

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Nuclear Physics A

Nuclear Physics A 00 (2018) 1-4

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

Direct-photon+hadron correlations to study parton energy loss with the STAR experiment

Nihar Ranjan Sahoo (for the STAR collaboration)

Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University, USA Email id: nihar@rcf.rhic.bnl.gov

Abstract

We report new results of γ_{dir} +hadron and π^0 +hadron azimuthal correlations as a measure of the away-side jet-like correlated yields in central Au+Au and p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV in the STAR experiment from years 2011 and 2009 of data taking, respectively. The charged-hadron per-trigger yields at midrapidity ($|\eta| < 1$) and for transverse momenta $p_T^{assoc} > 1.2$ GeV/c associated with γ_{dir} and π^0 (for triggers $|\eta| < 0.9, 12 < p_T^{trig} < 20$ GeV/c) in central Au+Au collisions are compared with p+p collisions. The z_T ($= \frac{p_T^{assoc}}{p_T^{trig}}$) dependence, now extending down to z_T =0.1, of the suppression of the away-side associated yields is presented. We observed that the suppression of away-side associated yields tends to vanish at lower z_T . The dependence of the suppression on both p_T^{assoc} and p_T^{trig} is also discussed. Finally, these results are compared with various model predictions.

Keywords: Direct-photon, parton energy-loss, jet

1. Introduction

The γ_{dir} +jet analysis has been discussed in many theoretical studies [1, 2, 3, 4] to study parton energy loss as a probe of QCD matter at extreme temperature and pressure. The azimuthal correlations of the charged hadron with a direct photon (γ_{dir}) trigger is considered as a promising probe in heavy-ion collisions for the study of parton energy loss and necessary to understand the jet-quenching mechanisms [4]. In comparison with γ_{dir} triggers, π^0 -triggered charged hadron correlations can reveal path length dependence of energy loss due to the difference in geometrical biases as well as the the color factor dependence. From our previous measurement [6], it is observed that suppression of hadrons is independent of whether it is associated with a γ_{dir} - or π^0 trigger. In this work, we have performed γ_{dir} -hadron and π^0 -hadron correlation study, extending our kinematic region to lower $z_T = \frac{p_T^{assoc}}{p_T^{mig}}$ (down to $z_T = 0.1$) in order to understand the behavior of the medium modification factor at low z_T .

2. STAR Detector and Transverse Shower Profile (TSP)

The Time Projection Chamber (TPC) is the main charged-particle tracking detector [7] in the STAR detector system. The Barrel Electromagnetic Calorimeter (BEMC) [8] is used both for triggering on the events and for providing an energy measurement for the trigger photon or π^0 in $|\eta| \le 1.0$. STAR provides full 2π azimuthal coverage and wide pseudo-rapidity ($|\eta| < 1.0$) coverage. The Barrel Shower Maximum Detector (BSMD) provides high spatial resolution (both in the η and ϕ planes). Detailed discussion about the BSMD can be found in Ref [6]. The data were taken by the STAR experiment and amount to an integrated luminosity of 2.8 nb⁻¹ of Au+Au collisions and 23 pb^{-1} of p+p collisions.

In order to distinguish π^0 , which at high decay to two photons that are close to p_T gether, from single γ -clusters, a transverse shower-shape analysis is performed. In this method, the overall BEMC cluster energy $(E_{cluster})$, the individual BSMD strip energies (e_i) , and the distances of the strips (r_i) from the center of the cluster are used. To quantify the shower shape, an observable we call the "Transverse Shower Profile" (TSP = $\frac{E_{cluster}}{\sum_i e_i r_i^{1.5}}$) is used. The π^0_{rich} (nearly pure sample of π^0) and γ_{rich} (enhanced fraction of γ_{dir}) samples are selected by requiring TSP < 0.08 and 0.2 <TSP< 0.6, respectively, in both p+p and Au+Au collisions as shown in Fig. 1. These TSP conditions are decided based on simulation study. The associated charged particles



Fig. 1. The probability distribution for TSP for Au+Au (upper panel) at central 0 – 12% collisions and p+p collisions (lower panel) are shown. The red and blue shaded regions represent the π_{rich}^0 and γ_{rich} samples, respectively.

are selected in range 1.2 GeV/ $c < p_T^{assoc}$ within $|\eta| < 1.0$, whereas π^0 and γ_{dir} are triggered within 12 $< p_T^{trig} < 20$ GeV/c. The away-side associated charged hadron yield for directphoton trigger, assuming zero near-side γ_{dir} yield, is estimated using the following expression $Y_{\gamma_{dir}-h} = \frac{Y_{\gamma_{rich}-h}^a - RY_{\pi^0-h}^a}{1-R}$. Here $Y_{\gamma_{rich}-h}^a$ ($Y_{\pi^0-h}^a$) represents the away-side yield of γ_{rich} (π^0); and $R = \frac{Y_{\gamma_{rich}-h}^n}{Y_{\pi^0-h}^a}$, the ratio of near-side yield in the γ_{rich} -triggered correlation function to the near-side yield in the π^0 -triggered correlation function. Then $1 - R = \frac{N\gamma^{dir}}{N\gamma_{rich}}$, where $N^{\gamma_{dir}}$ ($N^{\gamma_{rich}}$) is the number of γ_{dir} (γ_{rich}) triggers. The values of 1 - R, representing the fraction of γ^{dir} in the γ^{rich} trigger

ber of γ_{dir} (γ_{rich}) triggers. The values of 1 - R, representing the fraction of γ^{dir} in the γ^{rich} trigger sample, are found to be 40% and 70% for p+p and the central Au+Au collisions. From the azimuthal angular correlation functions of γ_{rich} - and π^0_{rich} -triggered associated charged hadrons, away-side and near-side charged hadron yields are calculated after background subtraction and the pair acceptance correction. In Au+Au collisions, the background level is modulated with an elliptic flow component in the azimuthal correlations.



Fig. 2. Left panel: The z_T dependence of π^0 - h^{\pm} away-side (upper) and near-side (lower) associated charged-hadron yields per trigger for Au+Au at 0-12% centrality (filled symbols) and p+p (open symbols) collisions in left panel. Right panel: The γ_{dir} - h^{\pm} away-side associated charged-hadron yields per trigger for Au+Au at 0-12% centrality (filled circles) and p+p (open circles) collisions. Vertical lines represent the statistical errors, and the boxes represent systematic uncertainties.



Fig. 3. The $I_{AA}^{\gamma dir}$ (red squares) and $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$ triggers (blue circles) are plotted as a function of $z_T = p_T^{assoc}/p_T^{trig}$. The points for $I_{AA}^{\gamma dir}$ are shifted by +0.03 in z_T for visibility. The vertical lines represent statistical error bars and boxes represent systematic errors. The lines represents theoretical model predictions [5, 2].



Fig. 4. The values of $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ are plotted as a function of p_T^{assoc} (left panel) and p_T^{trig} (right panel). The solid line (broken line) represents Wang [2] (Qin [5])model prediction. The vertical line and shaded boxes represents statistical and systematic errors, respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

The away- $(|\Delta \phi - \pi| < 1.4)$ and near-side $(|\Delta \phi| < 1.4)$ charged hadron associated yields for π^0 triggered are plotted as a function of z_T for Au+Au at 0-12% central and p+p collisions in Fig. 2. It is observed that away-side associated hadrons are highly suppressed at high z_T whereas that of near-side show no suppression in Au+Au relative to p+p collisions. The away-side $D(z_T)$ for γ_{dir} triggers as a function of z_T for central Au+Au and p+p collisions are plotted in Fig. 2 (right panel), which shows the associated yields are suppressed more at high- z_T compared with low- z_T .

The medium modification for γ_{dir} and π^0 -triggered recoil jet production as a function of z_T is defined as $I_{AA} = D(z_T)^{AA}/D(z_T)^{pp}$, of the per-trigger conditional yields in Au+Au to those in p+p collisions. The away-side medium modification factor for γ_{dir} ($I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$) and π^0 ($I_{AA}^{n_0}$)-triggers as a function of z_T are plotted in Fig. 3. At low z_T (0.1 $< z_T < 0.3$), both $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ and $I_{AA}^{\pi_0}$ show less suppression than at high z_T . $I_{AA}^{\pi_0}$ and $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ show similar suppression within uncertainties. The theoretical model predictions, labeled as Qin [5] and Wang [2], using the same kinematic coverage for γ_{dir} -tagged away-side charged hadron yields are compared with the data. Neither model includes a redistribution of the lost energy to the lower p_T jet fragments. The $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ are plotted as a function of p_T^{assoc} and p_T^{trig} (for $0.3 < z_T < 0.4$) in Fig. 4. It suggests that at low- p_T^{assoc} hadrons on the away-side are not as suppressed as those at high p_T^{assoc} , whereas the away-side parton energy loss is less sensitive to the initial parton energy at p_T^{trig} range of 8 to 20 GeV/c. Both models predict the data quite well.

4. Summary

The correlation studies of γ_{dir} -hadron and π^0 -hadron are performed to understand the effect of parton energy loss in the medium formed in Au+Au, at 0-12% central collisions by comparing Au+Au with p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. It is observed that $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ and $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$ show similar suppression. At low z_T , both $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ and $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$ results show less suppression compared with high z_T . $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ doesn't show dependence on the initial parton energy in the range of $8 < p_T^{trig} < 20$ GeV/c. Two model predictions are compared with the data, and neither model includes redistribution of the lost energy to the lower p_T jet fragments.

Acknowledgments

This conference proceedings is supported by the US Department of Energy under the grant DE-FG02-07ER41485. We thank X. N. Wang and G.-Y Qin for providing their model predictions.

References

- Xin-Nian Wang and Yan Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 062301 (2013). X.-N. Wang, Z. Huang, Phys. Rev. C 55 (1997) 3047.
- [2] X.-F. Chen, C. Greiner, E. Wang, X.-N. Wang and Z. Xu, Phys. Rev. C 81, 064908 (2010); X.-N. Wang, private communication.
- [3] T. Renk, Phys. Rev. C, 74 (2006).
- [4] X.-N. Wang, Z. Huang, and I. Sarcevic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 231 (1996).
- [5] G.-Y Qin et al., Phys. Rev. C 80, 054909 (2009).
- [6] B. I. Abelev et al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 82, 034909 (2010).
- [7] M. Anderson et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 499, 659 (2003).
- [8] M. Beddo et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 499, 725 (2003).