REMARKS ON THE ABUNDANCE CONJECTURE

KENTA HASHIZUME

ABSTRACT. We prove the abundance theorem for log canonical n-folds such that the boundary divisor is big assuming the abundance conjecture for log canonical $(n-1)$-folds. We also discuss the log minimal model program for log canonical 4-folds.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important open problems in the minimal model theory for higher-dimensional algebraic varieties is the abundance conjecture. The three-dimensional case of the above conjecture was completely solved (cf. [KeMM] for log canonical threefolds and [F1] for semi log canonical threefolds). However, Conjecture 1.1 is still open in dimension ≥ 4. In this paper, we deal with the abundance conjecture in relative setting.

Conjecture 1.1 (Relative abundance)**.** *Let $\pi : X \to U$ be a projective morphism of varieties and (X, Δ) be a (semi) log canonical pair. If $K_X + \Delta$ is π-nef, then it is π-semi-ample.*

Hacon and Xu [HX1] proved that Conjecture 1.1 for log canonical pairs and Conjecture 1.1 for semi log canonical pairs are equivalent (see also [FG]). If (X, Δ) is Kawamata log terminal and Δ is big, then

Date: 2015/10/30, version 0.21.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E30; Secondary 14J35.
Key words and phrases. abundance theorem, big boundary divisor, good minimal model, finite generation of adjoint ring.

Conjecture 1.1 follows from the usual Kawamata–Shokurov base point free theorem in any dimension. This special case of Conjecture 1.1 plays a crucial role in [BCHM]. Therefore, it is natural to consider Conjecture 1.1 for log canonical pairs (X, Δ) under the assumption that Δ is big.

In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Main Theorem). *Assume Conjecture 1.1 for log canonical $(n-1)$-folds. Then Conjecture 1.1 holds for any projective morphism $\pi : X \to U$ and any log canonical n-fold (X, Δ) such that Δ is a π-big $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor.*

We prove it by using the log minimal model program (log MMP, for short) with scaling. A key gradient is termination of the log minimal model program with scaling for Kawamata log terminal pairs such that the boundary divisor is big (cf. [BCHM]). For details, see Section 3.

By the above theorem, we obtain the following results in the minimal model theory for 4-folds.

Theorem 1.3 (Relative abundance theorem). *Let $\pi : X \to U$ be a projective morphism from a normal variety to a variety, where the dimension of X is four. Let (X, Δ) be a log canonical pair such that Δ is a π-big $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. If $K_X + \Delta$ is π-nef, then it is π-semi-ample.*

Corollary 1.4 (Log minimal model program). *Let $\pi : X \to U$ be a projective morphism of normal quasi-projective varieties, where the dimension of X is four. Let (X, Δ) be a log canonical pair such that Δ is a π-big $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. Then any log MMP of (X, Δ) with scaling over U terminates with a good minimal model or a Mori fiber space of (X, Δ) over U. Moreover, if $K_X + \Delta$ is π-pseudo-effective, then any log MMP of (X, Δ) over U terminates.*

Corollary 1.5 (Finite generation of adjoint ring). *Let $\pi : X \to U$ be a projective morphism from a normal variety to a variety, where the dimension of X is four. Let $\Delta^{\bullet} = (\Delta_1, \cdots, \Delta_n)$ be an n-tuple of π-big $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors such that (X, Δ_i) is log canonical for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the adjoint ring*

$$\mathcal{R}(\pi, \Delta^{\bullet}) = \bigoplus_{(m_1, \cdots, m_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X (\llcorner \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i (K_X + \Delta_i) \lrcorner)$$

is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_U$-algebra.

We note that we need to construct log flips for log canonical pairs to run the log minimal model program. Fortunately, the existence of log flips for log canonical pairs is known for all dimensions (cf. [S1] for threefolds, [F2] for 4-folds and [B3] or [HX2] for all higher dimensions). Therefore we can run the log minimal model program for log canonical pairs in all dimensions. By the above corollaries, we can establish almost completely the minimal model theory for any log canonical 4-fold (X, Δ) such that Δ is big.

The contents of this paper are as follows. In Section 2, we collect some notations and definitions for reader's convenience. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we discuss the log minimal model program for log canonical 4-folds and prove Theorem 1.3, Corollary 1.4 and Corollary 1.5.

Throughout this paper, we work over the complex number field.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank his supervisor Professor Osamu Fujino for many useful advice and suggestions. He is grateful to Professor Yoshinori Gongyo for giving information about the latest studies of the minimal model theory. He also thanks my colleagues for discussions.

2. Notations and definitions

In this section, we collect some notations and definitions. We will freely use the standard notations in [BCHM]. Here we write down some important notations and definitions for reader's convenience.

2.1 (Divisors)**.** Let X be a normal variety. $\mathrm{WDiv}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ is the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space with canonical basis given by the prime divisors of X. A variety X is called *$\mathbb{Q}$-factorial* if every Weil divisor is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Let $\pi : X \to U$ be a morphism from a normal variety to a variety and let $D = \sum a_i D_i$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on X. Then D is a *boundary $\mathbb{R}$-divisor* if $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$ for any i. The *round down* of D, denoted by $\llcorner D \lrcorner$, is $\sum \llcorner a_i \lrcorner D_i$ where $\llcorner a_i \lrcorner$ is the largest integer which is not greater than a_i. D is *pseudo-effective over U* (or *π-pseudo-effective*) if D is π-numerically equivalent to the limit of effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisors modulo numerically equivalence over U. D is *nef over U* (or *π-nef*) if it is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier and $(D \cdot C) \geq 0$ for every proper curve C on X contained in a fiber of π. D is *big over U* (or *π-big*) if it is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier and there exists a π-ample divisor A and an effective divisor E such that $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}, U} A+E$. D is *semi-ample over U* (or *π-semi-ample*) if D is an $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linear combination of semi-ample Cartier divisors over U, or equivalently, there exists a morphism $f : X \to Y$ to a variety Y over U such that D is $\mathbb{R}$-linearly equivalent to the pullback of an ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor over U.

2.2 (Singularities of pairs)**.** Let $\pi : X \to U$ be a projective morphism from a normal variety to a variety and Δ be an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a birational morphism. Then f is called a *log resolution* of the pair (X, Δ) if f is projective, Y is smooth, the exceptional locus $\mathrm{Ex}(f)$ is pure codimension one and $\mathrm{Supp}\, f_*^{-1}\Delta \cup \mathrm{Ex}(f)$ is simple normal crossing. Suppose that f is a log resolution of the pair (X, Δ). Then we may write

$$K_Y = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum b_i E_i$$

where E_i are distinct prime divisors on Y. Then the *log discrepancy* $a(E_i, X, \Delta)$ of E_i with respect to (X, Δ) is $1 + b_i$. The pair (X, Δ) is called *Kawamata log terminal* (*klt*, for short) if $a(E_i, X, \Delta) > 0$ for any log resolution f of (X, Δ) and any E_i on Y. (X, Δ) is called *log canonical* (*lc*, for short) if $a(E_i, X, \Delta) \geq 0$ for any log resolution f of (X, Δ) and any E_i on Y. (X, Δ) is called *divisorially log terminal* (*dlt*, for short) if Δ is a boundary $\mathbb{R}$-divisor and there exists a log resolution $f : Y \to X$ of (X, Δ) such that $a(E, X, \Delta) > 0$ for any f-exceptional divisor E on Y.

Definition 2.3 (log minimal models)**.** Let $\pi : X \to U$ be a projective morphism from a normal variety to a variety and let (X, Δ) be a log canonical pair. Let $\pi' : Y \to U$ be a projective morphism from a normal variety to U and $\phi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a birational map over U such that ϕ^{-1} does not contract any divisors. Set $\Delta_Y = \phi_*\Delta$. Then the pair (Y, Δ_Y) is a *log minimal model* of (X, Δ) over U if

(1) $K_Y + \Delta_Y$ is nef over U, and
(2) for any ϕ-exceptional prime divisor D on X, we have
$$a(D, X, \Delta) < a(D, Y, \Delta_Y).$$

A log minimal model (Y, Δ_Y) of (X, Δ) over U is called a *good minimal model* if $K_Y + \Delta_Y$ is semi-ample over U.

Finally, let us recall the definition of semi log canonical pairs.

Definition 2.4 (semi log canonical pairs, cf. [F4, Definition 4.11.3])**.** Let X be a reduced S_2 scheme. We assume that it is pure n-dimensional and normal crossing in codimension one. Let $X = \cup X_i$ be the irreducible decomposition and let $\nu : X' = \amalg X'_i \to X = \cup X_i$ be the normalization. Then the *conductor ideal* of X is defined by

$$\mathfrak{cond}_X = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\nu_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}, \mathcal{O}_X) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$$

and the *conductor* $\mathcal{C}_X$ of X is the subscheme defined by $\mathfrak{cond}_X$. Since X is S_2 scheme and normal crossing in codimension one, $\mathcal{C}_X$ is a reduced closed subscheme of pure codimension one in X.

Let Δ be a boundary $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on X such that $K_X+\Delta$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier and $\operatorname{Supp}\Delta$ does not contain any irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_X$. An $\mathbb{R}$-divisor Θ on X' is defined by $K_{X'}+\Theta=\nu^*(K_X+\Delta)$ and we set $\Theta_i=\Theta|_{X'_i}$. Then (X,Δ) is called *semi log canonical* (*slc*, for short) if (X'_i,Θ_i) is lc for any i.

3. Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. Before the proof, let us recall the useful theorem called dlt blow-up by Hacon.

Theorem 3.1 (cf. [F3, Theorem 10.4], [KK, Theorem 3.1])**.** *Let X be a normal quasi-projective variety of dimension n and let Δ be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor such that (X,Δ) is log canonical. Then there exists a projective birational morphism $f:Y\to X$ from a normal quasi-projective variety Y such that*

(1) *Y is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, and*
(2) *if we set*
$$\Delta_Y=f_*^{-1}\Delta+\sum_{E:f\text{-exceptional}}E,$$
then (Y,Δ_Y) is dlt and $K_Y+\Delta_Y=f^*(K_X+\Delta)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Without loss of generality, we can assume that U is affine. By Theorem 3.1, we may assume that X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. Let V be the finite dimensional subspace in $\mathrm{WDiv}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ spanned by all components of Δ. We set

$$\mathcal{N}=\{B\in V\,|\,(X,B)\text{ is log canonical and }K_X+B\text{ is }\pi\text{-nef}\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{N}$ is a rational polytope in V (cf. [F4, Theorem 4.7.2 (3)], [S2, 6.2. First Main Theorem]). Since Δ is π-big, there are finitely many π-big $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors $\Delta_1,\cdots,\Delta_l\in\mathcal{N}$ and positive real numbers $r_1,\cdots,r_l$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^l r_i=1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^l r_i\Delta_i=\Delta$. Since we have $K_X+\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^l r_i(K_X+\Delta_i)$, it is sufficient to prove that $K_X+\Delta_i$ is π-semi-ample for any i. Therefore we may assume that Δ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. By using Theorem 3.1 again, we may assume that (X,Δ) is dlt.

If $\llcorner\Delta\lrcorner=0$, then (X,Δ) is klt and Theorem 1.2 follows from [BCHM, Corollary 3.9.2]. Thus we may assume that $\llcorner\Delta\lrcorner\neq 0$. Let k be a positive integer such that $k(K_X+\Delta)$ is Cartier. Pick a sufficiently small positive rational number ϵ such that $\Delta-\epsilon\llcorner\Delta\lrcorner$ is big over U and $(2k\epsilon\cdot\dim X)/(1-\epsilon)<1$. By [BCHM, Lemma 3.7.5], there is a boundary $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor Δ', which is the sum of a general π-ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and an effective divisor, such that $K_X+(\Delta-\epsilon\llcorner\Delta\lrcorner)\sim_{\mathbb{Q},U}K_X+\Delta'$

and (X, Δ') is klt. By [BCHM, Theorem E], the $(K_X + \Delta')$-log MMP with scaling a π-ample divisor

$$X = X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2 \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow X_i \dashrightarrow \cdots$$

over U terminates. Since $\Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, U} \Delta'$, it is also the log MMP of $(X, \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner)$ over U. Let Δ_i be the birational transform of Δ on X_i. Then $(X_m, \Delta_m - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta_m \lrcorner)$ is a log minimal model or a Mori fiber space $h : X_m \to Z$ of $(X, \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner)$ over U for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Let $f_i : X_i \to V_i$ be the contraction morphism of the i-th step of the log MMP over U, that is, $X_{i+1} = V_i$ or $X_{i+1} \to V_i$ is the flip of f_i over U. Then $K_{X_i} + \Delta_i$ is nef over U and f_i-trivial for any $i \geq 1$. Indeed, by the induction on i, it is sufficient to prove that $K_X + \Delta$ is f_1-trivial and $K_{X_2} + \Delta_2$ is nef over U. Recall that k is a positive integer such that $k(K_X + \Delta)$ is Cartier. We show that $K_X + \Delta$ is f_1-trivial and $k(K_{X_2} + \Delta_2)$ is a nef Cartier divisor over U. Since $K_X + \Delta$ is nef over U, for any $(K_X + \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner)$-negative extremal ray over U, it is also a $(K_X + \Delta - \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner)$-negative extremal ray over U. Then we can find a rational curve C on X contracted by f_1 such that $0 < -(K_X + \Delta - \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner) \cdot C \leq 2 \dim X$ by [F3, Theorem 18.2]. By the choice of ϵ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq k(K_X + \Delta) \cdot C \\ &= \frac{k}{1-\epsilon}\big((K_X + \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner) \cdot C - \epsilon (K_X + \Delta - \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner) \cdot C\big) \\ &< \frac{k\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \cdot 2 \dim X < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k(K_X + \Delta)$ is Cartier, $k(K_X + \Delta) \cdot C$ is an integer. Then we have $k(K_X + \Delta) \cdot C = 0$ and thus $K_X + \Delta$ is f_1-trivial. By the cone theorem (cf. [F4, Theorem 4.5.2]), there is a Cartier divisor D on V_1 such that $k(K_X + \Delta) \sim f_1^* D$. Since $k(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef over U, D is also nef over U. Let $g : W \to X$ and $g' : W \to X_2$ be a common resolution of $X \dashrightarrow X_2$. Then $g^*(K_X + \Delta) = g'^*(K_{X_2} + \Delta_2)$ by the negativity lemma because $K_X + \Delta$ is f_1-trivial. Then $k(K_{X_2} + \Delta_2)$ is the pullback of D and therefore it is a nef Cartier divisor over U. Thus, $K_{X_i} + \Delta_i$ is nef over U and f_i-trivial for any $i \geq 1$.

Like above, by taking a common resolution of $X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}$ and the negativity lemma, we see that $K_{Xi} + \Delta_i$ is semi-ample over U if and only if $K_{X_{i+1}} + \Delta_{i+1}$ is semi-ample over U for any $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. By replacing (X, Δ) with (X_m, Δ_m), we may assume that X is a log minimal model or a Mori fiber space $h : X \to Z$ of $(X, \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner)$ over U. We note that after replacing (X, Δ) with (X_m, Δ_m), (X, Δ) is lc but not necessarily dlt.

Case 1. X is a Mori fiber space $h: X \to Z$ of $(X, \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner)$ over U.

Proof of Case 1. First, note that in this case $K_X + \Delta$ is h-trivial by the above discussion. Moreover $\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$ is ample over Z. By the cone theorem (cf. [F4, Theorem 4.5.2]), there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor Ξ on Z such that $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, U} h^*\Xi$. Since $\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$ is ample over Z, $\operatorname{Supp} \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$ dominates Z. In particular, there exists a component of $\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$, which we put T, such that T dominates Z. Let $f: (Y, \Delta_Y) \to (X, \Delta)$ be a dlt blow-up (see Lemma 3.1) and $\widetilde{T}$ be the strict transform of T on Y. Then $K_X + \Delta$ is semi-ample over U if and only if $K_Y + \Delta_Y$ is semi-ample over U. Furthermore, we have $K_{\widetilde{T}} + \operatorname{Diff}(\Delta_Y - \widetilde{T}) = ((h \circ f)|_{\widetilde{T}})^*\Xi$ since $\widetilde{T}$ dominates Z. Thus it is sufficient to prove that $K_{\widetilde{T}} + \operatorname{Diff}(\Delta_Y - \widetilde{T})$ is semi-ample over U. Since (Y, Δ_Y) is dlt, $\widetilde{T}$ is normal by [KM, Corollary 5.52]. By [K, 17.2. Theorem], we see that the pair $(\widetilde{T}, \operatorname{Diff}(\Delta_Y - \widetilde{T}))$ is lc. Then $K_{\widetilde{T}} + \operatorname{Diff}(\Delta_Y - \widetilde{T})$ is semi-ample over U by the relative abundance theorem for log canonical $(n-1)$-folds. So we are done. □

Case 2. X is a log minimal model of $(X, \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner)$ over U.

Proof of Case 2. In this case, both $K_X + \Delta$ and $K_X + \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$ are nef over U. By [BCHM, Corollary 3.9.2], $K_X + \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$ is semi-ample over U. Therefore we may assume that $\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner \neq 0$, and there exists a sufficiently large and divisible positive integer l such that both $l(K_X + \Delta)$ and $l\epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$ are Cartier and

$$\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(l(K_X + \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner)) \to \mathcal{O}_X(l(K_X + \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner))$$

is surjective. Then, in the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(l(K_X + \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner))|_{X \setminus \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} & \longrightarrow & \pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(l(K_X + \Delta))|_{X \setminus \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_X(l(K_X + \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner))|_{X \setminus \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{O}_X(l(K_X + \Delta))|_{X \setminus \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} \end{array}$$

the left vertical morphism is surjective. Moreover, the lower horizontal morphism is an isomorphism. Therefore the right vertical morphism is surjective. Thus $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(l(K_X + \Delta)) \to \mathcal{O}_X(l(K_X + \Delta))$ is surjective outside of $\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$.

Next, set $D = \operatorname{Diff}(\Delta - \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner)$ and consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(l'(K_X + \Delta) - \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner) &\to \mathcal{O}_X(l'(K_X + \Delta)) \\ &\to \mathcal{O}_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner}(l'(K_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} + D)) \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

where l' is a sufficiently large and divisible positive integer such that $1/l' \leq \epsilon$. Then we have

$$l'(K_X + \Delta) - \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner = l'(K_X + \Delta - \frac{1}{l'} \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner).$$

Moreover, $\Delta - (1/l')\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$ is big over U and $K_X + \Delta - (1/l')\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$ is nef over U. Since $(X, \Delta - (1/l')\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner)$ is klt, by [BCHM, Lemma 3.7.5], we may find a π-big $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $A + B$, where $A \geq 0$ is a general ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor over U and $B \geq 0$, such that $(X, A + B)$ is klt and $\Delta - (1/l')\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, U} A + B$. In particular, (X, B) is klt. Furthermore, $A + (l' - 1)(K_X + \Delta - (1/l')\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner)$ is ample over U. Thus we have

$$l'(K_X + \Delta) - \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, U} K_X + A + (l' - 1)(K_X + \Delta - \frac{1}{l'} \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner) + B$$

and $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(l'(K_X + \Delta) - \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner) = 0$ (cf. [KaMM, Theorem 1-2-5]). Then $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(l'(K_X + \Delta)) \to \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner}(l'(K_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} + D))$ is surjective and thus $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(l'(K_X + \Delta)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} \to \pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner}(l'(K_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} + D))$ is surjective.

We can check that the pair $(\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner, D)$ is semi log canonical. Indeed, since $(X, \Delta - \epsilon \llcorner \Delta \lrcorner)$ is klt and since X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, by [KM, Corollary 5.25], $\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$ is Cohen–Macaulay. In particular, $\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$ satisfies the S_2 condition. Moreover, since (X, Δ) is lc, $\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$ is normal crossing in codimension one. We also see that D does not contain any irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner}$ by [C, 16.6 Proposition]. Therefore $(\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner, D)$ is semi log canonical by [K, 17.2 Theorem]. Since $K_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} + D = (K_X + \Delta)|_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner}$ is nef over U, $K_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} + D$ is semi-ample over U by [HX1, Theorem 1.4] and the relative abundance theorem for log canonical $(n-1)$-folds.

By these facts, in the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(l'(K_X + \Delta)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} & \longrightarrow & \pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner}(l'(K_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} + D)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_X(l'(K_X + \Delta)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{O}_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner}(l'(K_{\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner} + D)) \end{array}$$

the right vertical morphism and the upper horizontal morphism are both surjective. Furthermore, the lower horizontal morphism is an isomorphism. Therefore the left vertical morphism is surjective. Then $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(l'(K_X + \Delta)) \to \mathcal{O}_X(l'(K_X + \Delta))$ is surjective in a neighborhood of $\llcorner \Delta \lrcorner$.

Therefore, $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(l(K_X + \Delta)) \to \mathcal{O}_X(l(K_X + \Delta))$ is surjective for some sufficiently large and divisible positive integer l. So we are done. □

Thus, in both case, $K_X + \Delta$ is semi-ample over U. Therefore we complete the proof. □

4. Minimal model program in dimension four

In this section, we discuss the log minimal model for log canonical 4-folds and prove Theorem 1.3, Corollary 1.4 and Corollary 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It immediately follows from Theorem 1.2 since the relative abundance theorem for log canonical 3-folds holds (cf. [F1, Theorem A.2]). □

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\pi : X \to U$ be a projective morphism of normal quasi-projective varieties, where the dimension of X is four. Let (X, Δ) be a log canonical pair and let A be an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor such that $(X, \Delta + A)$ is log canonical and $K_X + \Delta + A$ is π-nef. Then we can run the log MMP of (X, Δ) with scaling A over U and this log MMP with scaling terminates.*

Proof. We can run the log MMP of (X, Δ) with scaling A over U by [F4, Remark 4.9.2]. Therefore we only have to prove the termination of the log MMP with scaling.

Suppose by contradiction that we get an infinite sequence of birational maps by running the log MMP with scaling A

$$(X = X_1, \Delta = \Delta_1, \lambda_1 A_1) \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow (X_i, \Delta_i, \lambda_i A_i) \dashrightarrow \cdots$$

over U, where A_i is the birational transform of A on X_i and

$$\lambda_i = \inf\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \,|\, K_{X_i} + \Delta_i + \mu A_i \text{ is nef over } U\}$$

for every $i \geq 1$. Let $X_i \to V_i$ be the contraction morphism of the i-th step of the $(K_X+\Delta)$-log MMP with scaling A over U. Note that by [B2, Lemma 3.8], the log MMP with scaling terminates for all $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial dlt 4-folds. By the same argument as in the proof of [F4, Lemma 4.9.3], we obtain the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(Y_1^1, \Psi_1^1) \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow & (Y_1^{k_1} = Y_2^1, \Psi_1^{k_1} = \Psi_2^1) & \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow & (Y_i^1, \Psi_i^1) \dashrightarrow \cdots \\
\downarrow \alpha_1 & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \alpha_i \\
(X_1, \Delta_1) \dashrightarrow & (X_2, \Delta_2) & \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow & (X_i, \Delta_i) \dashrightarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

such that

(1) (Y_i^1, Ψ_i^1) is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial dlt and $K_{Y_i^1} + \Psi_i^1 = \alpha_i^*(K_{X_i} + \Delta_i)$,

(2) the sequence of birational maps

$$(Y_i^1, \Psi_i^1) \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow (Y_i^{k_i}, \Psi_i^{k_i}) = (Y_{i+1}^1, \Psi_{i+1}^1)$$

is a finite number of steps of the $(K_{Y_i^1} + \Psi_i^1)$-log MMP over V_i for any $i \geq 1$, and

(3) the sequence of the upper horizontal birational maps is an infinite sequence of divisorial contractions and log flips of the $(K_{Y_1^1}+\Psi_1^1)$-log MMP over U.

For every $i \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j < k_i$, let A_i^j be the birational transform of α_1^*A on Y_i^j and let

$$\lambda_i^j = \inf\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \,|\, K_{Y_i^j} + \Psi_i^j + \mu A_i^j \text{ is nef over } U\}.$$

Then we have $\lambda_i^j = \lambda_i$ for any $i \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j < k_i$. Indeed, since $K_{X_i} + \Delta_i + \lambda_i A_i$ is nef over U and it is also trivial over V_i, there is an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor D, which is nef over U, on V_i such that $K_{X_i} + \Delta_i + \lambda_i A_i$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linearly equivalent to the pullback of D. Since $A_i^1 = \alpha_i^* A_i$, by the condition (1), $K_{Y_i^1} + \Psi_i^1 + \lambda_i A_i^1$ is also $\mathbb{R}$-linearly equivalent to the pullback of D. Thus $K_{Y_i^1} + \Psi_i^1 + \lambda_i A_i^1$ is nef over U. Moreover, by the condition (2), $K_{Y_i^j} + \Psi_i^j + \lambda_i A_i^j$ is also $\mathbb{R}$-linearly equivalent to the pullback of D. Therefore $K_{Y_i^j} + \Psi_i^j + \lambda_i A_i^j$ is nef over U and trivial over V_i for any $0 \leq j < k_i$. We also see that $K_{Y_i^j} + \Psi_i^j + \mu A_i^j$ is not nef over V_i for any $\mu \in [0, \lambda_i)$ by the condition (2). In particular it is not nef over U. Therefore we have $\lambda_i^j = \lambda_i$ for any $i \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j < k_i$.

By these facts, we can identify the sequence of birational maps

$$(Y_1^1, \Psi_1^1) \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow (Y_i^j, \Psi_i^j) \dashrightarrow (Y_i^{j+1}, \Psi_i^{j+1}) \dashrightarrow \cdots$$

with an infinite sequence of birational maps of the $(K_{Y_1^1}+\Psi_1^1)$-log MMP with scaling $A_1^1 = \alpha_1^* A$ over U. But then it must terminate by [B2, Lemma 3.8]. It contradicts to our assumption. So we are done. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. The first half of the assertions immediately follows from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 1.3. For the latter half, if $K_X + \Delta$ is π-pseudo-effective then it is π-effective by the first half of this corollary. By [B1, Main Theorem 1.3], termination of any log MMP follows. So we are done. □

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Without loss of generality, we can assume that U is affine. Then the assertion follows from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 1.3 with the same argument as in the proof of [H, Lemma 3.2] and the discussion of [H, Section 4]. □

References

[B1] C. Birkar, Ascending chain condition for log canonical thresholds and termination of log flips, Duke Math. J. **136** (2007), no. 1, 173–180.

[B2] C. Birkar, On existence of log minimal models, Compos. Math. **146** (2010), no. 4, 919–928.

[B3] C. Birkar, Existence of log canonical flips and a special LMMP, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **115** (2012), 325–368.

[BCHM] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, J. McKernan, Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 2, 405–468.

[C] A. Corti, Adjunction of log divisors, in *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Astérisque **211** (1992), 171–182.

[F1] O. Fujino, Abundance theorem for semi log canonical threefolds, Duke Math. J. **102** (2000), no. 3, 513–532.

[F2] O. Fujino, Finite generation of the log canonical ring in dimension four, Kyoto J. Math. **50** (2010), no. 4, 671–684.

[F3] O. Fujino, Fundamental theorems for the log minimal model program, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **47** (2011), no. 3, 727–789.

[F4] O. Fujino, Foundation of the minimal model program, preprint (2014).

[FG] O. Fujino, Y. Gongyo, Log pluricanonical representations and the abundance conjecture, Compos. Math. **150** (2014), no. 4, 593–620.

[H] K. Hashizume, Finite generation of adjoint ring for log surfaces, preprint (2015). arXiv:1505.02282v2

[HX1] C. D. Hacon, C. Xu, On finiteness of B-representation and semi-log canonical abundance, preprint (2012), to appear in Adv. Stud. Pure Math.

[HX2] C. D. Hacon, C. Xu, Existence of log canonical closures, Invent. Math. **192** (2013), no. 1, 161–195.

[K] J. Kollár, Adjunction and discrepancies, in *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Astérisque **211** (1992), 183–192.

[KK] J. Kollár, S. Kovács, Log canonical singularities are Du Bois, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23**, no. 3, 791–813.

[KM] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **134**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[KaMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, K. Matsuki, Introduction to the Minimal Model Problem, in *Algebraic Geometry, Sendai 1985*, Advanced Studies of Pure Math. **10**, (1987) Kinokuniya and North-Holland, 283–360.

[KeMM] S. Keel, K. Matsuki, J. McKernan, Log abundance theorem for threefolds, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), no. 1, 99–119.

[S1] V. V. Shokurov, Three-dimensional log perestroikas. (Russian) With an appendix in English by Yujiro Kawamata, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **56** (1992), no. 1, 105–203. Translation in Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40** (1993), no. 1, 95–202.

[S2] V. V. Shokurov, 3-fold log models, Algebraic geometry, 4. J. Math. Sci. **81** (1996), no. 3, 2667–2699.

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

E-mail address: hkenta@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp