*[Bernoulli](http://isi.cbs.nl/bernoulli/)* 17(3), 2011, 942–968 DOI: [10.3150/10-BEJ299](http://dx.doi.org/10.3150/10-BEJ299)

# Functional limit theorems for sums of independent geometric Lévy processes

ZAKHAR KABLUCHKO

*Institute of Stochastics, Ulm University, Helmholtzstr. 18, 89069 Ulm, Germany*

Let  $\xi_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , be independent copies of a Lévy process  $\{\xi(t), t \geq 0\}$ . Motivated by the results obtained previously in the context of the random energy model, we prove functional limit theorems for the process

$$
Z_N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N e^{\xi_i(s_N + t)}
$$

as  $N \to \infty$ , where s<sub>N</sub> is a non-negative sequence converging to  $+\infty$ . The limiting process depends heavily on the growth rate of the sequence  $s_N$ . If  $s_N$  grows slowly in the sense that  $\liminf_{N\to\infty} \log N/s_N > \lambda_2$  for some critical value  $\lambda_2 > 0$ , then the limit is an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. However, if  $\lambda := \lim_{N \to \infty} \log N/s_N \in (0, \lambda_2)$ , then the limit is a certain completely asymmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$ .

*Keywords:* α-stable processes; functional limit theorem; geometric Brownian motion; random energy model

### 1. Introduction and statement of main results

### 1.1. Introduction

One of the simplest models in the physics of disordered systems is the random energy model (REM). The partition function of the random energy model at an inverse temperature  $\beta > 0$  is a random variable  $S_n(\beta)$  given by

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
S_n(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} e^{\beta \sqrt{n} \zeta_i},\tag{1}
$$

where  $\zeta_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , are i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variables. Bovier *et al.* [\[7](#page-26-0)] studied the limit laws of  $S_n(\beta)$  as  $n \to \infty$  in dependence on the parameter  $\beta$ . They showed that for  $\beta < \sqrt{\log 2/2}$ , the random variable  $S_n(\beta)$  obeys a central limit theorem with

This is an electronic reprint of the original article published by the [ISI/BS](http://isi.cbs.nl/BS/bshome.htm) in *[Bernoulli](http://isi.cbs.nl/bernoulli/)*, [2011, Vol. 17, No. 3, 942–968.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3150/10-BEJ299) This reprint differs from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

a Gaussian limit law, whereas for  $\beta > \sqrt{\log 2/2}$ , the limit distribution is a completely asymmetric  $\alpha$ -stable law. The results of [\[7](#page-26-0)] have been extended by Ben Arous *et al.* [\[3\]](#page-26-1) to the case when the random variables  $\zeta_i$  are non-Gaussian; see also [\[5](#page-26-2), [6,](#page-26-3) [12](#page-26-4)]. Extending [\[7\]](#page-26-0) in a different direction, Cranston and Molchanov [\[8](#page-26-5)] considered sums of the form

$$
R_n(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(n)} e^{\beta \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta_{i,j}},
$$
\n(2)

where  $\zeta_{i,j}, (i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , is a two-dimensional array of i.i.d. random variables,  $N(n)$  is a certain exponentially growing function of  $n, \beta > 0$ , and  $n \to \infty$ . The sum  $R_n(\beta)$  reduces to  $S_n(\beta)$  if the random variables  $\zeta_{i,j}$  are standard Gaussian and  $N(n) = 2^n$ . Cranston and Molchanov [\[8](#page-26-5)] have shown that the behavior of the sum  $R_n(\beta)$  is rather similar to that of the sum  $S_n(\beta)$ , with Gaussian and completely asymmetric  $\alpha$ -stable limit laws. Unaware of [\[8](#page-26-5)], the author proved essentially the same result in [\[14\]](#page-26-6).

The aim of the present paper is to obtain *functional limit theorems* corresponding to the results of [\[7,](#page-26-0) [8,](#page-26-5) [14\]](#page-26-6). That is, we will consider sums of exponentials of stochastic processes (Lévy processes or random walks) rather than sums of exponentials of random variables. We prefer to work with Lévy processes, but it should be stressed that all our results have straightforward analogues for random walks. Let  $\xi_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , be independent copies of a Lévy process  $\{\xi(t), t \geq 0\}$ , and let  $\{s_N\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a non-negative sequence. We are interested in the limiting properties, as  $N \to \infty$ , of the stochastic process  $Z_N$  defined by

$$
Z_N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N e^{\xi_i(s_N + t)}.
$$
 (3)

Since the random variable  $Z_N(0)$  reduces essentially to  $R_{s_N}(\beta)$ , we will recover the results of [\[7,](#page-26-0) [8,](#page-26-5) [14\]](#page-26-6) by restricting our processes to  $t = 0$ . If  $s_N = \beta^2 n$ ,  $N = 2^n$ , and  $\xi$ is a standard Brownian motion, then  $Z_N(0)$  has the same distribution as the partition function of the random energy model  $S_n(\beta)$  given in [\(1\)](#page-0-0). The results of [\[7](#page-26-0), [8](#page-26-5), [14](#page-26-6)] suggest that the limiting process for  $Z_N$  as  $N \to \infty$  should be either Gaussian or completely asymmetric  $\alpha$ -stable depending on the rate of growth of the sequence  $s_N$ . We will show that this is indeed the case, obtaining in the limit an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process in the "slow growth regime", and a certain completely asymmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$  in the "fast growth regime". The family of processes  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$  has not been studied in the literature so far, although a similar class of max-stable processes has been considered in [\[23\]](#page-27-0).

To give a motivation for studying the process  $Z_N$ , consider the following problem. Suppose that we are given a portfolio consisting of a large number  $N$  of financial assets whose prices are modeled by independent geometric Brownian motions (or, somewhat more generally, by independent geometric Lévy processes). Then, the price of the whole portfolio after  $s_N$  units of time have passed is given by the process  $Z_N$ . It will be shown below that if  $s_N$ , as a function of N, grows slowly (i.e., if we are looking at the price in the *near* future), then the price of the portfolio is approximated by an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process, whereas if  $s_N$  grows rapidly (i.e., if we are interested in the *remote* future), then the price is approximated by the  $\alpha$ -stable process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha,\xi}$ . For example, if we are summing standard geometric Brownian motions, then the boundary between the near future and the remote future lies at  $s_N \sim \frac{1}{2} \log N$ .

### 1.2. Notation

Before we can state our results, we need to recall some facts related to Cramér's large deviations theorem; see, for instance,  $[9]$ , Chapter 2.2. A Lévy process is a process with stationary, independent increments and cadlag sample paths. Let  $\{\xi(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a Lévy process such that

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\psi(u) := \log \mathbb{E}e^{u\xi(1)} \qquad \text{is finite for all } u \in \mathbb{R}.\tag{4}
$$

We always assume that  $\xi(1)$  is not a.s. constant. The function  $\psi$  is infinitely differentiable and strictly convex with  $\psi(0) = 0$ . It follows that  $\psi' : [0, \infty) \to [\beta_0, \beta_\infty)$  is a monotone increasing bijection, where

$$
\beta_0 = \psi'(0) = \mathbb{E}\xi(1), \qquad \beta_\infty = \lim_{u \to +\infty} \psi'(u). \tag{5}
$$

Let  $I : [\beta_0, \beta_\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$  be the Legendre–Fenchel transform of  $\psi$  defined by

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
I(\psi'(u)) = u\psi'(u) - \psi(u), \qquad u \ge 0.
$$
\n
$$
(6)
$$

The function I is strictly increasing, strictly convex, infinitely differentiable with  $I(\beta_0)$  = 0. As in [\[8,](#page-26-5) [14](#page-26-6)], it will turn out that the limiting properties of the process  $Z_N$  undergo phase transitions at the "critical points"  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  given by

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\lambda_1 = I(\psi'(1)) = \psi'(1) - \psi(1), \qquad \lambda_2 = I(\psi'(2)) = 2\psi'(2) - \psi(2). \tag{7}
$$

For example, if  $\xi$  is a standard Brownian motion, then  $\psi(u) = I(u) = u^2/2$  and the critical points are given by  $\lambda_1 = 1/2, \lambda_2 = 2$ .

#### 1.3. Statement of main results

Our first result deals with the case  $s_N = 0$  (but covers automatically also the case  $s_N =$ *const*). It is a consequence of the central limit theorem in the Skorokhod space, and is stated merely for completeness.

**Theorem 1.1.** If  $s_N = 0$  and condition [\(4\)](#page-2-0) holds, then for every  $T > 0$ , we have the *following weak convergence of stochastic processes on the Skorokhod space*  $D[0,T]$ :

<span id="page-2-3"></span><span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\frac{Z_N(\cdot) - \mathbb{E}Z_N(\cdot)}{\sqrt{N}} \xrightarrow{w} \mathbb{G}(\cdot), \qquad N \to \infty,
$$
\n(8)

*where*  $\{\mathbb{G}(t), t \geq 0\}$  *is a zero-mean Gaussian process with covariance function* 

$$
Cov(\mathbb{G}(t_1), \mathbb{G}(t_2)) = e^{\psi(2)t_1 + \psi(1)(t_2 - t_1)} - e^{\psi(1)(t_1 + t_2)}, \qquad 0 \le t_1 \le t_2.
$$
 (9)

Our next theorem deals with the case in which  $s_N$  grows slowly as a function of N. We will assume that the following slow growth condition is satisfied:

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} s_N = \infty, \qquad \liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log N}{s_N} > \lambda_2. \tag{10}
$$

<span id="page-3-3"></span>**Theorem 1.2.** If conditions [\(4\)](#page-2-0) and [\(10\)](#page-3-0) hold, then for every  $T > 0$ , we have the fol*lowing weak convergence of stochastic processes on the Skorokhod space*  $D[-T, T]$ *:* 

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\frac{Z_N(\cdot) - \mathbb{E}Z_N(\cdot)}{\sqrt{\text{Var }Z_N(\cdot)}} \xrightarrow{w} \mathbb{X}(\cdot), \qquad N \to \infty,
$$
\n(11)

*where*  $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}\$ is a zero-mean Gaussian process with covariance function

$$
Cov(\mathbb{X}(t_1), \mathbb{X}(t_2)) = e^{(\psi(1) - \psi(2)/2)|t_2 - t_1|}, \qquad t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
 (12)

Note that X is an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process and that the process on the left-hand side of [\(11\)](#page-3-1) is well defined on  $[-T, T]$  if N is sufficiently large. In the next theorem, which deals with the "critical case", we still obtain an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process in the limit, but an additional factor appears. We will assume that the following critical growth condition holds: For some  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
\log N = \lambda_2 s_N + 2\vartheta \sqrt{\psi''(2)s_N} + o(\sqrt{s_N}), \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (13)

<span id="page-3-6"></span>Theorem 1.3. *If conditions [\(4\)](#page-2-0) and [\(13\)](#page-3-2) are satisfied, then we have the following convergence of stochastic processes:*

$$
\frac{Z_N(\cdot) - \mathbb{E}Z_N(\cdot)}{\sqrt{\text{Var } Z_N(\cdot)}} f \stackrel{d}{\to} \sqrt{\Phi(\vartheta)} \mathbb{X}(\cdot), \qquad N \to \infty,
$$
\n(14)

*where*  $\Phi$  *is the standard normal distribution function,* X *is as in Theorem [1.2,](#page-3-3) and*  $\stackrel{f.d.d.}{\rightarrow}$ *denotes the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions.*

Let us stress that even when restricted to  $t = 0$ , the above theorem gives a more "smooth" picture of the critical regime than the corresponding results of  $[7, 8, 14]$  $[7, 8, 14]$  $[7, 8, 14]$  $[7, 8, 14]$  $[7, 8, 14]$  where only the case  $\vartheta = 0$  has been considered.

The next theorem shows that in the fast growth case, a non-Gaussian process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha:\xi}$ appears in the limit. We need the following fast growth condition:

<span id="page-3-5"></span><span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
\lambda := \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log N}{s_N} \in (0, \lambda_2). \tag{15}
$$

Recall also that a random variable is called lattice if its values are of the form  $an + b$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and non-lattice if no such a and b exist.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose that*  $(4)$  *and*  $(15)$  *hold, and assume that the distribution of*  $\xi(1)$ *is non-lattice. Define*  $\alpha \in (0,2)$  *as the unique solution of the equation*  $I(\psi'(\alpha)) = \lambda$  *and let* 

$$
A_N(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & if \lambda \in (0, \lambda_1), \\ e^{\psi(1)t} N \mathbb{E}[e^{\xi(s_N)} 1_{\xi(s_N) \le \log B_N(0)}] + l(t) B_N(t), & if \lambda = \lambda_1, \\ e^{\psi(1)t} \mathbb{E} Z_N(0), & if \lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_2), \end{cases}
$$
(16)

*where*  $l(t) = (\psi'(0) - \psi'(1))t1_{t<0}$ *, and* 

$$
B_N(t) = e^{(\psi(\alpha)/\alpha)t} \exp\bigg\{ s_N I^{-1} \bigg( \frac{\log N - \log(\alpha \sqrt{2\pi \psi''(\alpha)s_N})}{s_N} \bigg) \bigg\}.
$$
 (17)

*Then, for every*  $T > 0$ *, we have the following convergence of stochastic processes on the Skorokhod space*  $D[-T, T]$ *:* 

$$
\frac{Z_N(\cdot) - A_N(\cdot)}{B_N(\cdot)} \xrightarrow{w} \mathbb{Y}_{\alpha; \xi}(\cdot), \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (18)

*Here,*  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha,\xi}$  *is a completely asymmetric*  $\alpha$ -stable process that will be defined below.

**Remark 1.1.** Our results have straightforward discrete-time analogues with geometric Lévy processes replaced by exponentials of independent random walks. If  $\xi$  is the standard Brownian motion, then in all our results the weak convergence in the Skorokhod space can be replaced by the weak convergence in the space of continuous functions. The nonlattice assumption in Theorem [1.4](#page-3-5) cannot be dropped; see [\[15\]](#page-27-1).

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>1.4. Definition of the process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$

We now define the  $\alpha$ -stable process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$  which appeared in Theorem [1.4.](#page-3-5) Our main reference on  $\alpha$ -stable distributions and processes is [\[22\]](#page-27-2). First of all, fix some  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , and let  $\xi_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , be independent copies of a Lévy process  $\{\xi(t), t \ge 0\}$  satisfying condition [\(4\)](#page-2-0). Independently, let  $\{\Gamma_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}\)$  be the arrivals of a unit intensity Poisson process on the positive half-line. In other words,  $\Gamma_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i$ , where  $\varepsilon_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , are i.i.d. exponential random variables with mean 1. Define  $U_i = \Gamma_i^{-1/\alpha}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and note that  $\{U_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ are the points of a Poisson process on  $(0, \infty)$  with intensity  $\alpha u^{-(\alpha+1)} du$ , arranged in the descending order. The restriction of the process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$  to the positive half-line is then

defined as follows: For  $t \geq 0$ , we set

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}(t) = \begin{cases}\n\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i e^{\xi_i(t) - (\psi(\alpha)/\alpha)t}, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \\
\lim_{\tau \downarrow 0} \left( \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ U_i > \tau}} U_i e^{\xi_i(t) - \psi(1)t} - \log \frac{1}{\tau} \right), & \alpha = 1, \\
\lim_{\substack{U_i > \tau \\ \tau \downarrow 0}} \left( \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ U_i > \tau}} U_i e^{\xi_i(t) - (\psi(\alpha)/\alpha)t} - \frac{\alpha \tau^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha - 1} e^{(\psi(1) - \psi(\alpha)/\alpha)t} \right), & 1 < \alpha < 2.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(19)

For the definition of the process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$  on the negative half-line we refer to [\[13\]](#page-26-8). The Poisson representation of  $\alpha$ -stable random vectors – see [\[22](#page-27-2)], Theorem 3.12.2 – implies that for every  $t \geq 0$ , the expression defining  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha,\xi}(t)$  converges with probability 1. Further, the finite-dimensional distributions of the process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$  are  $\alpha$ -stable with skewness parameter  $\beta = 1$ . If  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then the process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha; \xi}$  takes only positive values; otherwise, it takes any real values. For the proof of the next proposition we refer to [\[13\]](#page-26-8).

<span id="page-5-4"></span>**Proposition 1.1.** *The expression on the right-hand side of* [\(19\)](#page-5-0) *defining*  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$  *converges uniformly on compact sets with probability* 1*.*

As a consequence, the process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$  has cadlag sample paths. Moreover, if  $\xi$  is a Brownian motion, then the sample paths of  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$  are even continuous. The process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$  is stationary for  $\alpha \neq 1$ ; see the preprint version of this paper [\[13\]](#page-26-8) for this and other properties of  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$ . The rest of the paper is devoted to proofs.

# 2. Large deviations and truncated exponential moments

The next proposition on the asymptotic behavior of truncated exponential moments will play a crucial role in the sequel. Parts of it are scattered over [\[8,](#page-26-5) [14\]](#page-26-6), but we will give a simple unified proof below.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $\{\xi(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a Lévy process satisfying [\(4\)](#page-2-0) and suppose that *the distribution of*  $\xi(1)$  *is non-lattice. Let*  $\kappa \geq 0$ *, and let*  $b_N \to \infty$  *and*  $x_N \to \infty$  *be two sequences. Let* I *be the large deviation function of*  $\xi(1)$ *, as defined in* [\(6\)](#page-2-1)*.* 

<span id="page-5-2"></span>(1) If for some 
$$
\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}
$$
,  $b_N = \psi'(\kappa)x_N + \vartheta \sqrt{\psi''(\kappa)x_N} + o(\sqrt{x_N})$  as  $N \to \infty$ , then

<span id="page-5-3"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} e^{-\psi(\kappa)x_N} \mathbb{E}[e^{\kappa \xi(x_N)} 1_{\xi(x_N) \le b_N}] = \Phi(\vartheta),\tag{20}
$$

*where* Φ *is the standard Gaussian distribution function.*

948 *Z. Kabluchko*

<span id="page-6-1"></span>(2) If  $\liminf_{N\to\infty} b_N/x_N > \psi'(\kappa)$ , then

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} e^{-\psi(\kappa)x_N} \mathbb{E}[e^{\kappa \xi(x_N)} 1_{\xi(x_N) > b_N}] = 0.
$$
\n(21)

*If, moreover,*  $\lim_{N \to \infty} b_N/x_N = \psi'(\alpha)$  *for some*  $\alpha > \kappa$ *, then* 

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{\kappa\xi(x_N)}1_{\xi(x_N)>b_N}] \sim \frac{e^{\kappa b_N}}{(\alpha-\kappa)\sqrt{2\pi\psi''(\alpha)x_N}} e^{-I(b_N/x_N)x_N}, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (22)

<span id="page-6-6"></span>(3) If  $\limsup_{N\to\infty} b_N/x_N < \psi'(\kappa)$ , then

<span id="page-6-4"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} e^{-\psi(\kappa)x_N} \mathbb{E}[e^{\kappa \xi(x_N)} 1_{\xi(x_N) \le b_N}] = 0.
$$
\n(23)

*If, moreover,*  $\lim_{N \to \infty} b_N/x_N = \psi'(\alpha)$  *for some*  $\alpha \in (0, \kappa)$ *, then* 

$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{\kappa\xi(x_N)}1_{\xi(x_N)\leq b_N}] \sim \frac{e^{\kappa b_N}}{(\kappa-\alpha)\sqrt{2\pi\psi''(\alpha)x_N}} e^{-I(b_N/x_N)x_N}, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (24)

The following precise form of Cramér's large deviations theorem was stated and proved in  $[2, 18]$  $[2, 18]$  for sums of i.i.d. random variables, but applies equally well to Lévy processes.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\{\xi(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a Lévy process satisfying [\(4\)](#page-2-0) and suppose that the distribution of  $\xi(1)$  is non-lattice. Let  $\beta = \psi'(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha > 0$ . Then,

$$
\mathbb{P}[\xi(T) \ge \beta T] \sim \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{2\pi \psi''(\alpha)T}} e^{-I(\beta)T}, \qquad T \to \infty.
$$
 (25)

*The statement holds uniformly in*  $\beta \in K$  *for any compact set*  $K \subset (\beta_0, \beta_\infty)$ *.* 

Proof of Proposition [2.1.](#page-5-1) We will use an exponential change of measure argument. Denote by  $F_t$  the distribution function of  $\xi(t)$ . There exists a Lévy process  $\{\tilde{\xi}(t), t \geq 0\}$ (an exponential twist of  $\xi$ ) such that  $\tilde{F}_t$ , the distribution function of  $\tilde{\xi}(t)$ , is given by

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\frac{\tilde{F}_t(\mathrm{d}x)}{F_t(\mathrm{d}x)} = e^{\kappa x - \psi(\kappa)t}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}.\tag{26}
$$

Recall from [\(4\)](#page-2-0) that  $\psi(u) = \log \mathbb{E}e^{u\xi(1)}$  and let  $\tilde{\psi}(u) = \log \mathbb{E}e^{u\xi(1)}$ . By [\(26\)](#page-6-0), we have

<span id="page-6-5"></span>
$$
\tilde{\psi}(u) = \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ux} d\tilde{F}_1(x) = \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ux} e^{\kappa x - \psi(\kappa)} dF_1(x) = \psi(u+\kappa) - \psi(\kappa).
$$
 (27)

Hence,

$$
\mathbb{E}\tilde{\xi}(T) = \tilde{\psi}'(0)T = \psi'(\kappa)T, \qquad \text{Var}\,\tilde{\xi}(T) = \tilde{\psi}''(0)T = \psi''(\kappa)T. \tag{28}
$$

The study of the truncated exponential moment

$$
M_N := e^{-\psi(\kappa)x_N} \mathbb{E}[e^{\kappa \xi(x_N)} 1_{\xi(x_N) \le b_N}] \tag{29}
$$

can be reduced to the study of the probability  $\mathbb{P}[\tilde{\xi}(x_N) \leq b_N]$  as follows:

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
M_N = \int_{-\infty}^{b_N} e^{\kappa x - \psi(\kappa)x_N} dF_{x_N}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{b_N} d\tilde{F}_{x_N}(x) = \mathbb{P}[\tilde{\xi}(x_N) \le b_N].
$$
 (30)

Having the central limit theorem in mind, we write

$$
\mathbb{P}[\tilde{\xi}(x_N) \le b_N] = \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\tilde{\xi}(x_N) - \psi'(\kappa)x_N}{\sqrt{\psi''(\kappa)x_N}} \le r_N\right], \qquad \text{where } r_N = \frac{b_N - \psi'(\kappa)x_N}{\sqrt{\psi''(\kappa)x_N}}.\tag{31}
$$

Let us prove part [1](#page-5-2) of the proposition. By the assumption of part [1,](#page-5-2) we have  $\lim_{N\to\infty} r_N = \vartheta$ . Then, it follows from [\(30\)](#page-7-0) and the central limit theorem that

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} M_N = \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[\tilde{\xi}(x_N) \le b_N] = \Phi(\vartheta),
$$

which proves  $(20)$ .

Let us prove part [2](#page-6-1) of the proposition. If  $\liminf_{N\to\infty} b_N/x_N > \psi'(\kappa)$ , then  $\lim_{N\to\infty} r_N =$  $+\infty$ , and the central limit theorem implies that

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} M_N = \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[\tilde{\xi}(x_N) \le b_N] = 1,
$$

which proves [\(21\)](#page-6-2). To prove [\(22\)](#page-6-3), we will apply Theorem [2.1](#page-6-4) to the process  $\tilde{\xi}$ . The large deviation function of the process  $\tilde{\xi}$  is defined by  $\tilde{I}(\tilde{\psi}'(u)) = u\tilde{\psi}'(u) - \tilde{\psi}(u)$ . Hence, setting  $\beta = \tilde{\psi}'(u)$  and taking into account [\(27\)](#page-6-5), we obtain

$$
\tilde{I}(\beta) = \tilde{I}(\tilde{\psi}'(u)) = u\tilde{\psi}'(u) - \tilde{\psi}(u) = u\psi'(u+\kappa) - \psi(u+\kappa) + \psi(\kappa).
$$

Note that  $\beta = \psi'(u + \kappa)$  by [\(27\)](#page-6-5). It follows that we have the following formula for the function  $I$ :

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\tilde{I}(\beta) = I(\beta) + \psi(\kappa) - \kappa \beta.
$$
\n(32)

If  $\lim_{N\to\infty} b_N/x_N = \psi'(\alpha) = \tilde{\psi}'(\alpha - \kappa)$ , then we apply Theorem [2.1](#page-6-4) to obtain that

$$
\mathbb{P}[\tilde{\xi}(x_N) > b_N] \sim \frac{1}{(\alpha - \kappa)\sqrt{2\pi\psi''(\alpha)}x_N} e^{-\tilde{I}(b_N/x_N)x_N}, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$

A straightforward calculation using [\(32\)](#page-7-1) leads to [\(22\)](#page-6-3). The proof of part [3](#page-6-6) of the propo-sition is analogous to the proof of part [2.](#page-6-1)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-7-2"></span>We will need the following lemmas; see [\[14\]](#page-26-6), Lemma 3, and [\[13\]](#page-26-8), Lemma 8.1, for their proofs.

950 *Z. Kabluchko*

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Lemma 2.1.** For every  $u > 0$ ,  $I'(\psi'(u)) = u$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\xi$  be a Lévy process satisfying [\(4\)](#page-2-0). Let  $p \in [1,2]$  and fix some  $T > 0$ . *Then, there is*  $C > 0$  *such that for all*  $t \in [0, T]$ *,* 

$$
\mathbb{E}|e^{\xi(t)} - 1|^p \le Ct^{p/2}, \qquad \mathbb{E}|e^{2\xi(t)} - e^{\xi(t)}|^p \le Ct^{p/2}.
$$
 (33)

# 3. Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-2)

The proof is a standard application of the central limit theorem in the Skorokhod space. First let us compute the covariance function of the process  $e^{\xi}$ . We have, for  $0 \le t_1 \le t_2$ ,

$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{\xi(t_1)}e^{\xi(t_2)}] = \mathbb{E}e^{2\xi(t_1)} \cdot \mathbb{E}e^{\xi(t_2) - \xi(t_1)} = e^{\psi(2)t_1 + \psi(1)(t_2 - t_1)}.
$$

Since  $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi(t)} = e^{\psi(1)t}$ , we have

$$
Cov(e^{\xi(t_1)}, e^{\xi(t_2)}) = e^{\psi(2)t_1 + \psi(1)(t_2 - t_1)} - e^{\psi(1)(t_1 + t_2)}.
$$

An application of the multidimensional central limit theorem proves that [\(8\)](#page-2-3) holds in the sense of the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions. To prove the weak convergence in the space  $D[0, T]$ , we will verify the conditions of [\[10\]](#page-26-10), Theorem 2. For every  $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le T$ , we have

$$
\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{e}^{\xi(t_2)} - \mathbf{e}^{\xi(t_1)})^2 = \mathbb{E}\mathbf{e}^{2\xi(t_1)} \cdot \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{e}^{\xi(t_2) - \xi(t_1)} - 1)^2 < C(t_2 - t_1),
$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma [2.2.](#page-8-0) This verifies the first condition of  $[10]$ , Theorem 2. The second condition can be proved in a similar way: for every  $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le$  $t_3 \leq T$ , we have

$$
\mathbb{E}[(e^{\xi(t_2)} - e^{\xi(t_1)})^2 (e^{\xi(t_3)} - e^{\xi(t_2)})^2]
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{E}e^{2\xi(t_1)} \cdot \mathbb{E}(e^{2(\xi(t_2) - \xi(t_1))} - e^{\xi(t_2) - \xi(t_1)})^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}(e^{\xi(t_3) - \xi(t_2)} - 1)^2
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{E}e^{2\xi(t_1)} \cdot \mathbb{E}(e^{2\xi(t_2 - t_1)} - e^{\xi(t_2 - t_1)})^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}(e^{\xi(t_3 - t_2)} - 1)^2
$$
\n
$$
\leq C(t_3 - t_1)^2,
$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma [2.2.](#page-8-0) This completes the proof.

# 4. Proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-3-3)

#### 4.1. Weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions

The first step in establishing Theorem [1.2](#page-3-3) is to prove the weak convergence of finitedimensional distributions in [\(11\)](#page-3-1). It will be convenient to define a positive-valued stochastic process  $W_N$  by

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
W_N(t) = N^{-1/2} e^{\xi(s_N + t) - (\psi(2)/2)(s_N + t)}.
$$
\n(34)

Let  $t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_d$  be fixed, and define a d-dimensional random vector  $\mathbf{W}_N = (W_N(t_1), \ldots,$  $W_N(t_d)$ . If  $\mathbf{W}_{1,N},\ldots,\mathbf{W}_{N,N}$  are independent copies of  $\mathbf{W}_N$ , then our aim is to prove that

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{W}_{i,N} - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{W}_{i,N}) \stackrel{w}{\to} (\mathbb{X}(t_k))_{k=1}^d, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (35)

To see that this implies the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions in The-orem [1.2,](#page-3-3) it suffices to show that  $Var Z_N(t) \sim N e^{\psi(2)(s_N + t)}$  as  $N \to \infty$ . This can be done as follows:

<span id="page-9-5"></span>
$$
\operatorname{Var} Z_N(t) = N(\mathbb{E}e^{2\xi(s_N+t)} - (\mathbb{E}e^{\xi(s_N+t)})^2) \n= N(e^{\psi(2)(s_N+t)} - e^{2\psi(1)(s_N+t)}) \n\sim N e^{\psi(2)(s_N+t)}, \qquad N \to \infty,
$$
\n(36)

where we have used that  $\lim_{N\to\infty} s_N = \infty$  by [\(10\)](#page-3-0) and that  $\psi(2) > 2\psi(1)$  by the strict convexity of  $\psi$ .

We start proving  $(35)$ . First of all, let us compute the covariance matrix of the random vector  $\mathbf{W}_N$ . Using [\(34\)](#page-8-1) and [\(4\)](#page-2-0), as well as the fact that  $\xi$  is a Lévy process, we obtain that for every  $1 \leq k \leq l \leq d$ ,

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k)W_N(t_l)] = N^{-1}e^{-\psi(2)s_N}e^{-(\psi(2)/2)(t_k+t_l)}\mathbb{E}e^{\xi(s_N+t_k)+\xi(s_N+t_l)} \n= N^{-1}e^{-\psi(2)s_N}e^{-(\psi(2)/2)(t_k+t_l)}\mathbb{E}e^{2\xi(s_N+t_k)} \cdot \mathbb{E}e^{\xi(s_N+t_l)-\xi(s_N+t_k)} \n= N^{-1}e^{-\psi(2)s_N}e^{-(\psi(2)/2)(t_k+t_l)}e^{\psi(2)(s_N+t_k)}e^{\psi(1)(t_l-t_k)} \n= N^{-1}e^{(\psi(1)-\psi(2)/2)(t_l-t_k)}.
$$
\n(37)

Since  $\psi(2) > 2\psi(1)$  by the strict convexity of  $\psi$ , and  $\lim_{N \to \infty} s_N = \infty$  by [\(10\)](#page-3-0), we have for every  $k = 1, \ldots, d$ ,

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
\sqrt{N} \mathbb{E} W_N(t_k) = e^{\psi(1)(s_N + t_k)} e^{-(\psi(2)/2)(s_N + t_k)} \to 0, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (38)

It follows from [\(37\)](#page-9-1) and [\(38\)](#page-9-2) that

<span id="page-9-4"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \operatorname{Cov}(W_N(t_k), W_N(t_l)) = e^{(\psi(1) - \psi(2)/2)(t_l - t_k)} = \operatorname{Cov}(\mathbb{X}(t_k), \mathbb{X}(t_l)).
$$
\n(39)

In order to establish [\(35\)](#page-9-0), we will verify the Lindeberg condition, that is, we will show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{W}_N - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{W}_N\|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\|\mathbf{W}_N - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{W}_N\| > \varepsilon}] = 0,
$$
\n(40)

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The multivariate form of the Lindeberg condition we are using can be found, for example, in [\[1](#page-26-11)], Example 4 on page 41. Since

 $\lim_{N\to\infty}\sqrt{N}\mathbb{E}\mathbf{W}_N=0$  by [\(38\)](#page-9-2), we have  $\|\mathbb{E}\mathbf{W}_N\|<\varepsilon/2$  for N large enough. Thus, for N large enough,

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{W}_{N} - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{W}_{N}\|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\|\mathbf{W}_{N} - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{W}_{N}\| > \varepsilon}] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{W}_{N} - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{W}_{N}\|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\|\mathbf{W}_{N}\| > \varepsilon/2}].
$$
 (41)

Applying the inequality  $||w_1 + w_2||^2 \le 2||w_1||^2 + 2||w_2||^2$  to the right-hand side of [\(41\)](#page-10-0), we get

$$
N \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{W}_{N}-\mathbb{E}\mathbf{W}_{N}\|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\|\mathbf{W}_{N}-\mathbb{E}\mathbf{W}_{N}\|>\varepsilon}]\leq 2N \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{W}_{N}\|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\|\mathbf{W}_{N}\|>\varepsilon/2}]+2N \|\mathbb{E}\mathbf{W}_{N}\|^{2}.
$$

Note that the second term on the right-hand side converges to 0 by [\(38\)](#page-9-2). Hence, in order to prove [\(40\)](#page-9-3), it suffices to show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{W}_N\|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\|\mathbf{W}_N\| > \varepsilon}] = 0.
$$
\n(42)

Let  $\mathcal{A}_{N,k}, k = 1, ..., d$ , be the random event  $\{W_N(t_k) \ge W_N(t_l), l = 1, ..., d\}$ . On  $\mathcal{A}_{N,k}$ , we have  $\|\mathbf{W}_N\|^2 \le dW_N^2(t_k)$ . Hence,

$$
\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{W}_{N}\|^{2}1_{\|\mathbf{W}_{N}\|>\varepsilon}]\leq \sum_{k=1}^{d} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{W}_{N}\|^{2}1_{\|\mathbf{W}_{N}\|>\varepsilon}1_{\mathcal{A}_{N,k}}]
$$
  

$$
\leq d \sum_{k=1}^{d} \mathbb{E}[W_{N}^{2}(t_{k})1_{W_{N}(t_{k})>\varepsilon/\sqrt{d}}].
$$

Thus, in order to prove [\(40\)](#page-9-3), it suffices to show that for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N^2(t) 1_{W_N(t) > \varepsilon}] = 0.
$$
\n(43)

Recalling [\(34\)](#page-8-1) and setting  $x_N = s_N + t$  and  $b_N = \frac{1}{2}(\log N + \psi(2)x_N) + \log \varepsilon$ , we may write

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
N\mathbb{E}[W_N^2(t)\mathbf{1}_{W_N(t)>\varepsilon}] = e^{-\psi(2)x_N}\mathbb{E}[e^{2\xi(x_N)}\mathbf{1}_{\xi(x_N)>b_N}].
$$
\n(44)

Note that by the slow growth condition [\(10\)](#page-3-0),

$$
\liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{b_N}{x_N} > \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 + \psi(2)) = \psi'(2).
$$

Applying part [2](#page-6-1) of Proposition [2.1](#page-5-1) with  $\kappa = 2$  to the right-hand side of [\(44\)](#page-10-1) we obtain [\(43\)](#page-10-2). This verifies the Lindeberg condition [\(40\)](#page-9-3) and, together with [\(39\)](#page-9-4), completes the proof of the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions in Theorem [1.2.](#page-3-3)

### 4.2. Tightness

In the rest of the section we complete the proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-3-3) by showing that the sequence

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\left\{ \frac{Z_N(t) - \mathbb{E}Z_N(t)}{\sqrt{\text{Var } Z_N(t)}}, t \in [-T, T] \right\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}
$$
\n(45)

is a tight sequence of stochastic processes in the Skorokhod space  $D[-T, T]$ , where  $T > 0$ is fixed. Since the sequence [\(45\)](#page-11-0) does not change if we replace the Lévy process  $\xi$  by the Lévy process  $\tilde{\xi}(t) := \xi(t) - \psi(1)t$ , we may and will assume that

<span id="page-11-4"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}e^{\xi(t)} = 1, \qquad t \ge 0. \tag{46}
$$

Further, since by [\(36\)](#page-9-5), Var  $Z_N(t) \sim N e^{\psi(2)(s_N+t)}$  as  $N \to \infty$ , showing the tightness of [\(45\)](#page-11-0) is equivalent to showing the tightness of the sequence  $\{Z'_N(t), t \in [-T, T]\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $Z'_N$ is a process defined by

$$
Z'_{N}(t) = \frac{Z_{N}(t) - N}{N^{1/2} e^{\psi(2)s_{N}/2}}.\tag{47}
$$

By a standard tightness criterion in the Skorokhod space given in [\[4](#page-26-12)], page 128, it suffices to show that there are  $p > 1$  and  $C > 0$  such that for all sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and all  $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in [-T, T]$  with  $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ ,

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[|Z'_{N}(t_2) - Z'_{N}(t_1)|^{p} |Z'_{N}(t_3) - Z'_{N}(t_2)|^{p}] \leq C|t_3 - t_1|^{p}.
$$
\n(48)

It will be convenient to define random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  and  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_N$  (which depend on  $N, t_1, t_2, t_3$  by

$$
X_i = e^{\xi_i(s_N+t_2)} - e^{\xi_i(s_N+t_1)}, \qquad Y_i = e^{\xi_i(s_N+t_3)} - e^{\xi_i(s_N+t_2)}.
$$

Then, we may rewrite [\(48\)](#page-11-1) as follows:

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}X_{i}Y_{j}\right|^{p} \le CN^{p}e^{p\psi(2)s_{N}}|t_{3}-t_{1}|^{p}.
$$
\n(49)

First of all, we would like to treat the terms of the form  $X_iY_i$  on the left-hand side of [\(49\)](#page-11-2) separately. Applying Jensen's inequality  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i|^p \leq k^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} |x_i|^p, x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , we obtain

<span id="page-11-3"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}X_{i}Y_{j}\right|^{p} = \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{1\leq i\n
$$
\leq 2 \cdot 3^{p-1}\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{1\leq i\n(50)
$$
$$

In the rest of the proof we estimate the terms on the right-hand side. We start by showing that

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i Y_i\right|^p \le CN^p e^{p\psi(2)s_N} |t_3 - t_1|^p. \tag{51}
$$

By an inequality of Rosenthal [\[20](#page-27-4)], Lemma 1 (or see [\[11\]](#page-26-13)),

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i Y_i\right|^p \le C \max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}|X_i Y_i|^p, \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}|X_i Y_i|\right)^p\right\}.
$$
\n(52)

Thus, to establish  $(51)$ , it suffices to show that

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}|X_iY_i|^p \le CN^{p-1}e^{p\psi(2)s_N}|t_3 - t_1|^p,\tag{53}
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}|X_i Y_i| \leq C e^{\psi(2)s_N} |t_3 - t_1|.
$$
\n(54)

Since  $\xi$  is a process with stationary and independent increments, we have

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}|X_iY_i|^p = \mathbb{E}|(e^{\xi(s_N+t_2)} - e^{\xi(s_N+t_1)})(e^{\xi(s_N+t_3)} - e^{\xi(s_N+t_2)})|^p
$$
  
= 
$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{2p\xi(s_N+t_1)}] \cdot \mathbb{E}|e^{\xi(t_3-t_2)} - 1|^p \cdot \mathbb{E}|e^{2\xi(t_2-t_1)} - e^{\xi(t_2-t_1)}|^p.
$$
 (55)

The first factor on the right-hand side of [\(55\)](#page-12-1) equals  $e^{\psi(2p)(s_N+t_1)}$ . Applying Lemma [2.2](#page-8-0) to the last two factors on the right-hand side of [\(55\)](#page-12-1), we get

$$
\mathbb{E}|X_iY_i|^p \leq C e^{\psi(2p)s_N}|t_3 - t_1|^p.
$$

To complete the proof of [\(53\)](#page-12-2), we need to show that for some  $p > 1$ ,

<span id="page-12-3"></span>
$$
e^{(\psi(2p) - p\psi(2))s_N} \le N^{p-1}.
$$
\n(56)

This is done as follows. Write for a moment  $p = 1 + \delta$ , where  $\delta > 0$ . By Assumption [\(10\)](#page-3-0), there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for sufficiently large N we have  $N^{p-1} > e^{(\lambda_2 + \varepsilon)\delta s_N}$ . On the other hand, by Taylor's expansion,

$$
\psi(2p) - p\psi(2) = \delta(2\psi'(2) - \psi(2)) + o(\delta) = \lambda_2 \delta + o(\delta), \qquad \delta \to 0,
$$

which is smaller than  $(\lambda_2 + \varepsilon)\delta$  if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small. Taking  $\delta$  small enough, we obtain  $(56)$ . This completes the proof of  $(53)$ .

Let us prove  $(54)$ . Arguing as in  $(55)$ , we obtain

<span id="page-12-4"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}|X_iY_i| = \mathbb{E}[e^{2\xi(s_N + t_1)}] \cdot \mathbb{E}|e^{\xi(t_3 - t_2)} - 1| \cdot \mathbb{E}|e^{2\xi(t_2 - t_1)} - e^{\xi(t_2 - t_1)}|.
$$
 (57)

The first factor on the right-hand side of  $(57)$  equals  $e^{\psi(2)(s_N+t_1)}$ . An application of Lemma [2.2](#page-8-0) to the last two factors on the right-hand side of [\(57\)](#page-12-4) yields [\(54\)](#page-12-2).

We will now estimate the first term on the right-hand side of [\(50\)](#page-11-3). We will show that

<span id="page-13-4"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\bigg|\sum_{1\leq i < j \leq N} X_i Y_j\bigg|^p \leq C N^p e^{p\psi(2)s_N} |t_3 - t_1|^p. \tag{58}
$$

For  $k = 1, ..., N$ , denote by  $\mathcal{F}_k$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the random variables  $X_1, ..., X_k$  and  $Y_1, ..., Y_k$ . Let  $S_1 = 0$  and

$$
S_k = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} X_i Y_j, \qquad k = 2, \dots, N. \tag{59}
$$

We introduce also the sequence of differences  $\Delta_1 = 0$  and

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\Delta_k = S_k - S_{k-1} = Y_k(X_1 + \dots + X_{k-1}), \qquad k = 2, \dots, N. \tag{60}
$$

We claim that the sequence  ${S_k}_{k=1}^N$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  ${\{\mathcal{F}_k\}}_{k=1}^N$ . Indeed, the random variable  $S_k$  is by definition  $\mathcal{F}_k$ -measurable, and we have

$$
\mathbb{E}[S_k|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = S_{k-1} + \mathbb{E}[\Delta_k|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = S_{k-1} + (X_1 + \cdots + X_{k-1})\mathbb{E}Y_k = S_{k-1},
$$

where the last equality follows from [\(46\)](#page-11-4). Having shown that  $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^N$  is a martingale, we apply Burkholder's inequality to obtain that for some constant  $C = C(p)$ ,

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}|S_N|^p \le C \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_i^2\right)^{p/2}.\tag{61}
$$

The function  $x \to x^{p/2}$ ,  $x > 0$ , is concave since we choose p to be close to 1. By Jensen's inequality applied to the right-hand side of [\(61\)](#page-13-0),

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}|S_N|^p \le C \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}\Delta_i^2\right)^{p/2}.\tag{62}
$$

The random variables  $Y_k$  and  $X_1 + \cdots + X_{k-1}$  are independent, and  $\mathbb{E}X_k = 0$ ,  $k =$ 1,..., N, by [\(46\)](#page-11-4). Hence, by [\(60\)](#page-13-1),  $\mathbb{E}\Delta_k^2 = (k-1)\mathbb{E}Y_1^2\mathbb{E}X_1^2$ . It follows from [\(62\)](#page-13-2) that

<span id="page-13-3"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}|S_N|^p \le C(N^2 \mathbb{E}Y_1^2 \mathbb{E}X_1^2)^{p/2}.\tag{63}
$$

We have, by Lemma [2.2,](#page-8-0)

$$
\mathbb{E}X_1^2 = \mathbb{E}[e^{2\xi(s_N + t_1)}] \cdot \mathbb{E}(e^{\xi(t_2 - t_1)} - 1)^2 \leq C e^{\psi(2)s_N}(t_2 - t_1).
$$

Similarly,  $\mathbb{E}Y_1^2 \le Ce^{\psi(2)s_N}(t_3-t_2)$ . Inserting this into [\(63\)](#page-13-3), we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}|S_N|^p \le CN^p \mathrm{e}^{p\psi(2)s_N} |t_3 - t_1|^p.
$$

This proves [\(58\)](#page-13-4) and completes the proof of tightness in Theorem [1.2.](#page-3-3)

956 *Z. Kabluchko*

# 5. Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-3-6)

Let  $W_N$  be a positive-valued stochastic process defined as in [\(34\)](#page-8-1), that is,

<span id="page-14-5"></span>
$$
W_N(t) = N^{-1/2} e^{\xi(s_N + t) - (\psi(2)/2)(s_N + t)}.
$$
\n(64)

Fix  $t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_d$  and let  $\mathbf{W}_{1,N}, \ldots, \mathbf{W}_{N,N}$  be independent copies of the *d*-dimensional random vector  $\mathbf{W}_N = (W_N(t_1), \ldots, W_N(t_d))$ . Our aim is to show that we have the following weak convergence of random vectors:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{W}_{i,N} - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{W}_{i,N}) \stackrel{w}{\to} (\sqrt{\Phi(\vartheta)} \mathbb{X}(t_k))_{k=1}^d, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (65)

In the one-dimensional case, the papers [\[3](#page-26-1), [8,](#page-26-5) [14\]](#page-26-6) use the classical summation theory of triangular arrays of random variables. We will use a *multidimensional* version of this theory established in [\[21\]](#page-27-5); see [\[17\]](#page-27-6) for a monograph treatment. According to [\[17](#page-27-6)], Theorem 3.2.2 on page 53, we have to verify that the following three conditions hold:

(1) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{P}[\|\mathbf{W}_N\|_{\infty} > \varepsilon] = 0. \tag{66}
$$

(2) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for every  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

<span id="page-14-4"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \operatorname{Var}[\langle \mathbf{W}_N, \mathbf{v} \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\|\mathbf{W}_N\|_{\infty} \le \varepsilon}] = \Phi(\vartheta) \sum_{k,l=1}^d e^{(\psi(1) - \psi(2)/2)|t_l - t_k|} v_k v_l. \tag{67}
$$

(3) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{W}_N \mathbf{1}_{\|\mathbf{W}_N\|_{\infty} > \varepsilon}] = 0.
$$
\n(68)

Here,  $\Phi$  is the standard normal distribution function and  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  denotes the maximum norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

### 5.1. Proof of [\(66\)](#page-14-0) and [\(68\)](#page-14-1)

Let us first show that for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t) 1_{W_N(t) > \varepsilon}] = 0.
$$
\n(69)

With  $x_N = s_N + t$  and  $b_N = \frac{1}{2} (\log N + \psi(2)x_N) + \log \varepsilon$ , we may write

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
N\mathbb{E}[W_N(t)\mathbf{1}_{W_N(t)>\varepsilon}] = N^{1/2} e^{-(\psi(2)/2)x_N} \mathbb{E}[e^{\xi(x_N)}\mathbf{1}_{\xi(x_N)>\varepsilon_N}].
$$
\n(70)

Noting that by the critical growth condition [\(13\)](#page-3-2),  $\lim_{N\to\infty} b_N / x_N = \psi'(2)$  and applying part [2](#page-6-1) of Proposition [2.1](#page-5-1) with  $\kappa = 1$  to the right-hand side of [\(70\)](#page-14-2), we obtain

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
N\mathbb{E}[W_N(t)1_{W_N(t)>\varepsilon}] \le CN^{1/2} e^{-(\psi(2)/2)x_N} e^{b_N} x_N^{-1/2} e^{-I(b_N/x_N)x_N}
$$
  
\n
$$
\le CN x_N^{-1/2} e^{-I(b_N/x_N)x_N}.
$$
\n(71)

Using the convexity of the function I, as well as the fact that  $I(\psi'(2)) = \lambda_2$  (see [\(7\)](#page-2-4)) and  $I'(\psi'(2)) = 2$  (see Lemma [2.1\)](#page-7-2), we obtain

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
I\left(\frac{b_N}{x_N}\right) = I\left(\psi'(2) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\log N + 2\log \varepsilon}{x_N} - \lambda_2\right)\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq I(\psi'(2)) + I'(\psi'(2)) \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\log N + 2\log \varepsilon}{x_N} - \lambda_2\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\log N + 2\log \varepsilon}{x_N}.
$$
\n(72)

It follows from [\(71\)](#page-15-0) and [\(72\)](#page-15-1) that

$$
N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t)1_{W_N(t) > \varepsilon}] \leq C N x_N^{-1/2} e^{-\log N - 2\log \varepsilon} \to 0, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$

This proves  $(69)$ . To prove  $(66)$ , note that

$$
N\mathbb{P}[\|\mathbf{W}_N\|_{\infty} > \varepsilon] \leq N \sum_{k=1}^d \mathbb{P}[W_N(t_k) > \varepsilon] \leq \varepsilon^{-1} N \sum_{k=1}^d \mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k)1_{W_N(t_k) > \varepsilon}].
$$

By [\(69\)](#page-14-3), the right-hand side converges to 0 as  $N \to \infty$ . This proves [\(66\)](#page-14-0).

We proceed to the proof of [\(68\)](#page-14-1). Let  $A_{N,m}$ ,  $m = 1, \ldots, d$ , be the random event  ${W_N(t_m) \ge W_N(t_l), l = 1, ..., d}$ . Then, for every  $k = 1, ..., d$ , we have

$$
\mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k)1_{\|\mathbf{W}_N\|_{\infty} > \varepsilon}] \leq \sum_{m=1}^d \mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k)1_{\|\mathbf{W}_N\|_{\infty} > \varepsilon} 1_{\mathcal{A}_{N,m}}]
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{m=1}^d \mathbb{E}[W_N(t_m)1_{W_N(t_m) > \varepsilon}].
$$

An application of [\(69\)](#page-14-3) to the right-hand side yields [\(68\)](#page-14-1).

### 5.2. Proof of [\(67\)](#page-14-4)

It suffices to show that for every  $1 \leq k \leq l \leq d$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k) W_N(t_l) 1_{\| \mathbf{W}_N \|_{\infty} \le \varepsilon}] = \Phi(\vartheta) e^{(\psi(1) - \psi(2)/2)(t_l - t_k)}.
$$
\n(73)

Let us start by computing a closely related limit. We will show that

<span id="page-16-4"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k) W_N(t_l) 1_{W_N(t_1) \le \varepsilon}] = \Phi(\vartheta) e^{(\psi(1) - \psi(2)/2)(t_l - t_k)}.
$$
\n(74)

It follows from [\(64\)](#page-14-5) that

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k)W_N(t_l)1_{W_N(t_1)\leq \varepsilon}]=\frac{\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{\xi(s_N+t_k)+\xi(s_N+t_l)}1_{W_N(t_1)\leq \varepsilon}]}{N\mathrm{e}^{\psi(2)s_N}\mathrm{e}^{(\psi(2)/2)(t_k+t_l)}}.\tag{75}
$$

Using the fact that  $\xi$  is a Lévy process, we obtain

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{\xi(s_N+t_k)+\xi(s_N+t_l)}1_{W_N(t_1)\leq\varepsilon}]
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{E}[e^{2\xi(s_N+t_1)}1_{W_N(t_1)\leq\varepsilon}]\cdot \mathbb{E}e^{\xi(s_N+t_k)+\xi(s_N+t_l)-2\xi(s_N+t_1)}
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{E}[e^{2\xi(s_N+t_1)}1_{W_N(t_1)\leq\varepsilon}]\cdot \mathbb{E}e^{\xi(t_k-t_1)+\xi(t_l-t_1)}
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{E}[e^{2\xi(x_N)}1_{\xi(x_N)\leq b_N}]\cdot \mathbb{E}e^{\xi(t_k-t_1)+\xi(t_l-t_1)},
$$
\n(76)

where we have used the notation

$$
x_N = s_N + t_1, \qquad b_N = \frac{1}{2} (\log N + \psi(2)x_N) + \log \varepsilon. \tag{77}
$$

The critical growth condition [\(13\)](#page-3-2) implies that

$$
b_N = \psi'(2)x_N + \vartheta \sqrt{\psi''(2)x_N} + o(\sqrt{x_N}), \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (78)

Applying part [1](#page-5-2) of Proposition [2.1](#page-5-1) with  $\kappa = 2$ , we obtain

<span id="page-16-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{2\xi(x_N)}1_{\xi(x_N)\leq b_N}] \sim \Phi(\vartheta)e^{\psi(2)(s_N+t_1)}, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (79)

Recalling that  $\xi$  is a Lévy process and taking into account that  $t_k \leq t_l$ , we obtain

<span id="page-16-3"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}e^{\xi(t_k - t_1) + \xi(t_l - t_1)} = e^{\psi(2)(t_k - t_1)} e^{\psi(1)(t_l - t_k)}.
$$
\n(80)

Bringing equations [\(75\)](#page-16-0), [\(76\)](#page-16-1), [\(79\)](#page-16-2) and [\(80\)](#page-16-3) together, we obtain [\(74\)](#page-16-4). Trivially, it follows from [\(74\)](#page-16-4) that

$$
\limsup_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k) W_N(t_l) 1_{\| \mathbf{W}_N \|_\infty \le \varepsilon}] \le \Phi(\vartheta) e^{(\psi(1) - \psi(2)/2)(t_l - t_k)}.
$$
\n(81)

We are going to prove the converse inequality:

$$
\liminf_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k) W_N(t_l) 1_{\| \mathbf{W}_N \|_{\infty} \le \varepsilon}] \ge \Phi(\vartheta) e^{(\psi(1) - \psi(2)/2)(t_l - t_k)}.
$$
\n(82)

Note that for every (small)  $\eta > 0$ , the following inclusion of random events holds:

$$
\{\|\mathbf{W}_{N}\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon\} \supset \{W_{N}(t_{1}) \leq \eta \varepsilon\} \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{d} \mathcal{A}_{N,m},
$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{N,m}$  is the random event  $\{\xi(s_N + t_m) - \xi(s_N + t_1) > -\log \eta\}$ . Thus,

$$
\mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k)W_N(t_l)1_{\|\mathbf{W}_N\|_{\infty}\leq\varepsilon}]
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k)W_N(t_l)1_{W_N(t_1)\leq\eta\varepsilon}]-\sum_{m=1}^d \mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k)W_N(t_l)1_{\mathcal{A}_{N,m}}].
$$

Since the asymptotic behavior of the first term on the right-hand side was computed in [\(74\)](#page-16-4), we need to show that for every  $m = 1, \ldots, d$ , and every  $1 \leq k \leq l \leq d$ ,

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k) W_N(t_l) 1_{\mathcal{A}_{N,m}}] = 0.
$$
\n(83)

By  $(64)$ , we have

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[W_N(t_k)W_N(t_l)\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{N,m}}] \n\le CN^{-1}e^{-\psi(2)s_N}\mathbb{E}[e^{\xi(s_N+t_k)+\xi(s_N+t_l)}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{N,m}}] \n= CN^{-1}e^{-\psi(2)s_N}\mathbb{E}[e^{2\xi(s_N+t_1)}e^{\xi(s_N+t_k)+\xi(s_N+t_l)-2\xi(s_N+t_1)}\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{N,m}}] \n\le CN^{-1}\mathbb{E}[e^{\xi(t_k-t_1)+\xi(t_l-t_1)}\mathbf{1}_{\xi(t_m-t_1)>-\log\eta}].
$$
\n(84)

Note that by [\(4\)](#page-2-0),  $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi(t_k-t_1)+\xi(t_l-t_1)} < \infty$ . Hence, by the dominated convergence theorem,

<span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
\lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[e^{\xi(t_k - t_1) + \xi(t_l - t_1)} 1_{\xi(t_m - t_1) > -\log \eta}] = 0.
$$
\n(85)

To complete the proof of [\(83\)](#page-17-0), combine [\(84\)](#page-17-1) and [\(85\)](#page-17-2).

# 6. Proof of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-5)

#### 6.1. Notation and preliminaries

We will concentrate on proving the convergence in the Skorokhod space  $D[0,T]$ . For the proof of the two-sided convergence on  $D[-T, T]$  we refer to [\[13\]](#page-26-8).

We start by introducing some notation. Let  $W_{1,N}, \ldots, W_{N,N}$  be independent copies of a positive-valued random process  $\{W_N(t), t \geq 0\}$  defined by

<span id="page-17-3"></span>
$$
W_N(t) = e^{\xi(s_N + t) - b_N(t)},
$$
\n(86)

where  $b_N(t)$  is given by

<span id="page-17-4"></span>
$$
b_N(t) = \log B_N(t) = \frac{\psi(\alpha)}{\alpha} t + s_N I^{-1} \left( \frac{\log N - \log(\alpha \sqrt{2\pi \psi''(\alpha) s_N})}{s_N} \right).
$$
 (87)

960 *Z. Kabluchko*

Define a process  $Y_N$  by

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
Y_N(t) = \frac{Z_N(t) - A_N(t)}{B_N(t)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^N W_{i,N}(t), & 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N W_{i,N}(t) - N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t) 1_{W_N(0) \le 1}], & \alpha = 1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N W_{i,N}(t) - N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t), & 1 < \alpha < 2. \end{cases}
$$
(88)

Our aim is to show that we have the following weak convergence of stochastic processes on the Skorokhod space  $D[0,T]$ :

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
Y_N(\cdot) \stackrel{w}{\to} \mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}(\cdot), \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (89)

We will use an approach based on considering the extremal order statistics. This method goes back to LePage *et al.* [\[16\]](#page-27-7) and was used in the context of the random energy model by Bovier *et al.* [\[7](#page-26-0)] (note that the papers [\[3](#page-26-1), [8](#page-26-5), [14\]](#page-26-6) use a different method). To describe the method of our proof of [\(89\)](#page-18-0), let us consider the case  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  only. The first step is to prove that the upper order statistics of the sequence  $W_{1,N}(0), \ldots, W_{N,N}(0)$  can be approximated, as  $N \to \infty$ , by the Poisson process  $\{U_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}\)$  defined as in Section [1.4.](#page-4-0) In the second step we write, for  $t \geq 0$ ,

<span id="page-18-2"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{i,N}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{i,N}(0) e^{\eta_{i,N}(t)},
$$
\n(90)

where  $\{\eta_{i,N}(t), t \geq 0\}, i = 1, \ldots, N$ , are processes defined by

<span id="page-18-4"></span><span id="page-18-3"></span>
$$
\eta_{i,N}(t) = \xi_i(s_N + t) - \xi_i(s_N) - \frac{\psi(\alpha)}{\alpha}t.
$$
\n(91)

Note that the processes  $\eta_{1,N}, \ldots, \eta_{N,N}$  are independent of each other, independent of  $W_{1,N}(0), \ldots, W_{N,N}(0)$ , and have the same law as the process  $\eta$  defined by  $\eta(t) = \xi(t)$  $\psi(\alpha)$  $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}t$ . Bringing everything together, we may write

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{i,N}(t) \to \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i e^{\xi_i(t) - (\psi(\alpha)/\alpha)t} = \mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}(t), \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (92)

The rest of the section is devoted to the justification of the above argument.

### 6.2. Asymptotics for truncated moments

The following corollary of Proposition [2.1](#page-5-1) will play a crucial role in the sequel.

**Proposition 6.1.** Let the assumptions of Theorem [1.4](#page-3-5) be satisfied. Let  $W_N$  be a process *defined by [\(86\)](#page-17-3). The following three statements hold true.*

<span id="page-19-0"></span>(1) Let  $0 \leq \kappa < \alpha$ . Then, for every  $\tau > 0$ ,

<span id="page-19-5"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N^{\kappa}(0) 1_{W_N(0) > \tau}] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \kappa} \tau^{\kappa - \alpha}.
$$
\n(93)

<span id="page-19-3"></span>(2) Let  $\kappa > \alpha$ . Then, for every  $\tau > 0$ ,

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N^{\kappa}(0) 1_{W_N(0) \le \tau}] = \frac{\alpha}{\kappa - \alpha} \tau^{\kappa - \alpha}.
$$
\n(94)

<span id="page-19-4"></span>(3) Let  $\kappa = \alpha$ . Then, for every  $0 < \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ ,

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N^{\kappa}(0) 1_{W_N(0) \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)}] = \kappa (\log \tau_2 - \log \tau_1). \tag{95}
$$

Proof. We prove part [1](#page-19-0) of the proposition. Recall from [\(87\)](#page-17-4) that

$$
b_N(0) = s_N I^{-1}(c_N), \qquad \text{where } c_N = \frac{\log N - \log(\alpha \sqrt{2\pi \psi''(\alpha)s_N})}{s_N}.
$$
 (96)

We have  $\lim_{N\to\infty} I^{-1}(c_N) = \psi'(\alpha)$  by the fast growth condition [\(15\)](#page-3-4). By part [2](#page-6-1) of Propo-sition [2.1,](#page-5-1) we have as  $N \to \infty$ ,

<span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[W_N^{\kappa}(0)1_{W_N(0)>\tau}] = e^{-\kappa b_N(0)} \mathbb{E}[e^{\kappa \xi(s_N)} 1_{\xi(s_N) > b_N(0) + \log \tau}] \sim \frac{\tau^{\kappa}}{(\alpha - \kappa) \sqrt{2\pi \psi''(\alpha)s_N}} e^{-I((b_N(0) + \log \tau)/s_N)s_N}.
$$
\n(97)

To compute the asymptotic behavior of the right-hand side of [\(97\)](#page-19-1), we will prove that

<span id="page-19-2"></span>
$$
s_N I\left(\frac{b_N(0) + \log \tau}{s_N}\right) = s_N c_N + \alpha \log \tau + o(1), \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (98)

We have  $\lim_{N\to\infty} I^{-1}(c_N) = \psi'(\alpha)$ , hence  $\lim_{N\to\infty} I'(I^{-1}(c_N)) = \alpha$  by Lemma [2.1.](#page-7-2) Using Taylor's expansion of I around the point  $I^{-1}(c_N)$ , we obtain

$$
I\left(\frac{b_N(0) + \log \tau}{s_N}\right) = I\left(I^{-1}(c_N) + \frac{\log \tau}{s_N}\right) = c_N + \frac{\alpha \log \tau + o(1)}{s_N}, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$

This proves [\(98\)](#page-19-2). Inserting [\(98\)](#page-19-2) into [\(97\)](#page-19-1), we obtain part [1](#page-19-0) of the proposition. Part [2](#page-19-3) can be proved in a similar way.

Let us prove part [3](#page-19-4) of the proposition. We write  $F_N(\tau) = \mathbb{P}[W_N(0) \leq \tau]$  for the distribution function of  $W_N(0)$ , and  $\bar{F}_N(\tau) = 1 - F_N(\tau)$  for its tail. Taking  $\kappa = 0$  in [\(93\)](#page-19-5), we obtain

<span id="page-19-6"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \bar{F}_N(\tau) = \tau^{-\alpha}.
$$
\n(99)

Note that this holds uniformly in  $\tau \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ , cf. Theorem [2.1.](#page-6-4) Trivially, we have

$$
N \mathbb{E}[W_N^{\kappa}(0)1_{W_N(0)\in(\tau_1,\tau_2)}] = N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} w^{\kappa} dF_N(w) = -N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} w^{\kappa} d\bar{F}_N(w).
$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
N\mathbb{E}[W_N^{\kappa}(0)1_{W_N(0)\in(\tau_1,\tau_2)}] = -w^{\kappa}N\bar{F}_N(w)|_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} + \kappa \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} w^{\kappa-1}N\bar{F}_N(w)\,\mathrm{d}w.
$$

Applying [\(99\)](#page-19-6) to the right-hand side and recalling that  $\kappa = \alpha$ , we obtain

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N^{\kappa}(0) 1_{W_N(0) \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)}] = \kappa \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} w^{-1} dw = \kappa (\log \tau_2 - \log \tau_1),
$$

which completes the proof of part [3.](#page-19-4)  $\Box$ 

### 6.3. Convergence of the upper order statistics

For  $\tau > 0$ , we define a process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(\tau,\infty)}$ , which is a "truncated version" of the process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$ , by

<span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(\tau,\infty)}(t) = \begin{cases}\n\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ U_i > \tau}} U_i e^{\xi_i(t) - (\psi(\alpha)/\alpha)t}, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \\
\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ U_i > \tau}} U_i e^{\xi_i(t) - \psi(1)t} - \log \frac{1}{\tau}, & \alpha = 1, \\
\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ U_i > \tau}} U_i e^{\xi_i(t) - (\psi(\alpha)/\alpha)t} - \frac{\alpha \tau^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha - 1} e^{(\psi(1) - (\psi(\alpha)/\alpha))t}, & 1 < \alpha < 2.\n\end{cases} \tag{100}
$$

Similarly, we define  $Y_N^{(\tau,\infty)}$ , a truncated version of the process  $Y_N$  given by [\(88\)](#page-18-1), by

<span id="page-20-0"></span>
$$
Y_N^{(\tau,\infty)}(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le N \\ W_{i,N}(0) > \tau}} W_{i,N}(t), & 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le N \\ W_{i,N}(0) > \tau}} W_{i,N}(t) - N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t) 1_{W_N(0) \in (\tau,1)}], & \alpha = 1, \\ W_{i,N}(0) > \tau} & 1 < \alpha < 2. \end{cases}
$$
(101)

<span id="page-20-2"></span>The next lemma is the main result of this subsection.

**Lemma 6.1.** *For every*  $\tau > 0$ , we have the following weak convergence of stochastic *processes on the Skorokhod space*  $D[0,T]$ :

$$
Y_N^{(\tau,\infty)}(\cdot) \stackrel{w}{\to} \mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(\tau,\infty)}(\cdot), \qquad N \to \infty.
$$

First, we establish the convergence of regularizing terms in [\(101\)](#page-20-0) to those in [\(100\)](#page-20-1). If  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ , then writing  $W_N(t) = W_N(0)e^{\eta N(t)}$  with  $\eta_N(t) = \xi(s_N + t) - \xi(s_N) - \frac{\psi(\alpha)}{\alpha}$  $\frac{(\alpha)}{\alpha}t$ (see equations  $(90)$  and  $(91)$  $(91)$  $(91)$ ) and applying part 1 of Proposition [6.1,](#page-18-4) we obtain

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t) 1_{W_N(0) > \tau}] = e^{(\psi(1) - \psi(\alpha)/\alpha)t} \lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N(0) 1_{W_N(0) > \tau}]
$$

$$
= \frac{\alpha \tau^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha - 1} e^{(\psi(1) - \psi(\alpha)/\alpha)t}.
$$

If  $\alpha = 1$ , then part [3](#page-19-4) of Proposition [6.1](#page-18-4) yields

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t) 1_{W_N(0) \in (\tau,1)}] = \lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N(0) 1_{W_N(0) \in (\tau,1)}] = \log \frac{1}{\tau}.
$$

Thus, in proving Lemma [6.1,](#page-20-2) we may drop the regularizing terms in [\(100\)](#page-20-1) and [\(101\)](#page-20-0). More precisely, we define stochastic processes  $\tilde{\mathbb{Y}}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(\tau,\infty)}$  and  $\tilde{Y}_N^{(\tau,\infty)}$  by

<span id="page-21-0"></span>
$$
\tilde{\mathbb{Y}}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(\tau,\infty)}(t) = \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ U_i > \tau}} U_i e^{\xi_i(t) - (\psi(\alpha)/\alpha)t},\tag{102}
$$

$$
\tilde{Y}_{N}^{(\tau,\infty)}(t) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le N \\ W_{i,N}(0) > \tau}} W_{i,N}(t) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le N \\ W_{i,N}(0) > \tau}} W_{i,N}(0) e^{\eta_{i,N}(t)};
$$
\n(103)

<span id="page-21-1"></span>see [\(90\)](#page-18-2) and [\(91\)](#page-18-3) for the last equality. With this notation, we may restate Lemma [6.1](#page-20-2) as follows.

**Lemma 6.2.** *For every*  $\tau > 0$ *, we have the following weak convergence of stochastic processes on the Skorokhod space* D[0, T ]*:*

<span id="page-21-3"></span><span id="page-21-2"></span>
$$
\tilde{Y}_{N}^{(\tau,\infty)}(\cdot) \stackrel{w}{\to} \tilde{\mathbb{Y}}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(\tau,\infty)}(\cdot), \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
\n(104)

We start by considering the upper order statistics of the summands on the right-hand side of [\(103\)](#page-21-0) at  $t = 0$ . More precisely, let  $\{W_{i:N}(0)\}_{i=1}^N$  be the rearrangement of the numbers  $\{W_{i,N}(0)\}_{i=1}^N$  in the descending order, and set also  $W_{i:N}(0) = 0$  for  $i > N$ . Let S be the space of all sequences  $w = (w_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  with  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \cdots \geq 0$ . Then, S is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$  endowed with the product topology.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let  $\{U_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}\)$  be the points of a Poisson process on  $(0, \infty)$  with inten $sity \alpha u^{-(\alpha+1)} du$ , arranged in the descending order. Then, we have the following weak *convergence of random elements in* S*:*

$$
\{W_{i:N}(0)\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \stackrel{w}{\to} \{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$
 (105)

**Proof.** By part [1](#page-19-0) of Proposition [6.1](#page-18-4) with  $\kappa = 0$ , we have for every  $u > 0$ ,

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{P}[W_N(0) > u] = u^{-\alpha}.
$$
\n(106)

To complete the proof, use [\[19\]](#page-27-8), Proposition 3.21 on page 154.

**Proof of Lemma [6.2.](#page-21-1)** Let  $f: D[0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous bounded function. To prove [\(104\)](#page-21-2), we need to verify that

<span id="page-22-2"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E} f(\tilde{Y}_N^{(\tau, \infty)}) = \mathbb{E} f(\tilde{\mathbb{Y}}_{\alpha; \xi}^{(\tau, \infty)}).
$$
\n(107)

Let  $\mathbb{S}_{\tau} \subset \mathbb{S}$  be the set of all sequences  $(w_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$  with  $\lim_{i \to \infty} w_i = 0$  and such that  $w_i \neq \tau$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define a function  $\bar{f} : \mathbb{S}_{\tau} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$
\bar{f}(w) = \mathbb{E}f\bigg(\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ w_i > \tau}} w_i e^{\xi_i(\cdot) - \psi(\alpha)/\alpha} \bigg), \qquad w = (w_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\tau}.
$$

Note that  $\bar{f}$  is bounded and continuous on  $\mathbb{S}_{\tau}$ , and  $\mathbb{S}_{\tau}$  has full measure with respect to the law of  $(U_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ . By Fubini's theorem,

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}f(\tilde{Y}_N^{(\tau,\infty)}) = \mathbb{E}\bar{f}((W_{i:N}(0))_{i=1}^{\infty}), \qquad \mathbb{E}f(\tilde{\mathbb{Y}}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(\tau,\infty)}) = \mathbb{E}\bar{f}((U_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}).
$$
 (108)

It follows from Lemma [6.3](#page-21-3) and the properties of the weak convergence that

<span id="page-22-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\bar{f}((W_{i:N}(0))_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \mathbb{E}\bar{f}((U_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}).
$$
\n(109)

Putting [\(108\)](#page-22-0) and [\(109\)](#page-22-1) together, we obtain [\(107\)](#page-22-2). This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$ 

#### 6.4. Estimating the lower order statistics

<span id="page-22-3"></span>In this section we estimate the difference between the processes  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}$  and  $Y_N$  and their truncated versions  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(\tau,\infty)}$  and  $Y_N^{(\tau,\infty)}$ . Define a process  $\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(0,\tau)}$  $\alpha;\xi \to \alpha$ 

<span id="page-22-4"></span>
$$
\mathbb{Y}^{(0,\tau)}_{\alpha;\xi}(t) = \mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}(t) - \mathbb{Y}^{(\tau,\infty)}_{\alpha;\xi}(t). \tag{110}
$$

**Lemma 6.4.** *For every*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *, we have* 

$$
\lim_{\tau \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \Big[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(0,\tau)}(t)| > \varepsilon \Big] = 0. \tag{111}
$$

**Proof.** The proof follows immediately from Proposition [1.1.](#page-5-4)

Next we define a process  $Y_N^{(0,\tau)}$  representing the sum of the lower order statistics in [\(88\)](#page-18-1) by  $Y_N^{(0,\tau)}(t) = Y_N(t) - Y_N^{(\tau,\infty)}(t)$ . Equivalently,

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
Y_N^{(0,\tau)}(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le N \\ W_{i,N}(0) \le \tau}} W_{i,N}(t), & \alpha \in (0,1), \\ \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le N \\ W_{i,N}(0) \le \tau}} W_{i,N}(t) - N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t) 1_{W_N(0) \le \tau}], & \alpha \in [1,2). \end{cases}
$$
(112)

<span id="page-23-2"></span>**Lemma 6.5.** *For every*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *, we have* 

$$
\lim_{\tau \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P} \Big[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |Y_N^{(0,\tau)}(t)| > \varepsilon \Big] = 0. \tag{113}
$$

The proof will be carried out in the rest of the subsection. First we consider the regularizing term in [\(112\)](#page-23-0). If  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , then applying part [2](#page-19-3) of Proposition [6.1](#page-18-4) with  $\kappa = 1$ , we obtain

<span id="page-23-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{\tau \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N(t) 1_{W_N(0) \le \tau}] = 0.
$$
\n(114)

Define a process  $\tilde{Y}_N^{(0,\tau)}$  coinciding with  $Y_N^{(0,\tau)}$  for  $\alpha \in [1,2)$  and containing an additional term for  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  by

$$
\tilde{Y}_{N}^{(0,\tau)}(t) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ W_{i,N}(0) \leq \tau}} W_{i,N}(t) - N \mathbb{E}[W_{N}(t) 1_{W_{N}(0) \leq \tau}].
$$
\n(115)

In view of [\(114\)](#page-23-1), we may restate Lemma [6.5](#page-23-2) as follows.

**Lemma 6.6.** *For every*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *, we have* 

$$
\lim_{\tau \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P} \Big[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\tilde{Y}_N^{(0,\tau)}(t)| > \varepsilon \Big] = 0. \tag{116}
$$

**Proof.** For a function  $f:[0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$  we write  $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |f(t)|$ . We have

<span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
\tilde{Y}_{N}^{(0,\tau)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (W_{i,N}(0)1_{W_{i,N}(0) \le \tau} - \mathbb{E}[W_{N}(0)1_{W_{N}(0) \le \tau}])e^{\eta_{i,N}(t)} \n+ \mathbb{E}[W_{N}(0)1_{W_{N}(0) \le \tau}] \sum_{i=1}^{N} (e^{\eta_{i,N}(t)} - \mathbb{E}e^{\eta_{i,N}(t)}).
$$
\n(117)

It follows from [\(117\)](#page-24-0) that  $\|\tilde{Y}_N^{(0,\tau)}\|_{\infty} \leq M'_{N,\tau} + M''_{N,\tau}$ , where  $M'_{N,\tau}$  and  $M''_{N,\tau}$  are random variables defined by

$$
M'_{N,\tau} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} ||e^{\eta_{i,N}}||_{\infty} |W_{i,N}(0)1_{W_{i,N}(0) \leq \tau} - \mathbb{E}[W_N(0)1_{W_N(0) \leq \tau}]|,
$$
  

$$
M''_{N,\tau} = \mathbb{E}[W_N(0)1_{W_N(0) \leq \tau}] \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N} (e^{\eta_{i,N}} - \mathbb{E}e^{\eta_{i,N}}) \right\|_{\infty}.
$$

Thus, to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that

<span id="page-24-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{\tau \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[M'_{N,\tau} > \varepsilon/2] = 0,\tag{118}
$$

$$
\lim_{\tau \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[M''_{N,\tau} > \varepsilon/2] = 0.
$$
\n(119)

Let us prove [\(118\)](#page-24-1). Note that the process  $\{e^{\alpha\eta(t)}, t \ge 0\}$  is a martingale. By Doob's maximal  $L^p$ -inequality,  $\mathbb{E} \|e^{2\eta}\|_{\infty} \leq C \mathbb{E} e^{2\eta(T)} < \infty$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{E} \|e^{\eta_{i,N}}\|_{\infty}^2$  is finite and

$$
\limsup_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E} M_{N,\tau}^{\prime 2} \le C \lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E} [W_N^2(0) 1_{W_N(0) \le \tau}] = \frac{C\alpha}{2 - \alpha} \tau^{2 - \alpha},
$$

where the last step follows from part [2](#page-19-3) of Proposition [6.1](#page-18-4) with  $\kappa = 2$ . The right-hand side goes to 0 as  $\tau \downarrow 0$ . By Chebyshev's inequality, this proves [\(118\)](#page-24-1).

Let us prove [\(119\)](#page-24-1). By Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-2) the random variable  $N^{-1/2} \|\sum_{i=1}^{N} (e^{\eta_{i,N}} \mathbb{E}e^{\eta_{i,N}}\|\infty$  converges as  $N\to\infty$  to some limiting (a.s. finite) random variable. Thus, we need to prove that

<span id="page-24-2"></span>
$$
\lim_{\tau \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \sqrt{N} \mathbb{E}[W_N(0) 1_{W_N(0) \le \tau}] = 0.
$$
\n(120)

We have, by part [2](#page-19-3) of Proposition [6.1](#page-18-4) with  $\kappa = 2$ ,

$$
\limsup_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N(0) 1_{W_N(0) \le \tau}]^2 \le \lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E}[W_N^2(0) 1_{W_N(0) \le \tau}] = \frac{\alpha}{2 - \alpha} \tau^{2 - \alpha}.
$$

This proves [\(120\)](#page-24-2) and completes the proof of the lemma.  $\Box$ 

#### 6.5. Completing the proof of the one-sided convergence

In this section we complete the proof of the one-sided version of Theorem [1.4.](#page-3-5) We will need to introduce some notation. Let d be the Skorokhod metric on  $D[0,T]$ . Given a process X with sample paths in  $D[0,T]$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  the law of X considered as a probability measure on  $D[0, T]$ . Let further  $\pi$  be the Lévy–Prokhorov distance on the space of probability measures on  $D[0, T]$ . That is, given two probability measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  on  $D[0, T]$ , we define

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
\pi(\mu_1,\mu_2)=\inf\{\varepsilon>0\colon \mu_1(B)\leq \mu_2(B^\varepsilon)+\varepsilon\text{ for all Borel }B\subset D[0,T]\},
$$

where  $B^{\varepsilon} = \{b \in D[0,T]: d(b, B) \leq \varepsilon\}$  is the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the set B. The next lemma is standard.

**Lemma 6.7.** Let  $\{X(t), t \in [0,T]\}$  and  $\{Y(t), t \in [0,T]\}$  be two (generally, dependent) *stochastic processes with sample paths in*  $D[0, T]$ *, and suppose that for some*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *,* 

$$
\mathbb{P}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Y(t)|>\varepsilon\Big]\leq\varepsilon.
$$

*Then,*  $\pi(\mathcal{L}(X), \mathcal{L}(X + Y)) \leq \varepsilon$ *.* 

**Proof.** By the definition of the Skorokhod metric,  $d(X, X + Y) \leq \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |Y(t)|$ . By assumption, it follows that  $\mathbb{P}[d(X, X + Y) > \varepsilon] \leq \varepsilon$ . For every Borel set  $B \subset D[0, T]$ , we have

$$
\mathbb{P}[X + Y \in B] \le \mathbb{P}[X \in B^{\varepsilon}] + \mathbb{P}[d(X, X + Y) > \varepsilon] \le \mathbb{P}[X \in B^{\varepsilon}] + \varepsilon,
$$

whence the statement of the lemma.  $\Box$ 

We are now in position to complete the proof of the one-sided version of Theorem [1.4,](#page-3-5) as restated in [\(89\)](#page-18-0). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. Our aim is to show that for sufficiently large N, we have

<span id="page-25-1"></span>
$$
\pi(\mathcal{L}(Y_N), \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi})) \le 3\varepsilon. \tag{121}
$$

By Lemma [6.4,](#page-22-3) we can find a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{P}[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(0,\tau)}|]$  $\left| \begin{array}{c} (0,\tau) \\ \alpha;\xi \end{array} \right| > \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $\tau < \delta$ . By Lemma [6.7](#page-25-0) and [\(110\)](#page-22-4), this implies that for all  $\tau < \delta$ ,

<span id="page-25-2"></span>
$$
\pi(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(\tau,\infty)}),\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi})) \leq \varepsilon.
$$
\n(122)

By Lemma [6.5,](#page-23-2) we can find  $\tau < \delta$  and  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |Y_N^{(0,\tau)}(t)| > \varepsilon] \leq \varepsilon$ for  $N > N_1$ . By Lemma [6.7,](#page-25-0) this implies that for all  $N > N_1$ ,

$$
\pi(\mathcal{L}(Y_N^{(\tau,\infty)}), \mathcal{L}(Y_N)) \le \varepsilon. \tag{123}
$$

By Lemma [6.1,](#page-20-2) we can find  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $N > N_1$ ,

<span id="page-26-14"></span>
$$
\pi(\mathcal{L}(Y_N^{(\tau,\infty)}), \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Y}_{\alpha;\xi}^{(\tau,\infty)})) \le \varepsilon.
$$
\n(124)

To complete the proof of  $(121)$ , combine equations  $(122)$ – $(124)$ .

# Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Leonid Bogachev for pointing out reference [\[8\]](#page-26-5) after [\[14](#page-26-6)] was completed, and to Ilya Molchanov, Michael Schmutz and Martin Schlather for useful discussions.

## References

- <span id="page-26-11"></span>[1] Araujo, A. and Gin´e, E. (1980). *The Central Limit Theorem for Real and Banach Valued Random Variables*. New York: Wiley. [MR0576407](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0576407)
- <span id="page-26-9"></span>[2] Bahadur, R. and Ranga Rao, R. (1960). On deviations of the sample mean. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 31 1015–1027. [MR0117775](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0117775)
- <span id="page-26-1"></span>[3] Ben Arous, G., Bogachev, L. and Molchanov, S. (2005). Limit theorems for sums of random exponentials. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 132 579–612. [MR2198202](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2198202)
- <span id="page-26-12"></span>[4] Billingsley, P. (1999). *Convergence of Probability Measures*, 2nd ed. Chichester: Wiley. [MR1700749](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1700749)
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>[5] Bogachev, L. (2006). Limit laws for norms of IID samples with Weibull tails. *J. Theoret. Probab.* 19 849–873. [MR2279606](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2279606)
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>[6] Bogachev, L. (2007). Extreme value theory for random exponentials. In *Probability and Mathematical Physics. A Volume in Honor of Stanislav Molchanov* (D. Dawson et al., eds.). *CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes* 42 41–64. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc. [MR2352261](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2352261)
- <span id="page-26-0"></span>[7] Bovier, A., Kurkova, I. and Löwe, M. (2002). Fluctuations of the free energy in the REM and the p-spin SK models. *Ann. Probab.* 30 605–651. [MR1905853](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1905853)
- <span id="page-26-5"></span>[8] Cranston, M. and Molchanov, S. (2005). Limit laws for sums of products of exponentials of i.i.d. random variables. *Israel J. Math.* 148 115–136. [MR2191226](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2191226)
- <span id="page-26-7"></span>[9] Dembo, A. and Zeitouni, O. (1998). *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*, 2nd ed. *Applications of Mathematics* 38. New York: Springer. [MR1619036](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1619036)
- <span id="page-26-10"></span>[10] Hahn, M.G. (1978). Central limit theorems in D[0, 1]. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 44 89– 101. [MR0501231](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0501231)
- <span id="page-26-13"></span>[11] Ibragimov, R. and Sharakhmetov, S. (2002). On extremal problems and best constants in moment inequalities.  $Sankhy\bar{a}$  A 64 42–56. [MR1968374](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1968374)
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>[12] Janßen, A. (2010). Limit laws for power sums and norms of i.i.d. samples. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 146 515–533. [MR2574737](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2574737)
- <span id="page-26-8"></span>[13] Kabluchko, Z. (2009). Functional limit theorems for sums of independent geometric Lévy processes. Preprint version of the present paper. Available at [http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.4139v1.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:0911.4139v1)
- <span id="page-26-6"></span>[14] Kabluchko, Z. (2009). Limiting distributions for sums of independent random products. Not published. Available at [http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.4127.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:0904.4127)

- <span id="page-27-1"></span>[15] Kabluchko, Z. (2010). Limit laws for sums of independent random products: The lattice case. *J. Theoret. Probab.* To appear. Available at [http://arxiv.org/abs/1003.1657.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1003.1657)
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>[16] LePage, R., Woodroofe, M. and Zinn, J. (1981). Convergence to a stable distribution via order statistics. *Ann. Probab.* 9 624–632. [MR0624688](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0624688)
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>[17] Meerschaert, M. and Scheffler, H.-P. (2001). *Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Vectors. Heavy Tails in Theory and Practice.* New York: Wiley. [MR1840531](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1840531)
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>[18] Petrov, V. (1965). On the probabilities of large deviations for sums of independent random variables. *Theor. Probab. Appl.* 10 287–298. [MR0185645](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0185645)
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>[19] Resnick, S.I. (2008). *Extreme Values, Regular Variation and Point Processes*. New York: Springer. [MR2364939](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2364939)
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>[20] Rosenthal, H.P. (1970). On the subspaces of  $L_p$  spanned by sequences of independent random variables. *Israel J. Math.* 8 273–303. [MR0271721](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0271721)
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>[21] Rvačeva, E.L. (1962). On domains of attraction of multi-dimensional distributions. In *Select. Transl. Math. Statist. Probab.* 2 183–205. Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc. [MR0150795](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0150795)
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>[22] Samorodnitsky, G. and Taqqu, M. (1994). *Stable Non–Gaussian Random Processes: Stochastic Models with Infinite Variance.* New York: Chapman Hall. [MR1280932](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1280932)
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>[23] Stoev, S. (2008). On the ergodicity and mixing of max-stable processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 118 1679–1705. [MR2442375](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2442375)

*Received November 2009 and revised June 2010*