# Some remarks on the model of rigid heat conductor with memory: unbounded heat relaxation function

Sandra Carillo

Dipartimento Scienze di Base e Applicate per l'Ingegneria, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", I-00161 Rome, Italy

&

I.N.F.N. - Sezione Roma1, Gr. IV Mathematical Methods in NonLinear Physics, Rome, Italy

March, 23 2018

#### Abstract

The model of rigid linear heat conductor with memory is reconsidered focussing the interest on the heat relaxation function. Thus, the definitions of heat flux and thermal work are revised to understand where changes are required when the heat flux relaxation function k is assumed to be unbounded at the initial time t = 0. That is, it is represented by a regular integrable function, namely  $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , but its time derivative is not integrable, that is  $k \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . Notably, also under these relaxed assumptions on k, whenever the heat flux is the same also the related thermal work is the same. Thus, also in the case under investigation, the notion of equivalence is introduced and its physical relevance is pointed out.

### MCS numbers: 80A20, 74F05

Keywords: nonlocal in time effects, memory effects, heat conduction, thermal work, unbounded heat relaxation function.

## 1 Introduction

The model of a rigid heat conductor is well known: its origins can be traced in the celebrated generalised Fourier's law by Cattaneo [16]. The approach here adopted is based on subsequent results by Coleman [18], concerning materials with memory, which were further developed by Gurtin and Pipkin [29], who proposed a non-linear generalisation in the case of a rigid heat conductor with memory. Since then, heat transfer phenomena have been widely studied, to mention only some of the results connected to the present study, Gurtin [27] and Coleman and Dill [19] studied properties of free energy functionals in the case of materials with memory. Later, Giorgi and Gentili [26] further investigated, in a fading memory material, a problem in heat conductor with memory studied by Fabrizio, Gentili and Reynolds [24] considered in [2]. Specifically, the model in [2] is revisited to introduce those generalisations which are required to adapt the model itself to describe a wider class of materials with memory. To start with the

assumptions on the physical quantities of interest to describe the problem are briefly summarised. The internal energy and the relative temperature are assumed linearly related, that is,

$$e(\mathbf{x},t) = \alpha_0 u(\mathbf{x},t) \quad , \tag{1.1}$$

where, respectively, e denotes the internal energy,  $\alpha_0$  the energy relaxation function, for simplicity, assumed to be constant,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the bounded closed set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which represents the configuration domain of the conductor, denotes the position within the conductor,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  denotes the time variable<sup>1</sup>, and  $u := \theta - \theta_0$  the temperature difference with respect to a fixed reference temperature  $\theta_0$ . According to the *classical* setting, see Giorgi and Gentili [26] and also Fabrizio, Gentili and Reynolds [24], the heat flux  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is assumed to satisfy the constitutive equation

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x},t) = -\int_0^\infty k(\tau)\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x},t-\tau) \ d\tau \quad , \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\mathbf{g} := \nabla u = \nabla(\theta - \theta_0) = \nabla \theta$  is the temperature-gradient and  $k(\tau)$  the heat flux relaxation function, which is assumed

$$k(t) = k_0 + \int_0^t \dot{k}(s) \, ds \quad , \tag{1.3}$$

where  $k_0 \equiv k(0)$  represents the initial (positive) value of the heat flux relaxation function, thus termed *initial heat flux relaxation coefficient*. Under the functional viewpoint, the heat flux relaxation function is classically assumed to satisfy the following regularity requirements:

$$\dot{k} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+) . \tag{1.5}$$

These requirements are consistent with the physically meaningful condition of no heat flux when, at infinity, the thermal equilibrium is reached. Hence, the asymptotic behaviour of the heat flux relaxation function, at  $t \to \infty$ , is assumed  $k(\infty) := \lim_{t \to \infty} k(t) = 0$ .

On introduction of the integrated history of the temperature-gradient

$$\bar{\mathbf{g}}^t(\tau) = \int_{t-\tau}^t \mathbf{g}(s) \, ds \tag{1.6}$$

the heat flux (3.1), can be written also as

$$\mathbf{q}(t) = \int_0^\infty \dot{k}(\tau) \,\bar{\mathbf{g}}^t(\tau) \,d\tau \quad . \tag{1.7}$$

Then, the evolution equation which describes the temperature evolution within a rigid heat conductor with memory, reads

$$u_t = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t) + r(\mathbf{x}, t) , \qquad (1.8)$$

wherein the heat flux  $\mathbf{q}$  is given by (1.2) or, equivalently, by (1.7), hence, in case of no heat supply, in turn

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot \int_0^\infty k(\tau) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, t - \tau) \ d\tau \quad or \quad u_t = \nabla \cdot \int_0^\infty \dot{k}(\tau) \bar{\mathbf{g}}^t(\tau) \ d\tau.$$
(1.9)

<sup>1</sup>**notation remark:** throughout the whole paper  $\mathbb{R}^+ := [0, \infty)$  while  $\mathbb{R}^{++} := (0, \infty)$ .

Note that in the two linear integro-differential equations, respectively, the kernel coincides with the heat flux relaxation function or its time derivative: both of them, in the classical case, i.e. when k satisfies both (1.5) and (1.4), are regular. This condition is not satisfied in the present investigation since the heat flux relaxation function k is assumed to be unbounded at t = 0. Nevertheless, the solution existence problem can be addressed to: some results are comprised in [12, 8, 5], now the interest is focussed on the model and, specifically to the definition of heat flux and thermal work, in this generalised case.

The existence and uniqueness result of solution to (1.9) when Dirchlet boundary conditions and assigned initial data takes its origin in the results by Dafermos [20, 21] in connection with an analogous problem which arises in linear viscoelasticity. Indeed, under the analytical viewpoint, the two different physical models of isothermal viscoelasticity and rigid heat conduction with memory share interesting properties as pointed out, for instance, in [14, 15]. The interest in singular kernel models is not new since the seminal idea is due to Boltzmann [3] in connection with special viscoelastic behaviours, further references are comprised in [7]. The term *singular kernel* problem is introduced to refer to this model to stress that (1.9) is characterised by a kernel unbounded at t = 0. The interest in *singular kernel* problems is well known both under the analytical viewpoint [28, 30, 31, 34, 12] as well as in connection to the study of innovative materials [17] or materials whose response is changed due to *aging* processes [7] or models devised to describe bio-materials [23]. As a special case, the current interest is on models in which the singular kernel is represented by a fractional derivative term [25, 32, 22].

The article is organised as follows. The opening Section 2 is concerned about the notion of process and process prolongation. Specifically, the definitions given in [2] are revised and adapted to take into account that the integrability of the time derivative of the heat flux relaxation function, assumed in [2], is not required in the present study.

The following Section 3 is devoted to revise the expression of the heat flux to adapt it to the *singular* case under investigation. Again, the required changes are introduced. Furthermore, in Section 3, the notion of equivalence among different thermal processes is introduced. It represents a generalisation of the analogous one which refers to the regular model [2] and allows to identify thermal processes which shares the same heat flux.

The thermal work is considered in Section 4 where the notion of equivalence is given in terms of the thermal work. Notably, in the singular as well as in the classical model, the crucial property that processes which are associated to the same heat flux are also associated to the same thermal work holds true. Hence, equivalence can be stated, indifferently, referring to one or the other physically meaningful quantities. Conclusions and perspective investigations are comprised in the closing Section 5.

# 2 Process & process prolongation

The aim of this section is to revise the notions introduced in [2] to adapt them to the case of a singular kernel material.

Accordingly, the definition of all the meaningful quantities is provided with the exception of those ones which are exactly the same in the regular as well as in the singular cases.

The present investigation, as [2] is based on the model by Fabrizio, Gentili and Reynolds in [24], hence the attention is focused on an element of the conductor which is supposed isotropic. No dependence on the position in the conductor is considered so that the energy e, the heat flux  $\mathbf{q}$ , the temperature  $\theta$  and also all the other derived quantities are represented by functions of the

time variable alone. Conversely, all such quantities depend on the time variable not only via the *present* time t, but also via their past *history*.

This Section collects some crucial definitions, according to the model in [24], such as process prolongation, equivalent histories and the zero prolongation recalled from [2] since they represent key notions throughout. Some slight modifications are needed, which, however, do not change the results. Specifically, integrals wherein  $\dot{k}$  appears, which loose their meaning when  $\dot{k} \notin L^1$ , are avoided. The presence of these minor changes represents a further justification for this Section whose aim is exactly to point out those definitions which need to be modified (and how) with respect to the analogous ones comprised in [2].

### Definition 2.1

An integrable function  $P : [0,T) \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $P(\tau) = (\dot{\theta}_P(\tau), \mathbf{g}_P(\tau)) \forall \tau \in [0,T)$  is termed process of duration T > 0.

Hence, a process P of duration  $T < \infty$  is known when the two applications  $\dot{\theta}_P : [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbf{g}_P : [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}^3$  are assigned, and thus the state function  $\sigma(t)$  can be constructed. Indeed, as pointed out in [24], given its initial value  $\sigma(0) = (\theta_*(0), \bar{\mathbf{g}}^0_*)$ , where, in turn,  $\theta_*(0)$  denotes the temperature, and  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}^0_*$  the integrated history of the temperature-gradient at time t = 0, then a process P delivers the state function  $\sigma(t), \forall t \in [0, T)$ , defined by

$$\theta(t) = \theta_{\star}(0) + \int_{0}^{t} \dot{\theta}_{P}(\xi) \ d\xi \quad , \qquad (2.10)$$

and

$$\bar{\mathbf{g}}^{t}(s) = \begin{cases} \int_{t-s}^{t} \mathbf{g}_{P}(\xi) \ d\xi & 0 \le s < t\\ \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{g}_{P}(\xi) \ d\xi + \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{\star}^{0}(s-t) & s \ge t \end{cases},$$
(2.11)

which is continuous at s = t. To specify what it means to define a "prolongation" of a generic process, whose history is known, with a given one, characterized by an assigned  $\mathbf{g}_P$ , the following definition is introduced.

#### **Definition 2.2**

Given a process P, hence assigned  $\mathbf{g}_P$ , its duration T and a set of integrated histories of the temperature-gradient  $\mathbf{\bar{g}}_i^t(s)$ , corresponding to  $\mathbf{g}_i^t(s)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the prolongation of the history  $\mathbf{\bar{g}}_i^t(s)$ , induced by the process P, is defined by

$$(\mathbf{g}_{P} \star \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{i})^{t+T}(s) := \begin{cases} \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{P}^{T}(s) = \int_{T-s}^{T} \mathbf{g}_{P}(\xi) \, d\xi & 0 \le s < T \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{P}^{T}(T) + \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{i}^{t}(s-T) = \int_{0}^{T} \mathbf{g}_{P}(\xi) \, d\xi + \int_{t+T-s}^{t} \mathbf{g}_{i}(\xi) \, d\xi & s \ge T , \end{cases}$$

$$(2.12)$$

which is continuous at s = T since  $(\mathbf{g}_P \star \bar{\mathbf{g}}_i)^T (T) = \bar{\mathbf{g}}_P^T (T)$  and  $(\mathbf{g}_P \star \mathbf{g}_i)^T (T) = \mathbf{g}_P^T (T)$ . Such a definition can be equivalenly introduced in terms of the translated temperature gradient instead than of the integrated history of the temperature gradient. Thus, the definition of prolongation of the translated temperature gradient reads as follows.

### **Definition 2.3**

Given a process P, hence assigned  $\mathbf{g}_P$ , its duration T and a set of translated temperature-gradient  $\mathbf{g}_i^t(s)$ , corresponding to  $\mathbf{g}_i^t(s)$ ,  $i = 1, ..., n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the prolongation of  $\mathbf{g}_i^t(s)$ , induced by the process P, is defined by

$$\left( \mathbf{g}_{P} \star \mathbf{g}_{i} \right)^{t+T}(s) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{g}_{P}^{T}(s) = \mathbf{g}_{P}(T-s) = \mathbf{g}_{P}^{T}(s) & 0 \le s < T \\ \mathbf{g}_{i}(t+T-s) = \mathbf{g}_{i}^{t+T}(s) & s \ge T \end{cases}$$
(2.13)

which is continuous letting  $\mathbf{g}_i^t(0) = \mathbf{g}_P^T(0)$ . As a special case,  $\mathbf{g}_0^t = \mathbf{0}$  termed zero history in [2], characterised by a constant temperature  $\theta(t) = \theta_0$  and a zero temperature-gradient is introduced: the corresponding heat flux is zero. The corresponding state function is given by

$$\sigma_0(t): \ \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 t \longmapsto \sigma_0(t) \equiv (\theta_0, \mathbf{0}) \ .$$

$$(2.14)$$

Consider, now, a prolongation of the zero history via any assigned process, characterized by the duration  $\tau, \tau \leq T < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{g}_P : [0, \tau) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ , then

$$\left(\mathbf{g}_{P}\star\bar{\mathbf{g}}_{0}\right)^{t+\tau}(s) = \begin{cases} \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{P}^{\tau}(s) = \int_{\tau-s}^{\tau} \mathbf{g}_{P}(\xi) \, d\xi \qquad 0 \le s < \tau \\ \bar{\mathbf{g}}_{P}^{\tau}(\tau) \qquad \qquad s \ge \tau \; . \end{cases}$$
(2.15)

## 3 Heat flux & equivalence

The aim of this section is to revise the notions introduced in [2] to adapt them to the case of a singular kernel material.

This Section is devoted to the heat flux functional and its expression in the case the heat flux relaxation function k satisfies (1.5), but does not satisfy (1.4). The constitutive equations (1.1) and (1.2) are considered. The latter, which represents the heat flux, on introduction of  $\mathbf{g}^t(\tau) := \mathbf{g}(t-\tau)$  termed *translated temperature-gradient*, can be written as

$$\mathbf{q}(t) = -\int_0^\infty k(\tau)\mathbf{g}(t-\tau) \ d\tau \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \mathbf{q}(t) = -\int_0^\infty k(\tau)\mathbf{g}^t(\tau) \ d\tau \quad . \tag{3.1}$$

Such a definition implies that the heat flux at the time t + T, for any T > 0, reads

$$\mathbf{q}(t+T) := -\int_0^\infty k(s) \,\mathbf{g}^{t+T}(s) \,\,ds \,\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Nevertheless, following the spirit of Fabrizio, Gentili and Reynolds [24] and McCarthy [33], a *thermodynamic state function* can be introduced also in the singular case. Hence, as a natural modification of definition 2.1 in [2] (see also [24]), the thermodynamical state of the conductor is assigned via the following *thermodynamic state function*. <sup>2</sup>

**Definition 3.1** The function

$$\sigma(t): \quad \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ t \longmapsto \sigma(t) \equiv (\theta(t), \mathbf{g}^t)$$
(3.3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note that the adoption of this definition or of definition 2.1 in [2] are completely equivalent in the regular case when  $\dot{k} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ .

where  $\mathbf{g}^t$  belongs to a suitable Hilbert space, is said to be the thermodynamic state function: it characterises the thermodynamic state of the conductor.

Therefore, the thermodynamic state function is known as soon as the temperature and the translated temperature-gradient are given. The heat flux linear functional can be defined via:

$$\widetilde{Q}\{\mathbf{g}^t\} := -\int_0^\infty k(s) \,\mathbf{g}^t(s) \,ds \implies \widetilde{Q}\{\mathbf{g}^{t+T}\} = -\int_0^\infty k(s) \,\mathbf{g}^{t+T}(s) \,ds \,, \quad \forall T > 0.$$
(3.4)

Hence, since only finite heat fluxes are physically admissible, the set of all  $\mathbf{g}^t$  which correspond to them belong to the vector space<sup>3</sup>

$$\Gamma := \left\{ \mathbf{g}^t : (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^3 : \left| \int_0^\infty k(s+\tau) \, \mathbf{g}^t(s) \, ds \right| < \infty \quad , \quad \forall \ \tau \ge 0 \right\} \,. \tag{3.5}$$

Correspondingly, the set of states associated to a finite heat flux is

$$\Sigma := \left\{ \sigma(t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \left| \int_0^\infty k(s) \, \mathbf{g}^t(s) ds \right| < \infty \right\} \,. \tag{3.6}$$

**Remark** The fading memory property holds true also in the singular case, however, when  $k \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , the condition to impose to guarantee that, consistently with the prescription there is no heat flux when the asymptotic equilibrium is reached, follows if, given any arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $\tilde{a} = a(\varepsilon, \mathbf{g}^t)$  s.t.

$$\left| \int_{0}^{\infty} k(s+a)\mathbf{g}(t-s) \, ds \right| < \varepsilon \quad , \quad \forall a > \tilde{a} \quad , \tag{3.7}$$

which coincides with the usual condition, see (2.14) in [2], in the regular case when k satisfies also (1.4).

Hence, the notion of equivalence can be given to identify all those translated temperature gradient histories  $\mathbf{g}^t$  which correspond to the same heat flux.

### Definition 3.2

Given two translated temperature gradient histories  $\mathbf{g}_1^t$  and  $\mathbf{g}_2^t$  are said to be equivalent if

$$\forall \mathbf{g}_P : [0,\tau) \to \mathbb{R}^3 \quad , \quad \forall \quad \tau \in [0,T)$$
(3.8)

they satisfy

$$\widetilde{Q}\left\{ \left(\mathbf{g}_P \star \mathbf{g}_1\right)^{t+\tau} \right\} = \widetilde{Q}\left\{ \left(\mathbf{g}_P \star \mathbf{g}_2\right)^{t+\tau} \right\} \quad .$$
(3.9)

That is, two different histories of the temperature-gradient, or two thermodynamical states, are equivalent when, for any prolongation of any time duration, the same heat flux corresponds to both of them. The physical meaning, which naturally does not dependent on the regularity of the heat flux relaxation function, is to recognise those thermal states which correspond to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This definition is also slightly different with respect to the corresponding one (see formula (2.13) in [2]), however the two conditions which characterise the space  $\Gamma$  do coincide in the regular case. The different consists in the elements of the space  $\Gamma$  since, in the singular case, the heat flux is more conveniently expressed in terms of the translated temperature-gradient  $\mathbf{g}^t$  instead than of the integrated history of the temperature-gradient  $\mathbf{\bar{g}}^t$  adopted in [2].

same heat flux, exactly as stated in [2]. Hence, according to (3.9), recalling (2.13), equivalent states produce the same heat flux as soon as, for any  $s \ge \tau$ , it holds

$$\int_0^\infty k(s) \left(\mathbf{g}_P \star \mathbf{g}_2\right)^{t+\tau} (s) ds = \int_0^\infty k(s) \left(\mathbf{g}_P \star \mathbf{g}_1\right)^{t+\tau} (s) ds , \qquad (3.10)$$

which, on substitution of (2.13), reads

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} k(s) \mathbf{g}_2^t(s-\tau) ds = \int_{\tau}^{\infty} k(s) \mathbf{g}_1^t(s-\tau) ds .$$
(3.11)

The latter shows also that two translated histories of the temperature-gradient  $\mathbf{g}_1^t$  and  $\mathbf{g}_2^t$  are equivalent whenever their difference  $\mathbf{g}_1^t - \mathbf{g}_2^t$  is equivalent to zero, that is

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} k(\xi) \ \mathbf{g}^{t}(\xi - \tau) \ d\xi = 0 \ , \ \ \mathbf{g}^{t} := \mathbf{g}_{1}^{t} - \mathbf{g}_{2}^{t} \ .$$
(3.12)

Furthermore, when the couples  $(\theta_1(t), \mathbf{g}_1^t(s))$  and  $(\theta_2(t), \mathbf{g}_2^t(s))$  are considered then, they can be represented by the same state function  $\sigma(t)$  whenever they are such that  $\theta_1(t) = \theta_2(t) \forall t$  and, in addition, the difference of the two integrated histories of the temperature-gradient is equivalent to zero, i.e. satisfies (3.12).

## 4 Thermal work

In this Section the notion of thermal work, in the singular case, is introduced in such a way that it coincides with the corresponding one in the regular case [2]. To introduce the definition of thermal work, according to [24], the notions of process and process prolongation, given in Section 2, are needed. A comparison between the definitions in Section 2 and the corresponding ones in [2] (Definitions 2.2 and 2.3) shows that some differences turn out to appear. Indeed, even if both the definitions introduced in [2] do not depend on the heat flux relaxation function, and, hence, can be adopted also in the singular case, this choice is not convenient. The new definitions in Section 2 are suggested by the need to use, to express the heat flux as well as the thermal work, the heat flux relaxation function instead than its time derivative; thus, the key role played in [2] by the integrated history of the temperature-gradient  $\bar{\mathbf{g}}^t$  is now of the translated temperature gradient  $\mathbf{g}^t$ .

As fas as the thermal work is concerned, the difference between the two cases regular and singular is limited to the fact that the expression of the thermal work can be given in terms of the heat flux relaxation function while expressions in which contain integrals of its time derivative are not defined.

**Definition 4.1** Given any initial state, defined on assigning the state function  $\sigma(t) = (\theta(t), \bar{\mathbf{g}}^t)$ , and any prolongation process P of arbitrary finite duration  $\tau$ ,  $P(\tau) = (\dot{\theta}_P(\tau), \mathbf{g}_P(\tau))$ , where  $0 \leq \tau < T$ , then the thermal work associated to the time interval [0,T) is represented by the functional

$$\widetilde{W}\{\mathbf{g}^{t};\mathbf{g}_{P}\} := -\int_{0}^{T} \widetilde{Q}\left\{ (\mathbf{g}_{P} \star \mathbf{g})^{t+\tau} \right\} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{P}(\tau) \ d\tau \quad .$$

$$(4.13)$$

Thus, chosen  $\mathbf{g}_P$  and the initial state, the thermal work  $\widetilde{W}(\mathbf{g}^t; \mathbf{g}_P)$  follows

$$\widetilde{W}\left(\mathbf{g}^{t};\mathbf{g}_{P}\right) = -\int_{0}^{T}\mathbf{q}\left(t+\tau\right)\cdot\mathbf{g}_{P}(\tau) d\tau \qquad (4.14)$$

recalling the expression (3.2) of the heat flux  $\mathbf{q}(t+\tau)$  at time  $t+\tau$ . If, in particular, the prolongation, via any assigned process P, of the zero history, which corresponds to the state function  $\sigma(t) \equiv (\theta_0, \mathbf{0})$ , is considered, then the thermal work functional, according to [2], is

$$\widetilde{W}\{\mathbf{0};\mathbf{g}_P\} = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\tau k(s)\mathbf{g}_P(\tau-s)\cdot\mathbf{g}_P(\tau)\,dsd\tau \quad .$$
(4.15)

Notably, the expression (4.15) of the thermal work  $\widetilde{W}\{\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{g}_P\}$ , remains valid for any given process P, that is any  $\mathbf{g}_P(\tau)$ , and  $\forall 0 \leq \tau < T < \infty$ . The thermal work  $\widetilde{W}\{\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{g}_P\}$  on introduction, in (4.15), of  $\xi = \tau - s$ , and, then,  $s = \xi$ , can also be written as

$$\widetilde{W}\{\mathbf{0};\mathbf{g}_P\} = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\tau k(\tau - s)\mathbf{g}_P(s) \cdot \mathbf{g}_P(\tau) \, ds d\tau \tag{4.16}$$

which, changing the integration limit, becomes

$$\widetilde{W}\{\mathbf{0};\mathbf{g}_P\} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty k(|\tau - s|) \mathbf{g}_P(s) \cdot \mathbf{g}_P(\tau) \, ds d\tau \quad .$$
(4.17)

Hence, the definition of *finite thermal work process* follows as the set which comprises all those processes which correspond to a finite  $\widetilde{W}\{\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{g}_P\}$ , that is  $\widetilde{W}\{\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{g}_P\} < \infty$ .

According to [2], when the generic case, characterised by any initial state  $\sigma(t) = (\theta(t), \mathbf{g}^t)$  prolonged via any process P, the thermal work can be expressed as follows

$$\widetilde{W}\{\mathbf{g}^{t};\mathbf{g}_{P}\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2}k(|\tau-s|)\mathbf{g}_{P}(s) - k(\tau+s)\mathbf{g}^{t}(s)\right] \cdot \mathbf{g}_{P}(\tau) \, ds d\tau \,. \tag{4.18}$$

The latter, on introduction of  $^4$ 

$$\mathbf{I}(\tau, \mathbf{g}^t) := -\int_0^\infty k(\tau + s) \, \mathbf{g}^t(s) ds \quad , \tag{4.19}$$

gives

$$\widetilde{W}\{\mathbf{g}^{t};\mathbf{g}_{P}\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} k(|\tau-s|)\mathbf{g}_{P}(s)ds - \mathbf{I}(\tau,\mathbf{g}^{t})\right] \cdot \mathbf{g}_{P}(\tau)d\tau \quad .$$
(4.20)

Now, the setting is exactly the same in both the regular as well as in the singular case, hence the result in [2] can be repeated in an analogous way. The difference consists in the definition (3.3) which, in the singular case, is given in terms of  $\mathbf{g}^t$  while in the regular case (see (2.6) in [2]) is expressed in terms of  $\mathbf{\bar{g}}^t$ .

Following [2], on introduction of Fourier transforms, the set of all finite thermal work states is represented by the functional space associated to finite thermal work

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^3) := \left\{ \phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^3 : \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_c(\omega) \phi_+(\omega) \cdot \overline{\phi_+(\omega)} d\omega \right| < \infty \right\},$$
(4.21)

where  $k_c(\omega)$  denotes the Fourier cosine transform<sup>5</sup> of  $k(\xi) \in L^1$ , an even function of its real non negative argument,  $\xi = |\tau - s|$ . The functional space  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^3)$ , can be equipped by the following inner product

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle_k := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_c(\omega) f_+(\omega) \cdot \overline{\phi_+(\omega)} \, d\omega$$
 (4.22)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In the definition (4.19) the choice of the '-' minus sign is suggested by the consistency with the definition of I given in [2]. Indeed, definition (4.19) coincides with , (3.12) in [2] when the regular case, namely  $\dot{k} \in L^1$ , is considered.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>See for instance [1].

and by the induced *norm* 

$$\|\phi\|_k := \langle \phi, \phi \rangle_k = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_c(\omega) \,\phi_+(\omega) \cdot \overline{\phi_+(\omega)} \,d\omega \quad . \tag{4.23}$$

On introduction of the Fourier transforms<sup>6</sup> of  $\mathbf{I}(\tau, \mathbf{g}^t)$  and of  $\mathbf{g}_P$  defined via

$$\mathbf{I}_{+}(\omega) := \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{I}(\tau, \mathbf{g}^{t}) e^{-i\omega\tau} d\tau \quad , \quad \mathbf{g}_{+}(\omega) := \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{g}_{P}(\tau) e^{-i\omega\tau} d\tau \quad , \tag{4.24}$$

Plancharel's theorem allows to evaluate the functional  $\widetilde{W}$  in the dual space under Fourier transform, as

$$\widehat{W}\{\mathbf{g}^{t};\mathbf{g}_{P}\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_{c}(\omega)\mathbf{g}_{+}(\omega) \cdot \overline{\mathbf{g}_{+}(\omega)} \, d\omega - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbf{I}_{+}(\omega) \cdot \overline{\mathbf{g}_{+}(\omega)} \, d\omega \right]$$
(4.25)

where  $\overline{\mathbf{g}_{+}(\omega)}$  denotes complex conjugate of the Fourier Transform  $\mathbf{g}_{+}(\omega)$  of  $\mathbf{g}_{P}(\tau)$ . As a special case,  $\widehat{W}\{\mathbf{0};\mathbf{g}_{P}\}$ , is given by

$$\widehat{W}\{\mathbf{0};\mathbf{g}_P\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_c(\omega) \,\mathbf{g}_+(\omega) \cdot \overline{\mathbf{g}_+(\omega)} \,d\omega, \qquad (4.26)$$

which justifies the introduction [2] of the space  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^3)$  in (4.21). Furthermore, when (4.26) and (4.25) are compared, it follows that, provided the first one is bounded, then the latter is also bounded whenever

$$\langle \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{g} \rangle := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbf{I}_{+}(\omega) \cdot \overline{\mathbf{g}_{+}(\omega)} \, d\omega \,, \qquad (4.27)$$

where  $\mathbf{I}_{+}(\omega)$  is given in (4.24), is finite. Hence, also in the singular case, the completion with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{k}$  of the space  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^{+},\mathbb{R}^{3})$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{H}_{k}(\mathbb{R}^{+},\mathbb{R}^{3})$ , represents the set of all admissible thermal states according to the following definition [2].

#### Definition 4.2

#### admissible thermal states

The set of all admissible thermal states is the set of all states  $\sigma(t) \in \Sigma_0$ , such that, for any choice of the prolongation process P, such that  $\mathbf{g}_P \in \mathcal{H}_k$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{I}_+(\omega)$  belongs to the space

$$\mathcal{H}'_{k}(\mathbb{R}^{+},\mathbb{R}^{3}) := \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^{+} \to \mathbb{R}^{3} \text{ s.t. } |\langle f, \phi \rangle_{k}| < \infty, \ \forall \ \phi \in \mathcal{H}_{k}(\mathbb{R}^{+},\mathbb{R}^{3}) \right\},$$
(4.28)

dual of  $\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbb{R}^+,\mathbb{R}^3)$  with respect to the inner product  $\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle_k$  defined in (4.22).

According to all the definitions here extended to the case of a material characterised by a singular heat flux relaxation function, the equivalence notion given in [2] can be naturally extended to this kind of materials. This notion allows to single out all those thermal histories which are associated to the same heat flux. Notably, according to Prop. 3.6 in [2], which holds true also in the singular case, if the heat flux which corresponds to two different histories is the same, then also the corresponding thermal work is the same. Specifically, given two arbitrary states  $(\theta_1(t), \mathbf{g}_1^t)$  and  $(\theta_2(t), \mathbf{g}_2^t)$ , if and only if they  $\forall \mathbf{g}_P : [0, \tau) \to \mathbb{R}^3, \forall \tau > 0$  the corresponding heat flux is the same, then also the thermal work is the same, namely<sup>7</sup>

$$\widetilde{Q}\left\{\left(\mathbf{g}_{P}\star\mathbf{g}_{1}\right)^{t+\tau}\right\} = \widetilde{Q}\left\{\left(\mathbf{g}_{P}\star\mathbf{g}_{2}\right)^{t+\tau}\right\} \Longleftrightarrow \widetilde{W}\left\{\mathbf{g}_{1}^{t};\mathbf{g}_{P}\right\} = \widetilde{W}\left\{\mathbf{g}_{2}^{t};\mathbf{g}_{P}\right\}.$$
(4.29)

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$ Some details are given in Section 2 in [2]; background notions on Fourier transform are comprised, for instance, in [1].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The proof is exactly the same when both a regular as well as a singular heat flux relaxation function are considered, hence, it is here omitted referring to Prop. 3.6 in [2] and its proof therein.

Hence, the state function

$$\mathcal{W}(\sigma(t), \mathbf{g}_P) := \widetilde{W}\{\mathbf{g}^t; \mathbf{g}_P\} = \widetilde{W}\{\mathbf{g}^t; \mathbf{g}_P\} \quad , \tag{4.30}$$

which represents the thermal work, can be introduced to stress that, under the physical viewpoint, it is the quantity of interest. Indeed, given a process P, assigned via  $\mathbf{g}_P$ , the thermal work which is associated to all those states identified by the same state function  $\sigma(t)$ , is the same. Thus, if  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , it follows that both the heat flux  $\mathbf{q}$ , as well as the internal energy e, given, in turn, by (1.2) and (1.1), are finite. According to the observation in [24], if a conductor in a state  $\sigma(0) \in \Sigma$  is considered, its time evolution  $\sigma(t)$  does not necessarily belong to  $\Sigma$  itself. However, the existence of any thermodynamic potential can be guaranteed only in the case when  $\sigma(t) \in \Sigma$ ,  $\forall 0 \leq t < T$ , where T denotes the duration of the process. Hence, the study is restricted to those processes which are physically meaningful, namely admit an associated finite thermodynamic potential.

### 5 Conclusion and perspectives

The present revisitation of the model of a rigid heat conductor with memory aims to provide suitable definitions to adapt the usual ones to the case when a less regular heat flux relaxation function is considered. Accordingly, the crucial physically meaningful quantities are defined in a *slightly* modified manner to take into account the relaxed regularity requirements which are considered in the case of a singular kernel heat flux relaxation function. The interesting conclusion is that most of the properties studied in [2] remain valid as soon a suitable definition is adopted. Notably, all the definitions which refer to a heat flux relaxation which exhibits a singularity at t = 0, coincide with the usual ones when the heat flux relaxation is regular. Note that the minimisation procedure is not mentioned in the present article since the results in [2] are still valid: the only difference is that the thermal work and heat flux are expressed in the forms given in Sections 4 and 3, respectively. In addition, the minimisation procedure in [2] conserves its validity and, hence, there is no need to repeat it. The importance of this generalisation relies in the perspectives it opens as far as evolution problems are concerned. Indeed, singular evolution problems are studied in rigid thermodynamics [12, 5], and also in isothermal viscoelasticity [9, 6, 13]. Furthermore, inspired by new materials, regular as well as singular kernel problems in which the coupling a magnetic field with a viscoelastic behaviour is taken into account are investigated in [10, 11, 9, 4]. The results here presented may suggest a possible way to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of the temperature evolution in the case of a singular question still open.

#### Acknowledgments

The financial support of GNFM-INDAM, INFN and SAPIENZA Università di Roma, are gratefully acknowledged.

# References

- M.J. Ablowitz, A.S. Fokas: Complex Variables, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [2] G. Amendola, S. Carillo, Thermal work and minimum free energy in a heat conductor with memory, Quart. J. of Mech. and Appl. Math., 57 (3) (2004), 429–446.

- [3] L. Boltzmann, Zur theorie der elastichen nachwirkung, Annalen der physik und chemie 77, (1876), 624 – 654.
- [4] S. Carillo, Regular and singular kernel problems in magneto-viscoelasticity, Meccanica S.I. New trends in Dynamics and Stability, online 19 lug, 52, (13), 2017, pp 3053–3060.
- [5] S. Carillo, Regular and singular kernel problems in rigid heat conduction with memory, 3 (2017), 948–955. AIMETA 2017 XXIII Conference The Italian Association of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, L. Ascione, V. Berardi, L. Feo, F. Fraternali and A.M. Tralli (eds.) Salerno, Italy, 4-7 September 2017.
- [6] S. Carillo, A 3-dimensional singular kernel problem in viscoelasticity: an existence result, S.
   I. THERMOCON 2016, Atti Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti, to appear, 2018.
- S. Carillo, C. Giorgi, Non-classical memory kernels in linear viscoelasticity, Chapter 13 in "Viscoelastic and Viscoplastic Materials", M.F. El-Amin Editor, ISBN 978-953-51-2603-4, Print ISBN 978-953-51-2602-7, Published: September 6, 2016, InTech. doi: 10.5772/64251
- [8] S. Carillo, Singular Kernel Problems in Materials with Memory, Meccanica, 50 (2015), 603-615.
- [9] S. Carillo, M. Chipot, V. Valente, G. Vergara Caffarelli, A magneto-viscoelasticity problem with a singular memory kernel, Nonlinear Analysis Series B: Real World Applications, 35C (2017), 200–210.
- [10] S. Carillo, V. Valente, G. Vergara Caffarelli, A result of existence and uniqueness for an integro-differential system in magneto-viscoelasticity, Applicable Analisys: An International Journal, 1563-504X, 90 n.ro 12 (2011), 1791–1802. ISSN: 0003-6811, doi: 10.1080/00036811003735832;
- [11] S. Carillo, V. Valente, G. Vergara Caffarelli, An existence theorem for the magneticviscoelastic problem, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series S., 5 n.ro 3, (2012), 435 – 447. doi:10.3934/dcdss.2012.5.435
- [12] S. Carillo, V. Valente, G. Vergara Caffarelli, *Heat conduction with memory: a singular kernel problem*, Evolution Equations and Control Theory, **3** (2014), 399–410. doi:10.3934/eect.2014.399
- [13] S. Carillo, V. Valente, G. Vergara Caffarelli A linear viscoelasticity problem with a singular memory kernel: an existence and uniqueness result, Differential and Integral Equations 26 (2013), 1115–1125.
- [14] S. Carillo, Some Remarks on Materials with Memory: Heat Conduction and Viscoelasticity, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, 12 (1) (2005), 163–178. doi:10.2991/jnmp.2005.12.s1.14
- [15] S. Carillo, Some Remarks on Materials with Memory: Heat Conduction and Viscoelasticity, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, 3 (22) (2015), i–iii.
- [16] C. Cattaneo, Sulla conduzione del calore, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Universitá Modena 3 (1948), 83–101.
- [17] J. Ciambella, A. Paolone, S. Vidoli, Memory decay rates of viscoelastic solids: not too slow, but not too fast either, Rheol. Acta 50 (2011), 661–674.

- [18] B.D. Coleman, Thermodynamics of materials with memory Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 17 (1964), 1–46.
- [19] B.D. Coleman, E.H. Dill, On thermodynamics and stability of materials with memory Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 1 (1973), 1–53.
- [20] C.M. Dafermos, An abstract Volterra equation with applications to linear viscoelasticity, J. Diff. Equations, 7 (1970), 554–569.
- [21] C.M. Dafermos, Asymptotic stability in viscoelasticity, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 37 (1970), 297–308.
- [22] B. de Andrade, A. Viana, On a fractional reaction diffusion equation, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 68(3), (2017), 11pp.
- [23] L. Deseri, M. Zingales, P. Pollaci, The state of fractional hereditary materials (FHM), Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - B, 19 (7), (2014) 2065–2089. doi:10.3934/dcdsb.2014.19.2065
- [24] M. Fabrizio, G. Gentili, D.W.Reynolds On rigid heat conductors with memory Int. J. Eng. Sci. 36 (1998), 765–782.
- [25] M. Fabrizio, Fractional rheological models for thermomechanical systems. Dissipation and free energies, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 17 (2014), 206–223.
- [26] C. Giorgi, G. Gentili, Thermodynamic properties and stability for the heat flux equation with linear memory, Quart. Appl. Math. 51 (2) (1993), 343–62.
- [27] M.E. Gurtin, Modern Continuum Thermodynamics Mechanics today 1 (1972), 168–213.
- [28] M. Grasselli, A. Lorenzi, Abstract nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equations with nonsmooth kernels, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei 9 Mat. Appl. 2 (1991), no. 1, 43–53.
- [29] M.E. Gurtin, A.C. Pipkin, A general theory of heat conduction with finite wave speeds, LArch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 31 (1968), 113–126.
- [30] J. Janno, L. von Wolfersdorf, Identification of weakly singular memory kernels in viscoelasticity, ZAMM Z. Angew. Math. Mech., 78 (6) (1998), 391–403.
- [31] J. Janno, L. von Wolfersdorf, Identification of weakly singular memory kernels in heat conduction, Z. Angew. Math. Mech., 77 (4) (1997), 243–257.
- [32] F. Mainardi, Fractional Calculus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity. An Introduction to Mathematical Models, Imperial College, Press, London, 2010.
- [33] M. McCarthy, Constitutive equations for thermomechanical materials with memory Int. J. Eng. Sci., 8 (1970), 467–474.
- [34] R.K Miller, A. Feldstein, Smoothness of solutions of Volterra integral equations with weakly singular kernels, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 2 (1971), 242–258.