Coloring of cozero-divisor graphs of commutative von Neumann regular rings^{*}

R. NIKANDISH^a, M.J. NIKMEHR^b AND M. BAKHTYIARI^b

^aDepartment of Basic Sciences, Jundi-Shapur University of Technology, P.O. BOX 64615-334, Dezful, Iran

r.nikandish@jsu.ac.ir

 ^bFaculty of Mathematics, K.N. Toosi University of Technology, P.O. BOX 16315-1618, Tehran, Iran nikmehr@kntu.ac.ir m.bakhtyiari55@gmail.com

Abstract

Let R be a commutative ring with non-zero identity. The cozero-divisor graph of R, denoted by $\Gamma'(R)$, is a graph with vertices in $W^*(R)$, which is the set of all non-zero and non-unit elements of R, and two distinct vertices a and b in $W^*(R)$ are adjacent if and only if $a \notin Rb$ and $b \notin Ra$. In this paper, we show that the cozero-divisor graph of a von Neumann regular ring with finite clique number is not only weakly perfect but also perfect. Also, an explicit formula for the clique number is given.

1 Introduction

The cozero-divisor graphs associated with commutative rings, as the dual notion of zero-divisor graphs, was first introduced by Afkhami and Khashyarmanesh in [2], where they investigated some fundamental properties on the structure of this graph and the relation between cozero-divisor and zero-divisor graphs. Study of the complement of cozerodivisor graphs and characterization of commutative rings with forest, star, double-star or unicyclic cozero-divisor graphs were made bay the same authors in [3]. Planar, outerplanar and ring graph cozero-divisor graphs may be found in [4]. Akbari et al. gave

^{*}*Key Words*: Cozero-divisor graph; Von Neumann regular ring; Clique number; Chromatic number; Perfect graph.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69; 13A15; 13E05; 16E50.

further results on rings with forest cozero-divisor graphs and diameter of cozero-divisor graphs associated with R[x] and R[[x]] (see [6]). The cozero-divisor graph has also been studied in several other papers (e.g., [5, 7, 8, 12]). In this paper, we deal with the coloring cozero-divisor graphs problem. Interested readers may find some methods in coloring of graphs associated with rings in [1, 13]. First we recall some terminology and notation.

Throughout this paper, all rings are assumed to be commutative with identity. We denote by Max(R), U(R), W(R) and Nil(R), the set of all maximal ideals of R, the set of all invertible elements of R, the set of all non-unit elements of R and the set of all nilpotent elements of R, respectively. For a subset T of a ring R we let $T^* = T \setminus \{0\}$. The ring R is said to be *reduced* if it has no non-zero nilpotent element. The ring R is called *von Neumann regular* if for every $r \in R$, there exists an $s \in R$ such that $r = r^2 s$. The krull dimension of R, denoted by dim(R), is the supremum of the lengths of all chains of prime ideals. For any undefined notation or terminology in ring theory, we refer the reader to [9].

Let G = (V, E) be a graph, where V = V(G) is the set of vertices and E = E(G) is the set of edges. By \overline{G} , we mean the complement graph of G. We write u-v, to denote an edge with ends u, v. If $U \subseteq V(G)$, then by N(U) we mean the set of all neighbors of U in G. A graph $H = (V_0, E_0)$ is called a *subgraph of* G if $V_0 \subseteq V$ and $E_0 \subseteq E$. Moreover, H is called an *induced subgraph by* V_0 , denoted by $G[V_0]$, if $V_0 \subseteq V$ and $E_0 = \{\{u, v\} \in E \mid u, v \in V_0\}$. Also G is called a *null graph* if it has no edge. A *clique* of G is a maximal complete subgraph of G and the number of vertices in the largest clique of G, denoted by $\omega(G)$, is called the *clique number* of G. For a graph G, let $\chi(G)$ denote the vertex chromatic number of G, i.e., the minimal number of colors which can be assigned to the vertices of G in such a way that every two adjacent vertices have different colors. A graph G is said to be *weakly perfect* if $\omega(G) = \chi(G)$. A *perfect graph* G is a graph in which every induced subgraph is weakly perfect. For any undefined notation or terminology in graph theory, we refer the reader to [14].

Let R be a commutative ring with nonzero identity. The cozero-divisor graph of R, denoted by $\Gamma'(R)$, is a graph with the vertex set $W^*(R)$ and two distinct vertices a and b in $W^*(R)$ are adjacent if and only if $a \notin Rb$ and $b \notin Ra$. In this paper, it is shown that the cozero-divisor graph of a von Neumann regular ring with finite clique number is weakly perfect. Moreover, an explicit formula for the clique number is given. Finally, we strengthen this result; Indeed it is proved that this graph is perfect.

2 Clique and Chromatic Number of $\Gamma'(R)$

Let R be a von Neumann regular ring and $\omega(\Gamma'(R)) < \infty$. The main of this section is to show that $\omega(\Gamma'(R)) = \chi(\Gamma'(R)) = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$, where $n = |\operatorname{Min}(R)|$. First, we need a series of lemma.

Lemma 2.1 Let R be a ring. If $\omega(\Gamma'(R)) < \infty$, then R is a Noetherian ring.

Proof. It is enough to show that every ideal of R is finitely generated. Suppose to the contrary, there exists an ideal I of R which is generate by the set $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$, where $|\Lambda| = \infty$ and it is not generate by the set $(x_i)_{i \in \Upsilon}$, where $\Upsilon = \Lambda \setminus \{i\}$, for every $i \in \Lambda$. Thus $x_i \notin Rx_j$ and $x_j \notin Rx_i$, for every two distinct elements $i, j \in \Lambda$. Hence the set $(x_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$ is a clique of $\Gamma'(R)$ and so $\omega(\Gamma'(R)) = \infty$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, every ideal of R is finitely generated.

Lemma 2.2 Let R be a von Neumann regular ring. If $\omega(\Gamma'(R)) < \infty$, then $R \cong F_1 \times \cdots \times F_n$, where every F_i is a field and |Min(R)| = n.

Proof. By [11, Theorem 3.1], R is a reduced ring and dim(R) = 0. Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, R is a Noetherian ring. Thus R is a reduced Artinian ring. The result now follows from [9, Theorem 8.7].

Lemma 2.3 Let R be a ring. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) a b is an edge of $\Gamma'(R)$.
- (2) $Ra \not\subseteq Rb$ and $Rb \not\subseteq Ra$.

Proof. It is straightforward.

Lemma 2.4 Let R be a ring and $x, y \in V(\Gamma'(R))$ such that Ra = Rb. Then N(a) = N(b).

Proof. Suppose that $c \in N(a)$. By Lemma 2.3, $Ra \notin Rc$ and $Rc \notin Ra$. Since Ra = Rb, we deduce that $Rb \notin Rc$ and $Rc \notin Rb$ and thus by Lemma 2.3, $c \in N(b)$. Hence $N(a) \subseteq N(b)$. Similarly, $N(b) \subseteq N(a)$, as desired.

Lemma 2.5 Let $2 \le n < \infty$ be an integer and $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ (n times). Then

$$\omega(\Gamma'(R)) = \chi(\Gamma'(R)) = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$$

Proof. Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V(\Gamma'(R))$. Obviously, $x_i = 0$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let NZC(x) be the number zero x_i 's in x, for every $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V(\Gamma'(R))$. Clearly, $1 \leq NZC(x) \leq n-1$, for every $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V(\Gamma'(R))$. For every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, let

$$A_i = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V(\Gamma'(R)) | NZC(x) = i\}.$$

It is easily seen that $V(\Gamma'(R)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$, for every $i \neq j$ and so $\{A_1, \ldots, A_{n-1}\}$ is a partition of $V(\Gamma'(R))$. We show that $\Gamma'(R)[A_i]$ is a complete (induced) subgraph of $\Gamma'(R)$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let $x = (x_1 \ldots, x_n), y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in A_i$, for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $x \neq y$. Since NZC(x) = NZC(y), there exist $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ such that $x_i = 0, y_i = 1$ and $x_j = 1, y_j = 0$. This implies that $x \notin Ry$ and $y \notin Rx$ and so x and y are adjacent. Hence $\Gamma'(R)[A_i]$ is a complete (induced) subgraph of $\Gamma'(R)$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Furthermore, $|A_i| = \binom{n}{i}$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $|A_t| \geq |A_i|$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, where $t = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Let $i \neq j$ and i < j < t. Then $|A_i| \leq |A_j|$ and for every $x \in A_i$ there exists a vertex $y \in A_j$ such that $Ry \subseteq Rx$. Thus by Lemma 2.3, x is not adjacent to y (by replacing one of the zero components of $y \in A_j$ by 1, we have $x \in A_i$).

$$\omega(\Gamma'(R)[\cup_{i=1}^t A_i]) = \chi(\Gamma'(R)[\cup_{i=1}^t A_i]) = \binom{n}{t}.$$

Similarly,

$$\omega(\Gamma'(R)[\cup_{i=t}^{n-1}A_i]) = \chi(\Gamma'(R)[\cup_{i=t}^{n-1}A_i]) = \binom{n}{t}$$

Indeed, there are enough colors in $\Gamma'(R)[A_t]$ to color $\Gamma'(R)$. Thus

$$\omega(\Gamma'(R) = \chi(\Gamma'(R)) = \binom{n}{t}.$$

Remark 2.1 Let G be a graph and $x \in V(G)$. If there exists a vertex $y \in V(G)$ which is not adjacent to x and N(x) = N(y), then $\omega(G) = \omega(G \setminus \{x\})$ and $\chi(G) = \chi(G \setminus \{x\})$.

We are now in a position to state our main result of this section.

Theorem 2.1 Let R be a von Neumann regular ring and |Min(R)| = n. If $|\omega(\Gamma'(R))| < \infty$, then

$$\omega(\Gamma'(R)) = \chi(\Gamma'(R)) = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $R \cong F_1 \times \cdots \times F_n$, where F_i is a field, for every $1 \le i \le n < \infty$. Let

$$A = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V(\Gamma'(R)) | x_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for every } 1 \le i \le n \}$$

Consider the following claims:

Claim 1. $\omega(\Gamma'(R)[A]) = \omega(\Gamma'(R))$ and $\chi(\Gamma'(R)[A]) = \chi(\Gamma'(R))$.

Suppose that $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ are vertices of $\Gamma'(R)$. Define the relation \sim on $V(\Gamma'(R))$ as follows: $x \sim y$, whenever " $x_i = 0$ if and only if $y_i =$ 0", for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Obviously, \sim is an equivalence relation on $V(\Gamma'(R))$. Thus $V(\Gamma'(R)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^n-2} [x]_i$, where $[x]_i$ is the equivalence class of x_i (We note that the number of equivalence classes is $2^n - 2$). Let [x] be a equivalence class of x. Then $|[x] \cap A| = 1$ and so one may choose $a \in [x] \cap A$ and $b \in [x] \setminus \{a\}$. Since Ra = Rb, by Lemma 2.4, N(a) = N(b). By Remark 3.1, $\omega(\Gamma'(R)) = \omega(\Gamma'(R) \setminus \{b\})$ and $\chi(\Gamma'(R)) = \chi(\Gamma'(R) \setminus \{b\})$. If we continue this procedure for $|V(\Gamma'(R)) \setminus A|$ times, then we get $\omega(\Gamma'(R)[A]) = \omega(\Gamma'(R))$ and $\chi(\Gamma'(R)[A]) = \chi(\Gamma'(R))$.

Claim 2. $\omega(\Gamma'(R)[A]) = \omega(\Gamma'(S))$ and $\chi(\Gamma'(R)[A]) = \chi(\Gamma'(S))$, where $S = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ (*n* times).

Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in S \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in A$. Consider the map $\varphi : S \setminus \{0, 1\} \longrightarrow A$ defined by the rule $\varphi(x) = y$, whenever $x_i = 0$ if and only if $y_i = 0$. It is not hard to check that φ is well-defined, bijective and if $x, y \in S \setminus \{0, 1\}$ such that x is adjacent y, then $\varphi(x)$ is adjacent $\varphi(y)$. This implies that $\Gamma'(S) \cong \Gamma'(R)[A]$ and thus $\omega(\Gamma'(R)[A]) = \omega(\Gamma'(S))$ and $\chi(\Gamma'(R)[A]) = \chi(\Gamma'(S))$.

By Claims 1,2 and Lemma 2.5,

$$\omega(\Gamma'(R)) = \chi(\Gamma'(R)) = \omega(\Gamma'(R)[A]) = \chi(\Gamma'(R)[A]) = \omega(\Gamma'(S)) = \chi(\Gamma'(S)) = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}.$$

We close this section with the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1 Let R be a ring which is not an integral domain. If $|\omega(\Gamma'(R))| < \infty$, then $\Gamma'(R)$ is a null graph if and only if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local ring and \mathfrak{m} is principal.

Proof. First, suppose that $\Gamma'(R)$ is a null graph. If R is not local, then one may choose $x \in \mathfrak{m}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{m}_2$ and $y \in \mathfrak{m}_2 \setminus \mathfrak{m}_1$, where $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2 \in \operatorname{Max}(R)$. Since x is not adjacent to y, we find a contradiction. Thus (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local ring. Also, by a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 2.1, one may show that \mathfrak{m} is principal.

To prove the converse, suppose that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring and \mathfrak{m} is principal. We show that dim(R) = 0. It is enough to show that $\mathfrak{m} \in Min(R)$. Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, for some $\mathfrak{p} \in Min(R)$. Since R is not an integral domain, $\mathfrak{p} \neq (0)$ and so we may pick $0 \neq a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Since \mathfrak{m} is principal, $\mathfrak{m} = Rx$, for some $x \in R$. If $x \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$ and thus dim(R) = 0. So let $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, $a = r_1 x$ for some $r_1 \in R$. Also $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$ implies that $r_1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ and thus $r_1 = r_2 x$, for some $r_2 \in R$. Hence $a = r_2 x^2$ and so $a \in \mathfrak{m}^2$. If we continue this procedure, then $a \in \mathfrak{m}^n$, for every positive integer n. Therefore $a \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{m}^n$. This, together with [9, Corolary 10.19] imply that a = 0, a contradiction. Hence $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$ and so dim(R) = 0. Since R is Noetherian with dim(R) = 0, R is an Artinian local ring. Finally, by [9, Proposition 8.8], every ideal of R is principal and hence $\Gamma'(R)$ is a null graph. \Box

3 Perfectness of $\Gamma'(R)$

Let R be a von Neumann regular ring and $\omega(\Gamma'(R)) < \infty$. In this section, we show that $\Gamma'(R)$ is a perfect graph. We begin with the following celebrate result.

Lemma 3.1 ([10] The Strong Perfect Graph Theorem) A graph G is perfect if and only if neither G nor \overline{G} contains an induced odd cycle of length at least 5.

Theorem 3.1 Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ (*n* times). Then $\Gamma'(R)$ is perfect.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, it is enough to prove the following claims.

Claim 1. $\Gamma'(R)$ contains no induced odd cycle of length at least 5. Assume to the contrary,

$$a_1 - a_2 - \dots - a_n - a_1$$

is an induced odd cycle of length at least 5 in $\Gamma'(R)$.

By Lemma 2.3, either $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_3$ or $Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_1$. We show that these two cases lead to contradictions. First assume that the case $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_3$ happens. We continue the proof by proving the following subclaims.

Subclaim 1. $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_i$, for every $3 \le i \le n-1$.

Clearly, $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_3$. By Lemma 2.3, $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_4$ or $Ra_4 \subseteq Ra_1$. If $Ra_4 \subseteq Ra_1$, then $Ra_4 \subseteq Ra_3$, a contradiction, by Lemma 2.3. So $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_4$. Again, by Lemma 2.3, $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_5$ or $Ra_5 \subseteq Ra_1$. If $Ra_5 \subseteq Ra_1$, then since $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_4$, $Ra_5 \subseteq Ra_4$, a contradiction. Thus $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_5$. Similarly, $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_i$, for every $6 \le i \le n-1$.

Subclaim 2. $Ra_2 \subseteq Ra_i$, for every $4 \leq i \leq n$. Obviously, $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_4$, by the Subclaim 1. By Lemma 2.3, $Ra_2 \subseteq Ra_4$ or $Ra_4 \subseteq Ra_2$. If $Ra_4 \subseteq Ra_2$, then $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_2$, a contradiction. So $Ra_2 \subseteq Ra_4$. Next, we show that $Ra_2 \subseteq Ra_5$. If $Ra_5 \subseteq Ra_2$, then since $Ra_2 \subseteq Ra_4$, we deduce that $Ra_5 \subseteq Ra_4$, a contradiction. Therefore $Ra_2 \subseteq Ra_5$. Similarly, $Ra_2 \subseteq Ra_i$, for every $6 \leq i \leq n$.

Now, using Subclaims 1 and 2, we show that $Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_1$. By Lemma 2.3, $Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_5$ or $Ra_5 \subseteq Ra_3$. If $Ra_5 \subseteq Ra_3$, then by Subclaim 2, $Ra_2 \subseteq Ra_3$, a contradiction. Thus $Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_5$. We show that $Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_6$. If $Ra_6 \subseteq Ra_3$, then by Subcase 2, $Ra_2 \subseteq Ra_3$, a contradiction. So $Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_6$. Similarly, $Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_i$, for every $7 \leq i \leq n$. Since $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_3$, $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_i$, for every $5 \leq i \leq n$, i.e., $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_n$, a contradiction. Thus $Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_1$ and this contradicts Subclaim 1. Therefore, $\Gamma'(R)$ contains no induced odd cycle of length at least 5.

Claim 2. $\overline{\Gamma'(R)}$ contains no induced odd cycle of length at least 5. Assume to the contrary,

$$a_1 - a_2 - \dots - a_n - a_1$$

is an induced odd cycle of length at least 5 in $\Gamma'(R)$. By Lemma 2.3, we may assume that $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_2$. If $Ra_2 \subseteq Ra_3$, then $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_3$, a contradiction. Thus

$$Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_2,$$
$$Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_2.$$

If $Ra_4 \subseteq Ra_3$, then $Ra_4 \subseteq Ra_2$, a contradiction. Hence $Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_4$. If $Ra_4 \subseteq Ra_5$, then $Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_4$ implies that $Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_5$, a contradiction. Thus

$$Ra_3 \subseteq Ra_4,$$
$$Ra_5 \subseteq Ra_4.$$

Since n is odd, by continuing this procedure, we find

$$Ra_{n-2} \subseteq Ra_{n-1},$$
$$Ra_n \subseteq Ra_{n+1} = Ra_1$$

This implies that $Ra_n \subseteq Ra_1$ and since $Ra_1 \subseteq Ra_2$, $Ra_n \subseteq Ra_2$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\overline{\Gamma'(R)}$ contains no induced odd cycle of length at least 5. The proof now is complete.

Remark 3.1 Let G be a graph and $x \in V(G)$. If there exists a vertex $y \in V(G)$ which is not adjacent to x and N(x) = N(y), then G is perfect if and only if $G \setminus \{x\}$ is perfect.

We close this paper with the following result.

Theorem 3.2 Let R be a von Neumann regular ring and $\omega(\Gamma'(R)) < \infty$. Then $\Gamma'(R)$ is a perfect graph.

Proof. Since $|\omega(\Gamma'(R))| < \infty$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $R \cong F_1 \times \cdots \times F_n$, where F_i is a field, for every $1 \le i \le n < \infty$. Let

$$A = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V(\Gamma'(R)) | x_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for every } 1 \le i \le n \}.$$

By Lemma 2.4 and Remark 3.1, it is not hard to check that $\Gamma'(R)$ is perfect graph if and only if $\Gamma'(R)[A]$ is perfect. In fact if

$$a_1 - a_2 - \dots - a_n - a_1$$

is an induced odd cycle of length at least 5 in $\overline{\Gamma'(R)}$ or $\Gamma'(R)$, then $Ra_i \neq Ra_j$, for every $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$. By the proof of Theorem 2.1, we find that $\Gamma'(R)[A] \cong \Gamma'(S)$, where $S = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ (*n* times). Thus $\Gamma'(R)$ is perfect if and only if $\Gamma'(S)$ is perfect. The result now follows from Lemma 3.1.

References

- G. Aalipour, S. Akbari, R. Nikandish, M.J. Nikmehr and F. Shaveisi. On the coloring of the annihilating-ideal graph of a commutative ring, Discrete. Math. 312 (2012) 2620–2626.
- [2] M. Afkhami, K. Khashyarmanesh, The cozero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 35 (2011) 753–762.
- [3] M. Afkhami, K. Khashyarmanesh, On the cozero-divisor graphs of commutative rings and their complements, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 35 (2012) 935-944.
- [4] M. Afkhami, M. Farrokhi D. G., K. Khashyarmanesh, Planar, outerplanar and ring graph cozero-divisor graphs. Ars Comb. 131 (2017) 397–406.
- [5] M. Afkhami, K. Khashyarmanesh, On the cozero-divisor graphs of and comaximal graphs of commutative rings, J. Algebra Appl. 12 (2013) 1250173 [9 pages].

- [6] S. Akbari, F. Alizadeh, S. Khojasteh, Some results on Cozero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, J. Algebra Appl. 13 (2014) 1350113 [14 pages].
- [7] S. Akbari, S. Khojasteh, Commutative rings whose cozero-divisor graphs unicyclic or of bounded degree, Comm. Algebra 42 (2013) 1594–1605.
- [8] H. Ansari-Toroght, F. Farshadifar, Sh.Habibi, The cozero-divisor graph relative to finitely generated modules, Miskolc Mathematical Notes 14 (2013) 749-756.
- [9] M. F. Atiyah, I.G. Macdonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1969.
- [10] R. Diestel, Graph Theory, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [11] J. A. Huckaba, Commutative Rings With Zero Divisors, 2nd ed., Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River (1988).
- [12] S. Kavitha, R. Kala, On the genus of graphs from commutative rings, AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics, 14 (2017) 27–34.
- [13] H. R. Maimani, M. R. Pournaki, S. Yassemi, A class of weakly perfect graphs, Czech. Math. Journal 60 (2010) 1037-1041.
- [14] D. B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, 2nd ed., Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River (2001).