## Embeddings of discrete groups and the speed of random walks

Assaf Naor<sup>∗</sup> Courant Institute naor@cims.nyu.edu

Yuval Peres † Microsoft Research and UC Berkeley peres@microsoft.com

#### **Abstract**

Let *G* be a group generated by a finite set *S* and equipped with the associated left-invariant word metric  $d_G$ . For a Banach space *X* let  $\alpha_X^*(G)$  (respectively  $\alpha_X^*(G)$ ) be the supremum over all  $\alpha \ge 0$  such that there exists a Lipschitz mapping (respectively an equivariant mapping)  $f : G \to X$  and  $c > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in G$  we have  $||f(x) - f(y)|| \ge c \cdot d_G(x, y)^\alpha$ . In particular, the *Hilbert compression exponent* (respectively the *equivariant Hilbert compression exponent*) of *G* is  $\alpha^*(G) \coloneqq \alpha^*_{L_2}(G)$  (respectively  $\alpha^{\#}(G) \coloneqq \alpha^{\#}_{L_2}(G)$ ). We show that if *X* has modulus of smoothness of power type *p*, then  $\alpha^{\#}_{X}(G) \leq \frac{1}{p\beta^*(G)}$ . Here  $\beta^*(G)$  is the largest  $\beta \ge 0$  for which there exists a set of generators *S* of *G* and  $c > 0$  such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\mathbb{E}[d_G(W_t, e)] \ge ct^{\beta}$ , where  $\{W_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  is the canonical simple random walk on the Cayley graph of *G* determined by *S*, starting at the identity element. This result is sharp when  $X = L_p$ , generalizes a theorem of Guentner and Kaminker [\[20\]](#page-22-0), and answers a question posed by Tessera [\[37\]](#page-23-0). We also show that if  $\alpha^*(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  then  $\alpha^*(G \wr \mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{2\alpha^*(G)}{2\alpha^*(G)+1}$  $\frac{2a^{\nu}(\mathbf{G})}{2a^*(\mathbf{G})+1}$ . This improves the previous bound due to Stalder and Valette [\[36\]](#page-23-1). We deduce that if we write  $\mathbb{Z}_{(1)} := \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{(k+1)} := \mathbb{Z}_{(k)} \wr \mathbb{Z}$  then  $\alpha^*(\mathbb{Z}_{(k)}) = \frac{1}{2^{-2^{1-k}}}$ , and use this result to answer a question posed by Tessera in [\[37\]](#page-23-0) on the relation between the Hilbert compression exponent and the isoperimetric profile of the balls in *G*. We also show that the cyclic lamplighter groups  $C_2 \wr C_n$  embed into  $L_1$  with uniformly bounded distortion, answering a question posed by Lee, Naor and Peres in [\[26\]](#page-22-1). Finally, we use these results to show that edge Markov type need not imply Enflo type.

#### **1 Introduction**

Let *G* be a finitely generated group<sup>[1](#page-0-0)</sup>. Fix a finite set of generators  $S \subseteq G$ , which we will always assume to be symmetric (i.e.  $s \in S \iff s^{-1} \in S$ ). Let  $d_G$  be the left-invariant word metric induced by *S* on *G*. Given a Banach space *X* let  $\alpha_X^*(G)$  denote the supremum over all  $\alpha \geq 0$  such that there exists a Lipschitz mapping  $f : G \to X$  and  $c > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in G$  we have  $||f(x) - f(y)|| \ge c \cdot d_G(x, y)^\alpha$ . For  $p \ge 1$  we write  $\alpha_{L_p}^*(G) = \alpha_p^*(G)$  and when  $p = 2$  we write  $\alpha_2^*(G) = \alpha^*(G)$ . The parameter  $\alpha^*(G)$  is called the *Hilbert compression exponent* of *G*. This quasi-isometric group invariant was introduced by Guentner and Kaminker in [\[20\]](#page-22-0). We refer to the papers [\[20,](#page-22-0) [11,](#page-21-0) [3,](#page-21-1) [14,](#page-22-2) [37,](#page-23-0) [2,](#page-21-2) [36,](#page-23-1) [13\]](#page-22-3) and the references therein for background on this topic and several interesting applications.

Analogously to the above definition, one can consider the *equivariant compression exponent*  $\alpha_X^{\#}(G)$ , which is defined exactly as  $\alpha_X^*(G)$  with the additional requirement that the embedding  $f : G \to X$  is equivariant

<sup>∗</sup>Research supported in part by NSF grants CCF-0635078 and DMS-0528387.

<sup>†</sup>Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0605166.

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In this paper all groups are assumed to be infinite unless stated otherwise.

(see Section [2](#page-4-0) for the definition). As above, we introduce the notation  $\alpha_p^{\#}(G) = \alpha_{L_p}^{\#}(G)$  and  $\alpha^{\#}(G) = \alpha_2^{\#}(G)$ . Clearly  $\alpha_X^*(G) \leq \alpha_X^*(G)$ . In the Hilbertian case, when *G* is amenable we have  $\alpha^*(G) = \alpha^*(G)$ . This was proved by by Aharoni, Maurey and Mityagin [\[1\]](#page-21-3) (see also Chapter 8 in [\[9\]](#page-21-4)) when *G* is Abelian, and by Gromov for general amenable groups (see [\[14\]](#page-22-2)).

The modulus of uniform smoothness of a Banach space *X* is defined for  $\tau > 0$  as

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\rho_X(\tau) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x + \tau y\| + \|x - \tau y\|}{2} - 1 : x, y \in X, \|x\| = \|y\| = 1 \right\}.
$$
 (1)

*X* is said to be uniformly smooth if  $\lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{\rho_X(\tau)}{\tau}$  $\frac{f_1(\tau)}{\tau} = 0$ . Furthermore, *X* is said to have modulus of smoothness of power type *p* if there exists a constant *K* such that  $\rho_X(\tau) \leq K\tau^p$  for all  $\tau > 0$ . It is straightforward to check that in this case necessarily  $p \leq 2$ . A deep theorem of Pisier [\[31\]](#page-23-2) states that if *X* is uniformly smooth then there exists some  $1 < p \le 2$  such that *X* admits an equivalent norm which has modulus of smoothness of power type *p*. For concreteness we note that  $L_p$  has modulus of smoothness of power type min{ $p$ , 2}. See Section [2](#page-4-0) for more information on this topic.

Define  $\beta^*(G)$  to be the supremum over all  $\beta \ge 0$  for which there exists a symmetric set of generators *S* of *G* and  $c > 0$  such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
\mathbb{E}[d_G(W_t, e)] \ge ct^{\beta},\tag{2}
$$

where here, and in what follows,  ${W_t}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  is the canonical simple random walk on the Cayley graph of *G* determined by *S* , starting at the identity element *e*. In [\[4\]](#page-21-5) Austin, Naor and Peres used the method of *Markov type* to show that if *G* is amenable and *X* has modulus of smoothness of power type *p* then

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\alpha_X^*(G) \le \frac{1}{p\beta^*(G)}.\tag{3}
$$

Our first result, which is proved in Section [2,](#page-4-0) establishes the same bound as [\(3\)](#page-1-0) for the equivariant compression exponent  $\alpha_X^{\#}(G)$ , even when *G* is not necessarily amenable.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** *Let X be a Banach space which has modulus of smoothness of power type p. Then*

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\alpha_X^{\#}(G) \le \frac{1}{p\beta^*(G)}.\tag{4}
$$

Since when *G* is amenable  $\alpha^*(G) = \alpha^*(G)$ , Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) is a generalization of [\(3\)](#page-1-0) when  $X = L_2$ .

A theorem of Guentner and Kaminker [\[20\]](#page-22-0) states that if  $\alpha^{\#}(G) > \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  then *G* is amenable. Since for a nonamenable group *G* we have  $\beta^*(G) = 1$  (see [\[25,](#page-22-4) [43\]](#page-23-3)), Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) implies the Guentner-Kaminker theorem, while generalizing it to non-Hilbertian targets (when the target space *X* is a Hilbert space our method yields a very simple new proof of the Guentner-Kaminker theorem—see Remark [2.6\)](#page-8-0). Note that both known proofs of the Guentner-Kaminker theorem, namely the original proof in [\[20\]](#page-22-0) and the new proof discovered by de Cornulier, Tessera and Valette in [\[14\]](#page-22-2), rely crucially on the fact that *X* is a Hilbert space. It follows in particular from Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) that for  $2 \le p < \infty$ , if  $\alpha_p^*(G) > \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  then *G* is amenable. This is sharp, since in Section [2](#page-4-0) we show that for the free group on two generators  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , for every  $2 \le p < \infty$  we have  $\alpha_p^{\#}(\mathbb{F}_2) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ . This answers a question posed by Tessera (see Question 1.6 in [\[37\]](#page-23-0)).

Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) isolates a geometric property (uniform smoothness) of the target space *X* which lies at the heart of the phenomenon discovered by Guentner and Kaminker. Our proof is a modification of the martingale method developed by Naor, Peres, Schramm and Sheffield in [\[28\]](#page-22-5) for estimating the speed of stationary reversible Markov chains in uniformly smooth Banach spaces. This method requires several adaptations in the present setting since the random walk  ${W_t}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  is not stationary—we refer to Section [2](#page-4-0) for the details.

Given two groups *G* and *H*, the wreath product *G*  $\wr$  *H* is the group of all pairs  $(f, x)$  where  $f : H \to G$  has finite support (i.e.  $f(z) = e_G$  for all but finitely many  $z \in H$ ) and  $x \in H$ , equipped with the product

$$
(f,x)(g,y) \coloneqq \left(z \mapsto f(z)g(x^{-1}z), xy\right).
$$

If *G* is generated by the set *S*  $\subseteq$  *G* and *H* is generated by the set *T*  $\subseteq$  *H* then *G*  $\wr$  *H* is generated by the set  $\{(e_GH, t): t \in T\} \cup \{(\delta_s, e_H): s \in S\}$ . Unless otherwise stated we will always assume that  $G \wr H$  is equipped with the word metric associated with this canonical set of generators (although in most cases our assertions will be independent of the choice of generators).

The behavior of the Hilbert compression exponent under wreath products was investigated in [\[3,](#page-21-1) [37,](#page-23-0) [36,](#page-23-1) [4\]](#page-21-5). In particular, Stalder and Valette proved in [\[36\]](#page-23-1) that

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\alpha^*(G \wr \mathbb{Z}) \ge \frac{\alpha^*(G)}{\alpha^*(G) + 1}.\tag{5}
$$

Here we obtain the following improvement of this bound:

<span id="page-2-3"></span>**Theorem 1.2.** *For every finitely generated group we have,*

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\alpha^*(G) \ge \frac{1}{2} \implies \alpha^*(G \wr \mathbb{Z}) \ge \frac{2\alpha^*(G)}{2\alpha^*(G) + 1},\tag{6}
$$

*and*

<span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
\alpha^*(G) \le \frac{1}{2} \implies \alpha^*(G \wr \mathbb{Z}) = \alpha^*(G). \tag{7}
$$

We refer to Theorem [3.3](#page-10-0) for an analogous bound for  $\alpha_p(G \wr \mathbb{Z})$ , as well as a more general estimate for  $\alpha_p(G \wr H)$ . In addition to improving [\(5\)](#page-2-0), we will see below instances in which [\(6\)](#page-2-1) is actually an equality. In fact, we conjecture that [\(6\)](#page-2-1) holds as an equality for every amenable group *G*.

Ershler [\[17\]](#page-22-6) (see also [\[34\]](#page-23-4)) proved that  $\beta^*(G \wr \mathbb{Z}) \ge \frac{1+\beta^*(G)}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ . More generally, in Section [6](#page-16-0) we show that

<span id="page-2-6"></span>
$$
\beta^*(G \wr H) \ge \begin{cases} \frac{1+\beta^*(G)}{2} & \text{if } H \text{ has linear growth,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
 (8)

Since if *G* is amenable then  $G \wr \mathbb{Z}$  is also amenable (see e.g. [\[30,](#page-23-5) [24\]](#page-22-7)) it follows that for an amenable group *G*,

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\alpha^*(G \wr \mathbb{Z}) \le \frac{1}{1 + \beta^*(G)}.\tag{9}
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Corollary 1.3.** *If G is amenable and*  $\alpha^*(G) = \frac{1}{2R^*}$  $\frac{1}{2\beta^*(G)}$  then

$$
\alpha^*(G \wr \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{1}{2\beta^*(G \wr \mathbb{Z})} = \frac{2\alpha^*(G)}{2\alpha^*(G) + 1}.
$$

*In particular, if we define iteratively*  $G_{(1)} \coloneqq G$  *and*  $G_{(k+1)} \coloneqq G_{(k)} \wr \mathbb{Z}$ *, then for all*  $k \geq 1$ *,* 

$$
\alpha^*(G_{(k)}) = \frac{2^{k-1}\alpha^*(G)}{(2^k-2)\alpha^*(G)+1}.
$$

Corollary [1.3](#page-2-2) follows immediately from Theorem [1.2](#page-2-3) and the bound [\(9\)](#page-2-4). Additional results along these lines are obtained in Section [4;](#page-12-0) for example (see Remark [3.4\)](#page-11-0) we deduce that  $\alpha^* (\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}^2) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

For  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $J(r)$  be the smallest constant  $J > 0$  such that for every  $f : G \to \mathbb{R}$  which vanishes outside the **ball** *B*(*e*,*r*)  $:= \{x \in G : d_G(x, e) \le r\}$ , we have

$$
\left(\sum_{x \in G} f(x)^2\right)^{1/2} \le J \cdot \left(\sum_{x \in G} \sum_{s \in S} |f(sx) - f(x)|^2\right)^{1/2}.
$$

Let  $a^*(G)$  be the supremum over all  $a \ge 0$  for which there exists  $c > 0$  such that for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $J(r) \geq cr^a$ . Tessera proved in [\[37\]](#page-23-0) that  $\alpha^*(G) \geq a^*(G)$  and asked if it is true that  $\alpha^*(G) = a^*(G)$  for every amenable group *G* (see Question 1.4 in [\[37\]](#page-23-0)). Corollary [1.3](#page-2-2) implies that the answer to this question is negative. Indeed, Corollary [1.3](#page-2-2) implies that the amenable group ( $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$ ) ≀  $\mathbb{Z}$  satisfies

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\alpha^*(\left(\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z}\right)\wr\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{4}{7} \quad \text{yet} \quad \alpha^*(\left(\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z}\right)\wr\mathbb{Z}) \le \frac{1}{2}.\tag{10}
$$

In fact, the ratio  $a^*(G)/a^*(G)$  can be arbitrarily small, since if we denote  $\mathbb{Z}_{(1)} \coloneq \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{(k+1)} \coloneq \mathbb{Z}_{(k)} \wr \mathbb{Z}$  then for  $k \geq 2$ ,

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\alpha^*(\mathbb{Z}_{(k)}) = \frac{1}{2 - 2^{1-k}} \quad \text{yet} \quad \mathbf{a}^*(\mathbb{Z}_{(k)}) \le \frac{1}{k-1}.\tag{11}
$$

To prove [\(11\)](#page-3-0), and hence also its special case [\(10\)](#page-3-1), note that the assertion in [\(11\)](#page-3-0) about  $\alpha^*(\mathbb{Z}_{(k)})$  is a conse-quence of Corollary [1.3.](#page-2-2) To prove the upper bound on  $a^*(\mathbb{Z}_{(k)})$  in [\(11\)](#page-3-0) we note that if *G* is a finitely generated group such that the probability of return of the standard random walk  $\{W_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  satisfies

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}[W_t = e] \le \exp(-Ct^{\gamma})
$$
\n(12)

for some  $C, \gamma \in (0, 1)$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
a^*(G) \le \frac{1-\gamma}{2\gamma}.\tag{13}
$$

This implies [\(11\)](#page-3-0) since Pittet and Saloff-Coste [\[32\]](#page-23-6) proved that for all  $k \ge 2$  there exists  $c, C > 0$  such that for  $G = \mathbb{Z}_{(k)}$  we have for all  $t \geq 1$ 

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
\exp\left(-Ct^{\frac{k-1}{k+1}}(\log t)^{\frac{2}{k+1}}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left[W_t = e\right] \leq \exp\left(-ct^{\frac{k-1}{k+1}}(\log t)^{\frac{2}{k+1}}\right). \tag{14}
$$

The bound [\(13\)](#page-3-2) is essentially known. Indeed, assume that  $J(r) \geq c r^a$  for every  $r \geq 1$ . Following the notation of Coulhon [\[12\]](#page-22-8), for  $v \ge 1$  let  $\Lambda(v)$  denote the largest constant  $\Lambda \ge 0$  such that for all  $\Omega \subseteq G$  with  $|\Omega| \le v$ , every  $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$  which vanishes outside  $\Omega$  satisfies

$$
\Lambda \cdot \sum_{x \in G} f(x)^2 \le \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{s \in S} |f(sx) - f(x)|^2.
$$

Since for  $r \ge 2$  we have  $|B(e, r)| \le |S|^r$ , it follows immediately from the definitions that  $J(r)^2 \le \frac{1}{\Lambda(|S|^r)}$ . Theorem 7.1 in [\[12\]](#page-22-8) implies that there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that if  $e^{Kt^{\gamma}} \ge |S|$  then,

$$
t \geq \int_{|S|}^{e^{Kt^{\gamma}}} \frac{dv}{v\Lambda(v)} = \int_{1}^{\frac{Kt^{\gamma}}{\log|S|}} \frac{\log|S|}{\Lambda(|S|^r)} dr \geq \log|S| \int_{1}^{\frac{Kt^{\gamma}}{\log|S|}} J(r)^2 dr
$$

$$
\geq c^2 \log|S| \int_{1}^{\frac{Kt^{\gamma}}{\log|S|}} r^{2a} dr = \frac{c^2 \log|S|}{(2a+1)} \left( \left( \frac{Kt^{\gamma}}{\log|S|} \right)^{2a+1} - 1 \right).
$$

Letting  $t \to \infty$  it follows that  $(2a + 1)\gamma \le 1$ , implying [\(13\)](#page-3-2).

**Remark 1.4.** In [\[37\]](#page-23-0) Tessera asserted that if the opposite inequality to [\(12\)](#page-3-3) holds true, i.e. if we have  $\mathbb{P}[W_t = e] \ge \exp(-Kt^{\gamma})$  for some  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ ,  $K > 0$ , and every  $t \ge 1$ , then  $a^*(G) \ge 1 - \gamma$ . Unfortunately, this claim is false in general.<sup>[2](#page-4-1)</sup> Indeed, if it were true, then using  $(14)$  we would deduce that

$$
a^*\big(\big((\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z})\wr\mathbb{Z}\big)\wr\mathbb{Z}\big)=a^*(\mathbb{Z}_{(4)})\geq\frac{2}{5}\,
$$

but from [\(11\)](#page-3-0) we know that  $a^*(\mathbb{Z}_{(4)}) \leq \frac{1}{3}$  $\frac{1}{3}$ . On inspection of the proof of Proposition 7.2 in [\[37\]](#page-23-0) we see that the argument given there actually yields the lower bound  $a^*(G) \geq \frac{1-\gamma}{2}$  (note the squares in the first equation of the proof of Proposition 7.2 in [<http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0603138v3>]). Thus, the original argument presented in [\[37\]](#page-23-0) to establish the lower bound  $a^*(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$  only proves that  $a^*(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}) \ge \frac{1}{3}$  $\frac{1}{3}$ . Nevertheless, the lower bound of  $\frac{2}{3}$ , which was used crucially in [\[4\]](#page-21-5), is correct, as follows from our Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-3) After the present paper was posted and sent to Tessera, he replaced the original argument in [\[37\]](#page-23-0) for the lower bound  $\alpha^*(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$  by a correct argument, along the same lines as our proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-3)

In Section [4](#page-12-0) we show that the cyclic lamplighter group  $C_2 \wr C_n$  admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into  $L_1$ with distortion independent of *n* (here, and in what follows  $C_n$  denotes the cyclic group of order *n*). This answers a question posed in [\[26\]](#page-22-1) and in [\[5\]](#page-21-6). In Section [5](#page-14-0) we use the notion of Hilbert space compression to show that  $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$  has edge Markov type *p* for any  $p < \frac{4}{3}$  $\frac{4}{3}$ , but it does not have Enflo type *p* for any  $p > 1$ . We refer to Section [5](#page-14-0) for the relevant definitions. This result shows that there is no metric analogue of the well known Banach space phenomenon "equal norm Rademacher type p implies Rademacher p' for every  $p' < p''$  (see [\[38\]](#page-23-7)). Finally, in Section [7](#page-19-0) we present several open problems that arise from our work.

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**2 Equivariant compression and random walks**

In what follows we will use  $\times$  and  $\leq$ ,  $\geq$  to denote, respectively, equality or the corresponding inequality up to some positive multiplicative constant.

Let *X* be a Banach space. We denote the group of linear isometric automorphisms of *X* by Isom(*X*). Fix a homomorphism  $\pi$  :  $G \to \text{Isom}(X)$ , i.e. an action of *G* on *X* by linear isometries. A function  $f : G \to X$  is called a 1-cocycle with respect to  $\pi$  if for every  $x, y \in G$  we have  $f(xy) = \pi(x)f(y) + f(x)$ . The space of all 1-cocycles with respect to  $\pi$  is denoted  $Z^1(G, \pi)$ . Equivalently,  $f \in Z^1(G, \pi)$  if and only if  $v \mapsto \pi(x)v + f(x)$ is an action of *G* on *X* by affine isometries. A function  $f : G \to X$  is called a 1-cocycle if there exists a

<span id="page-4-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This remark concerns the version <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0603138v3> of [\[37\]](#page-23-0); after we informed the author of this mistake, it was corrected in later versions of [\[37\]](#page-23-0) .

homomorphism  $\pi : G \to \text{Isom}(X)$  such that  $f \in Z^1(G, \pi)$ . A mapping  $\psi : G \to X$  is called equivariant if it is given by the orbit of a vector  $v \in X$  under an affine isometric action of *G* on *X*, or equivalently  $\psi(x) = \pi(x)v + f(x)$  for some homomorphism  $\pi : G \to \text{Isom}(X)$  and  $f \in Z^1(G, \pi)$ . Note that since the function  $x \mapsto \pi(x)y$  is bounded, the compression exponents of  $\psi$  and  $f$  coincide. Therefore in order to bound the equivariant compression exponent of *G* in *X* it suffices to study the growth rate of 1-cocycles.

Recall the definition [\(1\)](#page-1-2) of the modulus of uniform smoothness  $\rho_X(\tau)$ , and that *X* is said to have modulus of smoothness of power type *p* if there exists a constant *K* such that  $\rho_X(\tau) \leq K\tau^p$  for all  $\tau > 0$ . By Proposition 7 in [\[8\]](#page-21-7), *X* has modulus of smoothness of power type *p* if and only if there exists a constant *S* > 0 such that for every  $x, y \in X$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
||x + y||^{p} + ||x - y||^{p} \le 2 ||x||^{p} + 2 S^{p} ||y||^{p}.
$$
\n(15)

The infimum over all *S* for which [\(15\)](#page-5-0) holds is called the *p*-smoothness constant of *X*, and is denoted *S*  $_p(X)$ .

It was shown in [\[8\]](#page-21-7) (see also [\[18\]](#page-22-9)) that  $S_2(L_p) \le \sqrt{p-1}$  for  $2 \le p < \infty$  and  $S_p(L_p) \le 1$  for  $1 \le p \le 2$  (the order of magnitude of these constants was first calculated in [\[21\]](#page-22-10)).

Our proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) is based on the following inequality, which is of independent interest. Its proof is a modification of the method that was used in [\[28\]](#page-22-5) to study the Markov type of uniformly smooth Banach spaces.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Theorem 2.1.** *Let X be a Banach space with modulus of smoothness of power type p, and assume that*  $f: G \to X$  *is a* 1*-cocycle. Then for every time t*  $\in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_t)\|^p\right] \leq C_p(X)t \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_1)\|^p\right],
$$

*where*  $C_p(X) = \frac{2^{2p}S_p(X)^p}{2^{p-1}-1}$  $\frac{p(X)^p}{2^{p-1}-1}$ 

Theorem [2.1](#page-5-1) shows that images of  ${W_t}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  under 1-cocycles satisfy an inequality similar to the Markov type inequality (note that  $f(W_0) = f(e) = f(e \cdot e) = \pi(e)f(e) + f(e) = 2f(e)$ , whence  $f(e) = 0$ ). We stress that one cannot apply Markov type directly in this case because of the lack of stationarity of the Markov chain  ${f(W_t)}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ . We overcome this problem by crucially using the fact that *f* is a 1-cocycle.

Before proving Theorem [2.1](#page-5-1) we show how it implies Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1)

*Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1)* Observe that [\(4\)](#page-1-3) is trivial if  $\alpha_X^{\#}(G) \leq \frac{1}{p}$  $\frac{1}{p}$  (since  $\beta^*(G) \leq 1$ ). So, we may assume that  $\alpha^{\#}(G) > \frac{1}{n}$  $\frac{1}{p}$ . Fix  $\frac{1}{p} \le \alpha < \alpha_X^{\#}(G)$  and  $0 < \beta < \beta^*(G)$ . Then there exists a 1-cocycle  $f : G \to X$  satisfying

$$
x, y \in G \implies d_G(x, y)^{\alpha} \le ||f(x) - f(y)|| \le d_G(x, y).
$$

In addition we know that  $\mathbb{E}[d_G(W_t, e)] \ge t^{\beta}$ . An application of Theorem [2.1](#page-5-1) yields

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_t)\|^p\right] \lesssim t \mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_1)\|^p\right] = t \mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_1) - f(e)\|^p\right] \lesssim t \mathbb{E}\left[d_G(W_1, e)^p\right] = t. \tag{16}
$$

On the other hand, since  $p\alpha \geq 1$  we may use Jensen's inequality to deduce that

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_t)\|^p\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_t) - f(e)\|^p\right] \gtrsim \mathbb{E}\left[d_G(W_t, e)^{p\alpha}\right] \ge \left(\mathbb{E}\left[d_G(W_t, e)\right]\right)^{p\alpha} \gtrsim t^{p\alpha\beta}.\tag{17}
$$

Combining [\(16\)](#page-5-2) and [\(17\)](#page-5-3), and letting  $t \to \infty$ , implies that  $p\alpha\beta \le 1$ , as required.

**Remark 2.2.** Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) is optimal for the class of  $L_p$  spaces. Indeed let  $\mathbb{F}_2$  denote the free group on two generators. We claim that for every  $p \geq 1$ ,

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\alpha_p^{\#}(\mathbb{F}_2) = \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{p}\right\}.
$$
\n(18)

Observe that since (trivially)  $\beta^*(\mathbb{F}_2) = 1$ , Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) implies that  $\alpha_p^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \le \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{p}$  $\frac{1}{p}$ . In the reverse direction Guentner and Kaminker [\[20\]](#page-22-0) gave a simple construction of an equivariant mapping  $f : \mathbb{F}_2 \to L_p$ satisfying  $||f(x) - f(y)||_p \ge d_{\mathbb{F}_2}(x, y)^{1/p}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2$ . This implies [\(18\)](#page-6-0) for  $1 \le p \le 2$ . The case  $p \ge 2$ follows from Lemma [2.3](#page-6-1) below.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Lemma 2.3.** *For every finitely generated group G and every*  $p \geq 1$  *we have*  $\alpha_p^{\#}(G) \geq \alpha_2^{\#}(G)$ *.* 

*Proof.* In what follows we denote the standard orthonormal basis of  $\ell_2(\mathbb{C})$  by  $(e_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ . Let  $\gamma$  denote the standard Gaussian measure on  $\mathbb C$ . Consider the countable product  $\Omega := \mathbb C^{N_0}$ , equipped with the product measure  $\mu := \gamma^{N_0}$ . Let *H* denote the subspace of  $L_2(\Omega, \mu)$  consisting of all linear functions. Thus, if we consider the coordinate functions  $g_j : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  given by  $g(z_1, z_2, \ldots) = z_j$  then *H* is the space of all functions  $h: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  of the form  $h = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j g_j$ , where the sequence  $(a_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  satisfies  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j|^2 < \infty$ , i.e.  $(a_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Note that we are using here the standard probabilistic fact | everywhere, and has the same distribution as  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i|^2\right)^{1/2} g_1$  (since  $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  are i.i.d. standard complex

| Gaussian random variables). This fact also implies that for every unitary operator  $U: \ell_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \ell_2(\mathbb{C})$ ,

$$
Uz \coloneqq \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle U e_k, e_j \rangle z_j\right)_{k=1}^\infty \in \Omega,
$$

is well defined for almost  $z \in \Omega$ , and therefore U can be thought of as a measure preserving automorphism  $U : \Omega \to \Omega$  (we are slightly abusing notation here, but this will not create any confusion).

Fix a unitary representation  $\pi$  :  $G \to \text{Isom}(\ell_2(\mathbb{C}))$  and a cocycle  $f \in Z^1(G, \pi)$  which satisfies

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
x, y \in G \implies d_G(x, y)^{\alpha} \le ||f(x) - f(y)||_{\ell_2(\mathbb{C})} \le d_G(x, y). \tag{19}
$$

For  $x \in G$  and  $h \in L_p(\Omega, \mu)$  define  $\overline{\pi}(x)h \in L_p(\Omega, \mu)$  by  $\overline{\pi}(x)h(z) = h(\pi(x)z)$ . By the above reasoning, since  $\pi(x)$  is a measure preserving automorphism of  $(\Omega, \mu)$ ,  $\tilde{\pi}(x)$  is a linear isometry of  $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ , and hence  $\tilde{\pi}: G \to \text{Isom}(L_p(\Omega, \mu))$  is a homomorphism. Note that since all the elements of *H* have a Gaussian distribution, all of their moments are finite. Hence  $H \subseteq L_p(\Omega, \pi)$ . We can therefore define  $\widetilde{f}: G \to L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ by  $\widetilde{f}(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f(x), e_j \rangle g_j \in H \subseteq L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ . It is immediate to check that  $\widetilde{f} \in Z^1(G, \overline{\pi})$  and that for every  $x, y \in G$  we have  $\left\| \widetilde{f}(x) - \widetilde{f}(y) \right\|_{L_p(\Omega,\mu)} = \|g_1\|_{L_p(\Omega,\mu)} \cdot \|f(x) - f(y)\|_{\ell_2(\mathbb{C})}.$  Hence  $\widetilde{f}$  satisfies [\(19\)](#page-6-2) as well.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.4.** Lemma [2.3](#page-6-1) actually establishes the following fact: there exists a measure space  $(\Omega, \mu)$  and a subspace  $H \subseteq \bigcap_{p \geq 1} L_p(\Omega, \mu)$  which is closed in  $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$  for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and such that the  $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ norm restricted to *H* is proportional to the  $L_2(\Omega, \mu)$  norm. For any group *G*, any unitary representation  $\pi : G \to \text{Isom}(H)$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $\tilde{\pi} : G \to \text{Isom}(L_p(\Omega, \mu))$ . The space *H* is widely used in Banach space theory, and is known as the *Gaussian Hilbert space*. The above corollary about the extension of group actions was previously noted in [\[6\]](#page-21-8) under the additional restriction that  $1 < p \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$ , as a simple corollary of an abstract extension theorem due to Hardin [\[22\]](#page-22-12) (alternatively this is also a corollary of

the classical Plotkin-Rudin theorem [\[33,](#page-23-8) [35\]](#page-23-9)). Lemma [2.3](#page-6-1) shows that no restriction on *p* is necessary, while the theorem of Hardin used in [\[6\]](#page-21-8) does require the above restriction on *p*. The key point here is the use of the particular subspace  $H \subseteq L_p(\Omega, \mu)$  for which unitary operators have a simple explicit extension to a linear isometric automorphism of  $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$  for any  $1 \le p < \infty$ . isometric automorphism of  $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$  for any  $1 \le p < \infty$ .

We shall now pass to the proof of Theorem [2.1.](#page-5-1) We will use uniform smoothness via the following famous inequality due to Pisier [\[31\]](#page-23-2) (for the explicit constant below see Theorem 4.2 in [\[28\]](#page-22-5)).

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Theorem 2.5** (Pisier). *Fix*  $1 < p \le 2$  *and let*  $\{M_k\}_{k=0}^n \subseteq X$  *be a martingale in X. Then* 

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\|M_n - M_0\|^p\right] \le \frac{S_p(X)^p}{2^{p-1} - 1} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\|M_{k+1} - M_k\|^p\right]
$$

*Proof of Theorem [2.1.](#page-5-1)* By assumption  $f(x) \in Z^1(G,\pi)$  for some homomorphism  $\pi : G \to \text{Isom}(X)$ . Let  ${\lbrace \sigma_k \rbrace}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be i.i.d. random variables uniformly distributed over *S*. Then for  $t \ge 1$  *W<sub>t</sub>* has the same distribution as the random product  $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_t$ .

For every  $t \geq 1$  the following identity holds true:

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
2f(W_t) = \sum_{j=1}^t \pi\left(W_{j-1}\right) f\left(\sigma_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^t \pi\left(W_j\right) f\left(\sigma_j^{-1}\right). \tag{20}
$$

.

We shall prove [\(20\)](#page-7-0) by induction on *t*. Note that every  $x \in G$  satisfies  $0 = f(e) = f(x^{-1} \cdot x) = \pi(x)^{-1} f(x) +$  $f(x^{-1})$ , i.e.  $f(x) = -\pi(x)f(x^{-1})$ . This implies [\(20\)](#page-7-0) when  $t = 1$ . Hence, assuming the validity of [\(20\)](#page-7-0) for *t* we can use the identity  $2f(xy) = 2f(x) + \pi(x)f(y) - \pi(xy)f(y^{-1})$  to deduce that

$$
2f(W_{t+1}) = 2f(W_t \sigma_{t+1})
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2f(W_t) + \pi(W_t)f(\sigma_{t+1}) - \pi(W_{t+1})f(\sigma_{t+1}^{-1})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^t \pi(W_{j-1})f(\sigma_j) - \sum_{j=1}^t \pi(W_j)f(\sigma_j^{-1}) + \pi(W_t)f(\sigma_{t+1}) - \pi(W_{t+1})f(\sigma_{t+1}^{-1})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{t+1} \pi(W_{j-1})f(\sigma_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{t+1} \pi(W_j)f(\sigma_j^{-1}),
$$

proving [\(20\)](#page-7-0).

Define

$$
M_t \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^t \pi\big(W_{j-1}\big)\big(f\big(\sigma_j\big)-v\big)=\sum_{j=1}^t \pi\big(\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_{j-1}\big)\big(f\big(\sigma_j\big)-v\big),
$$

and

$$
N_t := \sum_{j=1}^t \pi \left( W_t^{-1} W_j \right) \left( f \left( \sigma_j^{-1} \right) - \nu \right) = \sum_{j=1}^t \pi \left( \sigma_t^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{j+1}^{-1} \right) \left( f \left( \sigma_j^{-1} \right) - \nu \right),
$$

where  $v := \mathbb{E}[f(W_1)] \in X$ . Note that since *S* is symmetric,  $\sigma_j^{-1}$  has the same distribution as  $\sigma_j$ , and therefore  $N_t$  has the same distribution as  $M_t$ . Moreover, [\(20\)](#page-7-0) implies that  $2f(W_t) = M_t - \pi(W_t)N_t - \nu + \pi(W_t)\nu$ . Since  $\pi(W_t)$  is an isometry, we deduce that

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
2^{p} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| f(W_{t}) \right\|^{p} \right] \leq 4^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| M_{t} \right\|^{p} \right] + 4^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| N_{t} \right\|^{p} \right] + 2 \cdot 4^{p-1} \left\| v \right\|^{p}
$$
  
= 2 \cdot 4^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| M\_{t} \right\|^{p} \right] + 2 \cdot 4^{p-1} \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[ f(W\_{1}) \right] \right\|^{p} \leq 2 \cdot 4^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| M\_{t} \right\|^{p} \right] + 2 \cdot 4^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| f(W\_{1}) \right\|^{p} \right]. \tag{21}

Note that for every  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[M_t\big|\,\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_{t-1}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^t \pi\big(\sigma_0\cdots\sigma_{j-1}\big)\big(f\big(\sigma_j\big)-v\big)\,\big|\,\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_{t-1}\right] \\ = M_{t-1} + \pi\big(\sigma_0\cdots\sigma_{t-1}\big)\big(\mathbb{E}\left[f\big(\sigma_j\big)\right]-v\big) = M_{t-1},
$$

Hence  $\{M_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration induced by  $\{\sigma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ . By theorem [2.5,](#page-7-1)

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\|M_t\|^p\right] \le \frac{S_p(X)^p}{2^{p-1}-1} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\|M_{k+1} - M_k\|^p\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\|f(\sigma_k) - v\|^p\right] \\
\le \frac{S_p(X)^p}{2^{p-1}-1} \cdot t2^{p-1} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_1)\|^p\right] + \|v\|^p\right) \le \frac{2^p S_p(X)^p}{2^{p-1}-1} \cdot t\mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_1)\|^p\right].
$$
 (22)

Combining [\(21\)](#page-8-1) and [\(22\)](#page-8-2) completes the proof of Theorem [2.1.](#page-5-1)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Remark 2.6.** When the target space *X* is Hilbert space one can prove Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) via the following simpler argument. Using the notation in the proof of Theorem [2.1](#page-5-1) we see that for each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  the random variables  $W_t^{-1} = \sigma_t^{-1} \cdots \sigma_1^{-1}$  and  $W_t^{-1} W_{2t} = \sigma_{t+1} \cdots \sigma_{2t}$  are independent and have the same distribution as  $W_t$ . Therefore  $Y_1 := f(W_t^{-1})$  and  $Y_2 := f(W_t^{-1}W_{2t}) = \pi(W_t^{-1})f(W_{2t}) + f(W_t^{-1})$  are i.i.d., and hence satisfy

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_{2t})\|^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\pi\left(W_t^{-1}\right)f(W_{2t})\right\|^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\|Y_1 - Y_2\|^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\|Y_1\|^2 - 2\langle Y_1, Y_2 \rangle + \|Y_2\|^2\right] \\
= 2\mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_t)\|^2\right] - 2\left\|\mathbb{E}\left[f(W_t)\right]\right\|^2 \le 2\mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_t)\|^2\right].
$$

By induction it follows that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|f\left(W_{2^k}\right)\right\|^2\right] \leq 2^k\mathbb{E}\left[\|f(W_1)\|^2\right].
$$

This implies Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-1) and hence also the Guentner-Kaminker theorem [\[20\]](#page-22-0), by arguing exactly as in the conclusion of the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-1)  $\triangleleft$ 

### **3 The behavior of** *L<sup>p</sup>* **compression under wreath products**

Given two groups *G*, *H* let  $\mathcal{L}_G(H)$  denote the wreath product *G*  $\wr$  *H* where the set of generators of *G* is taken to be  $G \setminus \{e\}$  (i.e. any two distinct elements of G are at distance 1 from each other). With this definition it is immediate to check (see for example the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [\[5\]](#page-21-6)) that

<span id="page-8-3"></span>
$$
(f, i), (g, j) \in \mathcal{L}_G(\mathbb{Z}) \implies d_{\mathcal{L}_G(\mathbb{Z})}((f, i), (g, j)) \times |i - j| + \max\{|k| + 1 : f(k) \neq g(k)\}.
$$
 (23)

The case  $G = C_2$  corresponds to the classical lamplighter group on *H*.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Lemma 3.1.** *For every group G we have*  $\alpha^*(\mathscr{L}_G(\mathbb{Z})) = 1$ *.* 

*Proof.* As shown by Tessera in [\[37\]](#page-23-0),  $\alpha^*(C_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}) = 1$  (we provide an alternative explicit embedding exhibiting this fact in Section [4](#page-12-0) below). Therefore for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  there is a mapping  $\theta : C_2 \wr \mathbb{Z} \to L_2$  satisfying

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
(x, i), (y, j) \in C_2 \wr \mathbb{Z} \implies d_{C_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}}((x, i), (y, j))^{\alpha} \le ||\theta(x, i) - \theta(y, j)||_2 \le d_{C_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}}((x, i), (y, j)). \tag{24}
$$

Let  $\{\varepsilon_z\}_{z \in G}$  be i.i.d.  $\{0, 1\}$  valued Bernoulli random variables, defined on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ . For every  $f: \mathbb{Z} \to G$  define a random mapping  $\varepsilon_f: \mathbb{Z} \to C_2$  by  $\varepsilon_f(k) = \varepsilon_{f(k)}$ . We now define an embedding  $F: \mathscr{L}_G(\mathbb{Z}) \to L_2(\Omega, L_2)$  by

$$
F(f, i) \coloneqq \theta(\varepsilon_f, i).
$$

Fix  $(f, i), (g, j) \in \mathcal{L}_G(\mathbb{Z})$  and let  $k_{\text{max}} \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy  $f(k_{\text{max}}) \neq g(k_{\text{max}})$  and  $|k_{\text{max}}| = \max\{|k| : f(k) \neq g(k)\}.$ Then

$$
||F(f, i) - F(g, j)||_{L_2(\Omega, L_2)}^2 = \mathbb{E}\left[||\theta(\varepsilon_f, i) - \theta(\varepsilon_g, j)||_2^2\right] \stackrel{(24)}{\lesssim} \mathbb{E}\left[d_{C_2 \mathbb{Z}}((\varepsilon_f, i), (\varepsilon_g, j))^2\right]
$$
  

$$
\stackrel{(23)}{\lesssim} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(|i - j| + \max\{|k| + 1 : \varepsilon_{f(k)} \neq \varepsilon_{g(k)}\}\right)^2\right] \leq \left[(|i - j| + |k_{\max}| + 1)^2\right] \stackrel{(23)}{\lesssim} d_{\mathscr{L}_G(\mathbb{Z})}((f, i), (g, j))^2.
$$

 $\sim$ 

In the reverse direction note that since  $f(k_{\text{max}}) \neq g(k_{\text{max}})$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  we have  $\varepsilon_{f(k_{\text{max}})} \neq \varepsilon_{g(k_{\text{max}})}$ . Therefore

$$
||F(f, i) - F(g, j)||_{L_2(\Omega, L_2)}^2 = \mathbb{E}\left[||\theta(\varepsilon_f, i) - \theta(\varepsilon_g, j)||_2^2\right] \stackrel{(24)}{\gtrsim} \mathbb{E}\left[d_{C_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}}((\varepsilon_f, i), (\varepsilon_g, j))^{2\alpha}\right]
$$
  

$$
\stackrel{(23)}{\gtrsim} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(|i - j| + \max\{|k| + 1 : \varepsilon_{f(k)} \neq \varepsilon_{g(k)}\}\right)^{2\alpha}\right] \gtrsim \left[(|i - j| + |k_{\max}| + 1)^{2\alpha}\right] \stackrel{(23)}{\gtrsim} d_{\mathscr{L}_G(\mathbb{Z})}((f, i), (g, j))^{2\alpha}.
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma [3.1.](#page-9-1)

<span id="page-9-3"></span>**Remark 3.2.** In [\[37\]](#page-23-0) Tessera shows that if *H* has volume growth of order *d* then

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
\alpha^*(\mathcal{L}_G(H)) \ge \frac{1}{d}.\tag{25}
$$

Note that Tessera makes this assertion for  $\mathcal{L}_F(H)$ , where *F* is finite (see Section 5.1 in [\[37\]](#page-23-0), and specifically Remark 5.2 there). But, it is immediate from the proof in [\[37\]](#page-23-0) that the constant factors in Tessera's embedding do not depend on the cardinality of  $F$ , and therefore [\(25\)](#page-9-2) holds in full generality. Observe that [\(25\)](#page-9-2) is a generalization of Lemma [3.1,](#page-9-1) but we believe that the argument in Lemma [3.1](#page-9-1) which reduces the problem to the case  $G = C_2$  is of independent interest.

The case  $H = \mathbb{Z}^2$  in [\(25\)](#page-9-2) can be proved via the following explicit embedding. For simplicity we describe it when  $G = C_2$ . Fix  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  and let

$$
\left\{ v_{y,r,g} : \; y \in \mathbb{Z}^2, \; r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \; g: y + [-r,r]^2 \rightarrow \{0,1\}, \; g \not\equiv 0 \right\}
$$

be an orthonormal system of vectors in  $L_2$ . For simplicity we also write  $v_{y,r,0} = 0$ . define  $\psi : C_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus L_2$ by

$$
\psi(f, x) = x \oplus \left( \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{x\}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\max\{1 - 2r / ||x - y||_{\infty}, 0\}}{||x - y||_{\infty}^{\frac{3}{2} - 2\alpha}} v_{y, r, f|_{y + [-r, r]^2}} \right).
$$

An elementary (though a little tedious) case analysis shows that  $\psi$  is Lipschitz and has compression  $\alpha$ .  $\prec$ 

The following theorem, in combination with Lemma [3.1,](#page-9-1) contains Theorem [1.2](#page-2-3) as a special case (note that [\(7\)](#page-2-5) follows from [\(26\)](#page-10-1) since clearly  $\alpha^*(G \wr H) \leq \alpha^*(G)$ ).

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Theorem 3.3.** *Let*  $G$ ,  $H$  *be groups and*  $p \geq 1$ *. Then* 

$$
\min\left\{\alpha_p^*(G),\alpha_p^*(\mathcal{L}_G(H))\right\} \ge \frac{1}{p} \implies \alpha_p^*(G \wr H) \ge \frac{p\alpha_p^*(G)\alpha_p^*(\mathcal{L}_G(H))}{p\alpha_p^*(G) + p\alpha_p^*(\mathcal{L}_G(H)) - 1},
$$

*and*

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\min\left\{\alpha_p^*(G), \alpha_p^*(\mathcal{L}_G(H))\right\} \le \frac{1}{p} \implies \alpha_p^*(G \wr H) \ge \min\left\{\alpha_p^*(G), \alpha_p^*(\mathcal{L}_G(H))\right\}.
$$
\n(26)

*Proof.* We shall start with some useful preliminary observations. Let  $(X, d_X)$  be a metric space,  $p \ge 1$ , and let <sup>Ω</sup> be a set. We denote by <sup>ℓ</sup>*p*(Ω, *<sup>X</sup>*) the metric space of all finitely supported functions *<sup>f</sup>* : <sup>Ω</sup> <sup>→</sup> *<sup>X</sup>*, equipped with the metric

$$
d_{\ell_p(\Omega,X)}(f,g) := \left(\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} d_X(f(\omega),g(\omega))^p\right)^{1/p}.
$$

It is immediate to verify that for every  $(f, x), (g, y) \in G \wr H$  we have

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
d_{GH}((f, x), (g, y)) \times d_{\mathcal{L}_G(H)}((f, x), (g, y)) + d_{\ell_1(H, G)}(f, g). \tag{27}
$$

Indeed, it suffices to verify the equivalence [\(27\)](#page-10-2) when  $(g, y)$  is the identity element  $(e, e)$  of  $G \wr H$ . In this case [\(27\)](#page-10-2) simply says that in order to move from  $(e, e)$  to  $(f, x)$  one needs to visit the locations  $z \in H$  where  $f(z) \neq e$ , and in each of these locations one must move within *G* from *e* to the appropriate group element  $f(z) \in G$ .

Another basic fact that we will use is that for every  $(f, x), (g, y) \in G \wr H$ ,

<span id="page-10-5"></span>
$$
\left| \{ z \in H : f(z) \neq g(z) \} \right| \leq d_{\mathcal{L}_G(H)}((f, x), (g, y)). \tag{28}
$$

Once more, this fact is entirely obvious: in order to move in  $\mathcal{L}_G(H)$  from  $(f, x)$  to  $(g, y)$  once must visit all the locations where *f* and *g* differ.

We shall now proceed to the proof of Theorem [3.3.](#page-10-0) Fix  $a < \alpha_p^*(G)$  and  $b < \alpha_p^*(\mathscr{L}_G(H))$ . Then there exists a function  $\psi$  :  $G \rightarrow L_p$  such that

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
u, v \in G \implies d_G(u, v)^a \le ||\psi(u) - \psi(v)||_p \le d_G(u, v). \tag{29}
$$

We also know that there exists a function  $\phi$  :  $\mathcal{L}_G(H) \to L_p$  which satisfies

<span id="page-10-4"></span>
$$
u, v \in \mathcal{L}_G(H) \implies d_{\mathcal{L}_G(H)}(u, v)^b \le ||\phi(u) - \phi(v)||_p \le d_{\mathcal{L}_G(H)}(u, v). \tag{30}
$$

Define a function  $F: G \wr H \to L_p \oplus \ell_p(H, L_p)$  by

$$
F(f, x) \coloneqq \phi(f, x) \oplus (\psi \circ f).
$$

Fix  $(f, x), (g, y) \in G \wr H$  and denote  $m := d_{\mathscr{L}_G(H)}((f, x), (g, y))$  and  $n := d_{\ell_1(H, G)}(f, g)$ . We know from [\(27\)](#page-10-2) that  $d_{GH}((f, x), (g, y)) \approx m + n$ . Now,

$$
||F(f, x) - F(g, y)||_p = \left( ||\phi(f, x) - \phi(g, y)||_p^p + \sum_{z \in H} ||\psi(f(z)) - \psi(g(z))||_p^p \right)^{1/p}
$$
  

$$
\leq ||\phi(f, x) - \phi(g, y)||_p + \sum_{z \in H} ||\psi(f(z)) - \psi(g(z))||_p \stackrel{(29)\wedge(30)}{\leq} m + n \approx d_{GH}((f, x), (g, y)).
$$

In the reverse direction we have the lower bound

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
||F(f, x) - F(g, y)||_p \stackrel{(29)\wedge(30)}{\gtrsim} \left( m^{bp} + \sum_{z \in H} d_G(f(z), g(z))^{ap} \right)^{1/p}.
$$
 (31)

If  $ap \le 1$  then  $\sum_{z \in H} d_G(f(z), g(z))^{ap} \ge (\sum_{z \in H} d_G(f(z), g(z)))^{ap} = n^{ap}$  and [\(31\)](#page-11-1) implies that

<span id="page-11-3"></span>
$$
||F(f, x) - F(g, y)||_p \ge (m^{bp} + n^{ap})^{1/p} \ge (m+n)^{\min\{a, b\}} \ge d_{GH}((f, x), (g, y))^{\min\{a, b\}}.
$$
 (32)

Assume that  $ap > 1$ . It follows from [\(28\)](#page-10-5) that  $|\{z \in H : f(z) \neq g(z)\}| \leq m$ . Thus, using Hölder's inequality, we see that

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
\sum_{z \in H} d_G(f(z), g(z))^{ap} \ge \frac{1}{m^{ap-1}} \left( \sum_{z \in H} d_G(f(z), g(z)) \right)^{ap} = \frac{n^{ap}}{m^{ap-1}}.
$$
\n(33)

Note that  $m^{bp} + \frac{n^{ap}}{m^{ap-1}} \ge n^{\frac{abp^2}{ap+bp-1}}$ , which follows by considering the cases  $m \ge n^{\frac{ap}{ap+bp-1}}$  and  $m \le n^{\frac{ap}{ap+bp-1}}$ separately. Hence,

$$
||F(f, x) - F(g, y)||_p \stackrel{(31)\wedge (33)}{\gtrsim} \left(m^{bp} + \frac{n^{ap}}{m^{ap-1}}\right)^{1/p} \gtrsim \max\left\{m^b, n^{\frac{abp}{ap+bp-1}}\right\}
$$

$$
\gtrsim (m+n)^{\min\{b, \frac{abp}{ap+bp-1}\}} \asymp d_{GH}((f, x), (g, y))^{\min\{b, \frac{abp}{ap+bp-1}\}}.
$$
(34)

Note that when  $ap > 1$ , if  $b \le \frac{abp}{ap + bp - 1}$  then  $bp \le 1$ . Therefore [\(32\)](#page-11-3) and [\(34\)](#page-11-4) imply Theorem [3.3.](#page-10-0) □

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Remark 3.4.** Theorem [3.3,](#page-10-0) in combination with Remark [3.2](#page-9-3) and the results of Section [6](#page-16-0) below, imply that if *G* is amenable and *H* has quadratic growth then

<span id="page-11-5"></span><span id="page-11-4"></span>
$$
\alpha^*(G \wr H) = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \alpha^*(G)\right\}.
$$
\n(35)

Thus, in particular,

$$
\alpha^*\left(C_2\wr\mathbb{Z}^2\right)=\alpha^*\left(\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z}^2\right)=\frac{1}{2}.
$$

To see [\(35\)](#page-11-5) note that by Theorem [6.1](#page-16-1) in Section [6](#page-16-0) we have  $\beta^*(G \wr H) = 1$ . Using [\(3\)](#page-1-0) we deduce that  $\alpha^*(G \wr H) \leq \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and the inequality  $\alpha^*(G \wr H) \leq \alpha^*(G)$  is obvious. The reverse inequality in [\(35\)](#page-11-5) is a corollary of Theorem [3.3](#page-10-0) and Remark [3.2.](#page-9-3) ⊳

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**4 Embedding the lamplighter group into** *L*<sup>1</sup>

In this section we show that the lamplighter group on the *n*-cycle,  $C_2 \wr C_n$ , embeds into  $L_1$  with distortion independent of *n*. This implies via a standard limiting argument that also  $C_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}$  embeds bi-Lipschitzly into *L*<sub>1</sub>. We present two embeddings of  $C_2 \wr C_n$  into  $L_1$ . Our first embedding is a variant of the embedding method used in [\[5\]](#page-21-6). In [\[5\]](#page-21-6) there is a detailed explanation of how such embeddings can be discovered by looking at the irreducible representations of  $C_2 \wr C_n$ . The embedding below can be motivated analogously, and we refer the interested reader to [\[5\]](#page-21-6) for the details. Here we just present the resulting embedding, which is very simple. Our second embedding is motivated by direct geometric reasoning rather than the "dual" point of view in [\[5\]](#page-21-6).

In what follows we slightly abuse the notation by considering elements  $(x, i) \in C_2 \wr C_n$  as an index  $i \in C_n$ and a subset  $x \subseteq C_n$ . For the sake of simplicity we will denote the metric on  $C_2 \wr C_n$  by  $\rho$ . The metric  $d_{C_n}$ will denote the canonical metric on the *n*-cycle  $C_n$ . It is easy to check (see Lemma 2.1 in [\[5\]](#page-21-6)) that

$$
(x, j), (y, \ell) \in C_2 \wr C_n \implies \rho((x, j), (y, \ell)) \asymp d_{C_n}(j, k) + \max_{k \in x \triangle y} (d_{C_n}(0, k) + 1). \tag{36}
$$

.

**First embedding of**  $C_2 \wr C_n$  **into**  $L_1$ . We denote by  $\alpha : C_n \to C_n$  the shift  $\alpha(j) = j + 1$ . Let us write I for the family of all arcs (i.e. connected subsets) of  $C_n$  of length  $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor$  (of which there are *n*). We define an embedding *f* :  $C_2 \wr C_n \to \bigoplus$ *I*∈I  $\bigoplus$  $_{A\subseteq I}$   $\ell_1(C_n)$  by

$$
f(x,j) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \bigoplus_{A \subseteq I} \left( (-1)^{|A \cap \alpha^k(x)|} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{1}_I(k+j) + n \mathbf{1}_{C_n \setminus I}(k+j)}{n^2 2^{n/3}} \right)_{k \in C_n}
$$

It is immediate to check that the metric on  $C_2 \wr C_n$  given by  $||f(x, j) - f(x', j')||_1$  is  $C_2 \wr C_n$ -invariant. Therefore it suffices to show that  $|| f(x, j) - f(\emptyset, 0)||_1 \approx \rho((x, j), (\emptyset, 0))$  for all  $(x, j) \in C_2 \wr C_n$ .

Now,

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
||f(x, j) - f(\emptyset, 0)||_1 \ge \sum_{I \in I} \sum_{A \subseteq I} \left( \frac{\left| \{ k \in C_n : \mathbf{1}_I(k) + \mathbf{1}_I(k+j) = 1 \} \right|}{n2^{n/3}} + \sum_{\substack{k \in C_n \\ |A \cap \alpha^k(x)| \text{ odd}}} \frac{\mathbf{1}_I(k) + n\mathbf{1}_{C_n \setminus I}(k)}{n^2 2^{n/3}} \right)
$$
  
 
$$
\ge d_{C_n}(0, j) + \frac{1}{n^2 2^{n/3}} \sum_{I \in I} \sum_{k \in C_n} \left| \{ A \subseteq I : |A \cap \alpha^k(x)| \text{ odd} \} \right| \cdot (\mathbf{1}_I(k) + n\mathbf{1}_{C_n \setminus I}(k))
$$
  
 
$$
\ge d_{C_n}(0, j) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{I \in I} \sum_{\substack{k \in C_n \\ I \cap \alpha^k(x) \neq \emptyset}} (\mathbf{1}_I(k) + n\mathbf{1}_{C_n \setminus I}(k)). \tag{37}
$$

It suffices to prove the Lipschitz condition  $|| f(x, j) - f(\emptyset, 0) ||_1 \le \rho((x, j), (\emptyset, 0))$  for the generators of  $C_2 \wr C_n$ , i.e. when  $(x, j) \in \{(\{0\}, 0), (\emptyset, 1)\}$ . This follows immediately from [\(37\)](#page-12-1) since when  $(x, j) = (\emptyset, 1)$  then the second summand in [\(37\)](#page-12-1) is empty, and therefore  $|| f(Ø, 1) - f(Ø, 0) ||_1 \ge 1 = \rho((Ø, 1), (Ø, 0))$ , and

$$
||f(\{0\},0)-f(\emptyset,0)||_1 \asymp \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{k \in I} (\mathbf{1}_I(k)+n\mathbf{1}_{C_n \setminus I}(k)) \asymp 1 \lesssim \rho((\{0\},0),(\emptyset,0)).
$$

To prove the lower bound  $||f(x, j) - f(\emptyset, 0)||_1 \ge \rho((x, j), (\emptyset, 0))$  suppose that  $\ell \in x$  is a point of *x* at a maximal distance from 0 in  $C_n$ . By considering only the terms in [\(37\)](#page-12-1) for which  $\alpha^k(\ell) \in I$  we see that

$$
||f(x, j) - f(\emptyset, 0)||_1 \ge d_{C_n}(0, j) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{k \in \alpha^{-\ell}(I)} (\mathbf{1}_I(k) + n \mathbf{1}_{C_n \setminus I}(k))
$$
  
 
$$
\times d_{C_n}(0, j) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} |I \cap \alpha^{-\ell}(I)| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} |\alpha^{-\ell}(I) \setminus I| \ge d_{C_n}(0, j) + (1 + d_{C_n}(0, \ell)) \ge \rho((x, j), (\emptyset, 0)).
$$

This completes the proof that *f* is bi-Lipschitz with *O*(1) distortion.

**Remark 4.1.** Fix *s* ∈ (1/2, 1) and consider the embedding  $f : C_2 \wr C_n \to \bigoplus$ *I*∈I  $\bigoplus$  $_{A\subseteq I}$   $\ell_2(C_n)$  given by

$$
f(x, j) := \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \bigoplus_{A \subseteq I} \left( (-1)^{|A \cap \alpha^k(x)|} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{1}_I(k+j) + \sqrt{n} \cdot [d_{C_n}(k+j, I)]^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}{n2^{n/6}} \right)_{k \in C_n}
$$

Arguing similarly to [\[5\]](#page-21-6) (and the above) shows that  $\rho(u, v)^s \le ||f(u) - f(v)||_2 \le \rho(u, v)$  for all  $u, v \in C_2 \wr C_n$ , where the implied constants are independent of *n*. By a standard limiting argument it follows that  $\alpha^*(C_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}) =$ 1. This fact was first proved by Tessera in [\[37\]](#page-23-0) via a different approach. ⊳

**Second embedding of**  $C_2 \wr C_n$  **into**  $L_1$ . Let  $\mathcal J$  be the set of all arcs in  $C_n$ . In what follows for  $J \in \mathcal J$  we let *J*<sup> $\circ$ </sup> denote the interior of *J*. Let {*v*<sub>*J*,A</sub> : *J*  $\in$  *J*, A  $\subseteq$  *J*} be disjointly supported unit vectors in *L*<sub>1</sub>. Define *f* :  $C_2 \wr C_n$  →  $\mathbb{C} \oplus L_1$  by

$$
f(x, j) := \left( n e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{n}} \right) \oplus \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbf{1}_{\{j \notin J^{\circ}\}} v_{J, x \cap J} \right).
$$

As before, since the metric on  $C_2 \wr C_n$  given by  $||f(x, j) - f(x', j')||_1$  is  $C_2 \wr C_n$ -invariant, it suffices to show that  $|| f(x, j) - f(0, 0) ||_1 \times \rho((x, j), (0, 0))$  for all  $(x, j) \in C_2 \wr C_n$ . Now,

$$
||f(x, j) - f(\emptyset, 0)||_1 \approx d_{C_n}(0, j) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} ||\mathbf{1}_{\{j \notin J^\circ\}} v_{J, x \cap J} - \mathbf{1}_{\{0 \notin J^\circ\}} v_{J, \emptyset}||_1
$$
  
=  $d_{C_n}(0, j) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{J} \\ x \cap J = \emptyset}} |\mathbf{1}_{\{j \notin J^\circ\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{0 \notin J^\circ\}}| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{J} \\ x \cap J \neq \emptyset}} (\mathbf{1}_{\{j \notin J^\circ\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{0 \notin J^\circ\}}). \tag{38}$ 

We check the Lipschitz condition for the generators  $(0, 1)$  and  $(0, 0)$  as follows:

$$
||f(\emptyset,1)-f(\emptyset,0)||_1 \stackrel{(38)}{\asymp} 1 + \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ J \in \mathcal{J} : \, \left| \{0,1\} \cap J^{\circ} \right| = 1 \right\} \right| \asymp 1 = \rho((\emptyset,1),(\emptyset,0)),
$$

and

$$
||f(\{0\},0) - f(\emptyset,0)||_1 \stackrel{(38)}{\approx} \frac{1}{n} |J \in \mathcal{J} : 0 \in J \setminus J^\circ|| \approx 1 = \rho((\{0\},0),(\emptyset,0)).
$$

*Hence*  $|| f(x, j) - f(0, 0)||_1$  ≤  $\rho((x, j), (0, 0))$  for all  $(x, j) \in C_2 \wr C_n$ .

<span id="page-13-0"></span>.

To prove the lower bound  $||f(x, j) - f(\emptyset, 0)||_1 \ge \rho((x, j), (\emptyset, 0))$  suppose that  $\ell \in x$  is a point of *x* at a maximal distance from 0 in  $C_n$ . Then

$$
||f(x, j) - f(\emptyset, 0)||_1 \overset{(38)}{\gtrsim} d_{C_n}(0, j) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{J} \\ \ell \in J}} \left( \mathbf{1}_{\{j \notin J^\circ\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{0 \notin J^\circ\}} \right) \asymp d_{C_n}(0, j) + \frac{1}{n} \left| \{ J \in \mathcal{J} : \ell \in J \land \{0, j\} \setminus J^\circ \neq \emptyset \} \right|
$$
  

$$
\gtrsim d_{C_n}(0, j) + \frac{(\ell + 1)(n - \ell)}{n} \asymp d_{C_n}(0, j) + d_{C_n}(0, \ell) + 1 \asymp \rho((x, j), (\emptyset, 0)), \quad (39)
$$

Where in [\(39\)](#page-14-1) we used the fact that the intervals  $\{[a, b] : a \in \{0, ..., \ell\}, b \in \{\ell, ..., n-1\}\}\$  do not contain 0 in their interior, but do contain  $\ell$ .

**Remark 4.2.** A separable metric space embeds with distortion *D* into  $L_p$  if and only if all its finite subsets do. Therefore our embeddings for  $C_2 \wr C_n$  into  $L_1$  imply that  $C_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}$  admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into  $L_1$ . This can also be seen via the explicit embedding  $F(x, j) \coloneqq j \oplus (\psi(x, j) - \psi(0, 0))$ , where

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
F(x, j) \coloneqq \sum_{k \geq j} v_{[k, \infty), x \cap [k, \infty)} + \sum_{k \leq j} v_{(-\infty, k], x \cap (-\infty, k]},
$$

<span id="page-14-0"></span>and  $\{v_{J,A}: J \in \{[k,\infty)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \cup \{(-\infty,k]\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $A \subseteq J$ } are disjointly supported unit vectors in  $L_1$ . △

#### **5 Edge Markov type need not imply Enflo type**

A Markov chain  ${Z_t}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  with transition probabilities  $a_{ij} := \mathbb{P}(Z_{t+1} = j | Z_t = i)$  on the state space  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  is stationary if  $\pi_i := \mathbb{P}(Z_t = i)$  does not depend on t and it is reversible if  $\pi_i a_{ij} = \pi_i a_{ji}$  for every  $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . Given a metric space  $(X, d_X)$  and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we say that *X* has *Markov type p* if there exists a constant  $K > 0$ such that for every stationary reversible Markov chain  ${Z_t}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  on  ${1, \ldots, n}$ , every mapping  $f: {1, \ldots, n} \to X$ and every time  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[d_X(f(Z_t), f(Z_0))^p] \le K^p \, t \, \mathbb{E}[d_X(f(Z_1), f(Z_0))^p].\tag{40}
$$

The least such *K* is called the Markov type *p* constant of *X*, and is denoted  $M_p(X)$ . Similarly, given  $D > 0$  we let  $M_p^{\leq D}(X)$  denote the least constant *K* satisfying [\(40\)](#page-14-2) with the additional restriction that  $d_X(f(Z_0), f(Z_1)) \leq$ *D* holds pointwise. We call  $M_p^{\leq D}(X)$  the *D*-bounded increment Markov type *p* constant of *X*. Finally, if  $(X, d_X)$  is an unweighted graph equipped with the shortest path metric then the *edge Markov type p* constant of *X*, denoted  $M_p^{\text{edge}}(X)$ , is the least constant *K* satisfying [\(40\)](#page-14-2) with the additional restriction that  $f(Z_0)f(Z_1)$ is an edge (pointwise).

The fact that *L*<sup>2</sup> has Markov type 2 with constant 1, first noted by K. Ball [\[7\]](#page-21-9), follows from a simple spectral argument (see also inequality (8) in [\[28\]](#page-22-5)). Since for  $p \in [1, 2]$  the metric space  $(L_p, ||x - y||_2^{p/2})$  $\binom{p}{2}$  embeds isometrically into  $L_2$  (see [\[42\]](#page-23-10)), it follows that  $L_p$  has Markov type p with constant 1. For  $p > 2$  it was shown in [\[28\]](#page-22-5) that  $L_p$  has Markov type 2 with constants  $O(\sqrt{p})$ . We refer to [28] for a computation of the Markov type of various additional classes of metric spaces.

A metric space  $(X, d_X)$  is said to have *Enflo type p* if there exists a constant *K* such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

every  $f: \{-1, 1\}^n \to X$ ,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[d_X(f(\varepsilon), f(-\varepsilon))^p\right] \leq T^p \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[d_X\left(f(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{j-1}, \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_{j+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n), f(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{j-1}, -\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_{j+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)\right)^p\right], \quad (41)
$$

where the expectation is with respect to the uniform measure on  $\{-1, 1\}^n$ . In [\[29\]](#page-22-13) it was shown that Markov type *p* implies Enflo type *p*. We define analogously to the case of Markov type the notions of bounded increment Enflo type and edge Enflo type.

The notions of Enflo type and Markov type were introduced as non-linear analogues of the fundamental Banach space notion of *Rademacher type*. We refer to [\[16,](#page-22-14) [10,](#page-21-10) [7,](#page-21-9) [29,](#page-22-13) [27,](#page-22-15) [28\]](#page-22-5) and the references therein for background on this topic and many applications. In Banach space theory the notion analogous to bounded increment Markov type is known as *equal norm Rademacher type*. It is well known (see [\[38\]](#page-23-7)) that for Banach spaces equal norm Rademacher type 2 implies Rademacher type 2 and that for  $1 < p < 2$  equal norm Rademacher type *p* implies Rademacher type *q* for every *q* < *p* (but is *does not* generally imply Rademacher type *p*). It is natural to ask whether the analogous phenomenon holds true for the above metric analogues of Rademacher type. Here we show that this is not the case.

It follows from Theorem [1.2](#page-2-3) that  $\alpha^*(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{2}{3}$  $\frac{2}{3}$ . Therefore for every  $0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{3}$  there is a mapping *F* :  $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z} \to L_2$  such that

$$
x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z} \implies d_{\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}}(x, y)^{\alpha} \leq ||F(x) - F(y)||_2 \leq d_{\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}}(x, y).
$$

Fix a stationary reversible Markov chain  ${Z_t}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  on  ${1, \ldots, n}$  and a mapping  $f : {1, \ldots, n} \to \mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $d_{\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z})}(f(\mathbb{Z}_0), f(\mathbb{Z}_1))$  ≤ *D* holds pointwise. Using the fact that  $L_2$  has Markov type 2 with constant 1 we deduce that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[d_{\mathbb{Z}i\mathbb{Z}}(f(Z_t),f(Z_0))^{2\alpha}\right] \lesssim \mathbb{E}\left[\|F\circ f(Z_t)-F\circ f(Z_0)\|_2^2\right] \leq t \mathbb{E}\left[\|F\circ f(Z_1)-F\circ f(Z_0)\|_2^2\right] \\ \lesssim t \mathbb{E}\left[d_{\mathbb{Z}i\mathbb{Z}}(f(Z_1),f(Z_0))^2\right] \lesssim D^{2(1-\alpha)}t \mathbb{E}\left[d_{\mathbb{Z}i\mathbb{Z}}(f(Z_1),f(Z_0))^{2\alpha}\right].
$$

Thus

$$
M_{2\alpha}^{\leq D}(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}) \lesssim D^{1-\alpha}
$$

<span id="page-15-0"></span>.

In particular Z ≀ Z has *D*-bounded increment Markov type *p* and edge Markov type *p* for every *p* < 4  $\frac{4}{3}$ .

On the other hand we claim that  $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$  does not have Enflo type *p* for any  $p > 1$ . This is seen via an argument that was used by Arzhantseva, Guba and Sapir in [\[3\]](#page-21-1). Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and define  $f: \{-1, 1\}^n \to \mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$  by

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
f(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_n) := \left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{2n} \varepsilon_{j-n} n\delta_j, 0\right),\tag{42}
$$

where  $\delta_j$  is the delta function supported at *j*. Then for every  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ ,

<span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
d_{\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}}(f(\varepsilon), f(-\varepsilon)) \asymp n^2 \tag{43}
$$

and for every  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ,

<span id="page-15-3"></span>
$$
d_{\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}}\Big(f(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{j-1},\varepsilon_j,\varepsilon_{j+1},\ldots,\varepsilon_n),f(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{j-1},-\varepsilon_j,\varepsilon_{j+1},\ldots,\varepsilon_n)\Big)\asymp n.
$$
\n(44)

Therefore if  $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$  has Enflo type *p*, i.e. if [\(41\)](#page-15-0) holds true, then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $n^{2p} \le n^{p+1}$ , implying that  $p \leq 1$ .

# <span id="page-16-0"></span>**6** A lower bound on  $\beta^*(G \wr H)$

In this section we shall prove  $(8)$ , which is a generalization of Ershler's work [\[17\]](#page-22-6). Namely, we will prove the following theorem:

<span id="page-16-1"></span>**Theorem 6.1.** *Let G and H be finitely generated groups. If H has linear growth (or equivalently, by Gro-mov's theorem [\[19\]](#page-22-16), H has a subgroup of finite index isomorphic to*  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) then  $\beta^*(G \wr H) \geq \frac{1+\beta^*(G)}{2}$  $\frac{a_1(G)}{2}$ . For all *other finitely generated groups H we have*  $\beta^*(G \wr H) = 1$ *.* 

Assume that *G* is generated by a finite symmetric set  $S_G \subseteq G$  and *H* is generated by a finite symmetric set  $S_H \subseteq H$ . We also let  $e_G, e_H$  denote the identity elements of *G* and *H*, respectively. Given  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and  $h \in H$  define a mapping  $f_{g_1,g_2}^h : H \to G$  by

$$
f_{g_1,g_2}^h(x) := \begin{cases} g_1 & \text{if } x = e_H, \\ g_2 & \text{if } x = h, \\ e_G & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

It is immediate to check that the set

$$
S_{GH} := \left\{ f_{g_1, g_2}^h : g_1, g_2 \in S_G \text{ and } h \in S_H \right\}
$$

is symmetric and generates *G* ≀ *H*.

From now on, we will assume that the metrics on *G*, *H* and *G*  $\wr$  *H* are induced by  $S_G$ ,  $S_H$  and  $S_{GH}$ , respectively. Analogously we shall denote by  $\left\{W_k^G\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  $\bigwedge_{k=0}^{\infty}, \left\{W_k^H\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  $\int_{k=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\left\{W_k^{GH}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}$  the corresponding random walks, starting at the corresponding identity elements.

<span id="page-16-5"></span>**Theorem 6.2.** *Assume that for some*  $\beta \in [0, 1]$  *we have* 

<span id="page-16-6"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[d_G\left(W_n^G, e_G\right)\right] \gtrsim n^{\beta},\tag{45}
$$

*where the implied constant may depend on S <sup>G</sup>. If H has linear growth then*

<span id="page-16-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[d_{GH}\left(W_n^{GH},e_{GH}\right)\right] \gtrsim n^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}.\tag{46}
$$

*If H has quadratic growth then*

<span id="page-16-3"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[d_{GH}\left(W_n^{GH}, e_{GH}\right)\right] \gtrsim \frac{n}{(1 + \log n)^{1-\beta}}.\tag{47}
$$

*If the random walk*  $\left\{W_n^H\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *n*=0 *is transient then*

<span id="page-16-4"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[d_{GH}\left(W_n^{GH}, e_{GH}\right)\right] \gtrsim n. \tag{48}
$$

*The implied constants in* [\(46\)](#page-16-2)*,* [\(47\)](#page-16-3) *and* [\(48\)](#page-16-4) *may depend on*  $S_G$  *and*  $S_H$ *.* 

Theorem [6.1](#page-16-1) is a consequence of Theorem [6.2](#page-16-5) since by Varopoulos' celebrated result [\[39,](#page-23-11) [41\]](#page-23-12) (which relies on Gromov's growth theorem [\[19\]](#page-22-16). See [\[24\]](#page-22-7) and [\[43\]](#page-23-3) for a detailed discussion), the three possibilities in Theorem [6.2](#page-16-5) are exhaustive for infinite finitely generated groups *H*. In the case when the random walk on *H* is transient, Theorem [6.2](#page-16-5) was previously proved by Kaĭmanovich and Vershik in [\[24\]](#page-22-7).

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem [6.2.](#page-16-5)

**Lemma 6.3.** *Define for*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *,* 

$$
\psi_H(n) := \begin{cases} \sqrt{n} & \text{if } H \text{ has linear growth,} \\ 1 + \log n & \text{if } H \text{ has quadratic growth,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

*Then*

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\left\{0 \leq k \leq n : W_k^H = e_H\right\}\right|^{\beta}\right] \gtrsim \psi_H(n)^{\beta},\tag{49}
$$

*and*

<span id="page-17-3"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|W_{[0,n]}^H\right|\right] \gtrsim \frac{n}{\psi_H(n)},\tag{50}
$$

 $where W_{[0,n]}^H := \{W_0^H, \ldots, W_n^H\}.$ 

*Proof.* By a theorem of Varapoulos [\[40,](#page-23-13) [41\]](#page-23-12) (see also [\[23\]](#page-22-17) and Theorem 4.1 in [\[43\]](#page-23-3)) for every  $k \ge 0$ ,

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}\left[W_k^H = e_H\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[W_{k+1}^H = e_H\right] \asymp \left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} & \text{if } H \text{ has linear growth,} \\ \frac{1}{k+1} & \text{if } H \text{ has quadratic growth,}\end{array}\right. \tag{51}
$$

and if *H* has super-quadratic growth then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ W_k^H = e_H \right] < \infty$ . Hence, if we denote

$$
X_n := \left| \left\{ 0 \le k \le n : W_k^H = e_H \right\} \right| = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{W_k^H = e_H\}}
$$

then it follows that

<span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X_n\right] = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{P}\left[W_k^H = e_H\right] \stackrel{(51)}{\asymp} \psi_H(n). \tag{52}
$$

To prove [\(49\)](#page-17-1) note that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X_n^2\right] = \sum_{i,j=0}^n \mathbb{P}\left[W_i^H = e_H \wedge W_j^H = e_H\right] \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathbb{P}\left[W_i^H = e_H\right] \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[W_k^H = e_H\right] \leq 2 \left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_n\right]\right)^2 \stackrel{(52)}{\approx} \psi_H(n)^2.
$$

Using Hölder's inequality we deduce that

$$
\psi_H(n) \asymp \mathbb{E}\left[X_n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_n^{\frac{\beta}{2-\beta}} \cdot X_n^{\frac{2-2\beta}{2-\beta}}\right] \leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_n^{\beta}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_n^2\right]\right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2-\beta}} \lesssim \left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_n^{\beta}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\beta}} \psi_H(n)^{\frac{2-2\beta}{2-\beta}}.
$$

This simplifies to  $\mathbb{E}\left[X_n^{\beta}\right] \geq \psi_H(n)^{\beta}$ , which is precisely [\(49\)](#page-17-1).

We now pass to the proof of [\(50\)](#page-17-3). For every  $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  denote by  $V_1, \ldots, V_k$  the first *k* elements of *H* that were visited by the walk  $\left\{W_j^H\right\}_{j=1}^\infty$ *j*=0 . Write

$$
Y_k := \left| \left\{ 0 \leq j \leq n : W_j^H \in \{V_1, \ldots, V_k\} \right\} \right|.
$$

Then

$$
\mathbb{E}[Y_k] = \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\left\{0 \leq j \leq n : W_j^H = V_j\right\}\right|\right] \leq k \sum_{r=0}^n \mathbb{P}\left[W_r^H = e_H\right] \stackrel{(51)}{\sim} k \psi_H(n).
$$

Therefore for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|W_{[0,n]}^H\right| \leq k\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[Y_k \geq n\right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[Y_k\right]}{n} \lesssim \frac{k\psi_H(n)}{n}.
$$

Hence we can choose  $k \ge \frac{n}{\psi_H(n)}$  $\frac{n}{\psi_H(n)}$  for which  $\mathbb{P}\left[\left|W^H_{[0,n]}\right|\right]$  $\left| \geq k \right|$  $\geq \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ , implying [\(50\)](#page-17-3).

*Proof of Theorem* [6.2.](#page-16-5) We may assume that  $n \geq 4$ . Let  $Q_H : G \wr H \to H$  be the natural projection, i.e.  $Q_H(f, x) \coloneqq x$ . Also, for every  $x \in H$  let  $Q_G^x : G \wr H \to G$  be the projection  $Q_G^x(f, y) \coloneqq f(x)$ .

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every  $h \in H$  denote

$$
T_h := \left| \left\{ 0 \leq k \leq n : \ Q_H\left(W_k^{GH}\right) = h \right\} \right|.
$$

The set of generators  $S_{GH}$  was constructed so that the random walk on  $G \wr H$  can be informally described as follows: at each step the "*H* coordinate" is multiplied by a random element  $h \in S_H$ . The "*G* coordinate" is multiplied by a random element  $g_1 \in S_G$  at the original *H* coordinate of the walker, and *also* by a random element  $g_2 \in S_G$  (which is independent of  $g_1$ ) at the new *H* coordinate of the walker. This immediately implies that the projection  $\left\{ Q_{H}\left(W_{k}^{GH}\right)\right\} _{k=1}^{\infty}$  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}$  has the same distribution as  $\left\{W_k^H\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  $\widetilde{k}=0$ . Moreover, conditioned on  $\{T_h\}_{h\in H}$  and on  $Q_H\left(W_n^{GH}\right)$ , if  $h \in H \setminus \{e_H, Q_H\left(W_n^{GH}\right)\}\$  then the element  $Q_G^h\left(W_n^{GH}\right) \in G$  has the same distribution as  $W_{2T_h}^G$ . If  $h \in \{e_H, Q_H(W_n^{GH})\}$  and  $e_H \neq Q_H(W_n^{GH})$  then  $Q_G^h(W_n^{GH})$  has the same distribution as  $W_{\text{max}\{2T_h-1,0\}}^G$ , and if  $e_H = Q_H(W_n^{GH})$  then  $Q_G^h(W_n^{GH})$  has the same distribution as  $W_{2T_h}^G$ .

These observations imply, using [\(45\)](#page-16-6), that for every  $h \in H$  we have  $\mathbb{E}\left[d_G\left(Q_G^h\left(W_n^{GH}\right), e_G\right)\right] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[T_h^{\beta}\left(W_n^{GH}\right), e_G\right]$  $\binom{\beta}{h}$ . Writing  $A_{\ell} := \left\{ h = W_{\ell}^H \land h \notin W_{[0,\ell-1]}^H \right\}$  we see that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[T_h^{\beta}\right] \geq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \mathbb{P}(A_{\ell}) \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[T_h^{\beta} \middle| A_{\ell}\right] \stackrel{(49)}{\geq} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \mathbb{P}(A_{\ell}) \cdot \psi_H(n/2)^{\beta} = \mathbb{P}\left[h \in W_{[0,\lfloor n/2 \rfloor]}^H\right] \psi_H(n/2)^{\beta}.
$$

Hence,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[d_{GH}\left(W_n^{GH},e_{GH}\right)\right] \gtrsim \sum_{h\in H} \mathbb{E}\left[d_G\left(Q_G^h\left(W_n^{GH}\right),e_G\right)\right] \gtrsim \sum_{h\in H} \mathbb{E}\left[T_h^{\beta}\right]
$$
  

$$
\gtrsim \psi_H\left(n\right)^{\beta} \sum_{h\in H} \mathbb{P}\left[h\in W_{[0,\lfloor n/2\rfloor]}^H\right] = \psi_H(n)^{\beta} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\left|W_{[0,\lfloor n/2\rfloor]}^H\right|\right] \gtrsim \frac{n}{\psi_H(n)^{1-\beta}}.
$$

This is precisely the assertion of Theorem [6.2.](#page-16-5)  $\Box$ 

**Remark 6.4.** In [\[13\]](#page-22-3) de Cornulier, Stalder and Valette show that if *G* is a finite group then for every  $p \ge 1$ we have  $\alpha_p^{\#}(G \wr F_n) \geq \frac{1}{p}$  $\frac{1}{p}$ , where  $F_n$  denotes the free group on  $n \geq 2$  generators. Note that in combination with Lemma [2.3](#page-6-1) this implies that we actually  $\alpha_p^{\#}(G \wr F_n) \ge \max\left\{\frac{1}{p}\right\}$  $\frac{1}{p}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ . This bound is sharp due to Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) and the fact that  $\beta^*(G \wr F_n) = 1$ .

In fact, we have the following stronger result: if *X* is a Banach space with modulus of smoothness of power type *p*, *G* is a nontrivial group, and *H* is a group whose volume growth is at least quadratic, then  $\alpha_X^*(G \wr H) \leq \frac{1}{p}$ <sup>1</sup>/<sub>*p*</sub>. In particular  $\alpha_p^*(G \wr F_2) = \max\left\{\frac{1}{p}\right\}$  $\frac{1}{p}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ . To prove the above assertion note that it is enough to deal with the case  $G = C_2$ . If *H* is amenable then by Theorem [6.1](#page-16-1) we have  $\beta^*(C_2 \wr H) = 1$ , so that the required result follows from the result of [\[4\]](#page-21-5) and the fact that *X* has Markov type *p* [\[28\]](#page-22-5). If *H* is nonamenable then it has exponential growth (see [\[30\]](#page-23-5)). Thus  $\gamma := \lim_{r \to \infty} |B(e_H, r)|^{1/r} > 1$ , where  $B(x, r)$ denotes the ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $x$  in the word metric on  $H$  (note that the existence of the limit follows from submultiplicativity). Fix  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\eta := \frac{(1 - \delta)^2 \gamma}{1 + \delta}$  $\frac{-\delta^2\gamma}{1+\delta} > 1$  and let  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that for all  $k \geq k_0$ we have  $[(1 - \delta)\gamma]^k \leq |B(e_H, k)| \leq [(1 + \delta)\gamma]^k$ . For  $k \geq k_0$  let  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$  be a maximal subset of  $B(e_H, 2k)$ such that the balls  $\{B(x_i, k/2)\}_{i=1}^N$  are disjoint. Maximality implies that the balls  $\{B(x_i, k)\}_{i=1}^N$  cover  $B(x, 2k)$ , so that

$$
[(1+\delta)\gamma]^k N \ge N |B(e_H, k)| \ge \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^N B(x_i, k) \right| \ge |B(e_H, 2k)| \ge [(1-\delta)\gamma]^{2k},
$$

which simplifies to give the lower bound  $N \ge \eta^k$ . Thus  $k \le \log N$ .

Fix  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and assume that  $F : C_2 \wr H \to X$  satisfies

$$
x, y \in C_2 \wr H \implies d_{C_2 \wr H}(x, y)^{\alpha} \leq ||F(x) - F(y)|| \leq d_{C_2 \wr H}(x, y).
$$

Our goal is to prove that  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{p}$ *p*. For every  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_N) \in \{-1, 1\}^N$  define  $\psi_{\varepsilon} : H \to C_2$  by  $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x_i) = \frac{1+\varepsilon_i}{2}$ , and  $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ . Let  $f : \{-1, 1\}^N \to C_2 \vee H$  be given by  $f(\varepsilon) = (f_{\varepsilon}, e_H)$ . It is immediate to check that for all  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{-1, 1\}^N$  we have  $\frac{k}{2} ||\varepsilon - \varepsilon' ||_1 \le ||f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon')|| \le 4k ||\varepsilon - \varepsilon' ||_1$ . Metric spaces with Markov type *p* also have Enflo type *p* [\[29\]](#page-22-13), i.e. they satisfy [\(41\)](#page-15-0). Thus we can apply the Enflo type inequality [\(41\)](#page-15-0) to the mapping  $F \circ f : \{-1, 1\}^N \to X$  and deduce that  $(Nk)^{\alpha p} \leq Nk^p$ . Consequently,  $N^{\alpha p} \leq N k^p \leq N(\log N)^p$ . Since the last inequality holds for arbitrarily large *N*, we infer that  $\alpha p \leq 1$ .

#### <span id="page-19-0"></span>**7 Discussion and further questions**

In this section we discuss some natural questions that arise from the results obtained in this paper. We start with the following potential converse to  $(3)$ :

<span id="page-19-1"></span>**Question 7.1.** *Is it true that for every finitely generated amenable group G,*

$$
\alpha^*(G) = \frac{1}{2\beta^*(G)} \quad ?
$$

If true, Question [7.1,](#page-19-1) in combination with Corollary [1.3,](#page-2-2) would imply a positive solution to the following question:

**Question 7.2.** *Is it true that for every finitely generated amenable group G,*

$$
\alpha^*(G \wr \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{2\alpha^*(G)}{2\alpha^*(G) + 1} \quad ?
$$

Additionally, since  $\beta^*(G) \leq 1$ , a positive solution to Question [7.1](#page-19-1) would imply a positive solution to the following question:

<span id="page-20-1"></span>**Question 7.3.** *Is it true that for every finitely generated amenable group G,*

$$
\alpha^*(G) \ge \frac{1}{2} \quad ?
$$

Using [\(27\)](#page-10-2), and arguing analogously to Lemma [3.1](#page-9-1) while using the  $L_1$  embedding of  $C_2 \wr \mathbb{Z}$  in Section [4,](#page-12-0) we have the following fact:

**Lemma 7.4.** *If a finitely generated group G admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into L<sub>1</sub> <i>then so does G* ≀  $\mathbb{Z}$ *.* 

<span id="page-20-0"></span>**Question 7.5.** *Is it true that for every finitely generated amenable group G we have*  $\alpha_1^*(G) = 1$ ?

Since the metric space  $(L_1, \sqrt{||x-y||_1})$  embeds isometrically into  $L_2$  (see [\[42\]](#page-23-10)), a positive solution to Question [7.5](#page-20-0) would imply a positive solution to Question [7.3.](#page-20-1)

Our repertoire of groups *G* for which we know the exact value of  $\alpha^*(G)$  is currently very limited. In particular, we do not know the answer to the following question:

**Question 7.6.** *Does there exist a finitely generated amenable group G for which* α ∗ (*G*) *is irrational? Does there exist a finitely generated amenable group G for which*  $\frac{2}{3} < \alpha^*(G) < 1$ ?

In [\[44\]](#page-23-14) Yu proved that for every finitely generated hyperbolic group *G* there exists a large  $p > 2$  for which  $\alpha_p^{\#}(G) \geq \frac{1}{p}$  $\frac{1}{p}$ . In view of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) it is natural to ask:

**Question 7.7.** *Is it true that for every finitely generated hyperbolic group G there exists some*  $p \geq 1$  *for which*  $\alpha_p^{\#}(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ ?

We do not know the value of  $\alpha_p^*(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z})$  for  $1 < p < 2$ . The following lemma contains some bounds for this number:

**Lemma 7.8.** *For every*  $1 < p < 2$ ,

<span id="page-20-2"></span>
$$
\frac{p}{2p-1} \le \alpha_p^*(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}) \le \min\left\{\frac{p+1}{2p}, \frac{4}{3p}\right\}.
$$
\n
$$
(53)
$$

*Proof.* The lower bound in [\(53\)](#page-20-2) is an immediate corollary of Theorem [3.3.](#page-10-0) Since  $\beta^*(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{3}{4}$  $\frac{3}{4}$ , the upper bound  $\alpha_p^*(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}) \leq \frac{4}{3p}$  $\frac{4}{3p}$  follows immediately from the results of [\[4\]](#page-21-5) (or alternatively Theorem [1.1\)](#page-1-1), using the fact that  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p < 2$ , has Markov type p. The remaining upper bound is an application of the fact that  $L_p$ , 1 < *p* < 2, has Enflo type *p*, which is similar to an argument in [\[3\]](#page-21-1). Indeed, fix a mapping *F* :  $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z} \to L_p$ such that

$$
x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z} \implies d_{\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}}(x, y)^{\alpha} \leq ||F(x) - F(y)||_{p} \leq d_{\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}}(x, y).
$$

Let *f* : {-1, 1}<sup>*n*</sup> →  $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$  be as in [\(42\)](#page-15-1). Plugging the bounds in [\(43\)](#page-15-2) and [\(44\)](#page-15-3) into the Enflo type *p* inequal-ity [\(41\)](#page-15-0) for the mapping  $F \circ f : \{-1, 1\}^n \to L_p$ , we see that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $n^{2p\alpha} \le n^{p+1}$ , implying that  $\alpha \leq \frac{p+1}{2p}$ 2*p* . In the contract of the contract of

**Question 7.9.** *Evaluate*  $\alpha_p^*(\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z})$  *for*  $1 < p < 2$ *.* 

We end with the following question which arises naturally from the discussion in Section [5:](#page-14-0)

**Question 7.10.** *Does there exist a finitely generated group G which has edge Markov type* 2 *but does not have Enflo type p for any p* > 1*?*

We do not even know whether there exists a finitely generated group *G* which has edge Markov type 2 but does not have Markov type 2. Note that the results of Section [5](#page-14-0) imply that if  $1 < p < \frac{4}{3}$  $\frac{4}{3}$  then the metric space  $(Z \wr \mathbb{Z}, d_{ZZ}^{p/2})$  has bounded increment Markov type 2, but does not have Enflo type *q* for any  $q > \frac{2}{p}$  $\frac{2}{p}$ . However, this metric is not a graph metric.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to Laurent Saloff-Coste for helpful comments.

#### <span id="page-21-3"></span>**References**

- <span id="page-21-2"></span>[1] I. Aharoni, B. Maurey, and B. S. Mityagin. Uniform embeddings of metric spaces and of Banach spaces into Hilbert spaces. *Israel J. Math.*, 52(3):251–265, 1985.
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>[2] G. Arzhantseva, C. Drutu, and M. Sapir. Compression functions of uniform embeddings of groups into Hilbert and Banach spaces. Preprint, 2006. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0612378>.
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>[3] G. N. Arzhantseva, V. S. Guba, and M. V. Sapir. Metrics on diagram groups and uniform embeddings in a Hilbert space. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 81(4):911–929, 2006.
- [4] T. Austin, A. Naor, and Y. Peres. The wreath product of  $\mathbb Z$  with  $\mathbb Z$  has Hilbert compression exponent 2  $\frac{2}{3}$ . Preprint, 2007. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0706.1943>.
- <span id="page-21-8"></span><span id="page-21-6"></span>[5] T. Austin, A. Naor, and A. Valette. The Euclidean distortion of the lamplighter group. Preprint, 2007. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.4662>.
- [6] U. Bader, A. Furman, T. Gelander, and N. Monod. Property (T) and rigidity for actions on Banach spaces. *Acta. Math.*, 198(1):57–105, 2007.
- <span id="page-21-9"></span><span id="page-21-7"></span>[7] K. Ball. Markov chains, Riesz transforms and Lipschitz maps. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 2(2):137–172, 1992.
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>[8] K. Ball, E. A. Carlen, and E. H. Lieb. Sharp uniform convexity and smoothness inequalities for trace norms. *Invent. Math.*, 115(3):463–482, 1994.
- [9] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss. *Geometric nonlinear functional analysis. Vol. 1*, volume 48 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- <span id="page-21-10"></span><span id="page-21-0"></span>[10] J. Bourgain, V. Milman, and H. Wolfson. On type of metric spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 294(1):295–317, 1986.
- [11] S. Campbell and G. A. Niblo. Hilbert space compression and exactness of discrete groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 222(2):292–305, 2005.
- <span id="page-22-8"></span><span id="page-22-3"></span>[12] T. Coulhon. Random walks and geometry on infinite graphs. In *Lecture notes on analysis in metric spaces (Trento, 1999)*, Appunti Corsi Tenuti Docenti Sc., pages 5–36. Scuola Norm. Sup., Pisa, 2000.
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>[13] Y. de Cornulier, Y. Stalder, and A. Valette. Proper actions of lamplighter groups associated with free groups. Preprint, 2007. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0707.2039>.
- [14] Y. de Cornulier, R. Tessera, and A. Valette. Isometric group actions on Hilbert spaces: growth of cocycles. Preprint 2005. Available at <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math/0509527>. To appear in *Geom. Funct. Anal.*
- <span id="page-22-14"></span><span id="page-22-11"></span>[15] R. Durrett. *Probability: theory and examples*. Duxbury Press, Belmont, CA, second edition, 1996.
- [16] P. Enflo. Uniform homeomorphisms between Banach spaces. In *S´eminaire Maurey-Schwartz (1975–* 1976), Espaces, L<sup>p</sup>, applications radonifiantes et géométrie des espaces de Banach, Exp. No. 18, page 7. Centre Math., Ecole Polytech., Palaiseau, 1976. ´
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>[17] A. G. Ershler. On the asymptotics of the rate of departure to infinity. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 283(Teor. Predst. Din. Sist. Komb. i Algoritm. Metody. 6):251–257, 263, 2001.
- <span id="page-22-16"></span><span id="page-22-9"></span>[18] T. Figiel. On the moduli of convexity and smoothness. *Studia Math.*, 56:121–155, 1976.
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>[19] M. Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. ´* , (53):53–73, 1981.
- [20] E. Guentner and J. Kaminker. Exactness and uniform embeddability of discrete groups. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 70(3):703–718, 2004.
- <span id="page-22-12"></span><span id="page-22-10"></span>[21] O. Hanner. On the uniform convexity of  $L^p$  and  $l^p$ . Ark. Math., 3, 1956.
- <span id="page-22-17"></span>[22] C. D. Hardin. Isometries on subspaces of *Lp*. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 30:449–465, 1981.
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>[23] W. Hebisch and L. Saloff-Coste. Gaussian estimates for Markov chains and random walks on groups. *Ann. Probab.*, 21(2):673–709, 1993.
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>[24] V. A. Ka˘ımanovich and A. M. Vershik. Random walks on discrete groups: boundary and entropy. *Ann. Probab.*, 11(3):457–490, 1983.
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>[25] H. Kesten. Full Banach mean values on countable groups. *Math. Scand.*, 7:146–156, 1959.
- <span id="page-22-15"></span>[26] J. R. Lee, A. Naor, and Y. Peres. Trees and Markov convexity. Preprint 2005. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0706.0545>. To appear in *Geom. Funct. Anal.*
- [27] M. Mendel and A. Naor. Metric cotype. Preprint, 2005. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0506201>. To appear in *Ann. of Math.*
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>[28] A. Naor, Y. Peres, O. Schramm, and S. Sheffield. Markov chains in smooth Banach spaces and Gromov-hyperbolic metric spaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 134(1):165–197, 2006.
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>[29] A. Naor and G. Schechtman. Remarks on non linear type and Pisier's inequality. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 552:213–236, 2002.
- <span id="page-23-5"></span><span id="page-23-2"></span>[30] A. L. T. Paterson. *Amenability*, volume 29 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>[31] G. Pisier. Martingales with values in uniformly convex spaces. *Israel J. Math.*, 20(3-4):326–350, 1975.
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>[32] C. Pittet and L. Saloff-Coste. On random walks on wreath products. *Ann. Probab.*, 30(2):948–977, 2002.
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>[33] A. I. Plotkin. Isometric operators on subspaces of *Lp*. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 193:537–539, 1970.
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>[34] D. Revelle. Rate of escape of random walks on wreath products and related groups. *Ann. Probab.*, 31(4):1917–1934, 2003.
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>[35] W. Rudin. *Lp*-isometries and equimeasurability. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 25:215–228, 1976.
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>[36] Y. Stalder and A. Valette. Wreath products with the integers, proper actions and Hilbert space compression. *Geom. Dedicata*, 124(1):199–211, 2007.
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>[37] R. Tessera. Asymptotic isoperimetry on groups and uniform embeddings into Banach spaces. Preprint, 2006. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0603138>.
- [38] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann. *Banach-Mazur distances and finite-dimensional operator ideals*, volume 38 of *Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1989.
- <span id="page-23-13"></span><span id="page-23-11"></span>[39] N. T. Varopoulos. Random walks on groups. Applications to Fuchsian groups. *Ark. Mat.*, 23(1):171– 176, 1985.
- <span id="page-23-12"></span>[40] N. T. Varopoulos. Théorie du potentiel sur les groupes nilpotents. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 301(5):143–144, 1985.
- <span id="page-23-10"></span>[41] N. T. Varopoulos. Convolution powers on locally compact groups. *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)*, 111(4):333– 342, 1987.
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>[42] J. H. Wells and L. R. Williams. *Embeddings and extensions in analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 84.
- [43] W. Woess. *Random walks on infinite graphs and groups*, volume 138 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- <span id="page-23-14"></span>[44] G. Yu. Hyperbolic groups admit proper affine isometric actions on  $l^p$ -spaces. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 15(5):1114–1151, 2005.