# A method of induction the distances with Hilbert structure

Vesna Gotovac<sup>a</sup>, Kateřina Helisova<sup>b</sup>, Lev B. Klebanov<sup>c</sup> and Irina V. Volchenkova<sup>b d</sup>

#### Abstract

A method of induction the distances with Hilbert structure is proposed. Some properties of the method are studied. Typical examples of corresponding metric spaces are discussed.

**Key words**: Hilbert spaces; metric spaces; isomtric embeddings into Hilbert spaces

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\{\mathcal{X}, D\}$  be a metric space. We say D is a Hilbert-type distance if and only if there is an isometry from  $\{\mathcal{X}, D\}$  on a subset of a Hilbert space. It is known (see [3]) this property is equivalent to negative definiteness of  $D^2$ . Namely, a real function  $\mathcal{L}$  from  $\mathcal{X}^2$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2) = \mathcal{L}(x_2, x_1)$  is called negative definite kernel if for arbitrary positive integer n and real numbers  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$  satisfying to the condition  $\sum_{j=1}^n c_j = 0$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}(x_i, x_j) c_i c_j \le 0.$$
(1.1)

 $<sup>^</sup>a\mathrm{Department}$  of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Split, 21000 Split, Croatia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Technická 2, 166 27 Prague 6, Czech Republic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Department of Probability and Mathematical Statistics, Charles University, Sokolovska 83, 18675 Prague, Czech Republic, e-mail: levbkl@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>The order of authors is alphabetical and has no other sense

That is, to proof that D is a Hilbert-type distance it is sufficient to verify  $\mathcal{L} = D^2$  satisfies the relation (1.1). In the paper we provide a method of constructing Hilbert-type distance on a set  $\mathcal{X}$  by using corresponding distance on image of  $\mathcal{X}$  under a family of functions.

# 2 The method of defining Hilbert-type distances

Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be a metric space with a distance D on it. It is well-known that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is isometric to a set of a Hilbert space if and only if  $D^2(u, v)$   $(u, v \in \mathbb{Z})$  is negative definite kernel of  $\mathcal{Z}^2$ . Further on we suppose that D possesses this property. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an abstract set, and let  $f_y(.), y \in \mathcal{Y}$  be a family of functions defined on  $\mathcal{X}$  and taking values in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Suppose that  $\Xi$  is a probability measure on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Define

$$\rho(x_1, x_2) = \left(\int_{\mathcal{Y}} D^2(f_y(x_1), f_y(x_2)) d\,\Xi(y)\right)^{1/2}.$$
(2.1)

Our goal is to show that under some assumptions  $\rho$  is a distance on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\rho^2$  is a negative definite kernel.

It is clear that for  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ 

A1.  $\rho(x_1, x_2) \ge 0;$ 

A2. 
$$\rho(x_1, x_2) = 0$$
 if and only if  $f_y(x_1) = f_y(x_2)$  for  $\Xi$ -almost all  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ ;

A3. 
$$\rho(x_1, x_2) = \rho(x_2, x_1).$$

Take arbitrary positive integer n and real numbers  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$  satisfying to the condition  $\sum_{j=1}^n c_j = 0$ . For arbitrary  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho^2(x_i, x_j) c_i c_j = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} D^2(f_y(x_i), f_y(x_j)) c_i c_j \right) d\Xi(y) \le 0 \quad (2.2)$$

in view of negative definiteness of the kernel  $D^2$ . Therefore,  $\rho^2$  is negative definite kernel on  $\mathcal{X}$ . From this fact it follows that  $\rho$  satisfies the triangle inequality (see, for example, [2]):

A4. 
$$\rho(x_1, x_2) \le \rho(x_1, x_3) + \rho(x_3, x_2)$$
 for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{X}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be a metric space with a distance D on it. Suppose that  $D^2(u, v)$   $(u, v \in Z)$  is negative definite kernel of  $\mathcal{Z}^2$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an abstract set, and let  $f_y(.), y \in \mathcal{Y}$  be a family of functions defined on  $\mathcal{X}$  and taking values in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Suppose that  $\Xi$  is a probability measure on  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that

$$f_y(x_1) = f_y(x_2) \text{ for all } y \in \text{supp}(\Xi) \text{ implies } \mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2, \tag{2.3}$$

where, as usual,  $\operatorname{supp}(\Xi)$  is support of the measure  $\Xi$ . Then the function  $\rho$  defined by (2.1) is a distance on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, \rho)$  is isometric to a subset of a Hilbert space.

*Proof.* Properties A1. - A4. together with (2.3) show that  $\rho$  is a distance on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Because  $\rho^2$  is a negative definite kernel the conclusion of the Theorem follows from I.J. Schoenberg's Theorem ([3], see also [2]).

**Example 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a subset of a vector space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a subspace of algebraic conjugate  $\mathcal{X}'$ . Suppose that on  $\mathcal{X}'$  with a  $\sigma$ -field of its subsets there exists a measure  $\Xi$  such that

$$\langle x', x_1 \rangle = \langle x', x_2 \rangle$$
 for all x' implies  $x_1 = x_2$ .

Then there exists a Hilbert-type distance on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to apply Theorem 2.1 to  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}'$  and D(u, v) = |u - v| for  $u, v \in \mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{R}^1$ .

Let us note that the distance

$$\rho(x_1, x_2) = \left(\int_{\mathcal{Y}} (\langle x', x_1 \rangle - \langle x', x_2 \rangle)^2 d\Xi(x')\right)^{1/2}$$

on  $\mathcal{X}$  induces a norm on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Namely,

$$||x|| = \rho(x,0), \ x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Corresponding inner product may be calculated as

$$(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \langle x', x_1 \rangle \cdot \langle x', x_2 \rangle d\Xi(x').$$

Basing on this, we can say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is isometric to linear subspace of a Hilbert space. However, we cannot state that  $\mathcal{X}$  is complete.

The conditions of Example 2.1 are close to necessary. Really, let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a subset of a separable Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . We may take as  $\mathcal{Y}$  the dual space of all continuous linear functionals on  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Of course, in this situation there exists corresponding measure  $\Xi$  possessing desirable properties. Namely, from the proof of the result of [1] it follows that we can choose  $\Xi$  as a Gaussian measure with  $\operatorname{supp}(\Xi) = \mathfrak{H}$ .

The facts given by Example 2.1 and after it show that the condition of existence of inner product on a linear space may be changed by the condition of existence of suitable measure  $\Xi$  on reach enough subset of the dual space.

### 3 The method of defining $L^m$ -type distances

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an abstract set, and m be an even integer greater than 1. Assume that  $\mathcal{L}(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  is a real continuous function on  $\mathcal{X}^m$  symmetric with respect to permutations of its arguments. We say that  $\mathcal{L}$  is an *m*-negative definite kernel (see [4]) if for any integer  $n \geq 1$ , any collection of points  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ , and any collection of real numbers  $h_1, \ldots, h_n$  satisfying the condition  $\sum_{j=1}^n h_j = 0$ , the following inequality holds:

$$(-1)^{m/2} \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_m=1}^n \mathcal{L}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}) h_{i_1} \dots h_{i_m} \ge 0.$$
(3.1)

For the case m = 2 we have the case of negative definite kernel. If the equality in (3.1) implies that  $h_1 = \ldots = h_n = 0$ , then we call  $\mathcal{L}$  strictly *m*-negative definite kernel. Equivalent form of (3.1) is

$$(-1)^{m/2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \dots \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(x_1, \dots, x_m) h(x_1) \cdots h(x_m) dQ(x_1) \dots dQ(x_m) \ge 0 \quad (3.2)$$

for any measure Q and any integrable function h(x) such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} h(x) dQ(x) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

We call  $\mathcal{L}$  strongly *m*-negative definite kernel if the equality in (3.3) holds for h = 0 *Q*-almost everywhere only.

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an abstract set, and let  $f_y(.), y \in \mathcal{Y}$  be a family of functions defined on  $\mathcal{X}$  and taking values in a set  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  is *m*-negative definite kernel on  $\mathcal{Z}^m$  and  $\Xi$  is a probability measure on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Define

$$\mathfrak{R}_m(x_1,\ldots,x_m) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{L}(f_y(x_1),\ldots,f_y(x_m)) d\,\Xi(y). \tag{3.4}$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{R}_m$  is *m*-negative definite kernel on  $\mathcal{X}^m$ .

#### Assumption 1. If

$$(-1)^{m/2} \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_m=1}^n \mathcal{L}(f_y(x_{i_1}), \dots, f_y(x_{i_m})) h_{i_1} \dots h_{i_m} = 0$$
  
for  $Q$  - almost all  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ 

implies that

$$(-1)^{m/2} \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_m=1}^n \mathcal{L}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}) h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_m} = 0$$

then strictly m-negativeness of  $\mathcal{L}$  implies strictly m-negativeness of  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

Similarly statements with integrals instead of sums are true for strong negative definiteness. We omit precise formulation.

Suppose, as before, that m is an even integer greater than 1. Let  $D_m$  is a distance on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . From the results of [4] (see also [2]) it follows that  $(\mathcal{Z}, D_m)$ is isometric to a subset of  $L^m$  space if and only if

$$D_m(u,v) = \left( (-1)^{m/2} \mathcal{L}(u-v,\dots,u-v) \right)^{1/m}, \quad u,v \in \mathcal{Z},$$
(3.5)

where  $\mathcal{L}(u_1, \ldots, u_m)$  is a strictly negative definite kernel on  $\mathcal{Z}^m$ . Therefore, under Assumption 1 and if  $D_m(u, v)$  has the form (3.5) then

$$\rho_m(s,t) = \left(\mathfrak{R}_m(s-t,\ldots,s-t)\right)^{1/m}, \quad s,t \in \mathcal{X}$$
(3.6)

is a distance on  $\mathcal{X}$ , where L is strictly *m*-negative definite kernel used in (3.5). The set  $\mathcal{X}$  with the distance  $\rho_m$  is isometric to a subset of  $L^m$  space.

### 4 Acknowledgment

The work was partially supported by Grant GACR 16-03708S.

## References

- K. Ito (1970). The Topological Support of Gauss Measure on Hilbert Space, Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 38, 181–183.
- [2] Lev B. Klebanov (2005). N-Distances and their Applications, Charles University in Prague, The Karolinum Press.
- [3] I.J. Schoenberg (1938). Metric spaces and positive definite functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 44, 3, 552–563.
- [4] A.A. Zinger, A.V. Kakosyan, L.B. Klebanov (1989). Characterization of distributions by the mean values of statistics and some probability metrics, Stability Problems of Stochastic Models, p.p. 47–55, Moscow: VNII Sistemnykh Isledovanii (in Russian).