VLBI observations of seven BL Lac objects from RGB sample

Zhongzu Wu *1,2,3, D. R. Jiang^{1,2}, Minfeng Gu^{1,2}, Yi Liu^{1,2}

¹ Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200030, China

² Joint Institute for Galaxy and Cosmology (JOINGC) of SHAO and USTC.

³ Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, China

Received / Accepted

Abstract.

We present EVN observations of seven BL Lac objects selected from the RGB sample. To investigate the intrinsic radiation property of BL Lac objects, we estimated the Doppler factor with the VLA or MERLIN core and the total 408 MHz luminosity for a sample of 170 BL Lac objects. The intrinsic (comoving) synchrotron peak frequency was then calculated by using the estimated Doppler factor. Assuming a Lorentz factor of 5, the viewing angle of jets was constrained. The high-resolution VLBI images of seven sources all show a core-jet structure. We estimated the proper motions of three sources with the VLBI archive data, and find that the apparent speed increases with the distance of components to the core for all of them. In our BL Lacs sample, the Doppler factor of LBLs is systematically larger than that of IBLs and HBLs. We find a significant anti-correlation between the total 408 MHz luminosity and the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency. However, the scatter is much larger than for the blazar sequence. Moreover, we find a significant positive correlation between the viewing angle and the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency. The BL Lac objects show a continuous distribution on the viewing angle. While LBLs have a smaller viewing angle than that of IBLs and HBLs, IBLs are comparable to HBLs. We conclude that the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency is not only related to the intrinsic radio power (though with a large scatter), but also to the viewing angle for the present sample.

Key words. BL Lacertae objects: general – galaxies: active – galaxies: jets – galaxies: nuclei – radio continuum: galaxies

1. Introduction

BL Lac objects are a subclass of radio loud active galactic nuclei (AGNs) with no emission lines or less of them, but they have a strong continuum ranging from radio to γ -ray bands. Their spectral energy distribution (SED) consists of a synchrotron component at lower frequencies and an inverse Compton component at higher frequencies. Historically, BL Lac objects have been separately discovered through radio or X-ray surveys and have been divided into two classes, namely, radio-selected BL Lacs (RBLs) and X-ray selected BL Lacs (XBLs). However, this classification is based on the observing band rather than on the intrinsic physical properties. As a matter of fact, some BL Lac objects can be classified as both RBL and XBL, such as Mrk 501. Padovani & Giommi (1995) have suggested dividing RBL-like and XBL-like objects into low-energy peaked BL Lacs (LBLs) and high-energy peaked BL Lacs (HBLs) according to the location of the peak of the synchrotron emission v_{peak} (Urry & Padovani 1995). Generally, RBLs tend to be LBLs, and XBLs tend to be HBLs. The synchrotron peak frequency of RBLs is usually in the radio/IR band, while UV/X-ray band for XBLs (Giommi et al. 1995). In recent years, samples including intermediate BL Lac objects (IBLs) have been found in surveys that combine X-

ray and radio observations (Laurent-Muehleisen et al. 1999; Perlman et al. 1998; Landt et al. 2001; Caccianiga et al. 1999). Their discovery has shown that BL Lac objects most likely form one class with a continuous distribution of synchrotron emission peak energies, while RBLs and XBLs represent the opposite ends of the continuum (Nieppola et al. 2006).

The so-called 'blazar sequence' was proposed to link the shape of the SED and the synchrotron peak frequency to the source luminosity for blazars, which consists of more luminous flat-spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs) and BL Lac objects (Fossati et al. 1998; Ghisellini et al. 1998). The most powerful sources have relatively small synchrotron peak frequencies, and the least powerful ones have the highest v_{peak} values. This anti-correlation can be theoretically explained by the cooling processes. In more powerful sources, the energy density is higher and the emitting particles have a higher probability of losing energy so are subjected to more cooling, resulting in a lower value for v_{peak} . However, this sequence is based on the absence of high-luminosity HBLs and low-luminosity LBLs, therefore at least part of this systematic trend can result from selection effects (Antón & Browne 2005). Indeed, the evidence of low-power LBLs has been recently discovered (Padonavi et al. 2003; Caccianiga & Marchã 2004; Antón & Browne 2005), and the possible discovery of high luminosity HBLs is also reported in the Sedentary survey (Giommi et al. 2005). In addi-

^{*} e-mail: zzwu@shao.ac.cn

tion, the high-power-high- ν_{peak} FSRQs were found in the Deep X-ray Radio Blazar Survey (DXRBS), which is both X-ray and radio-selected, though they do not reach the extreme ν_{peak} values of HBLs. From all these discoveries, it seems that the blazar sequence in its simplest form cannot be valid (Padovani 2006).

Instead of focusing on one or two limited surveys, Nieppola et al. (2006) have examined the properties of BL Lacs through constructing the SEDs for a large, heterogeneous sample of BL Lacs taken from the Veron-Cetty & Veron BL Lac catalogue and visible from the Metshähovi radio observatory. To our knowledge, this is the largest BL Lacs sample to revisit the blazar sequence up to now, though it is heterogeneous. The authors have found an anti-correlation between the radio power and v_{peak} , with a huge scatter, reaching 5 orders of magnitude in power, and many outliers were also found, especially in the low-power-low- v_{peak} region. However, it is well known that the radio power of BL Lacs is affected by the beaming effect of radio jets, since BL Lacs are generally believed to have a relativistic jet aligned close to the line of sight (Urry & Padovani 1995). As the blazar sequence was originally proposed as the anti-correlation between the intrinsic luminosity and peak frequency, it nevertheless might be important to revisit the correlation using the intrinsic radio power. Moreover, the peak frequency $v_{\text{peak}} \propto B\delta\gamma_{\text{peak}}^2$, where B is the magnetic field, δ the Doppler factor, and γ_{peak} a characteristic electron energy that is determined by a competition between accelerating and cooling processes. While blazars as a whole population suffer the Doppler boosting, the difference in Doppler factor can exist between various subsets, which implies the importance of investigating the intrinsic peak frequency after excluding the Doppler factor. As Kollgaard et al. (1996b) find, the average angles of radio jets to the line of sight is approximately 20° for XBLs, but 10° for RBLs. When the BL Lac objects show a continuous distribution of synchrotron emission-peak energies and most recent works focus on the correlation between the power and peak frequency, it is not clear whether they also show a continuous distribution for the angle to the line of sight, and HBLs and LBLs occupy the opposite ends of this distribution.

Apart from investigating the SED of BL Lac objects, the high-resolution radio observation is an important way to explore the radio structure of BL Lacs, from which we can obtain the physical information, such as the viewing angle, Lorentz factor and magnetic field. From the VLBI observations, the different subsets of BL Lacs can be compared based on the compact radio structure. In particular, it enables us to explore the jet orientation for BL Lac objects, because the properties of the parsec scale structure are strongly dependent on jet orientation. Kollgaard et al. (1996a) show that the jets in XBLs fade more quickly than in RBLs. The VLBI observations show that most of LBLs display the rapid superluminal apparent motions (Jorstad et al. 2001), while the TEV blazar sources (most are HBLs) display subluminal or mildly relativistic (Giroletti et al. 2004b, 2006; Piner & Edwards 2004). Rector et al. (2003) have observed 15 HBLs and 3 BL Lacs from the RGB sample and find that the HBLs, like most LBLs, show parsec-scale core-jet morphologies with complex kiloparsec scale morphologies. Moreover, the jets in HBLs are more well-aligned, suggesting that the jets of HBLs are either

intrinsically straighter or are seen further off-axis than LBLs. Giroletti et al. (2004a) selected 30 low-redshift BL Lac objects and confirmed that parsec and kilo-parsec scale jets are oriented at the same P.A. in a large fraction of HBLs. The HBLs show less distortion and therefore are expected to be oriented at larger angles than the LBL sources.

Laurent-Muehleisen et al. (1999) present a sample of 127 BL Lac objects from the ROSAT ALL-Sky Survey-Green Bank catalogue (RGB), which exhibits properties intermediate between high- and low-energy-peaked BL Lac objects. In this paper, we present EVN observations of seven BL Lac objects selected from the RGB sample. Our goal is to investigate their compact radio structure. In combination with the previous observations and the archive data, we aim to explore the possible structure variation and measure the proper motion for our sources. Moreover, to explore BL Lac objects as a whole population, we investigated the distribution of the intrinsic radio power, the Doppler factor, and the viewing angle along the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency for a sample of BL Lac objects selected from Nieppola et al. (2006).

The layout of this paper is as follows. In Sect. 2, the observations and data reduction for our seven sources are given. The compact radio structure are investigated in Sect. 3, where the proper motion are estimated for three sources as well. In Sect. 4, the intrinsic radio low-frequency luminosity, the Doppler factor, and the viewing angle are estimated for a sample of BL Lacs selected from Nieppola et al. (2006), and their distribution with the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency is also explored. The discussions are shown in Sect. 5, while the conclusions are drawn in Sect. 6. Throughout this work, we adopt the following values for the cosmological parameters: $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$, except an otherwise stated. The spectral index α is defined as $f_V \propto v^{-\alpha}$.

2. VLBI OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

All seven BL Lac objects were observed with EVN at 5 GHz on 22 November 1999. The raw data were obtained with the MKIII VLBI recording system, with an effective bandwidth of 28 MHz, and correlated in Bonn at the Max-Planck-Institute. To study the structure and proper motion of these sources, we collected EVN and VLBA archive data. All seven sources are listed in Table 1: Column (1) Source name, Column (2) redshift, Columns (3) the observational date and (4) the half-power beamwidth (HPBW) of the weighted beam, Column (5) the noise of the image, Column (6) the peak flux of the image, Column (7) VLBI array. All the data were reduced using the NRAO Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS) package. The system temperatures and gains were obtained from the VLBA website. After the initial reduction (including editing, amplitude calibration, instrumental phase corrections, and antenna-based fringe-fitting), we imported the calibrated data into DIFMAP package (Shepherd, Pearson & Taylor 1994, 1995) to make images and fit the sources with a number of discrete circular Gaussian components. The fitting was done directly on the final, self-calibrated visibility data. The modelfit parameters are listed in Table 2.

3. Results

The VLBI images show core-jet structure for all sources we selected, and some of them are in good agreement with previous observations. The MERLIN archive images and available VLA images also show that these sources posse very straight jets. Model fitting of the radio structures in each image was performed, using the MODELFIT of Caltech DIFMAP package (uvweight=0, -1). The modelfit parameters are presented in Table 2. To determine the uncertainty of the component position, we used the Difwrap package (Lovell 2000). The errors were estimated by perturbing the fit parameters until the resulting residual maps were unacceptable and taking the most extreme accepted points. A visual inspection was done to determine the goodness of the fit, and we used the best-fit total χ^2 as the upper limit for every fit of the components.

In our seven BL Lac objects, previous VLBI observations at 6 cm are available for five of them. Combining our observations with the archive data, we got at least four-epoch observations for three sources: 1727+502, 1133+704, and 1741+196. The weighted linear fits to the component distances from the core as a function of the observing time were used to estimate the proper motion of the components. The results are given in Table 3, in which Column (1) is the source name, (2) the components label, (3) the proper motion, and (4) the apparent speed. From Table 3, we find that all three sources have superluminal motion, and the apparent speed increases with distance from the core. For 1011+496 and 1424+240, only three-epoch data are available, therefore we do not measure their proper motion and only present their images in this work. For 4C+37.46 and 1542+614, there are no previous VLBI images and archive data available, so we present their first image.

3.1. Comments on individual sources

1011+496 – Snapshot VLA observations have found hints of extended structure around a bright core (Machalski & Condon 1983). This was confirmed by Kollgaard et al. (1996b), who found diffuse emission extending around 10° to the north from their VLA B-configuration data. Augusto et al. (1998) have modeled this source with three components, which clearly showed that the jet of this source extends to about a few hundreds mas of the core with position angle -99° . The MERLIN archive image (see Fig. 1) shows that the jet extends in nearly the same direction with our EVN and VLBA images (see Fig.2), which show a jet in the opposite direction on the parsec scale. As the jet direction is similar in MERLIN, EVN, and VLBA images, this source is well-aligned.

1133+704 – The images from three epochs (see Fig. 3) all show that the jet extends at a structural position angle of ~ 110° , and the outer components extend to the northeast around 75° . These features are similar to the parsec scale jet found by Kollgaard et al. (1996a) and are well-aligned with the kiloparsec scale halo and short inner jet presented by Giroletti et al. (2004a) and Giroletti et al. (2006). It can be seen from Fig. 3 that five components are detected in 1133+704, which is labeled as M0, M1, M2, M3, and M4, respectively. The weighted linear fits of components M1, M2, and M3 are presented in Fig.

Fig. 1. The MERLIN archive image of 1011+496 at 1.6 GHz on May 6, 1999. The contour levels are -1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64... times 0.001742 Jy *beam*⁻¹(3σ).

4. As expected from the fitted line, M3 can be close to M2 at epoch 1991.433; however, it is not detected in the archive data. As M4 is only detected at two epochs, we do not perform the fit to this component. It can be seen from Table. 3 that the inner components move more slowly, and the outer ones move faster.

The variability of this source has been investigated on other wavebands. Xie et al. (2004) show that the source did not show any noticeable variability, and it was quiet in the optical band during their observations. Albert et al. (2006) have detected very high-energy γ -Rays from this source, and an optical outburst in 2006 March was also observed but no evidence of flaring was detected by the University of Michigan Radio Observatory (UMRAO).

1424+240 – From the images of three epoch VLBI observations (Fig.2), the component can not be well-resolved; however, the jet clearly extends to the southeast with position angle of about P.A.= 150°. This result is similar with Fey & Charlot (2000), who modeled this source with two components at 2.3 GHz and 8.5 GHz, all with jets extending in the nearly same direction. However, this direction is a little misaligned with the VLA map found by Rector et al. (2003), which shows a compact structure consisting of a core and either a halo or roughly collinear jets extending north P.A.= -10° and south P.A.= -175° . The MERLIN archive data (see Fig. 5) shows a very compact structure, and the jet direction is not evident.

1542+614 – There have no previous observations and archive data for this source. The redshift is unknown. We present our observations in Fig. 2. The source shows a compact core and straight jet extending to P.A.= 110° on a parsec scale.

4C+37.46 – We present our image in Fig. 2, in which the source clearly shows one jet towards the northeast P.A.= 55°. The MERLIN archive image (see Fig. 6) also shows a jet in the same direction. The jet direction is consistent with Marecki et al. (2006), in which this source could be core-jet-





Fig. 2. Multi-epoch EVN and VLBA images at 5 GHz. The minimum contour level is 3 times the rms noise given in Table. 1. The contour levels are multiples(-1, 1,2,4,8,16,32,64...) of the minimum contour level and the axes are labeled in milliarcseconds. The top three panels are for 1011+496 at 1997.055, 1998.493, and 1999.893 (from left to right); the middle for 1424+240 at 1999.696, 1999.893, and 2003.447 (from left to right); while the bottom for 1542+614 and 4C+37.46 at 1999.893.

lobe source, either with a steep spectrum or some diffuse structure. This source may also be a well aligned source.

1727+502 – The large amplitude variability of this source has been detected on several occasions. A violent variation of 2.1 mag in the optical band was reported by Scott et al. (1976). Fan et al. (1999) detected the variations of $\Delta H = 0.57$ mag and $\Delta K = 0.82$ mag. This source was observed by Kollgaard et al. (1996a) in 1991 at 6 cm and showed a core-jet morphology. Giroletti et al. (2004a) and Giroletti et al. (2006) show that this source displays a remarkable alignment from the parsec to the kilo-parsec scale. The multi-epoch images in Fig. 7 show a core and a jet extending to northeast with a position angle of about -55° . The modelfit parameters of this source were presented in Table 2. It can be seen from Fig. 7 that six components were detected, which are labeled with C0, C1, C2, C3, C4, and C5. The weighted linear fit of components C1, C2, C3, C4, and C5 are shown in Fig. 8, and their measured proper motion and apparent speed are listed in Table 3. Interestingly, the component C5 was not detected at epoch 1991.433 (data from Kollgaard et al. 1996a) and 2002.403. From the fitted line for C5, the position of C5 at epoch 1991.433 is expected to be close to that of component C4; therefore, it is possible that these components are mixed together, causing difficulty in detection. At 2002.403, C5 very likely moved far away from the core and

became very weak, so it was not detected. Due to the poor uvcoverage of our data and the fact that the beam size at this epoch is larger than other observations, we did not detect component C1 at 1999.893 (see Fig. 8). It is apparent that C3 and C4 at epoch 1998.493 was closer to the core than the expected distance from the fit. Actually, it seems that these two components move slowly (or were even stationary) before 1998.493, but move quickly afterwards, with the proper motion of C4 comparable to that of C5. However, the present data do not allow us to investigate this possibility further. From Table 3, we find that the apparent speed of components increases with the distance from the core. When looking in detail, components C4 and C5 show superluminal motion, while C1, C2 and C3 show subluminal motions. C1 is the closest component to the core, and it also shows the smallest proper motion. Actually, the error in the proper motion of C1 is larger than its proper motion. Therefore, it is possible that this component is stationary within the available observations.

1741+196 – The six-epoch images of this source in Fig. 9 all show a very straight jet extending to the east with P.A.= 80°. This is similar to the result in Rector et al. (2003), in which one epoch VLBA map was presented, and the jet direction is nearly aligned with the VLA snapshot in Perlman et al. (1996) with Δ P.A. = 5°. Five components are detected in Fig. 9, which



Fig. 3. EVN and VLBA images of 1133+704 at 5 GHz. The epoch of observation from left to right are:epoch 1997.055, epoch 1998.493, epoch 1999.893. The axes are labeled in milliarcseconds. Contours are drawn at -1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16,... times the noise level. Numerical parameters of the images are given in Table 1.



Fig. 7. EVN and VLBA images of 1727+502 at 5 GHz. The top from left to right are : epoch 1995.534, epoch 1997.055, epoch 1998.493. The bottom from left to right are: epoch 1999.893, epoch 2002.403. The axes are labeled in milliarcseconds. Contours are drawn at -1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16,... times the noise level. Numerical parameters of the images are given in Table 1.

are labeled as E0, E1, E2, E3, and E4. The weighted linear fit of E1, E2, E3, and E4 are shown in Fig. 10, and their measured proper motion and apparent speed are listed in Table 3. When we perform fits to the components, we exclude the data at epoch 2002.400, because the beam size of this epoch is much larger than others. While E4 shows a superluminal motion, E1, E2, E3 all show a rather small apparent speed. For components E2 and E3, the error in proper motion is actually larger than their proper motion. In view of this fact and the rather low value of proper motion, E2 and E3 could be stationary (within the error) in the time duration covered by the presenting available observations.

4. Properties of a sample of BL Lac objects

Nieppola et al. (2006) collected a large amount of multifrequency data for the objects in the Metsähovi radio observatory BL Lac sample. The Metsähovi BL Lac sample includes 381 objects selected from the Veron-Cetty & Veron BL Lac Catalogue (Veron-Cetty & Veron 2000, hereafter VCV2000), and 17 objects from the literature, of which many sources are



Fig. 9. EVN and VLBA images of 1741+196 at 5 GHz. The top from left to right are:epoch 1997.055, epoch 1997.378, epoch 1998.493. The bottom from left to right are:epoch 1999.893, epoch 2002.400, epoch 2003.447. The axes are labeled in milliarcs seconds. Contours are drawn at -1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16,... times the noise level. Numerical parameters of the images are given in Table 1.



Fig. 4. Positions of components with respect to the core at different epochs from model fitting for 1133+704. The data point at 1991.433 is taken from Kollgaard et al. (1996a). All the other epochs are those presented in this paper, and the lines represent the linear fitting of the motion for each jet component.

from the well-known BL Lac samples like 1Jy, S4, S5, Einstein Medium Sensitivity Survey (EMSS), Einstein Slew Survey, and DXRBS. The authors argue that this sample is supposed to have no selection criteria (other than declination) in addition to the ones in the original surveys. Based on the multi-frequency data, the SED of each source were constructed in the log $v - \log vF_v$



Fig. 5. The MERLIN archive image of 1424+240 at 1.6 GHz observed on 1993 Apr 1. The contour levels are -1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64... times 0.001745 Jy *beam*⁻¹(3σ).

representation. The synchrotron component of the SED was fitted with a parabolic function

$$y = Ax^2 + Bx + C \tag{1}$$

in order to determine the synchrotron peak frequency $v_{\text{peak}} = -B/2A$. The objects were assigned an LBL/IBL/HBL classification according to v_{peak} : for LBLs log $v_{\text{peak}} < 14.5$, for IBLs $14.5 < \log v_{\text{peak}} < 16.5$, and for HBLs $\log v_{\text{peak}} > 16.5$.

We collected the available data at 330 MHz, 360 MHz, 408 MHz, and 1.4 GHz from the Astrophysical Catalogues Support



Fig. 6. The MERLIN archive image of 4C+37.46 at 5 GHz observed on 1999 May 6. The contour levels are -1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64... times 0.001745 Jy $beam^{-1}(3\sigma)$.



Fig. 8. Positions of components with respect to the core at different epochs from model fitting for 1727+502. The data point at 1991.433 is taken from Kollgaard et al. (1996a). All the other epochs are those presented in this paper, and the lines represent the linear fitting of the motion for each jet component.

System (CATs)¹ maintained by the Special Astrophysical Observatory, Russia, and also the available VLA or MERLIN core and extended flux for their sample, resulting in a sample of 170 BL Lac objects. The redshift is known for most of the sources from NED and the literature. For the sources without redshift, we adopt the average redshift of 0.473, 0.302, 0.271 for LBLs, IBLs, and HBLs, respectively. The sample of BL Lac objects is listed in Table 4: col. 1 the source IAU name (J2000); col. 2 the source alias name; col. 3 the redshift; col. 4 the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency (see Section 4.4); col. 5 the total 408 MHz radio power; col. 6 the 5 GHz core luminosity;



Fig. 10. Positions of components with respect to the core at different epochs from model fitting for 1741+196. All the data points are presented in this paper, the lines represent the linear fitting of the motion for each jet component except the data point at 2002.400, as the baseline of this epoch is much shorter than the others.

col. 7 the 5 GHz or 1.4 GHz extended flux density; col. 8 the references; col. 9 the Doppler factor (see Sect. 4.4); cols. 10 - 12 the viewing angle estimated assuming $\Gamma = 3$, 5, and 10, respectively (see Sect 4.5). In the following sections, we investigate the various properties, e.g. the Doppler factor, viewing angle for this sample.

4.1. Method of estimating Doppler factor

A reliable determination of the Doppler factor, δ , is a key step in studying the origins of the physical process in the compact emission regions of AGNs (Lähteenmäki & Valtaoja 1999). There are several methods available from the literature that can be used to estimate the Doppler factor. Ghisellini et al. (1993) estimated the Doppler factor for a sample of AGNs, based on the synchrotron self-Compton model. This method needs the angular size of the core from high-resolution VLBI observation and assumes that the X-ray flux originates from the self-Compton components. However, this method is limited in practice for the case of BL Lac objects. On the one hand, the detailed VLBI studies on BL Lac objects are only available for bright objects and a few other outstanding sources (Giroletti et al. 2006). On the other hand, while both the soft and the hard X-ray bands are dominated by the inverse Compton process in FSRQs, they are dominated by the synchrotron process in HBL, and the synchrotron flux dominates in the soft band and the flatter Compton component appears at higher X-ray energies in LBL (Donato et al. 2001).

In this work, we use the method suggested by Giovannini et al. (2001) and Giroletti et al. (2004a). Generally, the low-frequency radio power is a reliable indicator of the intrinsic radio power as it is only marginally affected by Doppler-boosted compact components (Bondi et al. 2001),

¹ http://cats.sao.ru/

while the relativistic boosting at the base of the jet affects the observed radio power of the core. Giovannini et al. (1988) and Giovannini et al. (2001) find a general correlation between the intrinsic core radio power and total radio power for a sample of radio galaxies

$$\log P_{ci5} = 0.62 \log P_t + 8.41 \tag{2}$$

where P_{ci5} is the intrinsic core 5 GHz radio power derived assuming $\Gamma = 5$ (see Giovannini et al. (2001) for details), and P_t is the total radio power at 408 MHz. From the measured total 408 MHz radio power, we can derive the intrinsic core radio power. Thus, the Doppler factor can be derived if we know the observed radio core luminosity. As it is currently believed that most BL Lac objects are beamed FR I radio galaxies , Giroletti et al. (2004a) have applied this correlation to 30 BL Lac objects and find that the distribution of either the total radio power or the intrinsic core radio power derived from this correlation is similar with the FR I sample in Giovannini et al. (2001). This indicates that Eq. (2) is applicable to our large sample of BL Lac objects, although this relation was made from a sample of mainly radio galaxies, and only few BL Lac objects were included.

4.2. Low-frequency radio power

The anti-correlation between the synchrotron peak frequency and the intrinsic power was first suggested by Fossati et al. (1998) and Ghisellini et al. (1998) for samples of blazars. Nieppola et al. (2006) find an anti-correlation between the luminosity at 5 GHz and 37 GHz with v_{peak} for a large sample of BL Lac objects. However, the 5 GHz and 37 GHz luminosity can be severely affected by the beaming effect from the radio jets and can't be used to indicate of the intrinsic radio power. Bondi et al. (2001) and Giroletti et al. (2004a) suggest that the low-frequency radio luminosity of BL Lac objects can indicate the intrinsic power from the radio jet. However, most of the objects in their works are HBLs and IBLs. For LBLs, especially those in the 1Jy sample, as there is a selection criterion of the radio spectral index being less than 0.5 ($\alpha_r \leq 0.5$; $S_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-\alpha_r}$), the low-frequency radio emission may still be Doppler boosted (Cao et al. 2003). Indeed, Liu et al. (2006) find that the lowfrequency radio emission of sources with a flat spectrum are likely to be Doppler-boosted, although only radio-loud quasars are considered in their work.

To test whether the low-frequency radio emission is Doppler-boosted, we selected 61 BL Lac objects from Nieppola et al. (2006), which have VLA observations and extended flux. These sources are listed in Table 4, including all powerful sources of the 1 Jy sample, and some other type of BL Lac objects. After extrapolating from the 1.4 GHz extended flux using $\alpha = 1.0$ for 15 sources without 5 GHz extended flux, we plot the 5 GHz extended flux with the total 408 MHz flux in Fig. 11, which is directly from the available measurements, or extrapolated from 330 MHz, 360 MHz, or the total 1.4 GHz radio flux (see below). We find a generally good correlation. However, the scatter is obviously seen. This can be caused by the difference in the spectral index of two bands between sources, while both emissions are not influenced by the



Fig. 11. The relationship between the radio 5 GHz extended flux and the radio low-frequency 408 MHz flux.

beaming effect. However, the possibility that the low-frequency radio emission in some sources is indeed Doppler boosted can't be excluded completely with present data. Nevertheless, we believe that the low-frequency radio emission is intrinsic, as most of the sources follow the correlation.

To calculate the Doppler factor using the method in Sect. 4.2, we had to obtain the total 408 MHz luminosity. Unfortunately, not all sources in our sample had an observed 408 MHz flux. We adopted three methods of calculating 408 MHz luminosity according to the available data. For BL lac objects with the extended flux available, we estimated the 408 MHz luminosity by extrapolating from the 5 GHz or 1.4 GHz extended flux assuming a spectral index of $\alpha = 1.0$. For the sources with observed low-frequency radio flux, we estimated the total 408 MHz luminosity after a K-correction using spectral index $\alpha = 1.0$. For the remaining sources, neither the extended flux nor the low-frequency flux was available, so we extrapolated from the total 1.4 GHz flux density with a spectral index of $\alpha = 0.207$, which is the average spectral index between 408 MHz and 1.4 GHz of the BL Lac objects in our sample. The estimated total 408 MHz radio power is listed in Col. 5 of Table 4. To compare with the FR I radio galaxies sample of Zirbel & Baum (1995), we converted the total 408 MHz radio luminosity into the same cosmological frame $(H_0 = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \text{ and } q_0 = 0)$, as used in their calculation. The distribution of this total 408 MHz radio power is shown in Fig. 12. Although our sample contains about two thirds of the BL Lac objects from the 1Jy sample, we find that the mean and median values of the 408 MHz radio power (mean= 25.56 W Hz^{-1} and median= 25.52 W Hz^{-1}) are consistent with those of the low-luminosity FR I radio galaxies in Zirbel & Baum (1995), which is mean = 25.50 ± 0.12 W Hz⁻¹ and median = 25.38 W Hz^{-1} . This, on one hand, supports the scenario that FR I radio galaxies are the parent population of BL Lac objects; and on the other hand, it indicates that the estimated total 408 MHz radio power of our BL Lac objects is intrinsic, as it is comparable to FR I radio galaxies.



Fig. 12. The distribution of 408 MHz luminosity for BL Lac objects selected from Nieppola et al. (2006).

4.3. Doppler factor of BL Lac objects

According to the unified schemes, classes of apparently different AGN might actually be intrinsically similar, when only viewed at different angles with respect to the line of sight, it was suggested that BL Lac objects are FR I radio sources viewed at relatively small angles to the line of sight and that the relativistic beaming has an enormous effect on the observed luminosities, so determining the Doppler factor is important in studying the properties of BL Lac objects, especially the differences between different types of BL Lac objects. To estimate the Doppler factor using the method described in Sect. 4.1, we collected 5 GHz VLA or MERLIN core flux of 170 BL Lac objects (see Table 4). The 5 GHz core luminosity was calculated with a K-correction assuming a spectral index of $\alpha=0$. The Doppler factor is then estimated by using the 5 GHz core luminosity, the estimated radio power at 408 MHz (see Sect.4.3), and Eq. (2). In this work, we adopt $P_{c,o} = P_{c,i}\delta^{2+\alpha}$ (corresponding to a continuous jet), assuming $\alpha = 0$, where $P_{c,o}$ is the observed 5 GHz core luminosity and Pc,i the intrinsic one calculated from Eq. (2).

The synchrotron peak frequency $v_{\text{peak}} \propto B\delta\gamma_{\text{peak}}^2$, therefore it may not be intrinsic since the Doppler factor can vary between sources in our sample. Once the Doppler factor can be estimated, it would be fundamental in calculating the intrinsic peak frequency. In this work, we calculate the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency $v'_{\text{peak}} = v_{\text{peak}}(1 + z)/\delta$, where v_{peak} is from Nieppola et al. (2006). The factor (1+z) is included since the v_{peak} of Nieppola et al. (2006) is determined from the log $v - \log v f_v$ panel in the observer's frame. The distribution of the Doppler factor and the intrinsic peak frequency is shown in Fig. 13. While all IBLs and HBLs have a Doppler factor below 10, LBLs have a wide spread for the Doppler factor, with most sources below 20, and it can be over 30 in a few cases. It seems that the Doppler factor systematically decreases with increasing peak frequency; however, it is similar for IBLs and HBLs. Indeed, the Spearman rank correlation analysis shows an anticorrelation between the Doppler factor and v'_{peak} at $\gg 99.99$



Fig. 13. The Doppler factor versus the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency v'_{peak} (see text for details).

per cent significance level. This may indicate that the Doppler boosting is systematically more pronounced in LBLs than in IBLs and HBLs, although a fraction of LBLs are comparable to IBLs and HBLs.

The estimation of Doppler factor enables us to investigate the relationship between the intrinsic radio power and the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency. The relationship between the intrinsic peak frequency and 408 MHz radio power is shown in Fig. 14. It can be seen that LBLs are statistically more powerful than other BL Lac objects. There is a significant anticorrelation between luminosity and v'_{peak} at $\gg 99.99\%$ confidence level from the Spearman Rank Correlation analysis. Although we used the low-frequency radio emission to indicate the intrinsic radio power and correct the peak frequency for Doppler factor, our results in Fig. 14 are very similar to those of radio power at 5 GHz in Nieppola et al. (2006). Several low-luminosity LBLs are apparently seen, and they even reach lower luminosities than any of the HBLs.

We note that the 408 MHz radio power in our sample is calculated in inhomogenous ways, and the redshift of some sources is actually unknown and tentatively taken as the average value of subclasses. To verify how the correlation depends on the data, we check with a sample that has the measured 408 MHz flux and known redshift. Still, these twenty-one sources (Fig. 14) show a strong anti-correlation at about a 98 per cent confidence level. However, due to the small number of these sources, we add the sources with known redshift and 408 MHz radio power extrapolated from either 330 MHz or 360 MHz radio flux (Fig. 14), resulting in a sample of 56 sources, of which the uncertainty from extrapolation is minimized. It is clearly seen that these sources follow the general trend of whole sample. The Spearman rank correlation analysis shows a significant anti-correlation at about a 99.9 per cent confidence level. We are thus confident that the inhomogenous data derivation does not influence our results.

Despite much more scatter in our figure than in the corresponding one of Fossati et al. (1998), the anti-correlation between luminosity and v'_{peak} is still significant and possibly caused by the intrinsic physical differences (such as magnetic fields, electron energies), as suggested by Sambruna et al.

(1996). As jet formation is closely connected with the central engine (Liu et al. 2006), this correlation can also be caused by the common relationship between both the radio luminosity and peak frequency and the central engine, as suggested by Wang et al. (2002) that the peak frequency is significantly correlated with the accretion rate. Although our sample is relatively large, still only a small fraction of low-luminosity LBLs are included in the sample. As a matter of fact, there is no evidence of very high-luminosity HBLs in the sample of Nieppola et al. (2006), which is our parent sample.

4.4. Viewing angle

There have been several models trying to explain the differences in different types of BL Lac objects. It was originally explained as the orientation effects, with HBLs being observed at larger inclination to the jet axis (Urry & Padovani 1995). However, Sambruna et al. (1996) argue that it is difficult to model the detailed transition from an HBL to an LBL SED only in terms of orientation only and instead suggest a continuous change in the physical parameters of the jet. To study the viewing angle distribution for our sample of BL Lac objects, we estimated the viewing angle for each object assuming a Lorentz factor of Γ = 5, in combination with the estimated Doppler factor in Sect. 4.3. The difference between this angle and that of Γ = 3 and Γ = 10 are taken as the uncertainty. The distribution of the viewing angle and intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency $v'_{\text{peak}} = v_{\text{peak}}(1+z)/\delta$ is shown in Fig. 15, in which the errorbar are indicated with the viewing angle from $\Gamma = 3$ and Γ = 10. It can be seen that most of LBLs are in the range of angles $(0^{\circ}, 20^{\circ})$, while most of IBLs and HBLs are in $(15^{\circ}, 30^{\circ})$. As an intermediate subclass between the LBLs and HBLs, the IBLs' viewing angles are larger than that of LBLs; however, it is indistinguishable from those of HBLs. Moreover, BL Lac objects, as a whole, mostly have viewing angle smaller than 30°. This is consistent with the unified scheme in which BL Lac objects are the aligned version of FR I radio galaxies (Urry & Padovani 1995). It clearly shows that there is a significant positive correlation between viewing angle and v'_{peak} at \gg 99.99 per cent significance level. Actually, this can be expected simply from the relationship between the Doppler factor and v'_{peak} , if an uniform Lorentz factor is adopted for all sources. Therefore, it is not surprising that LBLs have smaller viewing angles compared to IBLs and HBLs, since their Doppler factor is systematically larger.

Usually, the viewing angles can be estimated by using the Doppler factor and the measurements of proper motion, however, the latter are not available for most of sources. Using the measured proper motion and the Doppler factor, we calculated the Lorentz factor for the three sources we observed. We find that the estimated Lorentz factor is generally consistent with the assumption of $\Gamma = 5$, and the range of Γ between 3 and 10 (see Table 3). This indicates that the angle got by assuming a Lorentz factor of 5 can be reasonable for our sample. Certainly, the adoption of a Lorentz factor of 5 only makes sense for our statistical sample investigation and can't be used for an individual source. We believe that the exact value of Lorentz factor



Fig. 15. The viewing angle versus the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency v'_{peak} . The viewing angle is estimated by assuming a Lorentz factor of 5 and using the estimated Doppler factor in Sect. 4.4. The errorbar is indicated with the viewing angle of Lorentz factors of 3 and 10.

will not change our results significantly. From Fig. 15, it seems that the BL Lac objects as a single population possess a continuous range of viewing angle and a positive correlation between the viewing angle and the v'_{peak} . Similar to the Doppler factor distribution, the viewing angle increases with the increasing peak frequency from LBLs to IBLs, but, it smooths out upward.

5. Discussion

In sect. 3.1, our observations have shown that all the jets of seven BL Lac objects are very straight, and they do not exhibit large bending between the small and large scales. This result is similar with the observations of HBLs in Rector et al. (2003), in which two of our sources are included. This indicates that these sources are either intrinsically straighter or are seen further off-axis than LBL jets. In three sources with measured proper motion (Sect. 3.1), it shows that different components of the same source have different apparent speeds, and the apparent speed increases with the distance from the core. This is similar to the results in Piner et al. (2006), in which BL Lac object 0235+164 and two FSRQs were studied. This phenomena will need a change in either bulk Lorentz factor or viewing angle. However, these changes would also yield predictable changes in the brightness of components due to changing Doppler boosting, and these changes are not seen.

While recent discoveries of low-luminosity LBLs and possible high-luminosity HBLs (Padovani et al. 2003; Caccianiga & Marchã 2004; Giommi et al. 2005) seems to undermine the blazar sequence, because an anti-correlation between radio power and peak frequency was found for a large sample of BL Lac objects (Nieppola et al. 2006), though with a large scatter. We improved their work by using the intrinsic low-frequency radio power and the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency, instead. It appears that LBLs are intrinsically more powerful than other types of BL Lac objects, and the distribution of the lowfrequency power of BL Lac objects as a whole is comparable to those of FR I radio galaxies. Although there is a correlation be-



Fig. 14. The low-frequency 408 MHz luminosity versus the intrinsic synchrotron-peak frequency v'_{peak} . The open circles are the sources with the direct measurements of 408 MHz radio flux and known redshift, the open triangles are the sources calculated from either the 360 MHz, or 330 MHz radio flux with known redshift, while the solid circles are those estimated from the extended flux (at 5 GHz or 1.4 GHz), the total 1.4 GHz radio flux, or low-frequency radio flux (with unknown redshift) (see text for details).

tween the intrinsic low-frequency power and intrinsic peak frequency, the scatter of this relation seems large, which contrast with the much tighter relation of 'blazar sequence'. More important, some LBLs have low radio power, which is difficult to explain by the radiation cooling process, which was used to explain the blazar sequence. In fact, these sources tend to weaken the blazar sequence; however, they are not enough to eliminate the correlation in this work. While the blazar sequence was suggested for a sample of blazars including FSRQs, of which the peak frequency can be lower than those of LBLs, our sample only includes BL Lac objects, although our sample is much larger. Moreover, the original peak frequency used in this work is directly adopted from Nieppola et al. (2006), which was derived by simply fitting the synchrotron component of the SED with a parabolic function. The determination of peak frequency is thus influenced by the data quality and sampling. As Nieppola et al. (2006) discuss, the peak frequencies of the most extreme objects can be exaggerated by using a simple parabolic function in the fitting procedure. Furthermore, the large scatter in Fig. 14 implies that other parameters may be at work, and the scatter can be much lower once this parameter is included. Besides the significant anti-correlation between the intrinsic low-frequency luminosity and intrinsic peak frequency, there is a positive correlation between the viewing angle and intrinsic peak frequency. LBLs apparently have smaller viewing angle, while the viewing angle of IBLs is comparable to that of HBLs. We conclude that the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency is related not only to the intrinsic luminosity, but maybe also to the viewing angle. In fact, some HBLs like Mrk 421 and Mrk 501 are supposed to have $\delta \ge 10$, which was estimated by Celotti et al. (1998) based on their TeV flux variability. However, the studies of jet morphology, core dominance, the fit to the trend of the jet brightness, and Full-Width Half-Maximum (FWHM) all show that the radio jet emission is oriented to larger angles with respect to the line of sight (Giroletti et al. 2004b; Giroletti et al. 2006).

There are only a small fraction of low-luminosity LBLs in our sample, and actually no evidence of very high-luminosity HBLs (Nieppola et al. 2006). This precludes us from draws any firm conclusion about the validity of the blazar sequence. Actually, the FSRQs with a high v_{peak} found in DXRBS do not reach the extreme v_{peak} values of HBLs. It is still unclear whether this fact indicates an intrinsic, physical limit to this parameter. An alternative scenario is one where really highpower-high- v_{peak} blazars have their thermal emission swamped by the non-thermal, featureless jet emission, which makes their redshift determination impossible. While our results seem to undermine the blazar sequence, the anti-correlation between the intrinsic emission and intrinsic peak frequency needs further investigation. It is important to compile a larger sample including various subclasses of blazars, especially blue quasars, low-luminosity LBLs, and high-luminosity HBLs and to calculate the accurate peak frequency and intrinsic luminosity. Only then, the physical mechanism of the different peak frequencies can be explored. While the peak frequency is believed to be determined by the magnetic strength and the energy distribution of relativistic electrons, the shift of the peak frequency in blazars can give us clues to this information. It might also be important to investigate the relationship between the SEDs of blazars and the central engine, such as accretion rate (Wang et al. 2002) and/or black hole mass.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented EVN and VLBA images at 5 GHz for seven BL Lac objects selected from an RGB sample (Laurent-Muehleisen et al. 1999). We collected multiepoch data from EVN and the VLBA archive for three of them. The radio data were collected for a sample of 170 BL Lac objects selected from Nieppola et al. (2006) to study the relation of the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency with the intrinsic low-frequency radio luminosity and the viewing angle. The main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

1. We found that all seven sources show a core-jet structure on a pc scale, and no counter-jets were found. The jets of these sources are straight, and only small changes in the position angle are found between small and large scales. The superluminal motion is detected in all three sources with the available multiepoch data, and the apparent speed of components are increases with the increasing distance of components to the core.

2. Based on the method suggested in Giovannini et al. (2001) and Giroletti et al. (2004a), we calculated the Doppler factor for 170 BL Lac objects selected from Nieppola et al. (2006). Using the total 408 MHz luminosity to indicate the intrinsic radio power and correcting the synchrotron peak frequency for Doppler factor, we found a significant anti-correlation between the total 408 MHz luminosity and the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency. However, the scatter is much larger than that of the blazar sequence. Especially, several low-power LBLs are clearly present. Our results seem to undermine the blazar sequence.

3. The viewing angle of BL Lac objects are constrained using the estimated Doppler factor, and assuming a Lorentz factor of 5. We found a strong positive correlation between the viewing angle and the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency. The BL Lacs show a continuous distribution of the viewing angle, and LBLs have lower values than for IBLs and HBLs. However, the IBLs are similar to HBLs for the viewing angle.

In conclusion, our results show that the intrinsic peak frequency is related not only to the intrinsic radio power (though much looser than the blazar sequence), but also to the viewing angle. A complete, large sample of BL Lacs (or blazars) is needed for future investigations.

Acknowledgements. We thank the anonymous referee for insightful comments and constructive suggestions. This work is supported by the NSFC under grants 10373019, 10333020, and 10543002. The European VLBI Network is a joint facility of European, Chinese, and other radio astronomy institutes funded by their national research councils. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is operated by Associated Universities, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. MERLIN is a National Facility operated by the University of Manchester at Jodrell Bank Observatory on behalf of PPARC. This research made use of the NASA/ IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory,

California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This work also made use of Astrophysical Catalogues Support System (CATS) maintained by the Special Astrophysical Observatory, Russia.

References

Albert, J., Aliu, E., Anderhub, H., et al. 2006, ApJ, 648, L105 Antón, S. & Browne, I. W. A. 2005, MNRAS, 356, 225

- Augusto, P., Wilkinson, P. N., & Browne, I. W. A. 1998, MNRAS, 299, 1159
- Bondi, M., Marchã, M. J. M., Dallacasa, D., & Stanghellini, C. 2001, MNRAS, 325, 1109
- Caccianiga, A., Maccacaro, T., Wolter, A., della Ceca, R., & Gioia, I. M. 1999, ApJ, 513, 51

Caccianiga, A., Marchã, M. J. M. 2004, MNRAS, 348, 937 Cao, X. 2003, ApJ, 599, 147

- Cavallotti, F., Wolter, A., Stocke, J. T., Rector, T. 2004, A&A, 419, 459.
- Cassaro, P., Stanghellini, C., Bondi, M., et al. 1999, A&AS, 139, 601
- Cassaro, P., Stanghellini, C., Dallacasa, D., Bondi, M., & Zappalà, R. A. 2002, A&A, 381, 378
- Celotti, A., Fabian, A. C. & Rees, M. J. 1998, MNRAS, 293, 239
- Donato, D., Ghisellini, G., Tagliaferri, G., Fossati, G. 2001, A&A, 375, 739
- Fan, J. H., & Lin, R. G. 1999, ApJS, 121, 131
- Fanaroff, B. L. & Riley, J. M. 1974, MNRAS, 167, 31
- Fey, A. L. & Charlot, P. 2000, ApJS, 128, 17.
- Fossati, G., Maraschi, L., Celotti, A., Comastri, A., & Ghisellini, G. 1998, MNRAS, 299, 433
- Giommi, P., Piranomonte, S., Perri, M., & Padovani, P. 2005, A&A, 434, 385
- Georganopoulos, M., & Marscher, A. P. 1998, ApJ, 506, 621
- Ghisellini, G., Padovani, P., Celotti, A., & Maraschi, L. 1993, ApJ, 407, 65
- Ghisellini, G., Celotti, A., Fossati, G., Maraschi, L. & Comastri, A. 1998, MNRAS, **301**, **451**
- Giovannini, G., Feretti, L., Gregorini, L., & Parma, P. 1988, A&A, 199, 73
- Giovannini, G., Cotton, W. D., Feretti, L., Lara, L., & Venturi, T. 2001, ApJ, 552, 508
- Giommi, P., Ansari, S. G., & Micol, A. 1995, A&AS, 109, 267
- Giroletti, M., Giovannini, G., Taylor, G. B., & Falomo, R. 2004a, ApJ, 613, 752
- Giroletti, M., Giovannini, G., Feretti, L., et al. 2004b, ApJ, 600, 127
- Giroletti, M., Giovannini, G., Taylor, G. B., Falomo, R. 2006, ApJ, 646, 801
- Jorstad, S. G., Marscher, A. P., Mattox, J. R., et al. 2001, ApJ, 556, 738
- Kollgaard, R. I., Gabuzda, D. C., & Feigelson, E. D. 1996a, ApJ, 460, 174
- Kollgaard, R. I., Palma, C., Laurent-Muehleisen, S. A., & Feigelson, E. D. 1996b, ApJ, 465, 115
- Laurent-Muehleisen, S. A., Kollgaard, R. I., Feigelson, E. D., Brinkmann, W., Siebert, J. 1999, ApJ, 525, 127

- Laurent-Muehleisen, S. A., Kollgaard, R. I., Moellenbrock, G. A., & Feigelson, E. D. 1993, AJ, 106, 875
- Landt, H., Padovani, P., Perlman, E. S. 2001, MNRAS, 323, 757
- Lähteenmäki, A. & Valtaoja, E. 1999, ApJ, 521, 493
- Liu, F. K. & Zhang, Y. H. 2002, A&A, 381, 757
- Liu, Y., Jiang, D. R., & Gu, M. F. 2006, ApJ, 637, 669
- Lovell J. 2000, in Astronomical Phenomena Revealed by Space VLBI, eds. H. Hirabayashi, P.G. Edwards, & D.W. Murphy, ISAS, Tokyo, 301
- Marecki, A. Kunert-Bajraszewska, M. Spencer, R. 2006, A&A, 449, 985
- Machalski, J., & Condon, J. J. 1983, AJ, 88, 1591
- Marchã, M. J. M., Browne, I. W. A., Jethava, N., & Antón, S. 2005, MNRAS, 361, 469
- Murphy, D. W., Browne, I. W. A., & Perley, R. A. 1993, MNRAS, 264, 298
- Nieppola, E., Tornikoski, M., & Valtaoja, E. 2006, A&A, 445, 441
- Padovani, P., Perlman, E. S., Landt, H., Giommi, P., & Perri, M. 2003, ApJ, 588, 128
- Padovani, & Giommi, P. 1995, ApJ, 446, 547
- Perlman, E. S., Stocke, J. T., Schachter, J. F., et al. 1996, ApJS, 104, 251
- Perlman, E. S., Padovani, P., Giommi, P., et al. 1998, AJ, 115, 1253
- Piner, B. G., Bhattarai D., Edwards, P. G., Jones, D. L. 2006, ApJ, 640, 196
- Piner, B. G., & Edwards, P. G. 2004, ApJ, 600, 115
- Rector, T. A., Stocke, J. T., Perlman, E. S., Morris, S. L., & Gioia, I. M. 2000, AJ, 120, 1626
- Rector, T. A. & Stocke, J. T. 2001, AJ, 122, 565
- Rector T. & Stocke J. T. 2003, AJ, 125, 2447
- Rector, T. A., Gabuzda, D. C., & Stocke, J. T. 2003, AJ, 125, 1060
- Sambruna, R. M. Maraschi, L., & Urry, M. 1996, ApJ, 463, 444
- Scott, R. L., Leacock, R. J., McGimsey, B. Q., et al. 1976, AJ, 81, 7
- Taylor, G. B., Vermeulen, R. C., Pearson, T. J., et al. 1994, ApJS, 95, 345
- Taylor, G. B., Vermeulen, R. C., Readhead, A. C. S., et al. 1996, ApJS, 107, 37
- Urry, C. M., & Padovani, P. 1995, PASP, 107, 803
- Veron-Cetty, M. P. & Veron, P. 2000, ESOSR, 19, 1
- Wang, J.-M., Staubert, R., & Ho, L. C. 2002, ApJ, 579, 554
- Xie, G. Z., Zhou, S. B., Li, K. H., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 348, 831
- Zirbel E. L., Baum S. A., 1995, ApJ, 448, 521

Z. Z. Wu et al.: VLBI observation of seven BL Lac Objects, Online Material p 1

Online Material

Table 1. The VLBI observational log.

| Object | z | Epoch | HPBW | Noise (3σ) | Peak | Array |
|------------|-------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| | | | $(mas \times mas,^{\circ})$ | (mJy beam ⁻¹) | (mJy beam ⁻¹) | |
| 1011+496 | 0.2 | 1997.055 | $2.5 \times 2.08, -8.36$ | 0.60 | 116.0 | 1 |
| | | 1998.493 | $2.47 \times 1.72, -2.76$ | 0.56 | 103.0 | 1 |
| | | 1999.893 | $2.8 \times 1.35, -38.6$ | 1.83 | 81.7 | 2 |
| 1133+704 | 0.046 | 1997.055 | $2.77 \times 2.04, -32.5$ | 0.30 | 118.0 | 1 |
| | | 1998.493 | $2.72 \times 1.7, 87.7$ | 0.39 | 98.7 | 1 |
| | | 1999.893 | $1.87 \times 1.32, -30.4$ | 1.01 | 77.5 | 2 |
| 1424 + 240 | 0.16 | 1999.696 | $2.96 \times 1.92, -0.412$ | 0.94 | 125.0 | 1 |
| | | 1999.893 | 5.95 × 1.98, 12.8 | 1.57 | 134.0 | 2 |
| | | 2003.447 | $3.02 \times 1.61, -8.25$ | 0.60 | 164 | 1 |
| 1542+614 | | 1999.893 | $2.26 \times 1.48, 7.24$ | 0.87 | 93.4 | 2 |
| 4C+37.46 | 1.271 | 1999.893 | 4.65 × 2.17, 15.7 | 1.38 | 32.2 | 2 |
| 1727+502 | 0.055 | 1995.534 | $2.12 \times 1.87, -34.6$ | 0.37 | 80.1 | 1 |
| | | 1997.055 | $3.05 \times 2.43, 3.03$ | 0.35 | 85.7 | 1 |
| | | 1998.493 | $2.62 \times 1.52, -10.8$ | 0.46 | 66.9 | 1 |
| | | 1999.893 | $4.58 \times 2.3, 4.5$ | 0.93 | 81.4 | 2 |
| | | 2002.403 | 2.31 × 1.64, 16 | 0.63 | 72.9 | 2 |
| 1741+196 | 0.083 | 1997.055 | 3.75 × 2.13, 11.8 | 0.37 | 103.0 | 1 |
| | | 1997.378 | $3.07 \times 1.67, -5.62$ | 0.42 | 80.1 | 1 |
| | | 1998.493 | $3.09 \times 1.58, -5.37$ | 0.39 | 85.4 | 1 |
| | | 1999.893 | 5 × 1.79, 16.2 | 1.14 | 98.4 | 2 |
| | | 2002.400 | $7.68 \times 4.92, 67.8$ | 0.90 | 131.0 | 2 |
| | | 2003.447 | $2.98 \times 1.72, -6.07$ | 0.41 | 100.0 | 1 |

1: VLBA, 2: EVN.

Table 2. Model parameters.

| Source | Epoch | Component | S (Jy) | <i>r</i> (mas) | P.A. (deg) | a (mas) |
|----------|----------|-----------|--------|------------------|------------|---------|
| 1727+502 | 1995.534 | C0 | 0.068 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.27 |
| | 1995.534 | C1 | 0.027 | 1.15 ± 0.05 | -73.3 | 0.36 |
| | 1995.534 | C2 | 0.016 | 2.61 ± 0.11 | -57.4 | 0.91 |
| | 1995.534 | C3 | 0.016 | 4.90 ± 0.28 | -58.8 | 1.91 |
| | 1995.534 | C4 | 0.013 | 7.48 ± 0.51 | -55.8 | 3.12 |
| | 1995.534 | C5 | 0.012 | 11.01 ± 0.72 | -45.9 | 4.53 |
| | 1997.055 | C0 | 0.065 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| | 1997.055 | C1 | 0.030 | 1.20 ± 0.71 | -75.4 | 0.60 |
| | 1997.055 | C2 | 0.030 | 2.73 ± 0.08 | -58.8 | 0.97 |
| | 1997.055 | C3 | 0.010 | 4.99 ± 0.32 | -58.8 | 1.84 |
| | 1997.055 | C4 | 0.012 | 8.06 ± 0.44 | -54.4 | 3.62 |
| | 1997.055 | C5 | 0.014 | 13.94 ± 0.41 | -46.4 | 5.00 |
| | 1998.493 | C0 | 0.062 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.33 |
| | 1998.493 | C1 | 0.023 | 1.13 ± 0.06 | -81.7 | 0.46 |
| | 1998.493 | C2 | 0.022 | 2.50 ± 0.48 | -59.0 | 1.12 |
| | 1998.493 | C3 | 0.028 | 4.73±0.11 | -60.9 | 1.88 |
| | 1998.493 | C4 | 0.012 | 7.19 ± 0.40 | -53.7 | 2.55 |
| | 1998.493 | C5 | 0.015 | 15.78 ± 0.54 | -50.5 | 5.62 |
| | 1999.893 | C0 | 0.082 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.67 |
| | 1999.893 | C2 | 0.039 | 2.84 ± 0.26 | -57.5 | 1.69 |
| | 1999.893 | C3 | 0.014 | 6.11±0.76 | -53.4 | 1.00 |
| | 1999.893 | C4 | 0.009 | 9.16±1.35 | -50.2 | 4.00 |
| | 1999.893 | C5 | 0.003 | 17.76±1.44 | -51.4 | 3.32 |
| | 2002.403 | C0 | 0.071 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.53 |
| | 2002.403 | C1 | 0.017 | 1.01 ± 0.11 | -63.9 | 1.09 |
| | 2002.403 | C2 | 0.030 | 2.88 ± 0.10 | -55.3 | 1.30 |
| | 2002.403 | C3 | 0.021 | 6.90 ± 0.25 | -56.1 | 1.87 |
| | 2002.403 | C4 | 0.009 | 12.52 ± 0.39 | -51.9 | 3.091 |
| 1741+196 | 1997.055 | E0 | 0.070 | 0.070 0.00 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| | 1997.055 | E1 | 0.040 | 0.74 ± 0.013 | 70.2 | 0.65 |
| | 1997.055 | E2 | 0.018 | 2.86 ± 0.079 | 77.9 | 1.60 |
| | 1997.055 | E3 | 0.009 | 5.96 ± 0.13 | 81.1 | 2.01 |
| | 1997.055 | E4 | 0.004 | 12.83 ± 1.50 | 85.2 | 6.41 |
| | 1997.378 | E0 | 0.073 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.35 |
| | 1997.378 | E1 | 0.019 | 0.93 ± 0.10 | 70.4 | 0.51 |
| | 1997.378 | E2 | 0.015 | 2.65 ± 0.079 | 79.6 | 1.32 |
| | 1997.378 | E3 | 0.010 | 5.40 ± 0.22 | 81.3 | 2.41 |
| | 1997.378 | E4 | 0.004 | 11.93 ± 1.25 | 84.5 | 5.04 |
| | 1998.493 | E0 | 0.076 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.28 |
| | 1998.493 | E1 | 0.022 | 0.86 ± 0.14 | 72.0 | 0.69 |
| | 1998.493 | E2 | 0.017 | 2.65 ± 0.090 | 78.0 | 1.41 |
| | 1998.493 | E3 | 0.009 | 5.95 ± 0.25 | 81.6 | 2.47 |
| | 1998.493 | E4 | 0.004 | 13.39±1.79 | 85.4 | 5.55 |
| | 1999.893 | E0 | 0.095 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.64 |
| | 1999.893 | E1 | 0.003 | 1.25 ± 0.71 | 88.6 | 0.00 |
| | 1999.893 | E2 | 0.020 | 2.79 ± 0.17 | 78.3 | 0.83 |
| | 1999.893 | E3 | 0.006 | 6.95 ± 0.38 | 82.0 | 0.64 |
| | 1999.893 | E4 | 0.001 | 13.36±2.72 | 89.9 | 2.32 |
| | 2003.447 | E0 | 0.092 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.31 |
| | 2003.447 | E1 | 0.023 | 1.09 ± 0.082 | 66.1 | 0.64 |
| | 2003.447 | E2 | 0.015 | 2.80 ± 0.11 | 80.2 | 1.58 |
| | 2003.447 | E3 | 0.008 | 5.82 ± 0.30 | 84.1 | 2.39 |

| Source | Epoch | Component | S (Jy) | r (mas) | P.A. (deg) | a (mas) |
|----------|----------|-----------|--------|------------------|------------|---------|
| | 2003.447 | E4 | 0.004 | 13.83±1.21 | 85.0 | 5.35 |
| 1133+704 | 1997.055 | M0 | 0.099 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.38 |
| | 1997.055 | M1 | 0.027 | 0.74 ± 0.13 | 111.1 | 0.51 |
| | 1997.055 | M2 | 0.006 | 2.99 ± 0.50 | 99.0 | 2.23 |
| | 1997.055 | M3 | 0.005 | 6.23 ± 0.88 | 76.7 | 4.16 |
| | 1997.055 | M4 | 0.005 | 15.61 ± 1.40 | 73.1 | 7.15 |
| | 1998.493 | M0 | 0.091 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.31 |
| | 1998.493 | M1 | 0.018 | 1.27 ± 0.12 | 106.9 | 0.70 |
| | 1998.493 | M2 | 0.008 | 3.34 ± 0.25 | 100.9 | 1.29 |
| | 1998.493 | M3 | 0.004 | 14.99 ± 1.66 | 73.1 | 5.26 |
| | 1998.493 | M4 | 0.003 | 7.55 ± 1.66 | 76.0 | 3.42 |
| | 1999.893 | M0 | 0.080 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.35 |
| | 1999.893 | M1 | 0.003 | 0.92 ± 0.42 | 119.6 | 0.00 |
| | 1999.893 | M2 | 0.013 | 2.71 ± 0.61 | 93.0 | 1.03 |
| | 1999.893 | M3 | 0.003 | 8.47 ± 0.26 | 78.8 | 0.75 |

Z. Z. Wu et al.: VLBI observation of seven BL Lac Objects, Online Material p 4

 Table 3. Proper motions

| Object | Component | μ (mas yr ⁻¹) | $eta_{	ext{app}}$ | δ | θ (deg) | Γ | $\theta_{\Gamma=5}$ (deg) |
|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----|----------------|-----|---------------------------|
| 1133+704 | M1 | 0.040 ± 0.015 | 0.12 ± 0.045 | | | | |
| | M2 | 0.18 ± 0.032 | 0.56 ± 0.10 | | | | |
| | M3 | 0.78 ± 0.31 | 2.4 ± 0.97 | 0.8 | 41.6 | 4.6 | 39.9 |
| 1727+502 | C1 | 0.0074 ± 0.0085 | 0.027 ± 0.031 | | | | |
| | C2 | 0.032 ± 0.013 | 0.12 ± 0.049 | | | | |
| | C3 | 0.16 ± 0.014 | 0.58 ± 0.051 | | | | |
| | C4 | 0.47 ± 0.31 | 1.72 ± 1.15 | | | | |
| | C5 | 1.53 ± 0.26 | 5.64 ± 0.96 | 1.9 | 18.1 | 9.6 | 23.7 |
| 1741+196 | E1 | 0.043 ± 0.013 | 0.24 ± 0.071 | | | | |
| | E2 | 0.0064 ± 0.019 | 0.035 ± 0.11 | | | | |
| | E3 | 0.031 ± 0.049 | 0.17 ± 0.27 | | | | |
| | E4 | 0.23 ± 0.21 | 1.25 ± 1.13 | 3.3 | 12.3 | 2.0 | 16.6 |

 Table 4. The sample of 170 BL Lac objects selected from Nieppola et al. (2006).

| IAU name | Source | z | $\log v_{\rm peak}^{'}$ | $\log P_{408 \text{ M}}$ | $\log P_{\rm core}$ | $f_{\rm ext}$ | refs. | δ | θ_3 | θ_5 | $	heta_{10}$ |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| 0006-063 | NRAO 5 | 0.347 | 12.10 | 26.94 ^a | 26.65 | | 1 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 8.8 |
| 0007+472 | RX J0007.9+4711 | 0.302* | 15.81 | 25.63^{b} | 25.18 | | 2 | 2.8 | 21.1 | 18.7 | 14.3 |
| 0035+598 | 1ES 0033+595 | 0.086 | 18.60 | 24.01^{c} | 24.02 | 4.50 | 3 | 2.3 | 24.7 | 21.1 | 15.9 |
| 0040+408 | 1ES 0037+405 | 0.271* | 16.62 | 24.93 ^c | 24.42 | 3.07 | 3 | 1.9 | 28.8 | 23.9 | 17.7 |
| 0050-094 | PKS 0048-097 | 0.537 | 12.94 | 27.29^{c} | 26.60 | 139.00 | 4 | 4.4 | 11.5 | 13.1 | 10.9 |
| 0110+418 | NPM1G +41.0022 | 0.096 | 17.72 | 24.41^{d} | 23.58 | | 2 | 1.1 | 43.5 | 34.2 | 24.5 |
| 0112+227 | S2 0109+22 | 0.473* | 12.83 | 26.36^{b} | 26.60 | | 2 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 6.7 |
| 0115+253 | RXS J0115.7+2519 | 0.350 | 13.15 | 25.31^{d} | 24.92 | | 2 | 2.6 | 22.5 | 19.7 | 14.9 |
| 0123+343 | 1ES 0120+340 | 0.272 | 17.96 | 24.86^{c} | 24.75 | 2.59 | 3 | 2.9 | 19.9 | 18.0 | 13.9 |
| 0124+093 | MS 0122.1+0903 | 0.339 | 15.37 | 23.58° | 23.60 | 0.08 | 5 | 2.0 | 28.4 | 23.6 | 17.5 |
| 0136+391 | B3 0133+388 | 0.271* | 16.31 | 25.44^{a} | 24.95 | | 2 | 2.4 | 23.7 | 20.5 | 15.5 |
| 0141-094 | PKS 0139-09 | 0.733 | 12.77 | 27.17° | 26.99 | 50.00 | 6 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 7.5 |
| 0148 + 140 | 1ES 0145 + 138 | 0.125 | 15 76 | 24.22^{e} | 22.88 | 20100 | 7 | 0.5 | 65.8 | 49.9 | 34.9 |
| 0153+712 | 8C 0149+710 | 0.022 | 14 65 | 23.99^{b} | 23 50 | | 2 | 13 | 38.2 | 30.4 | 22.0 |
| 0201 ± 005 | MS 0158 5+0019 | 0.299 | 17.62 | 23.99 24 46 ^c | 24 29 | 0.83 | 5 | 23 | 24 9 | 21.3 | 16.0 |
| 0201+003 0208+353 | MS 0205 7+3509 | 0.277 | 14 90 | 23.10 | 24.10 | 0.05 | 5 | 2.5 | 21.2 | 18.8 | 14.4 |
| 0200+555 0214+517 | 87GB 02109±5130 | 0.049 | 17.53 | 23.51 24.50 ^b | 24.10 | 0.20 | 2 | 1.5 | 3/ / | 27.8 | 20.3 |
| 0214+317 0222 ± 430 | 3C 66A | 0.0+7 0.440 | 17.55 | 27.50^{a} | 25.74 | ••• | 8 | 3.0 | 1/1 3 | 14.6 | 11.8 |
| 0222+430 0232+202 | 1ES 0220+200 | 0.440 | 10.20 | 27.55 24.75^{d} | 20.05 | | 8 7 | 1.0 | 28.7 | 23.8 | 17.7 |
| 0232 ± 202 0238 ± 166 | $\Lambda \cap 0.0235 \pm 164$ | 0.140 | 19.22 | 24.75 27.24c | 24.32 | 31.40 | 0 | 10.8 | 20.7 | 23.8 | 53 |
| 0230 ± 100 | AC 0253+104 MS 0257 0+3420 | 0.940 | 12.05 | 27.24 | 27.55 | 1 28 | 5 | 10.8 | 20.1 | 24.1 | J.J 17.8 |
| 0301 ± 340 | NIS 0237.9+3429 | 0.243 | 13.10 | 24.49 | 24.14 | 1.30 | 2 | 1.9 | 29.1 46.0 | 24.1 | 17.0 |
| 0314 ± 247 0226 ± 024 | XAS JUS14.0+2445 | 0.034 | 12./1 | 22.93 | 22.00 | | 2 10 | 1.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 17.0 |
| 0320+024 | 2E 0525+0214 | 0.147 | 19.01 | 24.10 25.70d | 24.01 | 2.00 | 10 | 2.1 | 21.2 | 22.8 | 17.0 |
| 0410+010 | 2E 0414+0057 | 0.287 | 20.49 | 25.70^{2} | 24.99 | | 1 | 2.1 | 20.0 | 22.4 | 10./ |
| 0422+198 | MS 0419.5+1945 | 0.512 | 10.03 | 25.15° | 24.75 | 1.14 | 5 | 2.3 | 24.7 | 21.1 | 15.9 |
| 0424+006 | PKS 0422+004 | 0.310 | 15.01 | 26.33° | 26.32 | | 9 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 8.5 |
| 0505+042 | KXS J0505.5+0416 | 0.027 | 10.8/ | 23.57^{a} | 23.17 | | 2 | 1.2 | 39.9 | 31./ | 22.8 |
| 0507+676 | 1ES 0502+675 | 0.314 | 18.55 | 24.20° | 24.90 | 0.40 5.10f | 3 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 10.2 | 9.2 5.2 |
| 0508+845 | SS 0454+84 | 0.112 | 12.59 | 23.75° | 25.19 | 5.10^{9} | 4,9 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 |
| 0509-040 | 40 0506-03 | 0.304 | 1/.// | 25.57 | 24.82 | 36.00 | 11 ~ | 1.9 | 28.7 | 23.8 | 1/./ |
| 0613+/11 | MS 0607.9+7108 | 0.267 | 14.41 | 24.03 ^e | 24.39 | 0.40 | 5 | 3.5 | 16.4 | 15.8 | 12.5 |
| 0625+446 | 8/GB 06216+4441 | 0.473* | 13.04 | 26.25 ^{<i>a</i>} | 26.15 | | 8 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 10.5 | 9.4 |
| 0650+250 | IES 0647+250 | 0.203 | 17.85 | 24.85° | 24.83 | 4.89 | 3 | 3.2 | 17.9 | 16.7 | 13.1 |
| 0654+427 | B3 0651+428 | 0.126 | 14.79 | 25.07 ^a | 24.70 | | 2 | 2.4 | 24.2 | 20.8 | 15.7 |
| 0656+426 | NPM1G +42.0131 | 0.059 | 17.34 | 25.85 ^c | 24.30 | 699.00 ⁷ | 12 | 0.9 | 49.5 | 38.4 | 27.3 |
| 0710+591 | EXO 0706.1+5913 | 0.125 | 20.83 | 25.03 | 24.46 | | 1 | 1.9 | 29.3 | 24.3 | 17.9 |
| 0/21+/13 | S5 0/16+/14 | 0.300 | 14.07 | 26.50 ^e | 25.85 | 316.00 ⁷ | 4 | 3.2 | 18.0 | 16.8 | 13.1 |
| 0738+177 | PKS 0735+17 | 0.424 | 12.64 | 25.43 ^c | 27.10 | 12.00 | 4 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0744+745 | MS 0737.9+7441 | 0.315 | 13.24 | 24.75° | 24.71 | 1.42 | 5 | 3.1 | 19.1 | 17.5 | 13.6 |
| 0753+538 | S4 0749+54 | 0.200 | 12.23 | 25.47^{e} | 26.12 | | 13 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 6.2 |
| 0757+099 | PKS 0754+100 | 0.266 | 12.48 | 25.26^{c} | 26.56 | 6.70 | 9 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 0806+595 | SBS 0802+596 | 0.300 | 16.43 | 25.26^{e} | 24.81 | | 2 | 2.4 | 24.3 | 20.9 | 15.7 |
| 0809+523 | 1ES 0806+524 | 0.137 | 16.09 | 24.90^{e} | 24.88 | | 3 | 3.3 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 12.9 |
| 0818+423 | OJ 425 | 0.245 | 12.73 | 26.41 ^a | 26.17 | | 14 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 11.6 | 10.0 |
| 0823+223 | 4C 22.21 | 0.951 | 12.94 | 28.53^{c} | 26.96 | 602.60 | 4 | 2.7 | 21.4 | 18.9 | 14.5 |
| 0825+031 | PKS 0823+033 | 0.506 | 11.79 | 25.23^{c} | 26.98 | 1.40 | 14 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0831+044 | PKS 0829+046 | 0.174 | 12.81 | 25.74^{b} | 25.95 | | 7 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 8.6 |
| 0831+087 | 1H 0827+089 | 0.941 | 13.90 | 26.67^{d} | 26.15 | | 11 | 4.0 | 13.3 | 14.0 | 11.4 |
| 0832+492 | OJ 448 | 0.548 | 12.33 | 25.98 ^c | 26.36 | 23.40^{f} | 4 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 6.8 |
| 0854+441 | US 1889 | 0.382 | 17.31 | 26.05 ^a | 25.06 | | 2 | 1.8 | 30.3 | 24.9 | 18.4 |
| 0854+201 | OJ 287 | 0.306 | 12.75 | 25.25^{c} | 26.56 | 17.00^{f} | 4,9 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 0915+295 | B2 0912+29 | 0.302* | 15.64 | 26.20^{b} | 25.59 | | 2 | 3.0 | 19.7 | 17.9 | 13.8 |

Continued...

Z. Z. Wu et al.: VLBI observation of seven BL Lac Objects, Online Material p 7

| IAU name | Source | z | $\log v_{\rm peak}$ | $\log P_{408 \text{ M}}$ | $\log P_{\rm core}$ | $f_{\rm ext}$ | refs. | δ | θ_3 | θ_5 | θ_{10} |
|------------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------|------|------------|------------|---------------|
| 0916+526 | RXS J0916.8+5238 | 0.271* | 17.01 | 25.61 ^d | 24.92 | | 2 | 2.1 | 27.0 | 22.7 | 16.9 |
| 0929+502 | RXS J0929.2+5013 | 0.370 | 13.76 | 26.08^{e} | 26.50 | | 8 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 6.2 |
| 0930+498 | 1ES 0927+500 | 0.188 | 20.77 | 24.06^{e} | 24.18 | | 3 | 2.7 | 21.6 | 19.1 | 14.6 |
| 0930+350 | B2 0927+35 | 0.302* | 14.35 | 26.48^{b} | 25.95 | | 8 | 3.7 | 15.3 | 15.2 | 12.1 |
| 0952+656 | RGB J0952+656 | 0.302* | 14.90 | 25.46 ^e | 24.78 | | 2 | 2.0 | 28.0 | 23.3 | 17.3 |
| 0954+492 | MS 0950.9+4929 | 0.380 | 16.73 | 24.20^{c} | 24.06 | 0.26 | 5 | 2.1 | 26.6 | 22.4 | 16.7 |
| 0958+655 | S4 0954+65 | 0.368 | 13.06 | 25.66 ^c | 25.97 | 28.60^{f} | 4,15 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 8.0 |
| 1012+424 | RXS J1012.7+4229 | 0.364 | 20.82 | 25.83 ^{<i>a</i>} | 24.98 | | 2 | 1.9 | 28.7 | 23.9 | 17.7 |
| 1015+494 | GB 1011+496 | 0.200 | 16.41 | 25.80^{b} | 25.22 | | 16 | 2.6 | 22.5 | 19.7 | 14.9 |
| 1031+508 | 1ES 1028+511 | 0.360 | 18.16 | 25.32^{e} | 25.16 | | 3 | 3.4 | 17.0 | 16.2 | 12.7 |
| 1037+571 | RXS J1037.7+5711 | 0.302* | 14.50 | 25.43^{d} | 25.30 | | 2 | 3.7 | 15.2 | 15.1 | 12.1 |
| 1047+546 | 1ES 1044+549 | 0.473* | 12.86 | 24.68^{d} | 24.32 | | 3 | 2.0 | 27.4 | 22.9 | 17.1 |
| 1053+494 | MS 1050.7+4946 | 0.140 | 14.95 | 24.30^{c} | 24.26 | 3.12 | 5 | 2.5 | 23.3 | 20.2 | 15.3 |
| 1104+382 | MRK 421 | 0.031 | 18.20 | 24.40^{a} | 24.14 | | 7 | 2.0 | 27.7 | 23.2 | 17.2 |
| 1109+241 | 1ES 1106+244 | 0.271* | 16.70 | 24.96^{d} | 24.50 | | 3 | 2.1 | 27.4 | 22.9 | 17.1 |
| 1120+422 | EXO 1118.0+4228 | 0.124 | 17.21 | 24.10^{d} | 23.83 | | 2 | 1.8 | 30.7 | 25.2 | 18.6 |
| 1136+701 | MRK 180 | 0.046 | 18.73 | 25.16^{c} | 23.80 | 237.00 | 3 | 0.8 | 52.2 | 40.3 | 28.6 |
| 1136+676 | RXS J1136.5+6737 | 0.134 | 17.18 | 24.17^{e} | 24.23 | | 2 | 2.6 | 22.0 | 19.4 | 14.8 |
| 1149+246 | EXO 1449.9+2455 | 0.402 | 19.63 | 25.32^{d} | 24.79 | | 2 | 2.2 | 25.8 | 21.9 | 16.4 |
| 1150+242 | B2 1147+245 | 0.200 | 13.18 | 25.30^{c} | 25.79 | 50.00^{f} | 4,15 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 7.7 |
| 1151+589 | RXS J1151.4+5859 | 0.302* | 16.10 | 25.98^{b} | 25.33 | | 2 | 2.6 | 22.6 | 19.7 | 15.0 |
| 1209+413 | B3 1206+416 | 0.302* | 13.88 | 25.64 ^{<i>a</i>} | 25.95 | | 8 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 8.1 |
| 1215+075 | 1ES 1212+078 | 0.130 | 15.57 | 24.80^{d} | 24.56 | | 7 | 2.5 | 23.6 | 20.4 | 15.4 |
| 1217+301 | B2 1215+30 | 0.130 | 15.10 | 25.46^{b} | 25.24 | | 7 | 3.4 | 17.0 | 16.2 | 12.7 |
| 1220+345 | GB2 1217+348 | 0.130 | 13.97 | 25.04^{e} | 25.01 | | 2 | 3.5 | 16.5 | 15.9 | 12.5 |
| 1221+301 | PG 1218+304 | 0.182 | 18.80 | 24.86 ^e | 24.65 | | 7 | 2.6 | 22.4 | 19.6 | 14.9 |
| 1221+282 | ON 231 | 0.102 | 14.16 | 25.12^{c} | 25.43 | 41.00 | 3 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 10.8 | 9.5 |
| 1223+806 | S5 1221+80 | 0.473* | 13.74 | 26.99 ^e | 26.41 | | 8 | 4.3 | 11.8 | 13.2 | 10.9 |
| 1224+246 | MS 1221.8+2452 | 0.218 | 13.60 | 24.25^{c} | 24.38 | 1.05 | 5 | 3.0 | 19.7 | 17.9 | 13.8 |
| 1230+253 | RXS J1230.2+2517 | 0.135 | 14.40 | 25.26^{b} | 25.18 | | 2 | 3.6 | 15.8 | 15.4 | 12.3 |
| 1231+642 | MS 1229.2+6430 | 0.164 | 15.84 | 24.33^{c} | 24.43 | 2.34 | 5 | 3.0 | 19.7 | 17.9 | 13.8 |
| 1237+629 | MS 1235.4+6315 | 0.297 | 15.61 | 24.38° | 24.48 | 0.70 | 17 | 3.0 | 19.3 | 17.6 | 13.6 |
| 1241+066 | 1ES 1239+069 | 0.150 | 17.05 | 23.48° | 23.73 | 0.40 | 3 | 2.5 | 23.6 | 20.4 | 15.4 |
| 1248+583 | PG 1246+586 | 0.847 | 14.27 | 26.90^{b} | 27.17 | | 8 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 |
| 1253+530 | S4 1250+53 | 0.302* | 14.39 | 26.45^{b} | 25.89 | | 8 | 3.5 | 16.2 | 15.7 | 12.4 |
| 1257+242 | 1ES 1255+244 | 0.141 | 16.83 | 24.00^{d} | 23.51 | | 3 | 1.3 | 38.0 | 30.3 | 22.0 |
| 1310+325 | AUCVn | 0.996 | 12.61 | 26.54° | 27.74 | 5.40 | 9,15 | 27.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1322+081 | 1ES 1320+084N | 0.049 | 12.99 | 22.74^{d} | 22.81 | | 3 | 1.4 | 35.6 | 28.6 | 20.8 |
| 1341+399 | RXS J1341.0+3959 | 0.163 | 19.97 | 25.19^{a} | 24.33 | | 2 | 1.4 | 35.5 | 28.5 | 20.8 |
| 1402+159 | MC 1400+162 | 0.244 | 16.29 | 26.54^{a} | 25.41 | | 1 | 1.9 | 29.0 | 24.1 | 17.8 |
| 1404 + 040 | MS 1402.3+0416(went | 0.344 | 15.74 | 25.76^{c} | 24.80 | 11.89 | 3 | 1.6 | 32.3 | 26.4 | 19.3 |
| 1409+596 | MS 1407.9+5954 | 0.496 | 16.40 | 25.50° | 25.02 | 2.75 | 5 | 2.6 | 22.8 | 19.8 | 15.1 |
| 1415+485 | RGB J1415+485 | 0.500 | 14.13 | 25.73^{e} | 25.57 | | 2 | 4.1 | 13.1 | 14.0 | 11.4 |
| 1415+133 | PKS 1413+135 | 0.247 | 12.39 | 26.66^{a} | 26.01 | | 1 | 3.5 | 16.5 | 15.9 | 12.6 |
| 1417+257 | 2E 1415+2557 | 0.237 | 19.00 | 25.29^{d} | 24.74 | | 2 | 2.1 | 26.5 | 22.4 | 16.7 |
| 1419+543 | OQ 530 | 0.152 | 13.17 | 24.68^{c} | 25.81 | 22.00^{f} | 4,7 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 |
| 1427+238 | PKS 1424+240 | 0.160 | 15.34 | 25.69 ^a | 25.18 | | 2 | 2.7 | 21.9 | 19.3 | 14.7 |
| 1427+541 | RGB J1427+541 | 0.106 | 14.79 | 24.38 ^e | 23.80 | | 2 | 1.4 | 36.4 | 29.2 | 21.2 |
| 1428+426 | H 1426+428 | 0.129 | 18.41 | 24.43 ^e | 23.93 | | 7 | 1.6 | 33.5 | 27.2 | 19.9 |
| 1439+395 | PG 1437+398 | 0.344 | 16.56 | 25.98 ^a | 25.05 | | 2 | 1.9 | 29.4 | 24.3 | 18.0 |
| 1442+120 | 1ES 1440+122 | 0.162 | 16.20 | 24.80^{d} | 24.41 | | 3 | 2.1 | 27.3 | 22.9 | 17.0 |
| 1444+636 | MS 1443.5+6349 | 0.298 | 16.94 | 24.31 ^c | 23.93 | 0.59 | 5 | 1.7 | 31.6 | 25.9 | 19.0 |
| 1448+361 | RXS J1448.0+3608 | 0.271* | 16.43 | 25.03 ^a | 24.72 | | 2 | 2.5 | 23.1 | 20.1 | 15.2 |
| 1458+373 | ВЗ 1456+375 | 0.333 | 12.86 | 25.91 ^a | 25.93 | | 8 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 10.7 | 9.5 |
| 1501 + 226 | MS 1458.8+2249 | 0.235 | 14.69 | 24.73^{c} | 25.06 | 2.66 | 5 | 4.6 | 10.4 | 12.5 | 10.5 |

Continued...

Z. Z. Wu et al.: VLBI observation of seven BL Lac Objects, Online Material $p\ 8$

| IAU name | Source | Z. | $\log v'_{\text{peak}}$ | $\log P_{408 \text{ M}}$ | $\log P_{\rm core}$ | f _{ext} | refs. | δ | θ_3 | θ_5 | θ_{10} |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------|------|------------|------------|---------------|
| 1509+559 | SBS 1508+561 | 0.302* | 14.83 | 24.83 ^e | 24.80 | | 2 | 3.2 | 18.3 | 17.0 | 13.2 |
| 1516+293 | RXS J1516.7+2918 | 0.130 | 18.56 | 25.01^{a} | 24.13 | | 2 | 1.3 | 38.1 | 30.4 | 22.0 |
| 1517+654 | 1H 1515+660 | 0.702 | 17.82 | 25.72^{e} | 25.39 | | 2 | 3.3 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 12.9 |
| 1532+302 | RXS J1532.0+3016 | 0.064 | 16.86 | 23.87^{d} | 23.64 | | 2 | 1.7 | 32.0 | 26.1 | 19.2 |
| 1533+342 | RXS J1533.4+3416 | 0.810 | 17.89 | 25.78^{e} | 25.75 | | 2 | 4.9 | 8.9 | 11.9 | 10.1 |
| 1534+372 | RGB J1534+372 | 0.143 | 13.97 | 24.02^{e} | 23.98 | | 2 | 2.2 | 25.8 | 21.8 | 16.3 |
| 1535+533 | 1ES 1533+535 | 0.890 | 19.55 | 25.96^{d} | 25.31 | | 3 | 2.5 | 22.8 | 19.9 | 15.1 |
| 1536+016 | MS 1534.2+0148 | 0.312 | 18.67 | 25.53 ^c | 24.79 | 8.78 | 5 | 1.9 | 29.1 | 24.1 | 17.8 |
| 1540 + 819 | 1ES 1544+820 | 0.271* | 17 53 | 25.42^{e} | 24.89 | 0170 | 3 | 2.3 | 24.9 | 21.3 | 16.0 |
| 1540 + 147 | 4C 14 6 | 0.605 | 14.25 | 27.58° | 27.10 | 202.00 | 9 | 63 | 0.0 | 87 | 84 |
| 1542+614 | RXS J1542.9+6129 | 0.302* | 14.19 | 25.27^{e} | 25.36 | 202.00 | 2 | 4.4 | 11.3 | 13.0 | 10.8 |
| 1554 + 201 | MS 1552 1+2020 | 0.222 | 16.89 | 25.09° | 24 58 | 6.86 | 5 | 2.1 | 27.3 | 22.9 | 17.0 |
| 1555+111 | PG 1553+11 | 0.360 | 15.92 | 26.29^{b} | 26.11 | 0.00 | 2 | 51 | 77 | 11.4 | 99 |
| 1602 + 308 | RXS 11602 2+3050 | 0.302* | 16.27 | 25.36^{d} | 24.65 | | 2 | 1.8 | 29.6 | 24.5 | 18.1 |
| 1626+352 | RXS 11626 4+3513 | 0.302 | 15.05 | 25.30^{d} | 24.05 | ••• | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 2.5 | 23.0 | 20.0 | 15.1 |
| 1620+352 1644+457 | RXS 11644 2+4546 | 0.225 | 17.28 | 25.5° | 24.99 | ••• | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 1.9 | 28.4 | 23.7 | 17.5 |
| 1652 ± 403 | RGB 11652+403 | 0.223 | 14.80 | 23.67 24 60^d | 24.19 | ••• | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 1.9 | 29.6 | 23.7 | 18.1 |
| 1652 + 103 1653 + 397 | MRK 501 | 0.034 | 16.17 | 23.00 | 24.12 | 67.00 ^f | <u>4</u> 7 | 4.8 | 93 | 12.0 | 10.1 |
| 1704 + 716 | RXS 11704 8+7138 | 0.350 | 15.32 | 25.06^{e} | 24.31 | 07.00 | 2 | 2.5 | 23.6 | 20.4 | 15.4 |
| 1719 + 177 | PKS 1717+177 | 0.137 | 12.43 | 25.00 25.19 ^a | 25.42 | | 2 | 5.0 | 79 | 11.5 | 99 |
| 1724 + 400 | B2 1722+40 | 1 049 | 12.64 | 27.81^{a} | 26.99 | | 8 | 4 7 | 97 | 12.2 | 10.3 |
| 1725 + 118 | H 1722+119 | 0.018 | 15 75 | 23.06^{d} | 22.80 | | 2 | 1.1 | 41.6 | 32.9 | 23.6 |
| 1728 + 502 | IZw187 | 0.010 | 17.16 | 23.00^{b} | 22.00 | ••• | 10 | 1.1 | 28.9 | 24.0 | 17.8 |
| 1720+302 1739+476 | OT 465 | 0.055 | 13 34 | 27.13^{b} | 26.69 | ••• | 8 | 54 | 5 5 | 10.6 | 94 |
| 1742 + 597 | RGBI 1742+597 | 0.475 | 13.54 | 27.13 25.72 ^e | 25.09 | ••• | 2 | 37 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 12.0 |
| 1742+397 1743+195 | NPM1G ± 19.0510 | 0.400 | 17.44 | 23.72 24 40 ^c | 23.47 | 11.60 | 3 | 3.7 | 18.2 | 17.0 | 13.2 |
| 1745 + 398 | R3 1743+398B | 0.004 | 17.59 | 24.40 26 55 ^a | 25.31 | 11.00 | 2 | 17 | 31.6 | 25.9 | 19.0 |
| 1747 + 469 | B3 1746+470 | 1 484 | 13 30 | 27.26^{a} | 27.41 | | 8 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 |
| 1748 + 700 | S4 1749+70 | 0.770 | 13.80 | 26.63° | 26.91 | 45.70^{f} | 4.14 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 |
| 1749+433 | B3 1747+433 | 0.473* | 13.08 | 26.62^{a} | 26.21 | | 8 | 4.5 | 11.1 | 12.9 | 10.7 |
| 1750 + 470 | RXS J1750.0+4700 | 0.160 | 18.31 | 25.28^{a} | 23.78 | | 2 | 0.7 | 55.5 | 42.7 | 30.2 |
| 1751+096 | PKS 1749+096 | 0.322 | 11.33 | 24.92^{c} | 26.99 | 2.00 | 14 | 37.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1756+553 | RXS J1756.2+5522 | 0.271* | 19.74 | 24.72^{e} | 24.26 | | 2 | 1.8 | 29.7 | 24.6 | 18.1 |
| 1757+705 | MS 1757.7+7034 | 0.407 | 13.37 | 24.65^{c} | 24.64 | 0.62 | 5 | 3.0 | 19.3 | 17.6 | 13.6 |
| 1800+784 | S5 1803+784 | 0.680 | 13.35 | 27.57^{e} | 27.36 | | 4,15 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 6.7 |
| 1806+698 | 3C 371 | 0.051 | 14.42 | 25.87^{c} | 24.95 | 990.00 | 4,7 | 1.8 | 30.4 | 25.0 | 18.4 |
| 1808+468 | RGB J1808+468 | 0.450 | 14.34 | 25.85 ^e | 25.33 | | 2 | 2.8 | 20.8 | 18.6 | 14.3 |
| 1811+442 | RGB J1811+442 | 0.350 | 15.30 | 25.92 ^e | 24.26 | | 2 | 0.8 | 52.3 | 40.4 | 28.7 |
| 1813+317 | B2 1811+31 | 0.117 | 15.34 | 25.00^{b} | 24.37 | | 2 | 1.7 | 31.1 | 25.5 | 18.8 |
| 1824+568 | 4C 56.27 | 0.664 | 12.56 | 28.02^{c} | 26.99 | 452.00 | 9 | 4.1 | 13.2 | 14.0 | 11.4 |
| 1829+540 | RXS J1829.4+5402 | 0.302* | 15.06 | 25.73 ^e | 24.61 | | 2 | 1.3 | 37.1 | 29.7 | 21.5 |
| 1838+480 | RXS J1838.7+4802 | 0.300 | 13.24 | 25.05^{d} | 24.71 | | 2 | 2.5 | 23.6 | 20.4 | 15.4 |
| 1841+591 | RGB J1841+591 | 0.530 | 14.94 | 25.68 ^e | 24.64 | | 2 | 1.4 | 35.4 | 28.5 | 20.7 |
| 1853+672 | 1ES 1853+671 | 0.212 | 16.25 | 23.81 ^c | 24.12 | 0.40 | 3 | 3.0 | 19.5 | 17.7 | 13.7 |
| 1927+612 | S4 1926+61 | 0.473* | 12.72 | 26.62^{b} | 26.67 | | 8 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 7.3 |
| 1959+651 | 1ES 1959+650 | 0.047 | 17.33 | 23.01 ^c | 24.10 | 1.60 | 3 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 11.1 | 9.7 |
| 2005+778 | S5 2007+77 | 0.342 | 12.39 | 26.14^{c} | 26.38 | 28.90 | 9 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 7.3 |
| 2009+724 | S5 2010+72 | 0.473* | 12.94 | 27.02^{e} | 26.90 | | 8 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 7.5 |
| 2022+761 | S5 2023+76 | 0.473* | 13.54 | 26.65 ^e | 26.39 | | 13 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 10.7 | 9.5 |
| 2039+523 | 1ES 2037+521 | 0.053 | 15.60 | 22.27^{c} | 23.31 | 0.23 | 3 | 3.6 | 16.0 | 15.6 | 12.4 |
| 2134-018 | PKS 2131-021 | 1.285 | 12.04 | 27.93 ^c | 27.87 | 70.80 | 14 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 |
| 2145+073 | MS 2143.4+0704 | 0.237 | 13.61 | 25.13 ^c | 24.79 | 6.60 | 5 | 2.5 | 22.9 | 20.0 | 15.1 |
| 2152+175 | PKS 2149+17 | 0.473* | 13.00 | 26.03 ^c | 26.57 | 36.70^{f} | 4 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 |
| 2202+422 | BL LAC | 0.070 | 13.15 | 24.21 ^c | 25.73 | 40.00^{f} | 4,7 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 2250+384 | B3 2247+381 | 0.119 | 15.35 | 24.64 ^a | 24.29 | | 2 | 2.0 | 27.6 | 23.1 | 17.2 |

Continued...

| IAU name | Source | Z | $\log v_{\rm peak}^{'}$ | $\log P_{408 \text{ M}}$ | $\log P_{\rm core}$ | $f_{\rm ext}$ | refs. | δ | θ_3 | θ_5 | θ_{10} |
|----------|----------------|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------|-----|------------|------------|---------------|
| 2257+077 | PKS 2254+074 | 0.190 | 13.31 | 24.78^{c} | 25.66 | 17.00 ^f | 9,10 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 6.4 |
| 2319+161 | Q J2319+161 | 0.302* | 15.29 | 25.13^{d} | 24.58 | | 2 | 2.0 | 27.9 | 23.3 | 17.3 |
| 2322+346 | TEX 2320+343 | 0.098 | 16.68 | 24.59^{b} | 23.82 | | 2 | 1.2 | 39.4 | 31.3 | 22.6 |
| 2323+421 | 1ES 2321+419 | 0.059 | 13.05 | 23.09^{d} | 23.18 | | 11 | 1.7 | 31.5 | 25.8 | 18.9 |
| 2329+177 | 1ES 2326+174 | 0.213 | 17.84 | 24.64^{d} | 24.30 | | 3 | 2.0 | 27.4 | 23.0 | 17.1 |
| 2339+055 | MS 2336.5+0517 | 0.740 | 14.89 | 25.74^{d} | 24.88 | | 5 | 1.8 | 30.0 | 24.7 | 18.2 |
| 2347+517 | 1ES 2344+514 | 0.044 | 15.86 | 23.30° | 23.97 | 3.58 | 3 | 3.6 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 12.2 |
| 2350+196 | MS 2347.4+1924 | 0.515 | 15.72 | 24.80^{d} | 24.29 | | 5 | 1.8 | 30.0 | 24.8 | 18.3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Z. Z. Wu et al.: VLBI observation of seven BL Lac Objects, Online Material p 9

Notes: Col. 1: the source IAU name (J2000). Col. 2: the source alias name. Col. 3: the redshift. '*' indicate that the redshift is unknown, and taken as the average redshift of LBL/IBL/HBL subclass. Col. 4: the intrinsic synchrotron peak frequency after excluding the Doppler factor. Col. 5: the total radio power at 408 MHz (notes: 'a' - from 408 MHz; 'b' - from 360 MHz; 'c' - from extended flux; 'd' - from total 1.4 GHz; 'e' - from 330 MHz). Col. 6: the 5 GHz core luminosity. Col. 7: the 5 GHz extended flux density in mJy, 'f' indicates the extend flux at 1.4 GHz. Col. 8: the references for core and extended flux: (1: Liu & Zhang (2002) 2: Laurent-Muehleisen et al. (1999) 3: Perlman et al. (1996) 4: Rector & Stocke (2001) 5: Cavallotti et al. (2004) 6: Cassaro et al. (1999) 7: Giroletti et al. (2004b) 8: Taylor et al. (1996) 9: Murphy et al. (1993) 10: Laurent-Muehleisen et al. (1993) 11: Kollgaard et al. (1996b) 12: Rector et al. (2003) 13: Taylor et al. (1994) 14: Rector & Stocke (2003) 15: Cassaro et al. (2002) 16: Augusto et al. (1998) 17: Rector et al. (2000)). Col. 9: the Doppler factor. Cols. 10 - 12: the viewing angle of Γ = 3, 5 and 10, respectively.