TENT SPACES AND LITTLEWOOD-PALEY g-FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH BERGMAN SPACES IN THE UNIT BALL OF \mathbb{C}^n

ZEQIAN CHEN AND WEI OUYANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, a family of holomorphic spaces of tent type in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n is introduced, which is closely related to maximal and area integral functions in terms of the Bergman metric. It is shown that these spaces coincide with Bergman spaces. Furthermore, Littlewood-Paley type g-functions for the Bergman spaces are introduced in terms of the radial derivative, the complex gradient, and the invariant gradient. The corresponding characterizations for Bergman spaces are obtained as well. As an application, we obtain new maximal and area integral characterizations for Hardy-Sobolev spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is a mature and powerful real-variable theory for Hardy spaces of several complex variables which has distilled some of the essential oscillation and cancellation behavior of holomorphic functions and then found that behavior ubiquitous. A good introduction to that is [16, 17]; a more recent and fuller account is in [1, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13] and references therein. However, the real-variable theory of the Bergman space is less well developed, even in the case of the unit disc (cf. [9]).

Recently, in [5] the present authors established maximal and area integral characterizations of Bergman spaces in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n . The characterizations are in terms of maximal functions and area functions on Bergman balls involving the radial derivative, the complex gradient, and the invariant gradient. Moreover, the characterizations extend to cover Besov-Sobolev spaces. A special case of this is a characterization of H^p spaces involving only area functions on Bergman balls.

In this paper, we continue this line of investigation. We will consider the holomorphic spaces of tent type in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , which is closely related to maximal and area integral functions in terms of the Bergman metric. We show that these spaces actually coincide with Bergman spaces and hence obtain real-variable characterizations of them. Consequently, they provide the natural setting for the study of maximal and area integral functions for

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 32A36, 32A50.

 $Key\ words:$ Bergman space, Bergman metric, tent space, Littlewood-Paleyg-function,Hardy-Sobolev space.

Z. Chen and W. Ouyang

Bergman spaces in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n . This motivation aries from the tent spaces in \mathbb{R}^n , that were introduced and developed by Coifman, Meyer and Stein in [6]. Our proofs involve some sharp estimates of the Bergman kernel function and Bergman metric. However, since the Bergman metric β is non-doubling, we will utilize some techniques of non-homogeneous harmonic analysis developed in [15]. Furthermore, we will introduce Littlewood-Paley type g-functions for the Bergman spaces in terms of the radial derivative, the complex gradient, and the invariant gradient, which are variants of the ones used for Hardy spaces. The corresponding characterization are presented as well.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some notations and collect a number of auxiliary (and mostly elementary) facts about the Bergman metric and kernel functions. We state our main results in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to prove one of the main results that the holomorphic spaces of tent type coincide with Bergman spaces. To this end, the L^p boundedness of non-central Hardy-Littlewood maximal function operators defined in terms of Bergman balls in \mathbb{B}_n will be proved by the arguments of non-homogeneous harmonic analysis developed in [15]. In Section 5, we give the proof of another main result, that is, Littlewood-Paley type g-function characterizations of the Bergman spaces. Finally, in Section 6 we give an application of our main results to obtaining new maximal and area integral characterizations of Besov spaces, including Hardy-Sobolev spaces.

In what follows, C always denotes a constant depending (possibly) on n, q, p, γ or α but not on f, which may be different in different places. For two nonnegative (possibly infinite) quantities X and Y, by $X \leq Y$ we mean that there exists a constant C > 0 such that $X \leq CY$ and by $X \approx Y$ that $X \leq Y$ and $Y \leq X$. Any notation and terminology not otherwise explained, are as used in [21] for spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^{n} .

2. BASIC FACTS AND NOTATION

Throughout the paper we fix a positive integer $n \ge 1$ and a parameter $\alpha > -1$. We denote by \mathbb{C}^n the Euclidean space of complex dimension n. For $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ in \mathbb{C}^n , we write

$$\langle z, w \rangle = z_1 \overline{w}_1 + \dots + z_n \overline{w}_n,$$

where \overline{w}_k is the complex conjugate of w_k . We also write

$$|z| = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2}.$$

The open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n is the set

$$\mathbb{B}_n = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < 1 \}.$$

The boundary of \mathbb{B}_n will be denoted by \mathbb{S}_n and is called the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^n , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{S}_n = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| = 1 \}.$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the weighted Lebesgue measure dv_{α} on \mathbb{B}_n is defined by

$$dv_{\alpha}(z) = c_{\alpha}(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha}dv(z)$$

where $c_{\alpha} = 1$ for $\alpha \leq -1$ and $c_{\alpha} = \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)/[n!\Gamma(\alpha + 1)]$ if $\alpha > -1$, which is a normalizing constant so that dv_{α} is a probability measure on \mathbb{B}_n . In the case of $\alpha = -(n + 1)$ we denote the resulting measure by

$$d\tau(z) = \frac{dv}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}},$$

and call it the invariant measure on \mathbb{B}^n , since $d\tau = d\tau \circ \varphi$ for any automorphism φ of \mathbb{B}^n .

For $\alpha > -1$ and p > 0 the (weighted) Bergman space \mathcal{A}^p_{α} consists of holomorphic functions f in \mathbb{B}_n with

$$||f||_{p,\alpha} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p dv_\alpha(z)\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{A}^p_{\alpha} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n) \cap L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_{\alpha}),$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ is the space of all holomorphic functions in \mathbb{B}_n . When $\alpha = 0$ we simply write \mathcal{A}^p for \mathcal{A}^p_0 . These are the usual Bergman spaces. Note that for $1 \leq p < \infty$, \mathcal{A}^p_α is a Banach space under the norm $\| \|_{p,\alpha}$. If 0 , $the space <math>\mathcal{A}^p_\alpha$ is a quasi-Banach space with *p*-norm $\| f \|_{p,\alpha}^p$.

Recall that $D(z, \gamma)$ denotes the Bergman metric ball at z

$$D(z,\gamma) = \{ w \in \mathbb{B}_n : \beta(z,w) < \gamma \}$$

with $\gamma > 0$, where β is the Bergman metric on \mathbb{B}_n . It is known that

$$\beta(z,w) = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1+|\varphi_z(w)|}{1-|\varphi_z(w)|}, \quad z,w \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

whereafter φ_z is the bijective holomorphic mapping in \mathbb{B}_n , which satisfies $\varphi_z(0) = z, \varphi_z(z) = 0$ and $\varphi_z \circ \varphi_z = id$. If \mathbb{B}_n is equipped with the Bergman metric β , then \mathbb{B}_n is a separable metric space. We shall call \mathbb{B}_n a separable metric space instead of (\mathbb{B}_n, β) .

For the sake of convenience, we collect some elementary facts on the Bergman metric and holomorphic functions in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n as follows.

Lemma 2.1. (cf. Theorem 1.12 in [21]) Let c > 0. Suppose $\alpha > -1$. Then

$$J_{c,\alpha}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{dv_\alpha(w)}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+\alpha+c}} \approx (1 - |z|^2)^{-\epsilon}$$

as $|z| \to 1^-$.

Lemma 2.2. (cf. Lemma 1.24 in [21]) For any real α and positive γ there exists a constant $C_{\gamma} > 0$ such that

$$C_{\gamma}^{-1}(1-|z|^2)^{n+1+\alpha} \le v_{\alpha}(D(z,\gamma)) \le C_{\gamma}(1-|z|^2)^{n+1+\alpha}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$.

Lemma 2.3. (cf. Lemma 2.10 in [21]) Let a and b be two real numbers. We define an integral operator S by

$$Sf(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^a \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^b}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+a+b}} f(w) dv(w)$$

Then for $-\infty < t < \infty$ and $1 \le p < \infty$, S is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_t)$ if and only if -pa < t + 1 < p(b+1).

Lemma 2.4. (cf. Lemma 2.20 in [21]) For each $\gamma > 0$,

$$1 - |a|^2 \approx 1 - |z|^2 \approx |1 - \langle a, z \rangle|$$

for all a and z in \mathbb{B}_n with $\beta(a, z) < \gamma$.

Lemma 2.5. (cf. Lemma 2.24 in [21]) Suppose $\gamma > 0, p > 0$, and $\alpha > -1$. Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that for any $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$,

$$|f(z)|^p \le \frac{C}{v_{\alpha}(D(z,\gamma))} \int_{D(z,\gamma)} |f(w)|^p dv_{\alpha}(w), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Lemma 2.6. (cf. (2.20) after the proof of Lemma 2.27 in [21]) For each $\gamma > 0$,

$$|1 - \langle z, u \rangle| \approx |1 - \langle z, v \rangle|$$

for all z in $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n$ and u, v in \mathbb{B}_n with $\beta(u, v) < \gamma$.

Lemma 2.7. (cf. Lemma 3.3 in [21]) Suppose β is a real constant and $g \in L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, dv)$. If

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{g(w)dv(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{\beta}}, \ z \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

then

$$\left|\tilde{\nabla}f(z)\right| \leq \sqrt{2}|\beta|(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|g(w)|dv(w)}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}}}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$.

Lemma 2.8. Suppose $p > 0, \alpha > -1$, and $b > n \max\{1, 1/p\} + (\alpha + 1)/p$. Then there exists a sequence $\{a_k\}$ in \mathbb{B}_n such that \mathcal{A}^p_α consists exactly of functions of the form

(2.1)
$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{(pb-n-1-\alpha)/p}}{(1 - \langle z, a_k \rangle)^b}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

where $\{c_k\}$ belongs to the sequence space ℓ^p and the series converges in the norm topology of \mathcal{A}^p_{α} . Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p dv_\alpha(z) \approx \inf \Big\{ \sum_k |c_k|^p \Big\},\,$$

where the infimum runs over all decompositions of f described above.

Lemma 2.8 is the atom decomposition for Bergman spaces due to Coifman and Rochberg [7] (see also [21], Theorem 2.30).

3. Statement of main results

The basic functional used below is the one mapping functions in \mathbb{B}_n to functions in \mathbb{B}_n , given by

(3.1)
$$A_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)(z) = \left(\int_{D(z,\gamma)} |f(w)|^q d\tau(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

if $1 < q < \infty$, and

(3.2)
$$A_{\gamma}^{(\infty)}(f)(z) = \sup_{w \in D(z,\gamma)} |f(w)|, \text{ when } q = \infty.$$

Then, given $0 , and <math>\alpha > -1, \gamma > 0$, the "holomorphic space of tent type" $T^p_{q,\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$ in \mathbb{B}_n is defined as the set of all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ so that $A^{(q)}_{\gamma}(f) \in L^p_{\alpha}$, equipped with a norm (or, quasi-norm)

$$||f||_{T^p_{q,\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n,dv_\alpha)} = ||A^{(q)}_{\gamma}(f)||_{p,\alpha}.$$

We will show that the space $T^p_{q,\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$ is independent of the choice of γ , that is, $T^p_{q,\gamma_1}(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha) = T^p_{q,\gamma_2}(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$ for any $0 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 < \infty$. We simply write $T^p_{q,\alpha} = T^p_{q,\gamma}(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$ as follows. When $p \ge 1$, $T^p_{q,\alpha}$ are Banach spaces under the norm $\|f\|_{T^p_{q,\alpha}}$. If $0 , the space <math>T^p_{q,\alpha}$ is a quasi-Banach space with *p*-norm $\|f\|_{T^p_{q,\alpha}}$.

The case of $q = \infty$ and $0 was studied in [5]. Actually, the resulting tent type spaces <math>T^p_{\infty,\alpha}$ are proved to be Bergman spaces \mathcal{A}^p_{α} .

It is well known that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function operator has played important role in harmonic analysis. To cater our estimates, we use a variant of the non-central Hardy-Littlewood maximal function operator acting on the weighted Lebesgue spaces $L^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$, namely,

(3.3)
$$M_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)(z) = \sup_{z \in D(w,\gamma)} \left(\frac{1}{v_{\alpha}(D(w,\gamma))} \int_{D(w,\gamma)} |f|^q dv_{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for $1 \le q < \infty$. We simply write $M_{\gamma}(f)(z) := M_{\gamma}^{(1)}(f)(z)$.

Now we are ready to formulate one of the main results of the present work.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\gamma > 0, 1 \leq q < \infty$, and $\alpha > -1$. Let 0 . $Then for any <math>f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $f \in \mathcal{A}^p_{\alpha}$.
- (2) $A_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)$ is in $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$.
- (3) $M_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)$ is in $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_{\alpha})$.

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^p_{\alpha}} \approx \|f\|_{T^p_{q,\alpha}} \approx \|M^{(q)}_{\gamma}(f)\|_{p,\alpha},$$

where the comparable constants depend only on γ , q, α , p, and n.

Note that the Bergman metric β is non-doubling on \mathbb{B}^n and so $(\mathbb{B}_n, \beta, dv_\alpha)$ is a non-homogeneous space. The proof of the above theorem will involve some techniques of non-homogeneous harmonic analysis developed in [15] (see Section 4 below).

In order to state the characterizations of Bergman spaces in terms of Littlewood-Paley type g-functions, we require some more notation. For any $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}_n$ we define

$$\mathcal{R}f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_k}$$

and call it the radial derivative of f at z. The complex and invariant gradients of f at z are respectively defined as

$$\nabla f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_n}\right) \text{ and } \widetilde{\nabla} f(z) = \nabla (f \circ \varphi_z)(0).$$

Given $1 < q < \infty$. We define for each $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$:

(i) The radial Littlewood-Paley g-function

$$G_{\mathcal{R}}^{(q)}(f)(z) = \left(\int_0^1 |(1-r)\mathcal{R}f(rz)|^q \frac{dr}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

(ii) The complex gradient Littlewood-Paley g-function

$$G_{\nabla}^{(q)}(f)(z) = \left(\int_{0}^{1} |(1-r)\nabla f(rz)|^{q} \frac{dr}{1-r}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

(iii) The invariant gradient Littlewood-Paley g-function

$$G_{\tilde{\nabla}}^{(q)}(f)(z) = \left(\int_{0}^{1} |\tilde{\nabla}f(rz)|^{q} \frac{dr}{1 - r|z|}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

We state another main result of this paper as follows.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $1 < q < \infty$ and $\alpha > -1$. Let $0 . Then, for any <math>f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) $f \in \mathcal{A}^p_{\alpha}$. (2) $G^{(q)}_{\mathcal{R}}(f)$ is in $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_{\alpha})$. (3) $G^{(q)}_{\nabla}(f)$ is in $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_{\alpha})$. (4) $G^{(q)}_{\bar{\nabla}}(f)$ is in $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_{\alpha})$.

Moreover, the following three quantities

$$\|G_{\mathcal{R}}^{(q)}(f)\|_{p,\alpha}, \|G_{\nabla}^{(q)}(f)\|_{p,\alpha}, \|G_{\tilde{\nabla}}^{(q)}(f)\|_{p,\alpha},$$

are all comparable to $||f - f(0)||_{p,\alpha}$, where the comparable constants depend only on q, α, p , and n.

Remark 3.1. Evidently, the results still hold true when we replace 1 - r by 1 - r|z| in the definitions of both $G_{\mathcal{R}}^{(q)}(f)$ and $G_{\nabla}^{(q)}(f)$. This is so because

$$(1-|z|^2)|\mathcal{R}f(z)| \le (1-|z|^2)|\nabla f(z)| \le |\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{B}_n$$

However, this is not the case if one uses 1 - r instead of 1 - r|z| in the definition of $G_{\tilde{\nabla}}^{(q)}(f)$. In fact,

$$\int_0^1 |\tilde{\nabla} f(rz)|^2 \frac{dr}{1-r} = \infty, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

if $f(z) = z_1$, but $f \in \mathcal{A}^p_{\alpha}$ for all $0 and <math>\alpha > -1$.

4. Proof of Theorem 3.1

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 3.1. To this end, we need to prove the boundedness of non-central Hardy-Littlewood maximal function operator M_{γ} as follows.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\alpha > -1$ and $\gamma > 0$. The non-central Hardy-Littlewood maximal function operator M_{γ} is bounded on $L^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ for each 1 $and acts from <math>L^1_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ to $L^{1,\infty}_{\alpha}$, where

$$L^{1,\infty}_{\alpha} = \left\{ f: \ \|f\|_{L^{1,\infty}_{\alpha}} \triangleq \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda v_{\alpha} \left(\{ z \in \mathbb{B}_n : \ |M_{\gamma}f(z)| > \lambda \} \right) < \infty \right\}.$$

This can be obtained by using the following celebrated Vitali covering lemma, which can be founded in [15].

Lemma 4.2. Fix some R > 0. Let X be a separable metric space, E any subset of X, and let $\{B(x, r_x)\}_{x \in E}$ be a family of balls of radii $0 < r_x < R$. Then there exists a countable subfamily $\{B(x_j, r_j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ (where $x_j \in E$ and $r_j := r_{x_j}$) of disjoint balls such that $E \subset \bigcup_j \{B(x_j, 3r_j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. The boundedness of M_{γ} on $L^{\infty}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ is obvious. Let $E_{\lambda} := \{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : M_{\gamma}(f)(z) > \lambda\}$. For each $z \in E_{\lambda}$, there exist some $w_z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ such that

$$\int_{D(w_z,\gamma)} |f| dv_\alpha > \lambda v_\alpha(D(w_z,\gamma)).$$

Note that $z \in D(w_z, \gamma) \subset E_\lambda$ and $E_\lambda = \bigcup_{z \in E_\lambda} D(w_z, \gamma)$. Applying Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 2.2, we choose the corresponding collection of pairwise disjoint Bergman metric ball $D(w_i, \gamma)$ and have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\alpha}(E_{\lambda}) &\leq \sum_{i} v_{\alpha}(D(w_{i}, 3\gamma)) \\ &\leq C_{\gamma} \sum_{i} v_{\alpha}(D(w_{i}, \gamma)) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_{i} \int_{D(w_{i}, \gamma)} |f| dv_{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$v_{\alpha}(E_{\lambda}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f| dv_{\alpha},$$

that is M_{γ} is bounded from $L^{1}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$ to $L^{1,\infty}_{\alpha}$.

The boundedness of M_{γ} on $L^{p}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$ for 1 then follows from the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem.

Remark 4.1. Given $1 < q < \infty$. Since $M_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f) = (M_{\gamma}(|f|^q))^{1/q}$, $M_{\gamma}^{(q)}$ is bounded on $L_{\alpha}^p(\mathbb{B}_n)$ for each q .

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let E be a complex Banach space. We write $L^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, E)$ for the Banach space of strongly measurable E-valued functions on \mathbb{B}_n such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|f(z)\|_E^p dv_\alpha(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

Recall that $f : \mathbb{B}_n \to E$ is said to be holomorphic if for each $x^* \in E^*$, x^*f is holomorphic in \mathbb{B}_n . It is known that (for example, see [14]) if f is holomorphic in this weak sense, then it is holomorphic in the stronger sense that f is the sum of a power series

$$f(z) = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} x_J z^J, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

where $x_J \in E$. (As usual, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.) The class of all such functions is denoted by $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n, E)$. We write $\mathcal{A}^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, E)$ for the class of weighted *E*-valued Bergman space of functions $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n, E) \cap L^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, E)$.

Then, by merely repeating the proof of the scalar case (e.g., Theorem 3.25 in [21]), we have the following interpolation result.

Lemma 4.3. Let E be a complex Banach space. Suppose $\alpha > -1$ and $1 \le p_0 < p_1 < \infty$. If

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$$

for some $0 < \theta < 1$, then

$$\mathcal{A}^{p_0}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, E), \mathcal{A}^{p_1}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, E)]_{\theta} = \mathcal{A}^{p}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, E)$$

with equivalent norms.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemmas 2.2, 2.4, and 2.5, we have, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$,

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1+\alpha}} \int_{D(z,\gamma)} |f|^q dv_\alpha\right)^{1/q} \lesssim A_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)(z) \le M_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)(z),$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. Then we have that (3) implies (2), (2) implies (1) in Theorem 3.1. By Remark 4.1 we conclude that (1) implies (3) when q .Then, we need only to prove that for each 0 ,

$$\|M_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)\|_{p,\alpha} \lesssim \|f\|_{p,\alpha}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^{p}$$

On the other hand, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, we know that

$$M_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)(z) \lesssim A_{2\gamma}^{(q)}(f)(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

It then remains to show that $||A_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)||_{p,\alpha} \lesssim ||f||_{p,\alpha}$ for 0 . $At first, we prove the case <math>0 . For any <math>f \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^{p}$ with the atomic decomposition (2.1), one has by Lemma 2.6

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)(z) &= \left(\int_{D(z,\gamma)} |f|^{q} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{k}| \left(\int_{D(z,\gamma)} \frac{(1-|a_{k}|^{2})^{q(pb-n-1-\alpha)/p}}{|1-\langle w,a_{k}\rangle|^{qb}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{k}| \frac{(1-|a_{k}|^{2})^{(pb-n-1-\alpha)/p}}{|1-\langle z,a_{k}\rangle|^{b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |A_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)|^p dv_{\alpha} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^p (1-|a_k|^2)^{pb-n-1-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{1}{|1-\langle z, a_k \rangle|^{pb}} dv_{\alpha}$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^p.$$

This concludes that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |A_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)|^p dv_{\alpha} \lesssim \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^p \right\} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p_{\alpha}}^p.$$

For the remaining case 1 we adopt the interpolation. Set <math>E = $L^{q}(\mathbb{B}_{n},\chi_{D(0,\gamma)}d\tau)$ and consider the operator

$$T(f)(z,w) = f(\varphi_z(w)), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n).$$

Note that $\varphi_z(D(0,\gamma)) = D(z,\gamma)$ and the measure $d\tau$ is invariant under any automorphism of \mathbb{B}_n (cf. Proposition 1.13 in [21]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f)(z)\|_E &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left|f(\varphi_z(w))\right|^q \chi_{D(0,\gamma)}(w) d\tau(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)|^q \chi_{D(z,\gamma)}(w) d\tau(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= A_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)(z). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \|Tf\|_{L^q_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n,E)}^q &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|T(f)(z)\|_E^q dv_{\alpha} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |A^{(q)}_{\gamma}(f)(z)|^q dv_{\alpha} \\ &\approx \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f|^q dv_{\alpha}. \end{split}$$

Then, we conclude that T is bounded from \mathcal{A}^q_{α} into $L^q_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, E)$. Thus, combining with the case of p = 1 proved above, we conclude from Lemma 4.3 that T is bounded from \mathcal{A}^p_{α} into $L^p_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n, E)$ for any 1 , that is,

$$\|A_{\gamma}^{(q)}(f)\|_{p,\alpha} \le C \|f\|_{p,\alpha}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^p,$$

where C depends only on γ, n, p, q and α . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

5. Proof of Theorem 3.2

In this section, we will prove Theorem 3.2. Since

$$(1-|z|^2)|\mathcal{R}f(z)| \le (1-|z|^2)|\nabla f(z)| \le |\tilde{\nabla}f(z)|, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

we have that (4) implies (3), and (3) implies (2) in Theorem 3.2. It remains to show that (2) implies (1) and (1) implies (4).

Proof of $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. Recall that for any $f \in \mathcal{H}^p(\mathbb{S}_n)$ (the holomorphic Hardy space on \mathbb{S}_n),

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |f(\zeta) - f(0)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \approx \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} \left(\int_0^1 |(1-s)\mathcal{R}f(s\zeta)|^q \frac{ds}{1-s} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} d\sigma(\zeta).$$

(e.g., see [1].) Then, noting that $f_r(z) = f(rz)$,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} |f(z) - f(0)|^{p} dv_{\alpha}(z) \\ &= 2n \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{S}_{n}} |f(r\zeta) - f(0)|^{p} d\sigma(\zeta) r^{2n-1} (1-r^{2})^{\alpha} dr \\ &\approx 2n \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{S}_{n}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} |(1-s) \mathcal{R}f_{r}(s\zeta)|^{q} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} d\sigma(\zeta) r^{2n-1} (1-r^{2})^{\alpha} dr \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} |(1-s) \mathcal{R}f(sz)|^{q} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} dv_{\alpha}(z). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof that $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Proof of $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$. We first consider the case 0 . To this end, we write

$$f_k(z) = \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{(pb - n - 1 - \alpha)/p}}{(1 - \langle z, a_k \rangle)^b}.$$

An immediate computation yields that

$$\nabla f_k(z) = \frac{b\overline{a}_k (1 - |a_k|^2)^{(pb - n - 1 - \alpha)/p}}{(1 - \langle z, a_k \rangle)^{b+1}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}f_k(z) = \frac{b\langle z, a_k \rangle (1 - |a_k|^2)^{(pb-n-1-\alpha)/p}}{(1 - \langle z, a_k \rangle)^{b+1}}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{\nabla}f_k(rz)|^2 &= (1 - |rz|^2)(|\nabla f_k(rz)|^2 - |\mathcal{R}f_k(rz)|^2) \\ &= b^2(1 - |rz|^2)(1 - |a_k|^2)^{2(pb-n-1-\alpha)/p} \frac{|a_k|^2 - |\langle rz, a_k\rangle|^2}{|1 - \langle rz, a_k\rangle|^{2(b+1)}} \end{split}$$

Notice that

(5.1)
$$|1 - t\lambda| \approx (1 - t) + |1 - \lambda|, \quad 0 \le t \le 1, \ 0 \le |\lambda| \le 1.$$

Set
$$\varepsilon_{0} = \frac{(\alpha+1)q}{2p}$$
. Then by (5.1) one has

$$G_{\overline{\nabla}}^{(q)}(f_{k})(z) = b(1 - |a_{k}|^{2})^{(pb-n-1-\alpha)/p} \times \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - |rz|^{2})^{q/2}(|a_{k}|^{2} - |\langle rz, a_{k} \rangle|^{2})^{q/2}}{|1 - \langle rz, a_{k} \rangle|^{q(b+1)}} \frac{dr}{1 - r|z|}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \lesssim (1 - |a_{k}|^{2})^{(pb-n-1-\alpha)/p} \times \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{dr}{|1 - r\langle z, a_{k} \rangle|^{qb+q/2}(1 - r|z|)^{1-q/2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \lesssim (1 - |a_{k}|^{2})^{(pb-n-1-\alpha)/p} \\ \times \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{dr}{|1 - r\langle z, a_{k} \rangle|^{qb-\varepsilon_{0}}(1 - r|z|)^{1+\varepsilon_{0}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \lesssim (1 - |a_{k}|^{2})^{(pb-n-1-\alpha)/p} \\ \times \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{dr}{[(1 - r) + |1 - \langle z, a_{k} \rangle|]^{qb-\varepsilon_{0}}(1 - r|z|)^{1+\varepsilon_{0}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \lesssim (1 - |a_{k}|^{2})^{(pb-n-1-\alpha)/p} \\ \times \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{dr}{|1 - \langle z, a_{k} \rangle|^{qb-\varepsilon_{0}}[(1 - r) + (1 - |z|)]^{1+\varepsilon_{0}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \lesssim (1 - |a_{k}|^{2})^{(pb-n-1-\alpha)/p} \\ \times \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{dr}{|1 - \langle z, a_{k} \rangle|^{qb-\varepsilon_{0}}[(1 - r) + (1 - |z|)]^{1+\varepsilon_{0}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Hence, for any $f \in \mathcal{A}^p_{\alpha}$ with the atomic decomposition (2.1),

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} |G_{\tilde{\nabla}}^{(q)}(f)(z)|^{p} dv_{\alpha} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{k}|^{p} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} |G_{\tilde{\nabla}}^{(q)}(f_{k})(z)|^{p} dv_{\alpha} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{k}|^{p} (1 - |a_{k}|^{2})^{pb-n-1-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} \frac{(1 - |z|^{2})^{\alpha - \varepsilon_{0}p/q}}{|1 - \langle z, a_{k} \rangle|^{pb-\varepsilon_{0}p/q}} dv(z) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{k}|^{p} (1 - |a_{k}|^{2})^{pb-n-1-\alpha} (1 - |a_{k}|^{2})^{-pb+n+1+\alpha} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{k}|^{p}, \end{split}$$

the last second inequality is obtained by Lemma 2.1 (notice that $\alpha - \varepsilon_0 p/q > -1$). The proof of the case 0 is complete.

Now we turn to consider the case 1 In this case, <math display="inline">f has the integral representation

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)dv_\alpha(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. By Lemma 2.7 one has

$$\left|\tilde{\nabla}f(rz)\right| \le \sqrt{2}(n+1+\alpha)(1-|rz|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha} dv(w)}{|1-\langle rz,w\rangle|^{n+1+\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Given $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{(\alpha+1)q}{2p}$ as above. Then one has by (5.1) again

$$\begin{split} G_{\tilde{\nabla}}^{(q)}(f)(z) &= \left(\int_{0}^{1} |\tilde{\nabla}f(rz)|^{q} \frac{dr}{1-|rz|}\right)^{1/q} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-|rz|)^{q/2-1} \left|\int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} \frac{|f(w)|(1-|w|^{2})^{\alpha} dv(w)}{|1-\langle rz,w\rangle|^{n+1+\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}\right|^{q} dr\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-|rz|)^{q/2-1} |f(w)|^{q}(1-|w|^{2})^{q\alpha}}{|1-\langle rz,w\rangle|^{q(n+1+\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}} dr\right)^{1/q} dv(w) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} |f(w)|(1-|w|^{2})^{\alpha} \\ &\times \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-|rz|)^{q/2-1}}{\left[(1-r)+|1-\langle z,w\rangle|\right]^{q(n+1+\alpha)-\varepsilon_{0}}(1-r|z|)^{\varepsilon_{0}+\frac{q}{2}}} dr\right)^{1/q} dv(w) \end{split}$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha}}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{(n+1+\alpha)-\varepsilon_0/q}} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{dr}{\left[(1-r)+(1-|z|)\right]^{\varepsilon_0+1}} \right)^{1/q} dv(w)$$
$$\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} (1-|z|)^{-\varepsilon_0/q} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha}}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{(n+1+\alpha-\varepsilon_0/q)}} dv(w).$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\|G^{(q)}_{\tilde{\nabla}}(f)\|_{p,\alpha} \lesssim \|f\|_{p,\alpha},$$

for 1 . The proof of Theorem 3.2 is complete.

6. Besov spaces

In this section we give an application of our main results (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2) to obtaining new maximal and area integral characterizations of Besov spaces.

For $0 and <math>-\infty < \alpha < \infty$ we fix a nonnegative integer k with $pk+\alpha > -1$ and define the so-called Bergman space \mathcal{A}^p_{α} introduced in [20] as the space of all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ such that $(1-|z|^2)^k \mathcal{R}^k f \in L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$. One then easily observes that \mathcal{A}^p_{α} is independent of the choice of k and consistent with the traditional definition when $\alpha > -1$. Let N be the smallest nonnegative integer such that $pN + \alpha > -1$ and define

(6.1)
$$||f||_{p,\alpha} = |f(0)| + \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1-|z|^2)^{pN} |\mathcal{R}^N f(z)|^p dv_\alpha(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}^p_\alpha.$$

Equipped with (6.1), \mathcal{A}^p_{α} becomes a Banach space when $p \geq 1$ and a quasi-Banach space for 0 .

It is known that the family of the generalized Bergman spaces \mathcal{A}^p_{α} covers most of the spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , such as the classical diagonal Besov space B^s_p and the Sobolev space $W^p_{k,\beta}$ (e.g., [4]), which has been extensively studied before in the literature under different names (e.g., see [20] for an overview). We refer to Arcozzi-Rochberg-Sawyer [2, 3], Tchoundja [18] and Volberg-Wick [19] for some recent results on such Besov spaces and more references.

We have new maximal and area integral characterizations for these spaces as follows.

Corollary 6.1. Suppose $\gamma > 0, 1 \leq q < \infty$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Let 0 and <math>k be a nonnegative integer such that $pk + \alpha > -1$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n)$, $f \in \mathcal{A}^p_\alpha$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}^{(q)}_\gamma(\mathcal{R}^k f)$ is in $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}^{(q)}_\gamma(\mathcal{R}^k f)$ is in $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$, where

(6.2)
$$A_{\gamma}^{(q)}(\mathcal{R}^{k}f)(z) = \left(\int_{D(z,\gamma)} \left| (1-|w|^{2})^{k} \mathcal{R}^{k}f(w) \right|^{q} d\tau(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Z. Chen and W. Ouyang

and(6.3)

$$M_{\gamma}^{(q)}(\mathcal{R}^k f)(z) = \sup_{z \in D(w,\gamma)} \left(\int_{D(w,\gamma)} \left| (1-|u|^2)^k \mathcal{R}^k f(u) \right|^q \frac{dv_{\alpha}(u)}{v_{\alpha}(D(w,\gamma))} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Moreover,

(6.4)
$$||f - f(0)||_{p,\alpha} \approx ||A_{\gamma}^{(q)}(\mathcal{R}^k f)||_{p,\alpha} \approx ||M_{\gamma}^{(q)}(\mathcal{R}^k f)||_{p,\alpha}$$

where " \approx " depends only on γ, q, α, p, k , and n.

To prove Corollary 6.1, one merely notices that $f \in \mathcal{A}^p_{\alpha}$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}^k f \in L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_{\alpha+pk})$ (e.g., Theorem 2.16 in [21]) and applies Theorem 3.1 to $\mathcal{R}^k f$ with the help of Lemma 2.4. When $\alpha > -1$, we can take k = 0 and then recover one of the main results in [5].

Notice that $\mathcal{H}_s^p = \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^p$ with $\alpha = -2s - 1$, where \mathcal{H}_s^p is the Hardy-Sobolev space defined as the set

$$\left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{B}_n) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_s^p}^p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} |(I + \mathcal{R})^s f(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < \infty \right\}.$$

Here,

$$(I + \mathcal{R})^s f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+k)^s f_k$$

if $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k$ is the homogeneous expansion of f. There are several realvariable characterizations of the Hardy-Sobolev spaces obtained by Ahern and Bruna [1]. These characterizations are in terms of maximal and area functions on the admissible approach region

$$D_{\alpha}(\eta) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{B}_n : |1 - \langle z, \eta \rangle| < \frac{\alpha}{2} (1 - |z|^2) \right\}, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{S}_n, \ \alpha > 1.$$

Now, Corollary 6.1 presents new maximal and area integral descriptions of the Hardy-Sobolev spaces in terms of the Bergman metric. A special case of this is a characterization of the usual Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^p = \mathcal{A}_{-1}^p$ itself.

Acknowledgement. This research was supported in part by the NSFC under Grant No. 11171338.

References

- P. Ahern and J. Bruna, Maximal and area integral characterizations of Hardy-Sobolev spaces in the unit ball of Cⁿ, Revista Mate. Iber. 4 (1988), 123-153.
- [2] N. Arcozzi, R. Rochberg, and E. Sawyer, Carleson measures and interpolating sequences for Besov spaces on complex balls, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* 859 (2006), no. 859, vi+163 pp.
- [3] N. Arcozzi, R. Rochberg, and E. Sawyer, Carleson measures for the Drury-Arveson Hardy space and other Besov-Sobolev spaces on complex balls, *Adv. Math.* 218 (2008), 1107-1180.
- [4] F. Beatrous and J. Burbea, Holomorphic Sobolev spaces on the ball, Dissertationes Mathematicae 276, Warszawa, 1989.

- [5] Z. Chen and W. Ouyang, Maximal and area integral characterizations of Bergman spaces in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , arXiv: 1005.2936.
- [6] R. R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, and E. M. Stein, Some new function spaces and their applications on harmonic analysis, J. Funct. Anal. 62 (1985), 304-335.
- [7] R. Coifman and R. Rochberg, Representation theorems for holomorphic and harmonic functions in L^p, Asterisque 77 (1980), 11-66.
- [8] G. Dafni, Hardy spaces on some pseudoconvex domains, J. Geom. Anal. 4 (1994), 273-316.
- [9] P. Duren and A. Schuster, *Bergman Spaces*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 100, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [10] J. Garnett and R. H. Latter, The atomic decomposition for Hardy space in several complex variables, *Duke J. Math.* 45 (1978), 815-845.
- [11] S. Grellier and M. M. Peloso, Decomposition theorems for Hardy spaces on convex domains of finite type, *Illinois J. Math.* 46 (2002), 207-232.
- [12] S. G. Krantz and S.-Y. Li, On decomposition theorems for Hardy space on domains in Cⁿ and applications, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 2 (1995), 65-107.
- [13] S. G. Krantz and S.-Y. Li, Area integral characterizations of functions in Hardy spaces on domains in Cⁿ, Complex Variables 32 (1997), 373-399.
- [14] J. Mujica, Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986
- [15] F. Nazarov, S. Treil, and A. Volberg, Weak type estimates and Cotlar inequalities for Calderón-Zygmund operators on nonhomogeneous spaces, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 9 (1998), 463-487.
- [16] W. Rudin, Function Theory in the Unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n , Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [17] E. Stein, Boundary Behaviour of Holomorphic Functions of Several Complex Variables, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1972
- [18] E. Tchoundja, Carleson measures for the generalized Bergman spaces via a T(1)-type theorem, Ark. Mat. 46 (2008), 377-406.
- [19] A. Volberg and B. D. Wick, Bergman-type singular integral operators and the characterization of Carleson measures for Besov-Sobolev spaces on the complex ball, arXiv: 0910.1142, Amer. J. Math., to appear.
- [20] R. Zhao and K. Zhu, Theory of Bergman spaces in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}_n , Memoires de la Soc. Math. France **115** (2008), pp.103.
- [21] K. Zhu, Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

WUHAN INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 30 WEST DISTRICT, XIAO-HONG-SHAN, WUHAN 430071, CHINA

E-mail address: chenzeqian@hotmail.com

WUHAN INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 30 WEST DISTRICT, XIAO-HONG-SHAN, WUHAN 430071, CHINA AND GRADUATE UNI-VERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100049, CHINA