions. Equilibria and relative equilibria must fall on the line $I = D$ where these two quantities are in balance. The energy input and dissipation rates must also balance in averages over a single period of a periodic orbit or relative periodic orbit $p, D_p = 1/T_p \int_0^{T_p} dt D(t) = 1/T_p \int_0^{T_p} dt I(t) = I_p$, as well as for long-term averages, $\overline{I(t)} = \overline{D(t)}$.

We note that the turbulent trajectory in figure [2](#page-5-0) stays clear of the much lower dissipation rates $D_{\text{LB}} = 1.429$ and $D_{\text{NB}} = 1.454$ of the equilibrium solutions \mathbf{u}_{LB} and \mathbf{u}_{NB} (see § [3.2\)](#page-7-0), so these equilibria are far from the turbulent attractor. The energy, the dissipation rate, and mean and RMS velocity profiles of the [Nagata \(1990\)](#page-22-13) upper-branch equilibrium and the [Kawahara & Kida \(2001\)](#page-21-11) periodic orbit are all numerically close to the long-term turbulent averages. This *suggests* that the solutions play an important role in turbulent dynamics, but turbulent statistics do not simply follow from the properties of one or two solutions. On the contrary, periodic orbit theory shows that the statistics of dynamical systems are given by sums over hierarchies of periodic orbits, with weights determined by the orbits' lengths and stabilities (Cvitanović et al. (2007)).

FIGURE 2. (a,b) Spatial-mean and spatial-RMS velocity profiles of the [Nagata \(1990](#page-22-13)) upper-branch equilibrium (symbols) in $\Omega = [2\pi/1.14, 2, 4\pi/5]$ against temporal mean and RMS profiles for sustained turbulent DNS data in $[7\pi/4, 2, 6\pi/5]$ (lines). $Re = 400$ for both. (c,d) Temporal mean and RMS velocity profiles for the [Kawahara & Kida \(2001\)](#page-21-11) *Re* = 400 periodic solution (symbols), against the same turbulent data shown in (a,c) . In (a,c) , mean values of u are marked with \circ ; in (b,d) RMS values of u, v, w are marked with \circ , \triangle , \square . (e) I versus D for a typical trajectory of sustained turbulence in the $[7\pi/4, 2, 6\pi/5]$ cell (lines), mean dissipation rate over [Kawahara & Kida \(2001\)](#page-21-11) periodic orbit $\overline{D} = 2.91$ (\circ), mean turbulent dissipation $\overline{D} = 2.89$ (\triangle) , and upper-branch equilibrium $D_{UB} = 2.89 \ (\square)$. The laminar equilibrium dissipation rate is $D = 1$ (not shown).

2.2. Symmetries

Plane Couette flow is invariant under two discrete symmetries σ_1, σ_2 and a continuous two-parameter group of translations $\tau(\ell_x, \ell_z)$:

$$
\sigma_1 [u, v, w](x, y, z) = [u, v, -w](x, y, -z) \n\sigma_2 [u, v, w](x, y, z) = [-u, -v, w](-x, -y, z) \n\tau(\ell_x, \ell_z)[u, v, w](x, y, z) = [u, v, w](x + \ell_x, y, z + \ell_z).
$$
\n(2.5)

The Navier-Stokes equations and boundary conditions are invariant under any symmetry s in the group generated by these symmetries: $\partial(s\mathbf{u})/\partial t = s(\partial \mathbf{u}/\partial t)$.

The [Nagata \(1990\)](#page-22-13) lower and upper-branch equilibria $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny LB}}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny UB}}$ are invariant under action of the subgroup $S = \{1, s_1, s_2, s_3\}$, where $s_1 = \tau(L_x/2, 0) \sigma_1$, $s_2 = \tau(L_x/2, L_z/2) \sigma_2$, and $s_3 = s_1 s_2$. That is, $s \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}} = \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ and $s \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}} = \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$ for $s \in S$. The s_1 and s_2 symmetries are referred to as the 'shift-reflect' and 'shift-rotate' symmetries. The group actions on velocity fields u are given by

$$
s_1[u, v, w](x, y, z) = [u, v, -w](x + L_x/2, y, -z)
$$

\n
$$
s_2[u, v, w](x, y, z) = [-u, -v, w](-x + L_x/2, -y, z + L_z/2)
$$

\n
$$
s_3[u, v, w](x, y, z) = [-u, -v, -w](-x, -y, -z + L_z/2).
$$
\n(2.6)

We denote the space of velocity fields that satisfy the kinematic conditions of plane Couette flow by

$$
\mathbb{U} = \{ \mathbf{u} \mid \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \ \mathbf{u}(x, \pm 1, z) = 0, \ \mathbf{u}(x, y, z) = \mathbf{u}(x + L_x, y, z) = \mathbf{u}(x, y, z + L_z) \}.
$$
\n(2.7)

and the S-invariant subspace [\(Golubitsky & Stewart \(2002\)](#page-21-20)) of $\mathbb U$ by

$$
\mathbb{U}_S = \{ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U} \mid s_j \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}, \ s_j \in S \}
$$
\n
$$
(2.8)
$$

 \mathbb{U}_S is a flow-invariant subspace of U since S symmetry is preserved by evolution under the Navier-Stokes equations.

A second important subgroup is the group of half-cell translations $T = \{1, \tau_x, \tau_z, \tau_{xz}\},$ where $\tau_x = \tau(L_x/2, 0), \tau_z = \tau(0, L_z/2),$ and $\tau_{xz} = \tau_x \tau_z$. In general, the continuous translation $\tau(\ell_x, \ell_z)$ maps each state **u** into a 2-torus of dynamically equivalent states, and the group $\{1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_1\sigma_2\}$ maps these into four dynamically equivalent 2-tori. For $u \in \mathbb{U}_S$, the four tori coincide, and the torus intersects \mathbb{U}_S at the four points τu , $\tau \in T$. (Since elements of T commute with those of S, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}_S$ implies $\tau \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}_S$ for $\tau \in T$.) For example, the upper-branch equilibrium \mathbf{u}_{UB} appears within \mathbb{U}_S in four distinct half-cell translations, namely $\mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}, \tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}, \tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}},$ and $\tau_{xz} \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$.

3. Invariant solutions of plane Couette

Let $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u})$ represent the Navier-Stokes equation [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1) for $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}$ [\(2.7\)](#page-5-1) and \mathbf{f}^t its time-t forward map

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}), \qquad \mathbf{f}^t(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u} + \int_0^t d\tau \, \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}). \tag{3.1}
$$

 admits of invariant solutions of the following types:

 $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}_{\text{EQ}}) = 0$ equilibrium or steady state \mathbf{u}_{EQ} $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}_{\text{TW}}) = -\mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\text{TW}}$ relative equilibrium or traveling wave \mathbf{u}_{TW} , velocity \mathbf{c} ${\mathbf f}^{T_p}$ periodic orbit p of period T_p ${\bf f}^{T_p}$ relative periodic orbit, period T_p , shift $\tau_p = \tau(\ell_x, \ell_z)$. (3.2)

Relative equilibria and relative periodic orbit solutions are allowed due to the continuous translation symmetry $\tau(\ell_x, \ell_z)$; for traveling waves, boundary conditions require $\mathbf{c} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{y}} = 0$. We expect to see many more relative periodic orbits than periodic orbits because a trajectory that starts on and returns to a given torus is unlikely to intersect it at the initial point, unless forced to do so by a discrete symmetry. This indeed is the case for other PDEs with continuous symmetries, such as the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation (López et al. (2006)) and the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (Cvitanović et al. (2008) (2008)). Restriction to the S-invariant subspace \mathbb{U}_S defined in [\(2.8\)](#page-5-2) eliminates relative equilibria and relative periodic orbits. In what follows we focus mostly on dynamics within \mathbb{U}_S .

3.1. Finite representation

Computing the exact solutions and stability modes of plane Couette flow requires a finite but fully-resolved discretization of the constrained partial differential and integral equations represented by [\(3.1\)](#page-6-1) and [\(3.2\)](#page-6-2). We investigated two approaches to discrete representation. In the first approach the vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ was formed by breaking the complex spectral expansion coefficients of a CFD algorithm into real and imaginary parts and then selecting from these a set of linearly independent real-valued coefficients. Our CFD algorithm, channelflow.org, is based on the velocity-pressure algorithm of [Kleiser & Schumann \(1980](#page-21-21))) with expansions

$$
\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{j=-J}^{J} \sum_{k=-K}^{K} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \hat{u}_{jklm} T_{\ell}(y) e^{2\pi i (jx/L_x + kz/L_z)} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_m ,
$$
 (3.3)

where the T_{ℓ} are Chebyshev polynomials and $(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_3) = (\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}, \hat{\mathbf{z}})$ unit vectors. The algorithm employs a Chebyshev tau method and tau correction for enforcement of incom-

8 J. F. Gibson, J. Halcrow, and P. Cvitanović

pressibility and boundary conditions, third-order semi-implicit backwards-differentiation time-stepping, dealiasing in the x, z transforms, and a variety of methods for calculating the nonlinear term. The expansion [\(3.3\)](#page-6-3) retains a number of linearly dependent terms, due to complex symmetries and the run-time enforcement of the incompressibility and boundary conditions. Intimate knowledge of the CFD algorithm and careful accounting is required to determine the precise value of the dimension of the linearly independent set and a self-consistent method of converting back and forth between the state-space vector u and the expansion coefficients of **u**. For our CFD algorithm and an $N_x \times N_y \times N_z$ grid, d is slightly less than $2N_x(N_y-2)N_z$. The accounting for velocity-vorticity algorithms is somewhat simpler since incompressibility is eliminated at the outset. For further technical details, please refer to [Viswanath \(2007](#page-22-19)b), [Halcrow \(2008\)](#page-21-22), and documentation at channelflow.org, or contact the authors.

In the second approach, we explicitly constructed a set of orthonormal, divergence-free, no-slip basis functions $\Phi_n(x)$ and formed the state-space vector u from the coefficients \hat{u}_n of the expansion $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^d \hat{u}_n \mathbf{\Phi}_n(\mathbf{x})$. This approach produces a mathematically simpler representation, in that (1) all constraints are subsumed into the basis and eliminated from further consideration, (2) an explicit second-order ODE of form $\dot{u} = F(u)$ can be derived through Galërkin projection of the Navier-Stokes equation onto the basis set, and (3) with proper normalization of the basis functions, the L^2 norm of the state-space vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the same as the L^2 energy norm of the velocity field **u**. The downside is that the formulation of the basis set is complicated, and it requires extra computation for orthogonalization and transforms between state-space vectors and the CFD representation. We found no practical advantages to the orthonormal basis. The results reported here were computed using CFD expansion coefficients for the state-space vector u.

The choice of discretization $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and CFD algorithm implicity defines a d-dimensional dynamical system $\dot{u} = F(u)$. [Viswanath \(2007](#page-22-19)b) showed invariant solutions and linear stability of F can be computed efficiently with Krylov subspace methods and numerical evaluation of the finite-time map $f^T: u(t) \to u(t+T)$ with the CFD algorithm. Equilibria may computed as solutions of $f^t(u) - u = 0$ for fixed t; and periodic orbits as solutions of the same equation with varying t. Viswanath's algorithm for computing these solutions involves a novel combination of Newton descent, GMRES solution of the Newton equations, and 'trust-region' limitation to the magnitude of the Newton steps. The results reported in this paper, however, used straight Newton-GMRES search, with no trust region modification. We will often discuss equilibria and linear stability in terms of the flow F , with the understanding that the computations are performed using the finite-time map f^T .

3.2. Equilibria

The starting points of our exploration of plane Couette state space are the [Nagata \(1990\)](#page-22-13) and [Waleffe \(2003](#page-22-16)) \mathbf{u}_{UB} and \mathbf{u}_{LB} equilibrium solutions of plane Couette flow for $Re = 400$ and $[L_x, L_y, L_z] = [2\pi/1.14, 2, 4\pi/5]$, provided in numerical form by Waleffe. These fields employed an elliptical truncation of spectral coefficients (requiring $j^2/J^2 + k^2/K^2 +$ $l^2/L^2 \leq 1$ for expansions of the form (3.3) on a $32 \times 34 \times 32$ grid. We use Viswanath's Newton-GMRES algorithm to increase the resolution to a rectangular truncation ($|j| \leq$ $J, |k| \leq K, l \leq L$) on a $32 \times 35 \times 32$ grid. The dimensionality of this finite representation is $d = 61\,506$. These recomputed solutions satisfy (3.2)

$$
F(u) = 0, \t u = ft(u)
$$
\t(3.4)

in discrete form. At this spectral resolution, the Newton-GMRES search can reduce the residual of the discrete equilibrium equations to 10^{-14} , but the truncated coefficients are

FIGURE 3. Equilibrium solutions of plane Couette flow: (a) u_{LB} , the lower-branch equilibrium, (b) \mathbf{u}_{NB} , the 'newbie' equilibrium, and (c) \mathbf{u}_{UB} , the upper-branch equilibrium. $[L_x, L_y, L_z] = [2\pi/1.14, 2, 4\pi/5]$ and $Re = 400$. The plotting conventions are the same as in figure [1.](#page-3-0)

of the order 10[−]⁶ , and the residual increases to the same level when the given solution is integrated at higher resolution. The accuracy of the equilibrium solutions is thus roughly single-precision.

Figure [3](#page-8-0) shows the \mathbf{u}_{LB} and \mathbf{u}_{UB} equilibria as velocity fields, along with a third equilibrium, \mathbf{u}_{NB} , that was discovered in the course of this investigation [\(Halcrow](#page-21-23) *et al.* [\(2008](#page-21-23))). We refer to the new equilibrium as \mathbf{u}_{NB} , pronounced 'newbie,' in keeping with the nomenclature for other equilibria. This equilibrium was found by initiating Newton-GMRES searches for zeroes of the equilibrium equations from points within the unstable manifolds of \mathbf{u}_{LB} and \mathbf{u}_{UB} (see § [4\)](#page-10-0). A portion of the one-dimensional unstable manifold of \mathbf{u}_{LB} , shown in figure [5,](#page-13-0) appears to be strongly influenced by a complex unstable eigenvalue of \mathbf{u}_{NB} . Initial guesses along this portion of the \mathbf{u}_{LB} unstable manifold converge rapidly to \mathbf{u}_{NB} , to several digits of accuracy in a few Newton steps.

3.3. Linear stability of equilibria

Dynamics in the neighborhood of an equilibrium solution are governed by the linear stability matrix

$$
[DF]_{mn} = \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial u_n} \tag{3.5}
$$

Figure [4](#page-9-0) shows the leading (most unstable) eigenvalues of $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny LB}}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny NB}}$, and $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny UB}}$, computed with Arnoldi iteration (Viswanath $(2007b)$). Figure $4(a)$ shows all computed eigenvalues; figure $4(b)$ shows those within the S-invariant subspace \mathbb{U}_S . The eigenfunctions v of DF at the equilibria are either symmetric, $s\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, or antisymmetric, $s\mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{v}$, as all $s \in S$ are idempotent, $s^2 = 1$. Thus, in general, the dynamics carries small perturbations of these equilibria into the full space \mathbb{U} . The \mathbf{u}_{LB} equilibrium has a single unstable eigenvalue [\(Wang](#page-22-21) et al. [\(2007\)](#page-22-21)). Within \mathbb{U}_S , the \mathbf{u}_{UB} equilibrium has a single unstable complex pair, and \mathbf{u}_{NB} has one unstable real eigenvalue and one unstable complex pair.

The Arnoldi eigenvalues are accurate to 10^{-6} , as determined by repeated calculations with different random initial vectors, and comparison of Arnoldi computations to analytically known eigenvalues of the laminar equilibrium. This level of accuracy results from our use of off-center finite-differencing to estimate differentials of the flow in the Arnoldi iteration: $Df^t|_uv = (f^t(u + \epsilon v) - f^t(u))/\epsilon + O(\epsilon)$, with $\epsilon = 10^{-7}$. Tables of numerical eigenvalues and their symmetries are given in § [A](#page-20-0) (table [1](#page-20-1) and [2\)](#page-20-2) and at channelflow.org.

FIGURE 4. Leading $\mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}, \mathbf{u}_{\text{NB}}, \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$ eigenvalues in (a) the full space U (b) the S-invariant subspace \mathbb{U}_S . Numerical values are listed in tables [1](#page-20-1) and [2.](#page-20-2)

3.4. Linearized evolution

Let λ, v_{EQ} be an eigenvalue, eigenvector solution of $DF|_{u_{\text{EQ}}} v = \lambda v$ at the equilibrium u_{EQ} + Then the linearized state-space dynamics $\dot{v} = DF|_{u_{\text{EQ}}}v$ about u_{EQ} has solution $v(t) = e^{\lambda t}v_{\text{EQ}}$, and the initial condition $u(0) = u_{\text{EQ}} + \epsilon v_{\text{EQ}}$ with $\epsilon |v_{\text{EQ}}| \ll 1$ evolves as

$$
u(t) = u_{\text{EQ}} + \epsilon v_{\text{EQ}} e^{\lambda t} + O(\epsilon^2). \tag{3.6}
$$

The linearized evolution of the velocity field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)$ can then be derived by reconstructing the velocity fields from the corresponding state-space vectors, as discussed in § [3.1.](#page-6-4) Small perturbations about \mathbf{u}_{EQ} along the eigenfunction \mathbf{v}_{EQ} evolve as

$$
\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{u}_{\text{EQ}}(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon \mathbf{v}_{\text{EQ}}(\mathbf{x}) e^{\lambda t} + O(\epsilon^2). \tag{3.7}
$$

Complex eigenvalues and eigenvectors must be recast in real-valued form prior to conversion to velocity fields, since each element of the state-space vector v is the real or imaginary part of a complex-valued spectral coefficient in a CFD expansion such as [\(3.3\)](#page-6-3). Let $\lambda_{\text{EQ}}^{(n,n+1)} = \mu^{(n)} \pm i\omega^{(n)}$ be a complex eigenvalue pair and $v_{\text{EQ}}^{(n,n+1)} = v_r^{(n)} \pm iv_i^{(n)}$ the corresponding complex eigenvectors. Then (dropping superscripts) the initial condition $u(0) = u_{\text{EQ}} + \epsilon v_r$ evolves as a real-valued spiral

$$
u(t) = u_{\text{EQ}} + \epsilon \left(v_r \cos \omega t - v_i \sin \omega t \right) e^{\mu t} + O(\epsilon^2). \tag{3.8}
$$

Real-valued fields \mathbf{v}_r and \mathbf{v}_i can be reconstructed from the real-valued vectors v_r and v_i , and the real-valued initial velocity field $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_{EQ} + \epsilon \mathbf{v}_r$ evolves as

$$
\mathbf{u}(t) = \mathbf{u}_{\text{EQ}} + \epsilon \left(\mathbf{v}_r \cos \omega t - \mathbf{v}_i \sin \omega t \right) e^{\mu t} + O(\epsilon^2). \tag{3.9}
$$

3.5. Unstable manifolds

Let W_{EQ}^{s} (W_{EQ}^{u}) denote the stable (unstable) manifold of equilibrium \mathbf{u}_{EQ} . For each realvalued unstable eigenvalue $\lambda^{(n)}$, we shall refer to the orbit of an infinitesimal perturbation of \mathbf{u}_{EQ} along the corresponding eigenfunction $\mathbf{v}_{\text{EQ}}^{(n)}$ as $W_{\text{EQ}}^{u(n)}$. This part of the \mathbf{u}_{EQ} unstable manifold is 1-dimensional and can be computed by DNS integration of the initial conditions $\mathbf{u}_{\text{EQ}} \pm \epsilon \mathbf{v}_{\text{EQ}}^{(n)}$, where $\epsilon \ll 1$.

For an unstable complex pair $\lambda^{(n,n+1)}$ of equilibrium \mathbf{u}_{EQ} , let $W_{\text{EQ}}^{u(n,n+1)}$ denote the orbit of a circle of infinitesimal radius in the plane about \mathbf{u}_{EQ} spanned by $\mathbf{v}_r^{(n)}$, $\mathbf{v}_i^{(n)}$.

[†] We indicate particular invariant solutions with subscripts, such as u_{LB} or u_{LB} for the lower-branch equilibrium solution. The *n*th eigenvalue is $\lambda^{(n)}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, in order of decreasing real part. Whenever the context allows it, we shall omit the eigenvalue and/or solution labels.

This part of the \mathbf{u}_{EQ} unstable manifold is 2-dimensional; its shape can be traced out by computing a set of trajectories with initial conditions $\mathbf{u}_{\text{EQ}} + \epsilon (\mathbf{v}_r^{(n)} \cos \theta + \mathbf{v}_i^{(n)} \sin \theta)$ for a set of values of θ . In practice, one obtains a more uniform distribution of trajectories by setting initial conditions along the line $\mathbf{u}_{\text{EQ}} + \epsilon \mathbf{v}_r^{(n)}$, for a set of values of ϵ .

The global unstable manifolds $W_{\text{EQ}}^{u(n)}$ and $W_{\text{EQ}}^{u(n,n+1)}$ are invariant sets that preserve the symmetries shared by the equilibrium and the eigenvectors from which they are generated. The S-invariant subspace portions of the unstable manifolds of \mathbf{u}_{LB} , \mathbf{u}_{UB} , and \mathbf{u}_{NB} have dimensionality of 1, 2, and 3, respectively, see figure [4](#page-9-0)(b). In what follows, we will focus on these low-dimension unstable manifolds confined to the \mathbb{U}_S subspace.

4. The geometry of plane Couette state space

We now turn to the main theme of this paper: exact state-space portraiture of plane Couette flow dynamics. The state-space portraits are dynamically intrinsic, since the projections are defined in terms of solutions of the equations of motion, and representation independent, since the projection operation (the inner product (4.1)) is independent of the numerical representation. The method is by no means restricted to plane Couette flow or our choice of state-space representation or CFD algorithm. It can be applied to any high-dimensional dissipative flow, for example the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky flow (Cvitanović et al. (2008) (2008)). Production of state-space portraits requires numerically computed physical states (such as equilibrium solutions and their linear stability eigenfunctions), an algorithm for integrating dynamics, and a method of computing the inner product between states over the physical domain.

4.1. Peering into ∞ -dimensional state spaces

Numerical methods have advanced to the point where it is possible to compute highly accurate unstable exact coherent states in low-Reynolds shear flows. How is one to visualize them? Even though fully-resolved solutions of Navier-Stokes equation are embedded in $10⁵$ or higher dimensional state spaces, there are few unstable eigendirections for Re close to the onset of turbulence. The associated asymptotic strange attractors / repellers might thus be amenable to dynamical systems visualizations, such as trajectory projections, Poincar´e sections, state-space partitions and symbolic dynamics description.

In this section, we show that revealing, representation-independent state-space portraits can be defined in terms of invariants of the dynamical system. The idea is to choose as a basis set states of the fluid with characteristics of recurrent coherent structures, and to project the evolving fluid state $\mathbf{u}(t)$ onto this basis with the energy norm [\(2.4\)](#page-4-0) inner product

$$
(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{x} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}). \tag{4.1}
$$

That is, we form orthonormal basis functions $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ from a set of linearly independent fluid states and produce a state-space trajectory

$$
a(t) = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n, \cdots)(t), \qquad a_n(t) = (\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{e}_n)
$$
 (4.2)

in the $\{e_n\}$ coordinate frame by [\(4.1\)](#page-10-1). The projection can be viewed in any of the 2d planes $\{e_m, e_n\}$ or in 3d perspective views $\{e_\ell, e_m, e_n\}$. The resulting portraits depend on the fluid states involved and not on the choice of numerical representation. Orthonormality of the basis set is not strictly necessary, but with it, distances are directly related to (2.4) , the energy norm of \mathbf{u} .

12 *J. F. Gibson, J. Halcrow, and P. Cvitanović*

The low-dimensional projections presented in this section are closely related to other finite approaches to Navier-Stokes in both technical methods and purpose. For example, on a technical level, the projections in this section differ from the finite discretizations discussed in $\S 3.1$ $\S 3.1$ only by degree of dimensionality. If the dimension n of the statespace representation (4.2) were taken to the dimension d of the fully-resolved numerical discretization, the two discretizations would be related by a simple linear transformation. We emphasize the differences between the two through notation: u for the highdimensional vector of coefficients of a fully-resolved numerical discretization, and a for the low-dimensional coordinates of a state-space portrait.

The projection methods here are quite similar in spirit to the low-dimensional projections of the [Aubry](#page-21-5) et al. [\(1988\)](#page-21-5) POD modeling approach, in that they aim to capture key features and dynamics of the system in just a few dimensions. Indeed, our use of the L^2 inner product, orthonormal basis functions, and the very idea of constructing a basis from characteristic states derive directly from POD modeling. But the methods presented here depart from the POD in two key points: (1) We construct basis sets from *exact solutions* of the full-resolved dynamics rather than from the empirical eigenfunctions of the POD. Exact solutions and their linear stability modes (a) characterize coherent fluid states precisely, compared to the truncated expansions of the POD, (b) allow for different basis sets and projections for different purposes and different regions of state space, and (c) are not limited to Fourier modes and $O(2)$ symmetry in homogeneous directions. (2) We deploy low-dimensional *visualization* without any low-dimensional modeling. The dynamics are computed with fully-resolved direct numerical simulations and projected onto basis sets to produce low-dimensional state-space portraits, tailored to specific purposes and specific regions of state space. The portraits reveal dynamical information visually, providing insight to dynamics that can guide further analysis. Specifically, we do not suggest that any of our low-dimensional projections is suited to a global projection of the state-space dynamics into a low-dimensional ODE model.

4.2. A global basis spanned by discrete translations of \mathbf{u}_{UB}

There is an infinity of possible basis sets, but two choices appear particularly natural: (a) global basis sets, determined by a set of dynamically important and distinct states, or (b) local basis sets, defined in terms of a given equilibrium \mathbf{u}_{EQ} and its linear stability eigenfunctions $\mathbf{v}_{\text{EQ}}^{(n)}$. An example of a local coordinate system based on eigenfunctions of the \mathbf{u}_{UB} equilibrium is presented in § [4.4;](#page-15-0) an example of a global basis is defined here and used to construct state-space portraits in $\S 4.3$.

The projection for a global state-space portrait should emphasize important global features of the flow. For example, for a system with three distinct equilibria, a good first guess for a plane of projection would be the plane containing the three equilibria. The system under study has three distinct equilibria \mathbf{u}_{UB} , \mathbf{u}_{LB} , and \mathbf{u}_{NB} , each appearing in four spatial phases, plus the laminar equilibrium at the origin. We have found that for the S-invariant subspace \mathbb{U}_S the irreducible representations of the half-cell translations group T (§[2.2\)](#page-5-3) provide natural linear combinations of a given equilibrium and its translations.

For example, a set of orthonormal basis functions based on \mathbf{u}_{UB} and its half-cell translated siblings can be generated by the four irreducible representations of the D_2 dihedral group $T = \{1, \tau_x, \tau_z, \tau_{xz}\}\$ (see § [2.2\)](#page-5-3):

$$
\tau_x \ \tau_z \ \tau_{xz}
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{e}_1 = c_1(1 + \tau_x + \tau_z + \tau_{xz}) \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}} \quad S \ S \ S
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{e}_2 = c_2(1 + \tau_x - \tau_z - \tau_{xz}) \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}} \quad S \ A \ A
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{e}_3 = c_3(1 - \tau_x + \tau_z - \tau_{xz}) \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}} \quad A \ S \ A
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{e}_4 = c_4(1 - \tau_x - \tau_z + \tau_{xz}) \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}} \quad A \ A \ S.
$$
\n(4.3)

where c_n is a normalization constant determined by $||\mathbf{e}_n|| = 1$. The last 3 columns indicate the symmetry of each basis function under half-cell translations; e.g. S in the τ_x column implies that $\tau_x \mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{e}_n$ and an A that $\tau_x \mathbf{e}_n = -\mathbf{e}_n$. As the 'velocity' **u** in the Navier-Stokes equation [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1) for plane Couette flow is the difference from laminar flow, the origin in state-space portraits corresponds to the laminar equilibrium \mathbf{u}_{LM} . This origin is shared by all symmetry-invariant subspaces, as $\mathbf{u}_{LM} = 0$ is invariant under all symmetries of the flow. Note, however, that the basis functions e_n are not themselves invariant solutions of Navier-Stokes; rather, they form an orthogonal coordinate system that spans the four translations of \mathbf{u}_{UB} within the S-invariant subspace \mathbb{U}_S .

The evolution of a state $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}_S$ is represented in this projection by the trajectory $a(t) = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)(t)$ with $a_n(t) = (\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{e}_n)$. As discussed in §[4.1,](#page-10-3) this is a lowdimensional projection intended for visualization. The dimensionality is lower than the full state space, so trajectories can appear to cross in such projections. We emphasize again that this is one of many possible projections that can be constructed from linear combinations of exact solutions, their spatial translations, and their eigenfunctions. An example of a more complex basis construction is given in § [4.4.](#page-15-0)

4.3. A global stroll through plane Couette state space

With this road map in hand, let us take a stroll through the state space of a transiently turbulent plane Couette flow. Like many dynamical narratives, this will be a long walk through unfamiliar landscape with many landmarks of local interest. We undertake the tour for several reasons. The main message is that now such a promenade is possible even in $10⁵$ dimensions. But a detailed road map is a necessary prerequisite for solving at least three outstanding problems: (a) uncovering the interrelations between (in principle infinite number of) invariant solutions, such as those of figure [6,](#page-15-1) (b) a partition of state space is a needed for a systematic exploration of dynamical invariant structures such as relative periodic orbits, and (c) explicit linear stability eigenvectors and their unstablemanifold continuations will be needed to control and chaperone a given fluid state to a desired target state.

Our first example of a global state-space portrait of plane Couette flow is figure [5.](#page-13-0) Here trajectories in the unstable manifolds of $\mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}, \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}, \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$ and several of their halfcell translations are projected onto $\{e_1, e_2\}$ plane defined by [\(4.3\)](#page-12-1). Both e_1 and e_2 are symmetric in τ_x , so points related by half-cell translations in x (such as \mathbf{u}_{LB} and $\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$) map to the same point in this projection. The basis function e_2 is antisymmetric in τ_z , so half-cell translations in z appear symmetrically opposite along a_2 . \mathbf{u}_{NB} and its unstable manifold are shown only in a single z translation, since the reversed orientation of the unstable spiral of $\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{NB}}$ only clutters the picture.

The u_{LB} unstable manifold W_{LB}^u forms the backbone of the dynamics shown in Figure [5.](#page-13-0) The \mathbf{u}_{LB} equilibrium has a single real-valued unstable eigenvalue, as shown in figure [4](#page-9-0) for $Re = 400$ and for much higher Re in [Wang](#page-22-21) *et al.* [\(2007\)](#page-22-21). W_{LB}^u is therefore 1*d* and can be computed in its entirety as discussed in $\S 3.5$. Figure [5](#page-13-0) shows the projection of W^u_{LB} onto $\{e_1, e_2\}$ plotted with thick blue lines. Both branches of W^u_{LB} decay to

FIGURE 5. A state space portrait of plane Couette flow for $Re = 400$ and $[L_x, L_y, L_z] =$ $[2\pi/1.14, 2, 4\pi/5]$, projected from 61 506 dimensions to 2. The labeled points are exact equilibrium (steady-state) solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation (see § [3\)](#page-6-0); the curved trajectories are fully-resolved time-dependent numerical integrations of Navier-Stokes projected onto the (e_1, e_2) plane defined by [\(4.3\)](#page-12-1). W_{LB}^{u} , the 1d unstable manifold of the 'lower-branch' equilibrium \mathbf{u}_{LB} , and $\tau_z W_{\text{LB}}^u$, its half-cell translation in z, are shown with thick blue lines. $W_{\text{NB}}^{u(1,2)}$, a 2d portion of the unstable manifold of the 'newbie' equilibrium \mathbf{u}_{NB} , is shown with thin black and red spirals emanating from u_{NB} . Similarly, the thin green lines spirally out of u_{UB} and $\tau_z u_{\text{UB}}$ indicate $W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$ and $\tau_z W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$, the 2d unstable manifolds of \mathbf{u}_{UB} and its half-cell translation $\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$ within the S-invariant subspace \mathbb{U}_S . Open dots along W_{LB}^u show initial conditions for Newton-GMRES searches used to find \mathbf{u}_{NB} . The plane of the projection is defined in terms of the equilibrium solutions; it is *dynamically invariant* and independent of the numerical representation. See § [4.2](#page-11-0) and § [4.3](#page-12-0) for discussions of the projection and the dynamics.

laminar flow, one immediately, and the other after a turbulent excursion towards \mathbf{u}_{UB} . The portion of the unstable manifold of $\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ shown here was obtained by applying the τ_z shift, $a_2 \to -a_2$, to $W^u_{\text{\tiny LB}}$.

We were lead to the discovery of the 'newbie' equilibrium \mathbf{u}_{NB} by the circular curvature of W_{LB}^u and $\tau_z W_{\text{LB}}^u$ in the projection of figure [5,](#page-13-0) which suggested the possibility of an equilibrium with a complex eigenvalue near the center of curvature. We initiated Newton-GMRES searches for an equilibrium at several positions between noon and three o'clock along W_{LB}^u , as pictured in figure [5;](#page-13-0) each search converged either on \mathbf{u}_{LB} or on the new equilibrium \mathbf{u}_{NB} .

The u_{NB} unstable manifold W_{NB}^u : Within \mathbb{U}_S , the u_{NB} equilibrium has a complex pair of unstable eigenvalues and one real unstable eigenvalue (figure $4(b)$). The instability of the real eigenvalue is weaker than the complex pair; we omit it from consideration here and focus on the 2d subset $W_{\text{NB}}^{u(1,2)}$ corresponding to the complex pair $\lambda_{\text{NB}}^{(1,2)}$ with eigenvectors $v_{\text{NB}}^{(1,2)}$. $W_{\text{NB}}^{u(1,2)}$ is shown in figure [5](#page-13-0) as a spiral of trajectories emanating from u_{NB} , calculated as discussed in § [3.5.](#page-9-2) This simple geometric picture produces our first striking result: the 2d surface $W_{\text{NB}}^{u(1,2)}$ is apparently bounded by the 1d curve W_{LB}^{u} .

A heteroclinic connection from u_{NB} to u_{LB} : As it approaches $u_{LB}, W_{NB}^{u(1,2)}$ separates along the two branches of W_{LB}^u . Since \mathbf{u}_{LB} has a single unstable eigenvalue, we expect that a single trajectory in $W_{\text{NB}}^{u(1,2)}$ straddles the split along W_{LB}^u and is drawn in towards \mathbf{u}_{LB} along its stable eigenvectors as $t \to \infty$, forming a heteroclinic connection from $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny NB}}$ to $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny LB}}$.

This is a strikingly unexpected result. In dimensions higher than two, heteroclinic connections are nongeneric, since it is unusual that a $1d$ trajectory can be arranged to strike a particular zero-dimensional point. However, discrete symmetries and the dimensionality of the \mathbf{u}_{LB} unstable manifold make heteroclinic connections possible in this case [\(Kevrekidis](#page-21-24) *et al.* [\(1990\)](#page-21-24); [Holmes](#page-21-2) *et al.* [\(1996](#page-21-2)); Cvitanović *et al.* [\(2008\)](#page-21-18)). The set of candidate trajectories emerging from the neighborhood of \mathbf{u}_{NB} is increased from one dimension to two by the complex instability (or three if $\lambda_{\text{NB}}^{(3)}$ is considered as well). The dimensionality of state space near the target \mathbf{u}_{LB} is effectively reduced to one by its codimension-1 set of stable eigenvalues.

Considered in the full space U, the continuous translation symmetry increases the dimensionality of both the candidate trajectories and the target by two. However, the invariance of \mathbb{U}_S under Navier-Stokes immediately restricts possible heteroclinic connections between the torus of \mathbf{u}_{NB} and \mathbf{u}_{LB} translations to the four translations of \mathbf{u}_{LB} within \mathbb{U}_S if a trajectory in the unstable manifold of $\mathbf{u}_\text{\tiny NB}$ terminates at a $\mathbf{u}_\text{\tiny LB}$ state, it may do so only at $\mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}, \tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}, \tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$, or $\tau_{xz} \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$. Note also that most weakly stable eigenvalues of $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny LB}}$, $\lambda_{\text{\tiny LB}}^{(4)}$ through $\lambda_{\text{\tiny LB}}^{(8)}$, are outside the \mathbb{U}_S subspace, so trajectories in $W_{\text{\tiny NB}}^{u(1,2)}$ are forced to approach \mathbf{u}_{LB} along the more strongly contracting eigendirections of $\lambda_{\text{LB}}^{(9)}$ and $\lambda_{\text{LB}}^{(10)}$ (table [1\)](#page-20-1).

The heteroclinic connection from \mathbf{u}_{NB} to \mathbf{u}_{LB} forms a boundary between trajectories that decay immediately to laminar flow and those that grow towards transient turbulence. Those that pass near \mathbf{u}_{LB} and grow to turbulence follow the unstable manifold of \mathbf{u}_{LB} into a region near the \mathbf{u}_{UB} equilibrium. For $Re = 400$ and $[L_x, L_y, L_z] = [2\pi/1.14, 2, 4\pi/5]$, all generic initial conditions investigated so far ultimately decay to laminar. But, at higher Reynolds numbers and larger aspect ratios for which turbulence is sustained, we expect that the $\mathbf{u}_{\text{NB}} \to \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ heteroclinic connection will form a 1d portion of the boundary of the laminar state's basin of attraction. This 1d boundary should be extendable to 2d by adding the third unstable eigenvalue of \mathbf{u}_{NB} into consideration.

Lastly, we note that it is not possible to determine from figure [5](#page-13-0) alone whether the heteroclinic connection from \mathbf{u}_{NB} goes to \mathbf{u}_{LB} or $\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$, since both of these map to the same point in the ${e_1, e_2}$ plane of projection. Figure [6](#page-15-1) (discussed below) resolves this question and shows that the connection is indeed from $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny NB}}$ to $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny LB}}$.

Dynamics near $\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ **and** $\tau_{xz} \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ **:** A second separation of \mathbf{u}_{NB} 's unstable manifold $W_{\text{NB}}^{u(1,2)}$ occurs in the bottom half of figure [5,](#page-13-0) near $\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$. Trajectories on the laminar side of $\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ follow its unstable manifold towards the laminar state; those on the other side head towards turbulence in the direction of the $\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ unstable manifold.

The dynamics in the region near $\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ can be clarified by adding a third coordinate $a_3 = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{e}_3)$ to the 2d projection of figure [5.](#page-13-0) Since \mathbf{e}_3 is antisymmetric in τ_x , the a_3 coordinate distinguishes states such as $\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ and $\tau_{xz} \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ that are related by a τ_x translation and so lie on top of each other in the projection of figure [5:](#page-13-0) $(\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}, \mathbf{e}_3) = -(\tau_{xz} \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}, \mathbf{e}_3)$. Figure [6](#page-15-1) shows a 3d perspective of (a_1, a_2, a_3) which reveals that the second separation of $W_{\text{NB}}^{u(1,2)}$, unlike the first, does not result from a heteroclinic connection between \mathbf{u}_{NB} and a translation of \mathbf{u}_{LB} . As trajectories straddling the split near $\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ and $\tau_x z \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ are refined, they approach neither of these points. Likewise, the extensions of the refined trajectories approach neither the $W_{\tau_z\text{LB}}^u = \tau_z W_{\text{LB}}^u$ nor $W_{\tau_{xz}\text{LB}}^u = \tau_{xz} W_{\text{LB}}^u$ unstable manifolds. The thick red trajectory in figure [6](#page-15-1) passes closer to τ_{xz} **u**_{LB} than the other trajectories shown, but its recedes from $\tau_{xz}W_{\text{LB}}^u$ instead of approaching it.

FIGURE 6. The unstable manifold of \mathbf{u}_{LB} and its half-cell translations W_{LB}^u , $\tau_{xz}W_{\text{LB}}^u$, etc. (thick blue lines) and a 2d portion of the \mathbf{u}_{NB} unstable manifold $W_{NB}^{u(1,2)}$ (thin black and red lines). \mathbf{u}_{NB} is shown in only one translation (center of spiral); all four translations of \mathbf{u}_{LB} are shown (solid dots –the unlabeled dot underneath \mathbf{u}_{LB} is $\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$). The thick red line makes the closest pass to τ_{xz} **u**_{LB} of the trajectories shown. The projection is from 61,506 dimensions to 3 in the translation-symmetric global basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ defined by (4.3) .

The geometry of $W_{\text{NB}}^{u(1,2)}$ in this region is fairly complex. The separation of trajectories between $\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ and $\tau_{xz} \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ suggests that another equilibrium might exist in this region; however, our Newton-GMRES searches initiated in this region converged on $\tau_z u_{\text{LB}}$ or τ_{xz} **u**_{LB}. It is clear, however, that the geometry of $W_{\text{NB}}^{u(1,2)}$ is shaped by the unstable manifolds of $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny LB}}$ and two of its translations, namely, $W_{\text{\tiny LB}}^u$, $W_{\tau_z \text{\tiny LB}}^u$, and $W_{\tau_z z \text{\tiny LB}}^u$. The upper-branch solution also plays a role: in figure [5](#page-13-0) one trajectory within $W_{\text{NB}}^{u(1,2)}$ is drawn towards $\tau_z \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$ and follows trajectories in its unstable manifold. The perspective of figure [6](#page-15-1) also identifies $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny LB}}$ and not $\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny LB}}$ as the endpoint of the heteroclinic connection discussed above.

Thus, with two simple state-space portraits, we have identified several regions in state space that trigger transitions toward qualitatively different types of flow. We expect that identification of such state-space regions will be extremely valuable in the development of nonlinear control strategies for wall-bounded turbulence.

4.4. A local state-space portrait: the unstable manifold of $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny UB}}$ in \mathbb{U}_S

The eigenfunctions of an equilibrium provide a natural coordinate system for viewing its local dynamics. Within the S-invariant subspace \mathbb{U}_S , \mathbf{u}_{UB} has a single complex pair of unstable eigenvalues, which define a plane of local linear oscillation and two natural directions for a local coordinate system. The 2d portion of W_{UB}^u within \mathbb{U}_S , which we denote by $W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$, departs from this plane as the distance from \mathbf{u}_{UB} increases and the magnitudes of nonlinear terms in the local Taylor expansion become nonnegligible. But since the nature of this nonlinearity was unknown, it was not immediately clear in our investigations how to choose a third basis function for a 3d projection of local \mathbf{u}_{UB} dynamics. We tried a variety of candidates, including principal components analysis

FIGURE 7. (a) The unstable manifolds $W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$ (solid green lines) and $\tau_x W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$ (dotted black lines) of the upper-branch equilibrium \mathbf{u}_{UB} and its half-cell translation $\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$. (b) A refined view of dynamics within $W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$. The coordinates (a_1, a_2, a_3) are projections onto the basis set $\{e_1^{\lambda}, e_2^{\lambda}, e_3^{\lambda}\}\$ that spans the plane of unstable oscillation around \mathbf{u}_{UB} and the direction between \mathbf{u}_{UB} and $\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$. See §[4.4.](#page-15-0)

(i.e. local POD) on numerically integrated trajectories as they deviate from the plane of oscillation. This initial exploration suggested that the dominant nonlinear effects about \mathbf{u}_{UB} are in fact the linearized dynamics around its half-cell translation $\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$.

We then constructed a basis set by Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization of the plane of oscillation $\mathbf{v}_{r,\text{UB}}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{v}_{i,\text{UB}}^{(1)}$ of the unstable complex eigenvalue pair $\lambda_{\text{UB}}^{(1,2)}$ (see § [3.5\)](#page-9-2) and $(\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}} - \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}})$, that is, the direction between \mathbf{u}_{UB} and its half-cell translation in x. We indicate the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalized basis and coordinates with a λ superscript, $\{\mathbf{e}_1^{\lambda}, \mathbf{e}_2^{\lambda}, \mathbf{e}_3^{\lambda}\}\$ and $a_n^{\lambda}(t) = (\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{e}_n^{\lambda})$, to indicate its construction from the unstable \mathbf{u}_{UB} eigenfunctions and the $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny{UB}}}$ to $\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny{UB}}}$ line.

Figure [7](#page-16-0) shows $W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$ in the \mathbf{e}_n^{λ} local coordinate system. In figure 7(*a*), $W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$ spirals out from the center \mathbf{u}_{UB} in the plane $\{\mathbf{e}_1^{\lambda}, \mathbf{e}_2^{\lambda}\}\)$ spanning $\mathbf{v}_{r,\text{UB}}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{v}_{i,\text{UB}}^{(1)}$. Strong nonlinearity and strong trajectory separation first occur near point A: below A, trajectories continue the unstable linear oscillation for another cycle; above, they begin oscillation around $\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$, following paths similar to trajectories in $\tau_x W^u_{\text{ug}}$. Figure [7](#page-16-0)(*b*) shows a refinement of trajectories in $W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$ on the upper side of the split at A. Near point B, the refined trajectories undergo a second split from their neighbors shown in figure $7(a)$, and a third split among themselves at A'. This behavior and marked similarity to the trajectories of $\tau_x W_{\text{UB}}^{u,s}$ in figure [7](#page-16-0)(b) suggests that dynamics in this region consists of alternating oscillations around a symmetric pair of unstable equilibrium, in a manner reminiscent of the Lorenz system, until escape.

This interpretation is reinforced by figure [8,](#page-17-0) which shows the pair of $W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$ trajectories from figure $7(b)$ that split at A' together with their counterparts in $\tau_x W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$, replotted using the global translational-symmetric basis [\(4.3\)](#page-12-1). The projection onto $(e_1 + e_2)/\sqrt{2}$ and e_3 was chosen because it provides a clear view of the path ABA' , and because these functions are symmetric and antisymmetric in τ_x , respectively. Note that the two pairs of trajectories in $W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$ and $\tau_x W_{\text{UB}}^{u,S}$ draw together just before A'. Each pair of nearby trajectories emanating from the same equilibrium splits at A' and switches allegiance with the pair from the opposite equilibrium, so that past A' , trajectories on opposite unstable manifolds follow almost identical paths. The τ_x -antisymmetric long-term behavior of two nearby initial conditions from \mathbf{u}_{UB} suggests that the path from B to A' is one of weakening x variation, reaching small but nearly τ_x -antisymmetric x variation near A'. After A',

FIGURE 8. A pair of nearby trajectories in the unstable manifold of \mathbf{u}_{UB} , from figure [7](#page-16-0)(*a*) (solid, green), plotted against their symmetric counterparts in the unstable manifold of $\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$ (dotted, black), together with \mathbf{u}_{LB} and $\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}$ (blue dots, latter unlabeled), \mathbf{u}_{NB} and $\tau_x \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$ (red dots), and the laminar equilibrium \mathbf{u}_{LM} (black dot). \mathbf{u}_{NB} appears much closer to the laminar state than \mathbf{u}_{LB} by an artifact of the projection; see figure [5](#page-13-0) for another view. The coordinates a_1, a_2 are in the global translation-symmetric basis e_1, e_2 defined by (4.3) and used in figure [5](#page-13-0) and figure [6.](#page-15-1)

a τ_x -antisymmetric instability comes into play, resulting in long-term τ_x -antisymmetric dynamics.

For the parameters of this study, the trajectories investigated so far leave the region of the u_{UB} and its translations after a few oscillations, so that the u_{UB} unstable manifold has the characteristics of a chaotic repeller. We expect that unstable periodic orbits can be found in this region, and we intend to explore this in a future publication.

4.5. Transient turbulence

The final stop in our stroll through plane Couette state space is an illustration of transient turbulence against the backdrop of the invariant structures featured in previous figures. For the Reynolds and cell aspect ratios studied here, all initial conditions investigated so far ultimately decay to laminar. Figure $9(a)$ shows a single trajectory, initiated as a perturbation of \mathbf{u}_{NB} , that exhibits transient turbulence and then decays to laminar flow. The coordinate system is [\(4.3\)](#page-12-1), the same as in figure [6.](#page-15-1) The region of state space explored by this trajectory is typical of all observed transiently turbulent dynamics in \mathbb{U}_S . The trajectory is unusually long-lived; after leaving the spiralling region around **it wanders for some 1000 nondimensionalized** L/U **time units before converging on** the laminar state, compared to more typical 200 time-unit lifetimes of other trajectories initialized as pertubations of \mathbf{u}_{NB} .

When seen in isolation in figure $9(a)$, the turbulent trajectory shows little discernable order. When plotted within the framework of invariant structures of the flow, in figure $9(b)$, structure is immediately evident. In this 3d perspective, the decay to laminar flow is confined to a region bounded by the \mathbf{u}_{NB} and \mathbf{u}_{LB} unstable manifolds. Transient turbulence occurs on the far side of laminar from these states, $a_1 > 0.15$, and in a region shaped roughly by the unstable manifolds of $\mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}, \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}, \mathbf{u}_{\text{NB}},$ and their half-box translations. Close inspection shows that segments of the transient turbulent trajectory follow the contours of nearby unstable manifolds.

FIGURE 9. A transiently turbulent trajectory in the u_{NB} unstable manifold, (a) in isolation (b) in relation to $\mathbf{u}_{\text{LB}}, \mathbf{u}_{\text{VB}}, \mathbf{u}_{\text{UB}}$, their half-cell translations, and their unstable manifolds (see figure [5](#page-13-0) and figure [6](#page-15-1) for more detailed labeling of these features). The final decay to laminar of several other trajectories in the unstable manifolds of \mathbf{u}_{NB} and \mathbf{u}_{UB} are also shown. The projection is the same as that of figure [6.](#page-15-1)

5. Conclusion and perspectives

Currently a large conceptual gap separates what has been achieved for low-dimensional dynamical systems and the challenges we face in understanding infinite-dimensional turbulent flows. Recent computations of invariant solutions of wall-bounded flows and their agreement with the qualitative features of numerical simulations and experiments suggest that a dynamical theory of moderate- Re turbulence is within reach. We initiate a systematic exploration of the hierarchy of exact unstable invariant solutions of fully-resolved Navier-Stokes equations in order to describe the spatio-temporally chaotic dynamics of turbulent fluid flows in terms of these states. The key advance reported here is a novel visualization of moderate-Re fluid dynamics in terms of dynamically invariant, intrinsic and representation independent coordinate frames. The method offers an alternative visu-

20 J. F. Gibson, J. Halcrow, and P. Cvitanović

alization of numerical and/or experimental data of any dissipative flow close to the onset of turbulence. In this paper, the visualizations lead to the discovery of a new equilibrium solution of plane Couette flow and a heteroclinic connection between two non-trivial equilibria –to our knowledge the first such connection ever observed for Navier-Stokes. We have also computed the eigenvalues and symmetries of the three known equilibria of plane Couette flow in a small periodic cell with moderate Reynolds number and established the low-dimensionality of their unstable manifolds.

At first glance, turbulent dynamics visualized in state space might appear hopelessly complex, but under a detailed examination it appears much less so than feared: it is pieced together from near visitations to exact coherent states interspersed by transient interludes. Equilibria, traveling waves, and periodic solutions of plane Couette flow embody Hopf's vision: a repertoire of recurrent spatio-temporal patterns explored by turbulent dynamics. We conceive of turbulence as a walk through a repertoire of unstable recurrent patterns. As a turbulent flow evolves, every so often we catch a glimpse of a familiar pattern. For any finite spatial resolution, the flow approximately follows for a finite time a pattern belonging to a finite alphabet of admissible fluid states, represented here by a set of exact coherent states.

What new insights does the 'unstable coherent states program' offer? Normal-form models derived from severe truncations of spectral representations of PDEs - most famously the Lorenz model - capture *qualitatively* the bifurcations and chaotic dynamics evocative of those observed in fluid dynamics. In contrast, exact unstable coherent states and periodic orbit theory should provide accurate *quantitative* predictions for dynamical observables of Navier-Stokes (such as the average turbulent drag), for a given flow, given flow geometry, given Re and other parameters. This description should lead to quantitative predictions of transport properties of fluid flows such as bulk flow rate, mean wall drag, and their fluctuations. The success of computing exact eigenfunctions and unstable manifolds also opens a new approach to control of turbulence in wall-bounded shear flows: perturbations in these directions can be used to stabilize or chaperone the flow towards a desired fluid state, and not necessarily the laminar one [\(Kawahara](#page-21-25) *et al.*) (2005) ; [Wang](#page-22-21) *et al.* (2007) (2007)).

The state-space exploration of equilibria and their global unstable manifolds presented here is the first step. While important in organizing the turbulent flow, equilibria, being static, do not actually participate in it. That role is played by the infinity of unstable periodic orbits densely embedded in the asymptotic attractor. That it is possible to compute exact 3D unstable periodic solutions of Navier-Stokes has been demonstrated in the pioneering work of [Kawahara & Kida \(2001\)](#page-21-11), for periodic orbits, and [Viswanath](#page-22-19) [\(2007](#page-22-19)b), for relative periodic orbits. However, a combination of novel and proven numerical and analytical techniques such as variational solvers, periodic orbit theory, and group representation theory still needs to be developed in order to systematically explore the hierarchy of such solutions and to derive the statistics of the flow through periodic orbit theory (Cvitanović et al. (2007)).

We would like to acknowledge F. Waleffe for his very generous guidance through the course of this research. We also greatly appreciate D. Viswanath's guidance in the linearized stability calculations and his thoughtful comments on drafts. We are very grateful for the thoughtful comments of the reviewers. P.C. and J.F.G. thank G. Robinson, Jr. for support. J.H. thanks R. Mainieri and T. Brown, Institute for Physical Sciences, for partial support.

\boldsymbol{n}				mode k_y k_z Arnoldi $\lambda_{\text{LM}}^{(n)}$	Analytic $\lambda_{LM}^{(n)}$	$\, n$	$\mu_{\text{LB}}^{(n)}$	$\omega_{\text{\tiny LB}}^{(n)}$	$s_1s_2s_3$
						1	0.0501205		SSS
1,2	H		Ω	-0.00616850	-0.00616850	$\overline{2}$	1.878e-06		
3,4	H			-0.02179322	-0.02179350	3	$-1.625e-06$		
5,6	H	$\overline{2}$	Ω	-0.02467398	-0.02467401	$\overline{4}$	-0.0020054		A S A
7,8	S	$\overline{}$		-0.02916371	-0.02916371	5	-0.0065977		A A S
9,10	H	2	1	-0.04029896	-0.04029901	6	-0.0069308		S A A
11,12	H	3	Ω	-0.05551652	-0.05551653	$\overline{7}$	-0.0097953		S A A
						8	-0.0135925		A S A
						9	-0.0239353		S S S
						10	-0.0335130		SSS
						11	-0.0370295		S A A
						12,13	-0.0454857	0.0190660	A A S

TABLE 1. (left) Least stable eigenvalues of the laminar equilibrium \mathbf{u}_{LM} for $[L_x, L_y, L_z] = [2\pi/1.14, 2, 4\pi/5]$ and $Re = 400$, computed by Arnoldi iteration, compared to Stokes (S) and heat-equation (H) eigenvalues from analytic formulas. This serves as a test of accuracy for our channelflow.org codes. The heat-equation eigenfunctions have the form $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = e^{\lambda t} \sin(\pi k_y y/2) \cos(2\pi k_z z/L_z) \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ for k_y even and $e^{\lambda t} \cos(\pi k_y y/2) \cos(2\pi k_z z/L_z) \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ for k_y odd, and eigenvalues $\lambda = -(\pi^2 k_y^2/4 + 4\pi^2 k_z^2/L_z^2)/Re$. The Stokes eigenvalue listed is the lowest-order mode with v component of the form $\hat{v}(y) \cos(2\pi z/L_z)$, $\hat{v}(y)$ even in y (see [Waleffe \(1997\)](#page-22-4)). The eigenvalues are ordered in the table by decreasing real part. All laminar eigenvalues are real. (right) \mathbf{u}_{LB} equilibrium stability eigenvalues $\lambda = \mu \pm i\omega$ and symmetries of corresponding eigenvectors at same parameter values. The zero eigenvalues result from the continuous translation symmetry of the flow.

TABLE 2. Stability eigenvalues $\lambda = \mu \pm i\omega$ and symmetries of corresponding eigenvectors: (left) \mathbf{u}_{NB} , (right) \mathbf{u}_{UB} equilibrium for $[L_x, L_y, L_z] = [2\pi/1.14, 2, 4\pi/5]$ and $Re = 400$.

Appendix A. Tabulation of numerical results

Tables [1](#page-20-1) and [2](#page-20-2) list the least stable linear stability eigenvalues of the $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny LM}}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny LB}}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\text{\tiny NB}}$, and \mathbf{u}_{UB} equilibria, together with symmetries of corresponding eigenfunctions. The unstable eigenvalues together with a set of the least contracting stable eigenvalues are also shown in Figure [4.](#page-9-0) All numerical results tabulated in this appendix are computed for plane Couette flow with $Re = 400$ and $[L_x, L_y, L_z] = [2\pi/1.14, 2, 4\pi/5]$. Full sets of exact invariant solutions, their linear stability eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are available on channelflow.org, [\(Gibson \(2007](#page-21-26))), or can be obtained by a request to authors.

REFERENCES

- Aubry, N., Holmes, P., Lumley, J. L. & Stone, E. 1988 The dynamics of coherent structures in the wall region of turbulent boundary layer. *J. Fluid Mech.* 192, 115–173.
- Barenghi, C. 2004 Turbulent transition for fluids. *Physics World* 17 (12).
- Busse, F. H. 2004 Visualizing the dynamics of the onset of turbulence. *Science* 305, 1574–1575.
- CHRISTIANSEN, F., CVITANOVIĆ, P. & PUTKARADZE, V. 1997 Spatio-temporal chaos in terms of unstable recurrent patterns. *Nonlinearity* 10, 55–70.
- Clever, R. M. & Busse, F. H. 1992 Three-dimensional convection in a horizontal layer subjected to constant shear. *J. Fluid Mech.* 234, 511–527.
- CVITANOVIĆ, P., ARTUSO, R., MAINIERI, R., TANNER, G., VATTAY, G., WHELAN, N. & Wirzba, A. 2007 *Chaos: Classical and Quantum*. Copenhagen: Niels Bohr Institute, ChaosBook.org.
- CVITANOVIĆ, P., DAVIDCHACK, R. L. & SIMINOS, E. 2008 Relative periodic orbits in Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. In preparation.
- DAUCHOT, O. & VIOUJARD, N. 2000 Phase space analysis of a dynamical model for the subcritical transition to turbulence in plane Couette flow. *European Physical J. B* 14, 377–381.
- FAISST, H. & ECKHARDT, B. 2003 Traveling waves in pipe flow. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91, 224502.
- Foias, C., Nicolaenko, B., Sell, G. R. & Temam, R. 1985 Inertial manifold for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. *C. R. Acad. Sci. I-Math* 301, 285–288.
- Gibson, J. F. 2002 Dynamical systems models of wall-bounded, shear-flow turbulence. PhD thesis, Cornell University.
- Gibson, J. F. 2007 Channelflow: a spectral Navier-Stokes simulator in C++. *Tech. Rep.*. Georgia Institute of Technology.
- GOLUBITSKY, M. & STEWART, I. 2002 *The symmetry perspective*. Boston: Birkhäuser.
- Halcrow, J. 2008 Geometry of turbulence: An exploration of the state-space of plane Couette flow. PhD thesis, School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, ChaosBook.org/projects/theses.html.
- HALCROW, J., GIBSON, J. F. & CVITANOVIĆ, P. 2008 Steady states of plane Couette flow. In preparation.
- HAMILTON, J. M., KIM, J. & WALEFFE, F. 1995 Regeneration mechanisms of near-wall turbulence structures. *J. Fluid Mech.* 287, 317–348.
- HOF, B., VAN DOORNE, C. W. H., WESTERWEEL, J., NIEUWSTADT, F. T. M., FAISST, H., ECKHARDT, B., WEDIN, H., KERSWELL, R. R. & WALEFFE, F. 2004 Experimental observation of nonlinear traveling waves in turbulent pipe flow. *Science* 305 (5690), 1594–1598.
- Holmes, P., Lumley, J. L. & Berkooz, G. 1996 *Turbulence, Coherent Structures, Dynamical Systems and Symmetry*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- HOPF, E. 1948 A mathematical example displaying features of turbulence. *Comm. Appl. Math.* 1, 303–322.
- Itano, T. & Toh, S. 2001 The dynamics of bursting process in wall turbulence. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* 70 (3), 703–716.
- Jim´enez, J., Kawahara, G., Simens, M. P., Nagata, M. & Shiba, M. 2005 Characterization of near-wall turbulence in terms of equilibrium and bursting solutions. *Phys. Fluids* 17, 015105.
- KAWAHARA, G. & KIDA, S. 2001 Periodic motion embedded in plane Couette turbulence: regeneration cycle and burst. *J. Fluid Mech.* 449, 291–300.
- KAWAHARA, G., KIDA, S. & NAGATA, M. 2005 Unstable periodic motion in plane Couette system: The skeleton of turbulence. In *One hundred years of boundary layer research*. Kluwer.
- KERSWELL, R. R. & TUTTY, O. 2007 Recurrence of travelling wave solutions in transitional pipe flow. *J. Fluid Mech.* 584, 69–102.
- Kevrekidis, I. G., Nicolaenko, B. & Scovel, J. C. 1990 Back in the saddle again: a computer assisted study of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 50, 760–790.
- Kim, J., Moin, P. & Moser, R. 1987 Turbulence statistics in fully developed channel flow at low Reynolds number. *J. Fluid Mech.* 177, 133–166.
- Kleiser, L. & Schumann, U. 1980 Treatment of incompressibility and boundary conditions in 3-D numerical spectral simulations of plane channel flows. In *Proc. 3rd GAMM Conf.*

Numerical Methods in Fluid Mechanics (ed. E. Hirschel), pp. 165–173. GAMM, Viewweg, Braunschweig.

- Kline, S. J., Reynolds, W. C., Schraub, F. A. & Rundstadler, P. W. 1967 The structure of turbulent boundary layers. *J. Fluid Mech.* 30, 741–773.
- LÓPEZ, V., BOYLAND, P., HEATH, M. T. & MOSER, R. D. 2006 Relative periodic solutions of the complex Ginzburg–Landau equation. *SIAM J. Applied Dynam. Systems* 4 (4), 1042– 1075.
- Manneville, P. 2004 Spots and turbulent domains in a model of transitional plane Couette flow. *Theoretical and Computational Fluid Dynamics* 18, 169–181.
- MOEHLIS, J., FAISST, H. & ECKHARDT, B. 2004 A low-dimensional model for turbulent shear flows. *New J. Phys.* 6, 56.
- MOEHLIS, J., FAISST, H. & ECKHARDT, B. 2005 Periodic orbits and chaotic sets in a lowdimensional model for shear flows. *SIAM J. Applied Dynam. Systems* 4, 352–376.
- Nagata, M. 1990 Three-dimensional finite-amplitude solutions in plane Couette flow: bifurcation from infinity. *J. Fluid Mech.* 217, 519–527.
- Nagata, M. 1997 Three-dimensional traveling-wave solutions in plane Couette flow. *Phys. Rev. E* 55 (2), 2023–2025.
- Panton, R. L., ed. 1997 *Self-Sustaining Mechanisms of Wall Turbulence*. Southhampton: Computational Mechanics Publications.
- Robinson, S. K. 1991 Coherent motions in the turbulent boundary layer. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* 23, 601–639.
- SCHMIEGEL, A. 1999 Transition to turbulence in linearly stable shear flows. PhD thesis, Philipps-Universität Marburg.
- SCHMIEGEL, A. & ECKHARDT, B. 1997 Fractal stability border in plane Couette flow. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79, 5250.
- Sirovich, L. & Zhou, X. 1994 Reply to "Observations regarding 'Coherence and chaos in a model of turbulent boundary layer' by X. Zhou and L. Sirovich". *Phys. Fluids* 6 (4), 1579–1582.
- SKUFCA, J. D. 2005 Understanding the chaotic saddle with focus on a 9-variable model of planar Couette flow. PhD thesis, U. Maryland.
- SKUFCA, J. D., YORKE, J. A. & ECKHARDT, B. 2006 Edge of chaos in a parallel shear flow. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96 (17), 174101.
- Smith, T. R., Moehlis, J. & Holmes, P. 2005 Low-dimensional models for turbulent plane Couette flow in a minimal flow unit. *J. Fluid Mech.* 538, 71–110.
- Viswanath, D. 2007*a* The dynamics of transition to turbulence in plane Couette flow. Unpublished, arXiv:/physics/0701337.
- Viswanath, D. 2007*b* Recurrent motions within plane Couette turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.* 580, 339–358.
- WALEFFE, F. 1995 Hydrodynamic stability and turbulence: beyond transients to a self-sustaining process. *Stud. Applied Math.* 95, 319–343.
- Waleffe, F. 1997 On a self-sustaining process in shear flows. *Phys. Fluids* 9, 883–900.
- Waleffe, F. 1998 Three-dimensional coherent states in plane shear flows. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 4140–4143.
- Waleffe, F. 2001 Exact coherent structures in channel flow. *J. Fluid Mech.* 435, 93–102.
- Waleffe, F. 2002 Exact coherent structures and their instabilities: Toward a dynamical-system theory of shear turbulence. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on "Dynamics and Statistics of Coherent Structures in Turbulence: Roles of Elementary Vortices"* (ed. S. Kida), pp. 115–128. National Center of Sciences, Tokyo, Japan.
- Waleffe, F. 2003 Homotopy of exact coherent structures in plane shear flows. *Phys. Fluids* 15, 1517–1543.
- Waleffe, F. & Wang, J. 2005 Transition threshold and the self-sustaining process. In *IU-TAM Symposium on Laminar-Turbulent Transition and Finite Amplitude Solutions* (ed. T. Mullin & R. R. Kerswell), pp. 85–106. Kluwer.
- WANG, J., GIBSON, J. F. & WALEFFE, F. 2007 Lower branch coherent states in shear flows: transition and control. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98 (20).
- WEDIN, H. & KERSWELL, R. R. 2004 Exact coherent structures in pipe flow: traveling wave solutions. *J. Fluid Mech.* 508, 333–371.

24 J. F. Gibson, J. Halcrow, and P. Cvitanović

Zhou, X. & Sirovich, L. 1992 Coherence and chaos in a model of turbulent boundary layer. *Phys. Fluids A* 4, 2855–2874.