

Spatial-Homogeneity of Stable Solutions of Almost-Periodic Parabolic Equations with Concave Nonlinearity

Yi Wang*

Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory
School of Mathematical Science
University of Science and Technology of China
Hefei, Anhui, 230026, Peoples Republic of China

Jianwei Xiao

Department of Mathematics
University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA

Dun Zhou†

Department of Mathematics
Nanjing University of Science and Technology
Nanjing, Jiangsu, 210094, Peoples Republic of China

Abstract

We study the spatial-homogeneity of stable solutions of almost-periodic parabolic equations. It is shown that if the nonlinearity satisfies a concave or convex condition, then any linearly stable almost automorphic solution is spatially-homogeneous; and moreover, the frequency module of the solution is contained in that of the nonlinearity.

1 Introduction

We consider the semilinear parabolic equation with Neumann boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \Delta u + f(t, u, \nabla u), \quad t > 0, x \in \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0, \quad t > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smooth bounded domain and $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; (t, u, p) \mapsto f(t, u, p)$ together with its first and second derivatives are almost periodic in t uniformly for (u, p) in any compact

*Partially supported by NSF of China No.11771414, 11471305.

†Corresponding author, E-mail address: zhd1986@mail.ustc.edu.cn & zhoudun@njust.edu.cn, partially supported by NSF of China No.11601498 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities No.30918011339.

subset of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Such equation is ubiquitous throughout the modeling of population dynamics and population ecology. The almost periodicity of the nonlinearity f captures the growth rate influenced by external effects which are roughly but not exactly periodic, or environmental forcing which exhibits different, non-commensurate periods.

In cases where f is independent of t (i.e., the autonomous case) or f is time-periodic with period $T > 0$ (i.e., the time T -periodic case), it has been known that stable equilibria or T -periodic solutions are not supposed to possess spatial variations on a convex domain. For instance, in terms of an autonomous equation on a convex domain Ω with f being independent of ∇u , Casten and Holland [2] and Matano [10] proved that any stable equilibrium is spatially-homogeneous (i.e., without any spatial structure). In other words, any spatially-inhomogeneous equilibrium on a convex domain must be unstable. Later, Hess [6] considered the time T -periodic equation and showed that all stable T -periodic solutions are spatially-homogeneous on a convex domain Ω .

When the system (1.1) is driven by a time almost periodic forcing, there usually exist almost automorphic solutions rather than almost periodic ones. As a matter of fact, the appearance of almost automorphic dynamics is a fundamental phenomenon in almost periodically forced parabolic equations [16–20]. We also refer to [7–9, 12–14, 23] on the study of almost automorphic dynamics in different types of almost-periodic differential systems. Among many others, Shen and Yi [20] showed that any stable almost automorphic solution of (1.1) is spatially-homogeneous on a convex domain Ω .

Besides the convexity of the domain, the convexity or concavity of the nonlinearity f in (1.1) (i.e., the function $f(t, \cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex or concave for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$) can be thought as an alternative condition which guarantees that any spatially-inhomogeneous equilibrium and time T -periodic solution are unstable in the autonomous case (Casten and Holland [2]) and the time T -periodic case (Hess [6]), respectively.

The present paper is mainly focusing on the almost periodically forced equation (1.1). We will show that, if $f(t, \cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex or concave for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then any linearly stable almost automorphic solution $u(t, x)$ (see Definition 2.2) of (1.1) is spatially-homogeneous; and moreover, the frequency module of $u(t, x)$ is contained in that of f (see Theorem 3.1).

Our result can be viewed as an effective supplement of the above-mentioned result in [20]; for the concavity or convexity of f , instead of for convex domains. It also generalizes to multi-frequency driven systems from that in the autonomous cases [2] and time-periodic cases [6].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the basic notations and concepts involving skew-product semiflows, linearly stable and almost periodic (automorphic) functions which will be useful in our discussions. In Section 3, we prove the spatial-homogeneity of linearly stable almost automorphic solutions to (1.1) under the assumption that the nonlinearity f is concave or convex.

2 Notations and Preliminary Results

2.1 Skew-product Semiflows and Linearly Stable Solutions

Let Y be a compact metric space with metric d_Y and \mathbb{R} be the additive group of reals. A real flow (Y, \mathbb{R}) (or (Y, σ)) is a continuous mapping $\sigma : Y \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y, (y, t) \mapsto y \cdot t$ satisfying: (i) $\sigma(y, 0) = y$; (ii) $\sigma(\sigma(y, s), t) = \sigma(y, s + t)$ for all $y \in Y$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. A subset $E \subset Y$ is *invariant* if $\sigma(y, t) \in E$ for each $y \in E$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and is called *minimal* or *recurrent* if it is compact and the only non-empty compact invariant subset of it is itself. By Zorn's Lemma, every compact and σ -invariant set contains a minimal subset. Moreover, a subset E is minimal if and only if every trajectory is dense in E .

Let X, Y be metric spaces and (Y, σ) be a compact flow (called the base flow). Let also $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : t \geq 0\}$. A skew-product semiflow $\Pi^t : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ is a semiflow of the following form

$$\Pi^t(u, y) = (\varphi(t, u, y), y \cdot t), \quad t \geq 0, (u, y) \in X \times Y, \quad (2.1)$$

satisfying (i) $\Pi^0 = \text{Id}_X$ and (ii) the co-cycle property: $\varphi(t + s, u, y) = \varphi(s, \varphi(t, u, y), y \cdot t)$ for each $(u, y) \in X \times Y$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. A subset $E \subset X \times Y$ is *positively invariant* if $\Pi^t(E) \subset E$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. The *forward orbit* of any $(u, y) \in X \times Y$ is defined by $\mathcal{O}^+(u, y) = \{\Pi^t(u, y) : t \geq 0\}$, and the *ω -limit set* of (u, y) is defined by $\omega(u, y) = \{(\hat{u}, \hat{y}) \in X \times Y : \Pi^{t_n}(u, y) \rightarrow (\hat{u}, \hat{y})(n \rightarrow \infty)\}$ for some sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$.

A *flow extension* of a skew-product semiflow Π^t is a continuous skew-product flow $\hat{\Pi}^t$ such that $\hat{\Pi}^t(u, y) = \Pi^t(u, y)$ for each $(u, y) \in X \times Y$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. A compact positively invariant subset is said to admit a *flow extension* if the semiflow restricted to it does. Actually, a compact positively invariant set $K \subset X \times Y$ admits a flow extension if every point in K admits a unique backward orbit which remains inside the set K (see [20, part II]). A compact positively invariant set $K \subset X \times Y$ for Π^t is called *minimal* if it does not contain any other nonempty compact positively invariant set than itself.

Let X be a Banach space and the cocycle φ in (2.1) be C^1 for $u \in X$, that is, φ is C^1 in u , and the derivative φ_u is continuous in $u \in X, y \in Y, t > 0$; and moreover, for any $v \in X$,

$$\varphi_u(t, u, y)v \rightarrow v \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

uniformly for (u, y) in compact subsets of $X \times Y$. Let $K \subset X \times Y$ be a compact, positively invariant set which admits a flow extension. Define $\Phi(t, u, y) = \varphi_u(t, u, y)$ for $(u, y) \in K, t \geq 0$. Then the operator Φ generates a linear skew-product semiflow Ψ on $(X \times K, \mathbb{R}^+)$ associated with (2.1) over K as follows:

$$\Psi(t, v, (u, y)) = (\Phi(t, u, y)v, \Pi^t(u, y)), \quad t \geq 0, (u, y) \in K, v \in X. \quad (2.2)$$

For each $(u, y) \in K$, define the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda(u, y) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|\Phi(t, u, y)\|}{t}$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm of $\Phi(t, u, y)$. We call the number $\lambda_K = \sup_{(u, y) \in K} \lambda(u, y)$ the *upper Lyapunov exponent* on K .

Definition 2.1. K is said to be *linearly stable* if $\lambda_K \leq 0$.

To carry out our study for the non-autonomous system (1.1), we embed it into a skew-product semiflow. Let $f_\tau(t, u, p) = f(t + \tau, u, p)$ ($\tau \in \mathbb{R}$) be the time-translation of f , then the function f generates a family $\{f_\tau | \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ in the space of continuous functions $C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ equipped with the compact open topology. Moreover, $H(f)$ (the closure of $\{f_\tau | \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ in the compact open topology) called the hull of f is a compact metric space and every $g \in H(f)$ has the same regularity as f . Hence, the time-translation $g \cdot t \equiv g_t$ ($g \in H(f)$) naturally defines a compact minimal flow on $H(f)$ and equation (1.1) induces a family of equations associated to each $g \in H(f)$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \Delta u + g(t, u, \nabla u), & t > 0, & \quad x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

It follows from the standard theory of parabolic equations (see, e.g. [3]), for each $u_0 \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial n}$ on $\partial\Omega$, (2.3) admits a unique classical locally solution $\varphi(t, \cdot; u_0, g)$ with $\varphi(0, \cdot; u_0, g) = u_0$.

Hereafter, we always assume that X is a fractional power space (see [5]) associated with the operator $u \rightarrow -\Delta u$, $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ such that $X \hookrightarrow C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ (X is compact embedded in $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$), where $\mathcal{D} = \{u | u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \text{ and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$, $p > n$. For any $u \in X$ and $g \in H(f)$, (2.3) defines (locally) a unique solution $\varphi(t, \cdot; u, g)$ in X is C^2 in u and is continuous in g and t within its (time) interval of existence. In the language of dynamic systems, there is a well defined (local) skew-product semiflow $\Pi^t : X \times H(f) \rightarrow X \times H(f)$:

$$\Pi^t(u, g) = (\varphi(t, \cdot; u, g), g \cdot t), \quad t > 0 \tag{2.4}$$

associated with (2.3). By the standard *a priori* estimates for parabolic equations (see [3, 5]), if $\varphi(t, \cdot; u, g)$ ($u \in X$) is bounded in X in the existence interval of the solution, then it is a globally defined classical solution. For any $\delta > 0$, $\{\varphi(t, \cdot; u, g)\}$ is relatively compact, hence the ω -limit set $\omega(u, g)$ is a nonempty connected compact subset of $X \times H(f)$. Moreover, by [4, 5], Π^t restricted to $\omega(u, g)$ is a (global) semiflow which admits a flow extension.

Let $X^+ = \{u \in X | u(x) \geq 0, x \in \overline{\Omega}\}$. Denote by $\text{Int}X^+$ the interior of X^+ . Clearly, $\text{Int}X^+ \neq \emptyset$, since $\{u \in X | u(x) > 0 \text{ for } x \in \Omega, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} < 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega\} \subset \text{Int}X^+$. Thus, X^+ defines a strong ordering on X as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 \leq u_2 &\iff u_2 - u_1 \in X^+, \\ u_1 < u_2 &\iff u_2 - u_1 \in X^+, \quad u_2 \neq u_1, \\ u_1 \ll u_2 &\iff u_2 - u_1 \in \text{Int}X^+. \end{aligned}$$

Immediately, we have the following lemma from [20, Lemma III. 5.1].

Lemma 2.1. *The skew-product semiflow Π^t in (2.4) is strongly monotone, in the sense that: for any $(u, g) \in X \times H(f)$, $v \in X$ with $v > 0$, one has $\Phi(t, u, g)v \gg 0$ for $t > 0$.*

Definition 2.2. A bounded solution $u(t, x) = \varphi(t, x; u_0, g)$ of (2.3) ($u_0 \in X$) is *linearly stable* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\omega(u_0, g)$ is linearly stable.

(ii) Let $\Phi(t, s)$ ($t \geq s \geq 0$) be the solution operator of the following linearized equation along $u(t, x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= \Delta v + g_u(t, u, \nabla u)v + g_p(t, u, \nabla u)\nabla v \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Then $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\Phi(t, 0)v_0\| < \infty$ for all $v_0 \in X$.

2.2 Almost Periodic and Almost Automorphic Functions

In this subsection, we always assume D is a non-empty subset of \mathbb{R}^m .

Definition 2.3. A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *admissible* if for any compact subset $K \subset D$, f is bounded and uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times K$. f is C^r ($r \geq 1$) *admissible* if f is C^r in $w \in D$ and Lipschitz in t , and f as well as its partial derivatives to order r are *admissible*.

Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times D, \mathbb{R})$ ($D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$) be admissible. Then $H(f) = \text{cl}\{f \cdot \tau : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (called the *hull of f*) is compact and metrizable under the compact open topology (see [15, 20]), where $f \cdot \tau(t, \cdot) = f(t + \tau, \cdot)$. Moreover, the time translation $g \cdot t$ of $g \in H(f)$ induces a natural flow on $H(f)$ (cf. [15]).

Definition 2.4. A function $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is *almost automorphic* if for every $\{t'_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ there is a subsequence $\{t_k\}$ and a function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(t + t_k) \rightarrow g(t)$ and $g(t - t_k) \rightarrow f(t)$ pointwise. f is *almost periodic* if for any sequence $\{t'_n\}$ there is a subsequence $\{t_n\}$ such that $\{f(t + t_n)\}$ converges uniformly. A function $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times D, \mathbb{R})$ ($D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$) is *uniformly almost periodic (automorphic) in t* , if f is both admissible and almost periodic (automorphic) in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.1. If f is a uniformly almost automorphic function in t , then $H(f)$ is always *minimal*, and there is a residual set $Y' \subset H(f)$, such that all $g \in Y'$ is a uniformly almost automorphic function in t . If f is a uniformly almost periodic function in t , then $H(f)$ is always *minimal*, and every $g \in H(f)$ is uniformly almost periodic function (see, e.g. [20]).

Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times D, \mathbb{R})$ be uniformly almost periodic (almost automorphic) and

$$f(t, w) \sim \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} a_\lambda(w) e^{i\lambda t} \tag{2.6}$$

be a Fourier series of f (see [20, 22] for the definition and the existence of a Fourier series). Then $\mathcal{S} = \{\alpha_\lambda(w) \neq 0\}$ is called the Fourier spectrum of f associated with Fourier series (2.6) and \mathcal{M} be the smallest additive subgroup of \mathbb{R} containing $\mathcal{S}(f)$ is called the frequency module of f . Moreover, $\mathcal{M}(f)$ is a countable subset of \mathbb{R} (see, e.g. [20]).

Lemma 2.2. *Assume $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times D, \mathbb{R})$ is a uniformly almost automorphic function, then for any uniformly almost automorphic function $g \in H(f)$, $\mathcal{M}(g) = \mathcal{M}(f)$.*

Proof. See [20, Corollary I.3.7]. □

3 Spatial-homogeneity of Linearly Stable Solutions

In this section, we always assume that the function $(u, p) \mapsto f(t, u, p)$ in (1.1) is concave (resp. convex) for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, that is, $f(t, \lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda)u_2, \lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2) \geq$ (resp. \leq) $\lambda f(t, u_1, p_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(t, u_2, p_2)$ for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(u_i, p_i) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $i = 1, 2$. Clearly, $g(t, u, p)$ is also concave (resp. convex) for any $g \in H(f)$. We further assume that $f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is C^2 uniformly almost periodic. Our main result is the following theorem

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $f : (t, \cdot, \cdot) \mapsto f(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is concave (or convex). Let $\varphi(t, \cdot, u_0, g) \in C^{1+\frac{\mu}{2}, 2+\mu}(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega})$ ($\mu \in (0, 1]$) be a linearly stable almost automorphic (almost periodic) solution of (2.3), then $\varphi(t, \cdot; u_0, g)$ is spatially-homogeneous and is a solution of*

$$u' = g(t, u, 0). \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{M}(\varphi) \subset \mathcal{M}(f)$.

Hereafter, we only consider the case when f is concave, because by a transformation from u to $-u$, the convexity of nonlinearity g can be changed into concavity.

Let $\varphi(t, \cdot; u_0, g) \in C^{1+\frac{\mu}{2}, 2+\mu}(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega})$ be an almost automorphic solution of (2.3) with $u(0) = u_0$. Then, $\omega(u_0, g)$ is an almost automorphic minimal set; and hence, $\varphi(t, x; u_0, g)$ is well defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For brevity, we write $u(t, x) = \varphi(t, x; u_0, g)$ and define the following function $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$c(t) := \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(t, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $M(t) = \{x \in \overline{\Omega} : u(t, x) = c(t)\}$. Then, similar as the arguments in [6, p.327], $c(t)$ is a Lipschitz continuous function and hence differentiable for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$; define $\tilde{\mathbb{R}} = \{t \in \mathbb{R} | c(t) \text{ is differentiable}\}$, then $\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ is a set of zero measure and $c'(t)$ is continuous on $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$; and moreover, $c'(t) = u_t(t, x)$ for any $t \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ and $x \in M(t)$. Since $u \in C^{1+\frac{\mu}{2}, 2+\mu}(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega})$ is an almost automorphic solution of (2.3), $c'(t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, we have the following

Lemma 3.2. *$c(t)$ is an almost automorphic function.*

Proof. Note that $u(t, x)$ is a uniformly almost automorphic function on $\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega}$. Then, for any sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, there are $v(t, x) \in H(u)$ (the hull of u) and a subsequence $\{t_{n_k}\} \subset \{t_n\}$, such that $u(t + t_{n_k}, x) \rightarrow v(t, x)$ and $v(t - t_{n_k}, x) \rightarrow u(t, x)$, uniformly for $(t, x) \in I \times \overline{\Omega}$, where I is any compact set contained in \mathbb{R} . In other words, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u(t + t_{n_k}, x) - \epsilon < v(t, x) < u(t + t_{n_k}, x) + \epsilon \\ v(t - t_{n_k}, x) - \epsilon < u(t, x) < v(t - t_{n_k}, x) + \epsilon, \end{cases}$$

for any $k > N$ and $(t, x) \in I \times \overline{\Omega}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{cases} \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(t + t_{n_k}, x) - \epsilon < \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} v(t, x) < \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(t + t_{n_k}, x) + \epsilon \\ \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} v(t - t_{n_k}, x) - \epsilon < \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(t, x) < \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} v(t - t_{n_k}, x) + \epsilon, \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$|c(t + t_{n_k}) - \max_{\overline{\Omega}} v(t, x)| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |c(t) - \max_{\overline{\Omega}} v(t - t_{n_k}, x)| < \epsilon,$$

for any $k > N$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies that $c(t)$ is an almost automorphic function. \square

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $w(t, x) = c(t) - u(t, x)$. Then, it is clear that $w(t, x)$ is a uniformly almost automorphic function and $w(t, x) \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega}$. Since $u(t, x)$ is a solution of (2.3), denote $-\Delta$ by A , we have

$$w_t + Aw = c'(t) - u_t + \Delta u = c'(t) - g(t, u, \nabla u) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$. Since g is concave,

$$g(t, c, 0) \leq g(t, u, \nabla u) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}(t, u, \nabla u)w + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i}(t, u, \nabla u)w_{x_j}. \quad (3.3)$$

Let

$$A(t) = A - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i}(t, u, \nabla u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}(t, u, \nabla u).$$

Together with (3.2)-(3.3), one has

$$w_t + A(t)w \leq c'(t) - g(t, c, 0) := q(t)$$

for all $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$.

Since $c'(t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, one has $q \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We now divide our proof into the following two cases: (i) $q(t) \leq 0$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$; (ii) $q(t) > 0$ on a set of positive measure.

Case (i). $q(t) \leq 0$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^p(\Omega))$ be defined from (3.2) by

$$w_t + A(t)w =: h(t) \quad (3.4)$$

and $\Phi(t, s)$ be the fundamental solution associated with (3.4)(see Definition 2.2). If $h \in C(\mathbb{R}, L^p(\Omega))$, one can use the method of variation of constant to obtain

$$w(t) = \Phi(t, 0)w(0) + \int_0^t \Phi(t, \tau)h(\tau)d\tau \quad (3.5)$$

in $L^p(\Omega)$ (see, e.g. [21, Theorem 5.2.2]). For general $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^p(\Omega))$ in (3.4), similarly as the argument in [6, p.328-329], by using the strong continuity of $\Phi(t, s)$ in s and [21, p.125, (5.33)], the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\Phi(t, s)w(s)) = \Phi(t, s)w_t(s) + \Phi(t, s)A(s)w(s) = \Phi(t, s)h(s) \quad (3.6)$$

can be established for any $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$. Furthermore, $\Phi(t, s)w(s)$ is in fact a Lipschitz continuous function of s from \mathbb{R} to $L^p(\Omega)$ (hence, $\Phi(t, s)w(s)$ is an absolutely continuous function of s in $L^p(\Omega)$). By using [1, Corollary A] and integrating s in (3.6) from 0 to t , one can obtain (3.5).

Since $h(\tau) \leq 0$ for a.e $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, by strong positivity of Φ , one has $\Phi(t, \tau)h(\tau) \leq 0$ for a.e $\tau \in [0, t]$ ($t > 0$); and hence

$$\int_0^t \Phi(t, \tau)h(\tau)d\tau \leq 0, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$w(t) \leq \Phi(t, 0)w(0). \quad (3.7)$$

Suppose that $u(t, x)$ is not spatially-homogeneous. Then, $w(0) > 0$ in $C(\overline{\Omega})$ (i.e. $w(0, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, and $w(0, \cdot) \neq 0$). Noticing that the skew-product semiflow Π^t on $X \times H(f)$ is strongly monotone (see Lemma 2.1), $\omega(u_0, g)$ admits a continuous separation (see [20, Theorem II.4.4] or [11, Sec 3.5]) as follows: There exists continuous invariant splitting $X = X_1(v, \tilde{g}) \oplus X_2(v, \tilde{g})$ ($(v, \tilde{g}) \in \omega(u_0, g)$) with $X_1(v, \tilde{g}) = \text{span}\{\phi(v, \tilde{g})\}$, $\phi(v, \tilde{g}) \in \text{Int}X^+$ and $X_2(v, \tilde{g}) \cap X^+ = \{0\}$ such that

$$\Phi(t, v, \tilde{g})X_1(v, \tilde{g}) = X_1(\Pi^t(v, \tilde{g})), \quad \Phi(t, v, \tilde{g})X_2(v, \tilde{g}) \subset X_2(\Pi^t(v, \tilde{g})). \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover, there are $K, \gamma > 0$ satisfying

$$\|\Phi(t, v, \tilde{g})|_{X_2(v, \tilde{g})}\| \leq Ke^{-\gamma t} \|\Phi(t, v, \tilde{g})|_{X_1(v, \tilde{g})}\| \quad (3.9)$$

for any $t \geq 0$ and $(v, \tilde{g}) \in \omega(u_0, g)$. Write $w(0) = av_1 + v_2$ with $v_1 \in X_1(u_0, g)$, $\|v_1\| = 1$ and $v_2 \in X_2(u_0, g)$. Since $u(t, x)$ is linearly stable, $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\Phi(t, 0)v_1\|$ is bounded by Definition 2.2.

Case (ia): $\|\Phi(t, 0)v_1\|$ is bounded away from zero. In this case, there exist $M \geq m > 0$ such that $m \leq \inf_{t \geq 0} \|\Phi(t, 0)v_1\| \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\Phi(t, 0)v_1\| \leq M$. Let $\Gamma = \{\bar{v} | \Phi(t_n, 0)v_1 \rightarrow \bar{v} \text{ in } X \text{ for some } t_n \rightarrow \infty\}$. Since $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\Phi(t, 0)v_1\| \leq M$, by the regularity of $\Phi(t, 0)$, one has $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$. We further claim that $\Gamma \subset \text{Int}X^+$ and Γ is a closed subset of X . In fact, for any $\bar{v} \in \Gamma$, one can find a sequence $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$, such that $\Phi(\tau_n, 0)v_1 \rightarrow \bar{v}$. By virtue of (3.8), $\Phi(\tau_n, 0)v_1 \in X_1(\Pi^{\tau_n}(u_0, g))$. Without loss of generality, one may assume that $\Pi^{\tau_n}(u_0, g) \rightarrow (\bar{u}, \bar{g}) \in \omega(u_0, g)$. This implies that $\bar{v} \in X_1(\bar{u}, \bar{g}) \subset \text{Int}X^+ \cup \{0\}$. Note also that $\|v\| \geq m > 0$. Then $\bar{v} \in \text{Int}X^+$. Next, we prove that Γ is closed in X . It suffices to prove that: if the sequence $v_n \in \Gamma$ converges to some $v^* \in X$, then $v^* \in \Gamma$. Indeed, for any positive integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $n_k > 0$ such that $\|v_n - v^*\| < \frac{1}{2k}$ for any $n \geq n_k$, particularly, $\|v_{n_k} - v^*\| < \frac{1}{2k}$. Noticing that $v_{n_k} \in \Gamma$, there exists $t_{n_k} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\|\Phi(t_{n_k}, 0)v_1 - v_{n_k}\| < \frac{1}{2k}$; and hence, $\|\Phi(t_{n_k}, 0)v_1 - v^*\| < \frac{1}{k}$. Without loss

of generality, one may assume $t_{n_k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, by letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, one has $\Phi(t_{n_k}, 0)v_1 \rightarrow v^*$ as $t_{n_k} \rightarrow \infty$, which means $v^* \in \Gamma$. Thus we have proved the claim.

Recall that $\omega(u_0, g)$ is an almost automorphic minimal set, there is a sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\Pi^{t_n}(u_0, g) \rightarrow (u_0, g)$. By choosing a subsequence, still denoted by t_n , one has that $\Phi(t_n, 0)v_1 \rightarrow v^* \in X_1(u_0, g) \cap \text{Int}X^+$; in other words, there is a positive constant a^* such that $v^* = a^*v_1$. Moreover, $\Phi(t, 0)a^*v_1 \in \Gamma$ for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Therefore, $\Phi(t_n, 0)a^*v_1 \in \Gamma$. Observing that $\Phi(t, 0)$ is a linear operator and Γ is a closed set, $\Phi(t_n, 0)a^*v_1 = a^*\Phi(t_n, 0)v_1 \rightarrow (a^*)^2v_1 \in \Gamma$. Similarly, by repeating this argument, we have $(a^*)^n v_1 \in \Gamma$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, by virtue of the boundedness of Γ , $a^* \leq 1$. If $0 < a^* < 1$, then it is not hard to see $0 \in \Gamma$, a contradiction to $\Gamma \subset \text{Int}X^+$. Therefore, $a^* = 1$. Note that $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\Phi(t, 0)v_1\| \leq M$, by (3.9), $\|\Phi(t, 0)v_2\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. By letting $t = t_n$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.7), one has

$$w(0) \leq av_1.$$

Therefore, $v_2 \leq 0$. Observing that $X_2(u_0, g) \cap X^+ = \{0\}$, $v_2 = 0$. Hence, $w(0) = av_1$ with $a \geq 0$. If $a > 0$, then $w(0) = av_1 \in \text{Int}X^+$, a contradiction to that $w(0) \notin \text{Int}X^+$. Thus, $a = 0$ and $u(t, x)$ is spatially-homogeneous.

Case (ib): $\inf_{t \geq 0} \|\Phi(t, 0)v_1\| = 0$. There is a sequence $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\|\Phi(t_n, 0)v_1\| < \frac{1}{n}$. When the sequence $\{t_n\}$ is bounded, there exist $t^* \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and a subsequence t_{n_k} such that $t_{n_k} \rightarrow t^*$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Due to $\Phi(t, 0)v_1$ is continuous with respect to t , $\Phi(t^*, 0)v_1 = 0$, which contradicts to the strong positivity of $\Phi(t, 0)$. Thus, $\{t_n\}$ is unbounded. For simplicity, we assume $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Again by (3.7), we have

$$0 \leq w(t_n) \leq a\Phi(t_n, 0)v_1 + \Phi(t_n, 0)v_2. \quad (3.10)$$

For such t_n , by choosing a subsequence if necessary, one may assume that $\Pi^{t_n}(u_0, g) \rightarrow (u^*, g^*) \in \omega(u_0, g)$ and $c(t_n) \rightarrow c^*$. Let $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.10), one has $0 \leq w^* \leq 0$ where $w^* = c^* - u^*$. So, $w_0^* = 0$, that is, $u^*(x) \equiv c^*$ on $\overline{\Omega}$ is spatially-homogeneous. By the minimality of $\omega(u_0, g)$, every point in $\omega(u_0, g)$ is spatially-homogeneous, thus, $u_0(x) = c(0)$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, a contradiction.

Thus, we have proved that $u(t, x)$ is spatially-homogeneous when $q(t) \leq 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R} .

Case (ii). There is a positive measure subset E in \mathbb{R} such that $q(t) > 0$ for all $t \in E$. In the following, we will show that this case cannot occur. Actually, this can be proved by the same arguments in [6, p.329-330]. For the sake of completeness, we give a detailed proof below.

Suppose that there exists such subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then one can find some $t_0 \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $q(t_0) > 0$. Recall that $c'(t)$ is continuous on $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$, there are nontrivial interval $[t_1, t_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ satisfying $q(t) \geq \epsilon_0$ for a.e. $t \in [t_1, t_2]$. By the concavity of $g(t, \cdot, \cdot)$, we have

$$g(t, u, \nabla u) \leq g(t, c, 0) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}(t, c, 0)(c - u) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i}(t, c, 0)(c - u)_{x_i}. \quad (3.11)$$

Let

$$\overline{A}(t) = A - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i}(t, c, 0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}(t, c, 0)$$

and

$$\bar{h}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}(c - u)(t) + \bar{A}(t)(c - u)(t).$$

Combing with (3.2) and (3.11), one can obtain $\bar{h}(t) \geq q(t) \geq \epsilon_0$ for a.e. t in $[t_1, t_2]$. On the other hand, similarly as in (3.5), we have

$$(c - u)(t_2) = \bar{\Phi}(t_2, t_1)(c - u)(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \bar{\Phi}(t_2, s)\bar{h}(s)ds,$$

where $\bar{\Phi}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the fundamental solution of $u_t = \bar{A}(t)u$. Note that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \bar{\Phi}(t_2, s)\bar{h}(s)ds \geq \epsilon_0 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \bar{\Phi}(t_2, s)\mathbf{1}ds \gg 0 \quad \text{in } C(\bar{\Omega}),$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit constant-function. Together with $\bar{\Phi}(t_2, t_1)(c - u)(t_1) \geq 0$, it follows that $(c - u)(t_2) \gg 0$ in $C(\bar{\Omega})$, a contradiction to the definition of c . So, Case (ii) cannot happen.

Therefore, we have proved that $u(t, x) \equiv \varphi(t)$ is a spatially-homogeneous solution of (2.3); and moreover, it is an almost automorphic solution of (3.1). Finally, it follows from Lemma 2.2 and [20, Theorem III.3.4(c)] that $\mathcal{M}(\varphi) \subset \mathcal{M}(g) = \mathcal{M}(f)$. Thus, we have completed the proof. \square

References

- [1] H. Brézis, Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert(French), Mathematical Studies No. 5, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [2] R.G. Casten and C.J. Holland, Instability results for reaction diffusion equations with Neumann boundary conditions, J. Differential Equations. **27** (1978), 266-273.
- [3] A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1964.
- [4] J.K. Hale, Asymptotic behavior of dissipative systems, Mathematical surveys and monographs, Vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., 1988.
- [5] D. Henry, Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations, Lecture Notes Mathematics Vol.840, New York, Springer, 1981.
- [6] P. Hess, Spatial homogeneity of stable solutions of some periodic-parabolic problems with Neumann boundary conditions, J. Differential Equations. **68** (1987), 320-331.
- [7] W. Huang, Y. Yi, Almost periodically forced circle flows. J. Funct. Anal. 257(2009), 832-902.
- [8] R. Johnson, A linear, almost periodic equation with an almost automorphic solution, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 199-205.

- [9] R. Johnson, On almost-periodic linear differential systems of Millionščikov and Vinograd, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **85** (1982), 452-460.
- [10] H. Matano, Asymptotic behavior and stability of solutions of semilinear diffusion equations, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **15** (1979), 401-454.
- [11] J. Mierczyński and W. Shen, Spectral theory for random and nonautonomous parabolic equations and applications, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 139. CRC Press, 2008.
- [12] S. Novo, R. Obaya and A.M. Sanz, Almost periodic and almost automorphic dynamics for scalar convex differential equations, *Israel J. Math.* **144** (2004), 157-189.
- [13] S. Novo and R. Obaya, Non-autonomous functional differential equations and applications. Stability and bifurcation theory for non-autonomous differential equations, 185-263, Lecture Notes in Math. 2065, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [14] R. Ortega, M. Tarallo, Almost periodic equations and conditions of Ambrosetti-Prodi type, *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 135(2003), 239-254.
- [15] G. Sell, Topological dynamics and ordinary differential equations, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematical Studies, No.33. 1971.
- [16] W. Shen, Dynamical systems and traveling waves in almost periodic structures, *J. Differential Equations* 169 (2001), 493-548.
- [17] W. Shen, Y. Wang and D. Zhou, Almost automorphically and almost periodically forced circle flows of almost periodic parabolic equations on S^1 , 2015, 49p, submitted. (arXiv:1507.01709v2)
- [18] W. Shen, Y. Wang and D. Zhou, Structure of ω -limit sets for almost-periodic parabolic equations on S^1 with reflection symmetry, *J. Diff. Eqns.* **267** (2016), 6633-6667.
- [19] W. Shen and Y. Yi, Dynamics of almost periodic scalar parabolic equations, *J. Diff. Eqns.* **122** (1995), 114-136.
- [20] W. Shen and Y. Yi, Almost automorphic and almost periodic dynamics in skew-product semiflows, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (1998), no. 647.
- [21] H. Tanabe, Equations of evolution, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, No. 6, Pitman, London, 1979.
- [22] W. A. Veech, Almost automorphic functions on groups, *Amer. J. Math.* **87** (1965), 719-751.
- [23] Y. Yi, On almost automorphic oscillations, in Differences and Differential Equations. Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 42 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2004), 75-99.