NONLINEAR MULTIVALUED DUFFING SYSTEMS

NIKOLAOS S. PAPAGEORGIOU, CALOGERO VETRO, FRANCESCA VETRO

ABSTRACT. We consider a multivalued nonlinear Duffing system driven by a nonlinear nonhomogeneous differential operator. We prove existence theorems for both the convex and nonconvex problems (according to whether the multivalued perturbation is convex valued or not). Also, we show that the solutions of the nonconvex problem are dense in those of the convex (relaxation theorem). Our work extends the recent one by Kalita-Kowalski (JMAA, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa. 2018.01.067).

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the following nonlinear multivalued Duffing system

(1)
$$\begin{cases} -a(u'(t))' - r(t)|u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t) \in F(t, u(t)) & \text{for a.a. } t \in T = [0, b], \\ u(0) = u(b) = 0, \quad 1$$

In this system $a : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a suitable monotone homeomorphism which incorporates as special cases many differential operators of interest such as the vector *p*-Laplacian which corresponds to the map $a(y) = |y|^{p-2}y$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ (1 .The term <math>F(t, x) is a multivalued perturbation. We prove existence theorems for both the "convex problem" (that is, F is convex valued) and the "nonconvex problem" (that is, F has nonconvex values). Finally we show that under more restrictive conditions on the data of the problem, the solutions of the nonconvex problem are dense in those of the convex problem (relaxation theorem).

The starting point of our work here is the recent paper of Kalita-Kowalski [7], where N = 1 (scalar problem), a(y) = y for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ (semilinear equation), the growth condition on $F(t, \cdot)$ is more restrictive and the authors treat only the convex problem.

The Duffing equation originates as a model of certain damped and driven oscillators. The equation is well-known for its chaotic behavior, well documented in the works of Holmes [4] and Moon-Holmes [12]. Additional recent results on the scalar, semilinear and single-valued version of the equation, can be found in Galewski [2], Kowalski [8], Tomiczek [14].

2. MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND

The presence of the term $r(t)|u'|^{p-2}u'$ makes problem (1) nonvariational and so our approach is topological based on the fixed point theory. Our tools come from multivalued analysis and nonlinear functional analysis.

Let X be a Banach space. We introduce the following hyperspaces:

 $P_{f(c)}(X) = \{A \subseteq X : \text{nonempty, closed (and convex})\},\$

Key words and phrases. Duffing system, nonlinear differential operator, convex and nonconvex problems, relaxation, continuous and measurable selections, fixed point.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A60, 34B15.

 $P_{(w)k(c)}(X) = \{A \subseteq X : \text{nonempty, (weakly-) compact (and convex)}\}.$

A multifunction (set-valued function) $G: X \to 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is said to be "upper semicontinuous (usc)" (resp. "lower semicontinuous (lsc)"), if for all $C \subseteq X$ closed, the set $G^-(C) = \{x \in X : G(x) \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$ (resp. $G^+(C) = \{x \in X : G(x) \subseteq C\}$) is closed. Given $A, B \in P_f(X)$, we set

$$h(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A)\}.$$

Then $h(\cdot, \cdot)$ is an extended metric on $P_f(X)$ known as the "Hausdorff metric".

Now let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space and X a separable Banach space. A multifunction $F: \Omega \to 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is said to be "graph measurable" if $\operatorname{Gr} F = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X : x \in F(\omega)\} \in \Sigma \otimes B(X)$ with B(X) being the Borel σ -field of X. Suppose that $\mu(\cdot)$ is a finite measure on Σ . By S_F^1 we denote the set of $L^1(\Omega, X)$ -selectors of $F(\cdot)$, that is, $S_F^1 = \{f \in L^1(\Omega, X) : f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \ \mu$ -a.e. on $\Omega\}$. Note that as a consequence of the Yankov-von Neumann-Aumann selection theorem (see Hu-Papageorgiou [5], Theorem 2.14, p. 158), we have that for a graph measurable multifunction $F(\cdot)$, the set S_F^1 is nonempty if and only if the function $\omega \to \inf[||x|| : x \in F(\omega)]$ belongs in $L^1(\Omega)$. The set S_F^1 is "decomposable", that is

"if
$$(A, f_1, f_2) \in \Sigma \times S_F^1 \times S_F^1$$
, then $\chi_A f_1 + \chi_{\Omega \setminus A} f_2 \in S_F^1$."

Here for any $C \subseteq \Omega$, χ_C denotes the characteristic function of C, that is,

$$\chi_C(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega \in C \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \notin C \end{cases}.$$

Let Y, V be Banach spaces and $K : Y \to V$. We say that $K(\cdot)$ is "completely continuous", if $y_n \xrightarrow{w} y$ in Y, then $K(y_n) \to K(y)$ in V. A multivalued map $G : Y \to 2^V \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is said to be "compact", if it is use and maps bounded sets in Y to relatively compact sets in V.

We will need the following multivalued generalization of the Leray-Schauder alternative theorem, due to Bader [1]. So, Y, V are Banach spaces, $N : Y \to P_{wkc}(V)$ is use from Y into V_w (= the Banach space V endowed with the weak topology) and $K: V \to Y$ is completely continuous. We set $G = K \circ N$.

Proposition 1. If Y, V, G are as above and $G(\cdot)$ is a compact multifunction, then one of the following statements holds:

- (a) the set $S = \{y \in Y : y \in \lambda G(y), 0 < \lambda < 1\}$ is unbounded;
- (b) $G(\cdot)$ admits a fixed point (that is, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $y \in G(y)$).

Consider the following nonlinear vector eigenvalue problem

(2)
$$\begin{cases} -(|u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t))' = \widehat{\lambda}|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t) & \text{for a.a. } t \in T, \\ u(0) = u(b) = 0, \quad 1$$

We say that $\widehat{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue, if problem (2) admits a nontrivial solution $\widehat{u} \in W_0^{1,p}((0,b), \mathbb{R}^N)$, known as an eigenfunction corresponding to $\widehat{\lambda}$. We know that (2) has a sequence of eigenvalues

$$\widehat{\lambda}_n = \left(\frac{n}{b}\right)^p (p-1) \left[2 \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{(1-t^p)^{1/p}}\right]^p \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and the corresponding eigenfunctions are

$$\widehat{u}_n(t) = au_n(t) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and u_n being the corresponding scalar Dirichlet eigenfunctions. Therefore $|\widehat{u}_n(t)| \neq 0$ for a.a. $t \in T$. Also, we have

(3)
$$\widehat{\lambda}_1 = \inf\left[\frac{\|u'\|_p^p}{\|u\|_p^p} : u \in W_0^{1,p}((0,b), \mathbb{R}^N), u \neq 0\right]$$

(see Gasiński-Papageorgiou [3], p. 768).

If by $\|\cdot\|$ we denote the norm of the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}((0,b),\mathbb{R}^N)$, then from the Poincaré inequality, we have

$$||u|| = ||u'||_p$$
 for all $u \in W_0^{1,p}((0,b), \mathbb{R}^N)$.

For notational economy in the sequel we will write

$$C_{0} = C_{0}(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}) = \{ u \in C(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}) : u(0) = u(b) = 0 \}$$

$$C_{0}^{1} = C_{0}^{1}(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}) = C^{1}(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}) \cap C_{0}(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}),$$

$$W_{0}^{1,p} = W_{0}^{1,p}((0, b), \mathbb{R}^{N}),$$

$$W^{1,p} = W^{1,p}((0, b), \mathbb{R}^{N}),$$

$$L_{N}^{r} = L^{r}(T, \mathbb{R}^{N}) \text{ for all } 1 \leq r \leq +\infty.$$

3. Convex Problem

In this section we deal with the "convex problem" (that is, F is convex valued). The precise hypotheses on the data of the convex problem are the following:

 $H(a): a: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a continuous and strictly monotone map such that

$$c_0|y|^p \le (a(y), y)_{\mathbb{R}^N}$$
 for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, some $c_0 > 0$.

Remark 1. Note that $a(\cdot)$ is maximal monotone, surjective (see Gasiński-Papageorgiou [3] (pp. 309, 319)). Moreover $|a^{-1}(y)| \to +\infty$ as $|y| \to +\infty$. We stress that no growth restriction is imposed. Such very general operators were first used by Manásevich-Mawhin [10, 11]. Later Kyritsi-Matzakos-Papageorgiou [9] used them in the context of multivalued systems with unilateral constraints.

Example 1. The following maps $a : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfy hypotheses H(a):

$$\begin{split} a(y) &= |y|^{p-2}y \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ with } 1 1. \\ H(r): r \in L^{\infty}(T). \\ U(r) &= r \in T \times \mathbb{R}^N \to D_{-}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ is a resultifunction such that} \end{split}$$

 $H(F)_1: F: T \times \mathbb{R}^N \to P_{kc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a multifunction such that

(i) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the multifunction $t \to F(t, x)$ admits a measurable selection; (ii) for a.a. $t \in T$, $\operatorname{Gr} F(t, \cdot) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ is closed;

(iii) if
$$\xi = c_0 - \frac{\|r\|_{\infty}}{\widehat{\lambda}_1^{1/p}} > 0$$
, then there exists a function $\theta \in L^{\infty}(T)_+$ such that $\theta(t) \leq \widehat{\lambda}_1 \xi$ for a.a. $t \in T$, the inequality is strict on a set of positive measure,

$$\limsup_{|x| \to +\infty} \frac{\sup[(h, x)_{\mathbb{R}^N} : h \in F(t, x)]}{|x|^p} \leq \theta(t) \quad \text{uniformly for a.a. } t \in T$$

and for every $\eta > 0$, there exists $a_{\eta} \in L^{1}(T)_{+}$ such that

$$|F(t,x)| = \sup[|h| : h \in F(t,x)] \le a_{\eta}(t) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in T, \text{ all } |x| \le \eta.$$

Remark 2. Hypothesis $H(F)_1(i)$ is satisfied if, for example, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ the multifunction $t \to F(t, x)$ is graph measurable (that is, $\operatorname{Gr} F(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{L}_T \otimes B(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with \mathcal{L}_T being the lebesgue σ -field of T and $B(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the Borel σ -field of \mathbb{R}^N).

Let $\psi: W^{1,p}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the $C^1\text{-functional defined by}$

$$\psi(u) = \xi \|u'\|_p^p - \int_0^b \theta(t) |u|^p dt \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}.$$

We have that $\psi(u) \ge 0$ for all $u \in W_0^{1,p}$. To see this, let $u \in W_0^{1,p}$. Then

$$\begin{split} \psi(u) &= \xi \|u'\|_p^p - \int_0^b \theta(t) |u|^p dt \\ &\geq \xi \widehat{\lambda}_1 \|u\|_p^p - \int_0^b \theta(t) |u|^p dt \quad (\text{see } (3)) \\ &= \int_0^b [\xi \widehat{\lambda}_1 - \theta(t)] |u|^p dt \ge 0 \quad (\text{see hypothesis } H(F)_1(\text{iii})) \end{split}$$

Lemma 1. There exists $c_1 > 0$ such that $c_1 ||u||^p \le \psi(u)$ for all $u \in W_0^{1,p}$.

Proof. We argue indirectly. So, suppose that the lemma is not true. Exploiting the *p*-homogeneity of $\psi(\cdot)$, we can find $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq W_0^{1,p}$ such that

(4)
$$||u_n|| = 1 \text{ and } 0 \le \psi(u_n) < \frac{1}{n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We may assume that

$$u_n \xrightarrow{w} u$$
 in $W_0^{1,p}$.

Also note that $\psi(\cdot)$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous (recall that $W_0^{1,p} \hookrightarrow C_0$ compactly). So, in the limit as $n \to +\infty$, we have

(5)

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(u) &= 0, \\
\Rightarrow \quad \xi \|u'\|_p^p &= \int_0^b \theta(t) |u|^p dt \leq \widehat{\lambda}_1 \xi \|u\|_p^p, \\
\Rightarrow \quad \|u'\|_p^p \leq \widehat{\lambda}_1 \|u\|_p^p, \\
\Rightarrow \quad \|u'\|_p^p = \widehat{\lambda}_1 \|u\|_p^p \quad (\text{see } (3)), \\
\Rightarrow \quad u = \eta \widehat{u} \quad \text{for some } \eta \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\eta = 0$, then u = 0 and so from (4) we have $||u'_n||_p \to 0$, which contradicts the fact that $||u_n|| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $\eta \neq 0$ and it follows that $|u(t)| \neq 0$ for a.a. $t \in T$.

From (5) and the hypothesis on $\theta(\cdot)$ we have

$$\|u'\|_p^p < \lambda_1 \|u\|_p^p,$$

a contradiction to (3).

Let $h \in L^1_N$ and consider the following auxiliary Dirichlet problem

(6)
$$-a(u'(t))' = h(t) \text{ for a.a. } t \in T, \ u(0) = u(b) = 0.$$

By Lemma 4.1 of Manásevich-Mawhin [11], we know that problem (6) admits a unique solution $u = K(h) \in C_0^1$. So, we can define the solution map $K : L_N^1 \to C_0^1$.

Proposition 2. The solution map $K: L_N^1 \to C_0^1$ is completely continuous.

Proof. Suppose that $h_n \xrightarrow{w} h$ in L_N^1 and let $u_n = K(h_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

(7)
$$-a(u'_n(t))' = h_n(t) \text{ for a.a. } t \in T, \ u_n(0) = u_n(b) = 0, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking inner product with $u_n(t)$, integrating over T = [0, b] and performing integration by parts, we obtain

$$\int_0^b (a(u'_n), u'_n)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt = \int_0^b (h_n, u_n)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad c_0 \|u'_n\|_p^p \le \|h_n\|_1 \|u_n\|_\infty \le c_2 \|u'_n\| \quad \text{for some } c_2 > 0, \text{ all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

(see hypothesis $H(a)$),

$$(8) \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \{u_n\}_{n \ge 1} \subseteq W_0^{1,p} \text{ is bounded}, (8) \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \{u_n\}_{n \ge 1} \subseteq C_0 \text{ is relatively compact} (recall that $W_0^{1,p} \hookrightarrow C_0 \text{ compactly}).$$$

From (7) we have

(9)
$$a(u'_n(t)) = a(u'_n(0)) + \int_0^t h_n(s)ds \text{ for all } t \in T, \text{ all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad u'_n(t) = a^{-1} \left[a(u'_n(0)) + \int_0^t h_n(s)ds \right],$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad 0 = \int_0^b a^{-1} \left[a(u'_n(0)) + \int_0^t h_n(s)ds \right] dt \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Invoking Proposition 3.1 of Manásevich-Mawhin [11], we infer that

(10)
$$\{a(u'_n(0))\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N \text{ is bounded}.$$

From (9), (10) and the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem it follows that

(11)
$$\{a(u'_n(\cdot))\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq C(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \text{ is relatively compact}$$
Let $\widehat{a}^{-1} : C(T, \mathbb{R}^N) \to C(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$ be defined by $\widehat{a}^{-1}(u)(\cdot) = a^{-1}(u(\cdot)) \text{ for all } u \in C(T, \mathbb{R}^N).$

Evidently this map is continuous and maps bounded sets to bounded sets. So, from (11) it follows that

(12)
$$\{u'_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq C(T, \mathbb{R}^N)$$
 is relatively compact.

From (8) and (12) it follows that

 $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq C_0^1$ is relatively compact.

We may assume that

(13)
$$u_n \to u \text{ in } C_0^1$$

From (7) we have

$$\int_0^b (a(u'_n), v')_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt = \int_0^b (h_n, v)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^{1,p}, \text{ all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \int_0^b (a(u'), v')_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt = \int_0^b (h, v)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^{1,p} \text{ (see (13))},$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad u = K(h).$$

Therefore $K(h_n) \to K(h)$ in C_0^1 and so the solution map $K(\cdot)$ is completely continuous.

Let $N_1: C_0^1 \to 2^{L_N^1}$ be defined by $N_1(u) = S^1_{F(\cdot, u(\cdot))}$ for all $u \in C_0^1$.

Proposition 3. If hypotheses H(r), $H(F)_1$ hold, then the multifunction $N_1(\cdot)$ is $P_{wkc}(L_N^1)$ -valued and it is use from C_0^1 with the norm topology into L_N^1 with the weak topology.

Proof. First we show that N_1 has values in $P_{wkc}(L_N^1)$. Clearly, hypothesis $H(F)_1(\text{iii})$ implies that for every $u \in C_0^1$, the set $N_1(u)$ is w-compact, convex. We only need to show that the set $S_{F(\cdot,u(\cdot))}^1$ is nonempty. To this end, let $\{s_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be simple functions such that

$$|s_n(t)| \le |u(t)|$$
 and $s_n(t) \to u(t)$ for a.a. $t \in T$

On account of hypothesis $H(F)_1(i)$, the multifunction $t \to F(t, s_n(t))$ admits a measurable selection $f_n: T \to \mathbb{R}^N$. If $\eta = ||u||_{\infty}$, then by hypothesis $H(F)_1(iii)$, we have

 $|f_n(t)| \le a_\eta(t)$ for a.a. $t \in T$, all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By the Dunford-Pettis theorem, we may assume that

$$f_n \xrightarrow{w} f$$
 in L^1_N .

Invoking Proposition 3.9, p. 694, of Hu-Papageorgiou [5], we have

$$\begin{split} f(t) &\in \overline{\operatorname{conv}} \limsup \{f_n(t)\}_{n \ge 1} \\ &\subseteq \overline{\operatorname{conv}} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} F(t, s_n(t)) \\ &\subseteq F(t, u(t)) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in T \text{ (see hypothesis } H(F)_1(\text{ii})) \\ &\Rightarrow \quad f \in S^1_{F(\cdot, u(\cdot))}. \end{split}$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$N_1(u) \in P_{wkc}(L_N^1)$$
 for all $u \in C_0^1$.

To show the claimed by the proposition upper semicontinuity, according to Proposition 2.23, p. 43, of Hu-Papageorgiou [5], it suffices to show that $\operatorname{Gr} N_1 = \{(u, f) \in C_0^1 \times L_N^1 : f \in N_1(u)\}$ is sequentially closed in $C_0^1 \times (L_N^1, w)$. So, consider a sequence $\{(u_n, f_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \operatorname{Gr} N_1$ and assume that

(14)
$$u_n \to u \text{ in } C_0^1 \text{ and } f_n \xrightarrow{w} f \text{ in } L_N^1.$$

6

Let $\eta = \sup_{n \ge 1} \|u_n\|_{\infty} < +\infty$ (see (14)). Then by hypothesis $H(F)_1(iii)$ we have

 $|f_n(t)| \le a_\eta(t)$ for a.a. $t \in T$, all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $a_\eta \in L^1(T)_+$.

So, at least for a subsequence, we have

$$f_n \xrightarrow{w} f$$
 in L^1_N .

As before using Proposition 3.9, p. 694, of Hu-Papageorgiou [5] we obtain $f \in S^1_{F(\cdot,u(\cdot))}$. Hence $(u, f) \in \operatorname{Gr} N_1$ and so we have the desired upper semicontinuity of $N_1(\cdot)$.

Clearly the map $u \to r(\cdot)|u'(\cdot)|^{p-2}u'(\cdot)$ is continuous from C_0^1 into L_N^1 . Therefore the multifunction $N: C_0^1 \to P_{wkc}(L_N^1)$ defined by

$$N(u) = N_1(u) + r(\cdot)|u'(\cdot)|^{p-2}u'(\cdot) \text{ for all } u \in C_0^1,$$

is use from C_0^1 into (L_N^1, w) .

Then Propositions 2 and 3 imply that $u \to K \circ N(u)$ is compact from C_0^1 into itself. We introduce the set

$$S = \{ u \in C_0^1 : u = \lambda K N(u), \ 0 < \lambda < 1 \}.$$

Proposition 4. If hypotheses H(a), H(r), $H(F)_1$ hold, then $S \subseteq C_0^1$ is bounded.

Proof. Let $u \in S$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\lambda} u \in KN(u), \\ \Rightarrow & -a \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} u'\right)' - r(t) |u'|^{p-2} u' = f \quad \text{with } f \in S^1_{F(\cdot, u(\cdot))} \end{aligned}$$

We act with u and perform integration by parts. We obtain

(15)
$$\int_{0}^{b} \left(a\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}u'\right), u'\right)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} dt - \int_{0}^{b} r(t)|u'|^{p-2} (u', u)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} dt = \int_{0}^{b} (f, u)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} dt.$$

We have

(16)
$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{0}^{b} r(t) |u'|^{p-2} (u', u)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} dt \right| &\leq \|r\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{b} |u'|^{p-1} |u| dt \\ &\leq \|r\|_{\infty} \|u'\|_{p}^{p-1} \|u\|_{p} \quad \text{(by Hölder's inequality)} \\ &\leq \frac{\|r\|_{\infty}}{\widehat{\lambda}^{1/p}} \|u'\|_{p}^{p} \quad \text{(see (3)).} \end{aligned}$$

Also from hypothesis $H(F)_1(iii)$ we see that given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $a_{\varepsilon} \in L^1(T)_+$ such that

(17)
$$(f(t), u(t))_{\mathbb{R}^N} \le a_{\varepsilon}(t) + [\theta(t) + \varepsilon] |u(t)|^p \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in T.$$

We return to (15) and use hypothesis H(a), the fact that $0 < \lambda < 1$ and (16), (17). Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 - \frac{\|r\|_{\infty}}{\widehat{\lambda}_1^{1/p}} \end{bmatrix} \|u'\|_p^p \le \|a_{\varepsilon}\|_1 + \int_0^b \theta(t)|u|^p dt + \frac{\varepsilon}{\widehat{\lambda}_1} \|u'\|_p^p,$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \xi \|u'\|_p^p - \int_0^b \theta(t)|u|^p dt - \frac{\varepsilon}{\widehat{\lambda}_1} \|u'\|_p^p \le \|a_{\varepsilon}\|_1,$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \left[c_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\widehat{\lambda}_1}\right] \|u\|^p \le \|a_\varepsilon\|_1 \quad \text{(see Lemma 1)}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon \in (0, \widehat{\lambda}_1 c_1)$, we infer that

 $S \subseteq W_0^{1,p}$ is bounded,

(18)
$$\Rightarrow S \subseteq C_0 \text{ is compact and } \{u'\}_{u \in S} \subseteq L^p_N \text{ is bounded.}$$

We have

(19)
$$-a\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}u'\right)' = f + r|u'|^{p-2}u'.$$

Let $h_u = f + r |u'|^{p-2} u', u \in S$. From (18) and hypothesis $H(F)_1$ (iii) it follows that $\{h_u\}_{u \in S} \subseteq L^1_N$ is uniformly integrable.

This fact and (19) (recall $0 < \lambda < 1$), as in the proof of Proposition 2 imply that $S \subseteq C_0^1$ is bounded (in fact relatively compact).

Applying Proposition 1, we have the following existence theorem for problem (1).

Theorem 1. If hypotheses H(a), H(r), $H(F)_1$ hold, then problem (1) admits a solution $u_0 \in C_0^1$.

Remark 3. It is clear from the proof of Proposition 4, that under the above hypotheses the solution set of (1) is compact in C_0^1 .

4. Nonconvex Problem

In this section, we prove an existence theorem for the case when F(t, x) has nonconvex values. Now the hypotheses on F are the following:

 $H(F)_2: F: T \times \mathbb{R}^N \to P_f(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a multifunction such that

- (i) $(t, x) \to F(t, x)$ is graph measurable (that is $\operatorname{Gr} F \in \mathcal{L}_T \otimes B(\mathbb{R}^N)$);
- (ii) for a.a. $t \in T, x \to F(t, x)$ is lsc;
- (iii) the same as hypothesis $H(F)_1(iii)$.

Theorem 2. If hypotheses H(a), H(r), $H(F)_2$ hold, then problem (1) admits a solution $u_0 \in C_0^1$.

Proof. On account of hypothesis $H(F)_2(i)$, for every $u \in C_0^1$, we have that

 $t \to F(t, u(t))$ is graph measurable

(see Hu-Papageorgiou [5], Theorem 2.4, p. 156)

$$\Rightarrow N_1(u) = S^1_{F(\cdot, u(\cdot))} \in P_f(L^1_N) \text{ for all } u \in C^1_0 \quad (\text{see hypothesis } H(F)_2(\text{iii})).$$

From Hu-Papageorgiou [6], Proposition 2.7, p. 237, we have that $N_1 : C_0^1 \to P_f(L_N^1)$ is lsc. Also, it has decomposable values. So, Theorem 8.7, p. 245, of Hu-Papageorgiou [5] implies that we can find a continuous map $\gamma : C_0^1 \to L_N^1$ such that

$$\gamma(u) \in N_1(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in C_0^1.$$

We consider the following Dirichlet problem

 $-a(u'(t))' - r(t)|u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t) = \gamma(u)(t) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in T, \ u(0) = u(b) = 0.$

Then from Theorem 1 we know that this problem has a solution $u_0 \in C_0^1$. Evidently u_0 is also a solution of (1).

5. Relaxation Theorem

In this section p = 2 and we deal with the following two problems:

(20)
$$-a(u'(t))' - r(t)u(t) \in F(t, u(t)) \text{ for a.a. } t \in T, u(0) = u(b) = 0,$$

(21)
$$-a(u'(t))' - r(t)u(t) \in \overline{\text{conv}}F(t, u(t)) \text{ for a.a. } t \in T, u(0) = u(b) = 0.$$

By $\widehat{S} \subseteq C_0^1$ we denote the solution set of (20) and by $\widehat{S}_c \subseteq C_0^1$ the solution set of (21). Under stronger conditions on the map $a(\cdot)$ and the orientor field F, we show that

$$\widehat{S}_c = \overline{\widehat{S}}^{C_0^1}.$$

Such a result is known as "relaxation theorem" and has important applications in control theory.

The new stronger conditions on the map $a(\cdot)$ are the following: $H(a)': a: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is continuous and

$$c_0|y|^2 \leq (a(y), y)_{\mathbb{R}^N}$$
 for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, some $c_0 > 0$,

and for every $\eta > 0$, there exists $\hat{c}_{\eta} > 0$ such that

$$\widehat{c}_{\eta}|y-v|^2 \le (a(y)-a(v),y-v)_{\mathbb{R}^N} \quad \text{for all } |y|,|v| \le \eta.$$

Remark 4. Clearly $a(\cdot)$ is strictly monotone and maximal monotone too.

Example 2. The following maps satisfy hypotheses H(a)':

$$\begin{aligned} a(y) &= \widehat{c}y \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ with } \widehat{c} > 0, \\ a(y) &= \begin{cases} |y|^{q-2}y & \text{if } |y| \le 1, \\ y & \text{if } 1 < |y|, \end{cases} \text{ with } 1 < q < 2, \\ a(y) &= |y|^{p-2}y + y \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ with } 1 < p < +\infty, \\ a(y) &= (1 + |y|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + y \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ with } 1 < p < +\infty, \\ a(y) &= 2ye^{|y|^2} + y \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

The new hypotheses on the multivalued perturbation F(t, x) are the following: $H(F)_3$: $F: T \times \mathbb{R}^N \to P_f(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a multifunction such that (i) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $t \to F(t, x)$ is graph measurable;

- (ii) for every $\eta > 0$, there exists $k_{\eta} \in L^{\infty}(T)_{+}$ such that

$$\widehat{\xi}_{\eta} = \widehat{c}_{\eta} - \frac{\|r\|_{\infty}}{\widehat{\lambda}_{1}^{1/2}} - \|k_{\eta}\|_{\infty}b^{2} > 0,$$

and

$$h(F(t,x), F(t,v)) \le k_{\eta}(t)|x-v|$$
 for a.a. $t \in T$, all $|x|, |v| \le \eta$;

(iii) the same as hypothesis $H(F)_1(\text{iii})$ with p = 2.

Remark 5. Under the above hypotheses $\emptyset \neq \widehat{S} \subseteq \widehat{S}_c \in P_k(C_0^1)$.

Theorem 3. If hypotheses H(a)', H(r), $H(F)_3$ hold, then $\widehat{S}_c = \overline{\widehat{S}}^{C_0^1}$.

Proof. Let $u \in \widehat{S}_c$. Then we have

$$-a(u'(t))' - r(t)u'(t) = f(t) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in T, \ u(0) = u(b) = 0,$$

with $f \in S^{1}_{\overline{\operatorname{conv}}F(\cdot,u(\cdot))}$.

Proposition 3.30, p. 185, of Hu-Papageorgiou [5] says that we can find $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq S^1_{F(\cdot,u(\cdot))}$ such that

$$f_n \xrightarrow{w} f$$
 in L^1_N .

Let $v \in W_0^{1,p}$ and $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$. Consider the multifunction $L_n^v : T \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^N} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ defined by

$$L_n^v(t) = \{ h \in \mathbb{R}^N : |f_n(t) - h| < \varepsilon_n + d(f_n(t), F(t, v(t))), h \in F(t, v(t)) \}.$$

Clearly $\operatorname{Gr} L_n^v \in \mathcal{L}_T \otimes B(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (recall \mathcal{L}_T is the Lebesgue σ -field of T and $B(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the Borel σ -field of \mathbb{R}^N). By the Yankov-von Neumann-Aumann selection theorem (see Hu-Papageorgiou [5], Theorem 2.14, p. 158), we can find $h_n : T \to \mathbb{R}^N$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a measurable map such that

$$h_n(t) \in L_n^v(t)$$
 for a.a. $t \in T$, all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Evidently $h_n \in L_N^1$ (that is, $h_n \in S_{L_n^v}^1$). We consider the multifunction $G_n : W_0^{1,p} \to 2^{L_N^1}$ defined by

$$G_n(v) = S^1_{L^v_n}$$

We have just seen that for all $v \in W_0^{1,p}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_n(v) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, using Lemma 8.3, p. 239, of Hu-Papageorgiou [5], we have that

$$v \to G_n(v)$$
 is lsc,
 $\Rightarrow v \to \overline{G_n(v)}$ is lsc (see [5], Proposition 2.38, p. 50)

Of course this multifunction has decomposable values. So, we can find a continuous map $g_n: W_0^{1,p} \to L_N^1, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$g_n(v) \in \overline{G_n(v)}$$
 for all $v \in W_0^{1,p}$, all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We consider the following nonlinear Duffing system

(22)
$$\begin{cases} -a(v'(t))' - r(t)v'(t) = g_n(v)(t) & \text{for a.a. } t \in T, \\ v(0) = v(b) = 0, \ n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

This problem has a solution $v_n \in C_0^1$ (see Theorem 1). From (22), reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 4, we have

$$\begin{split} \left[c_0 - \frac{\|r\|_{\infty}}{\widehat{\lambda}_1^{1/2}}\right] \|v_n'\|_2^2 &\leq \int_0^b (g_n(v_n), v_n)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^b \left[a_\varepsilon(t) + (\theta(t) + \varepsilon)|v_n|^2\right] dt \quad (\text{see (17)}), \end{split}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \xi \|v_n'\|_2^2 - \int_0^b \theta(t)|v_n|^2 dt - \frac{\varepsilon}{\widehat{\lambda}_1} \|v_n'\|_2^2 \leq \|a_\varepsilon\|_1, \\ \Rightarrow \quad \left[c_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\widehat{\lambda}_1}\right] \|v_n'\|_2^2 \leq \|a_\varepsilon\|_1 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ (see Lemma 1)}, \end{split}$$

(23)
$$\Rightarrow \{v_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq W_0^{1,2} \text{ is bounded (choose } \varepsilon \in (0, \widehat{\lambda}_1 c_1)).$$

From (23), as in the proof of Proposition 2 (see the part of the proof from (8) and after), we establish that $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq C_0^1$ is relatively compact. So, we may assume that

(24)
$$v_n \to v \text{ in } C_0^1$$

We have

$$-a(v'_n)' + a(u')' - r(t)[v'_n - u'] = g_n(v_n) - f, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We act with $v_n - u$ and after integration by parts, we obtain

(25)
$$\int_{0}^{b} (a(v'_{n}) - a(u'), v'_{n} - u')_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} dt - \int_{0}^{b} r(t)(v'_{n} - u', v_{n} - u)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{b} (g_{n}(v_{n}) - f, v_{n} - u)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} dt.$$

Let $\eta = \max\{\sup_{n\geq 1} \|v_n\|_{\infty}, \|u\|_{\infty}\} > 0$. Using hypothesis H(a)' for this $\eta > 0$ we have

(26)
$$\widehat{c}_{\eta} \|v'_n - u'\|_2^2 \le \int_0^b (a(v'_n) - a(u'), v'_n - u')_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt.$$

Also, we have

(27)
$$\left| \int_{0}^{b} r(t)(v'_{n} - u', v_{n} - u)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} dt \right| \leq \|r\|_{\infty} \|v'_{n} - u'\|_{2} \|v_{n} - u\|_{2} \leq \frac{\|r\|_{\infty}}{\widehat{\lambda}_{1}^{1/2}} \|v'_{n} - u'\|_{2}^{2} \quad (\text{see }(3)).$$

Moreover,

(28)
$$\left| \int_{0}^{b} (g_{n}(v_{n}) - f, v_{n} - u)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} dt \right|$$
$$\leq \left| \int_{0}^{b} (f - f_{n}, v_{n} - u)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} dt \right| + \int_{0}^{b} |(g_{n}(v_{n}) - f_{n}||v_{n} - u|dt.$$

Note that

(29)
$$\int_0^b (f - f_n, v_n - u)_{\mathbb{R}^N} dt \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

Also with $\eta > 0$ as above, we have

(30)
$$\int_{0}^{b} |(g_{n}(v_{n}) - f||v_{n} - u|dt \leq \int_{0}^{b} [\varepsilon_{n} + h(F(s, v_{n}), F(s, u))]|v_{n} - u|ds$$
$$\leq 2\eta b\varepsilon_{n} + \int_{0}^{b} k_{\eta}(t)|v_{n} - u|^{2}dt \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using (29), (30) in (28), we obtain

(31)
$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{0}^{b} (g_{n}(v_{n}) - f, v_{n} - u)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} dt \right| &\leq \varepsilon_{n}' + \int_{0}^{b} k_{\eta}(t) |v_{n} - u|^{2} dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{n}' + \|k_{\eta}\|_{\infty} b^{2} \|v_{n}' - u'\|_{2}^{2} \quad \text{with } \varepsilon_{n}' \to 0^{+} \\ &\quad (\text{here we have used Jensen's inequality}). \end{aligned}$$

Returning to (25) and using (26), (27) and (31), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widehat{c}_{\eta} - \frac{\|r\|_{\infty}}{\widehat{\lambda}_{1}^{1/2}} - \|k_{\eta}\|_{\infty}b^{2} \end{bmatrix} \|v_{n}' - u'\|_{2}^{2} \leq \varepsilon_{n},$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \widehat{\xi}_{\eta}\|v' - u'\|_{2}^{2} = 0 \quad (\text{see } (24)),$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad v = u.$$

So, $v_n \to u$ in C_0^1 (see (24)) and $v_n \in \widehat{S}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\widehat{S}_c = \overline{\widehat{S}}^{C_0^1}$.

Remark 6. Continuing this line of work, it is interesting to know if we can have extremal solutions for the multivalued Duffing system (that is, solutions of (1) when F(t, x) is replaced by $\exp(t, x) =$ the extreme points of F(t, x)). If such trajectories exist, then we would like to know if they are C_0^1 -dense in those of the convex problem (strong relaxation). Such a result is of interest in control theory in connection with the "bangbang principle". Results of this kind were proved for a different class of multivalued nonlinear second order systems, by Papageorgiou-Vetro-Vetro [13].

References

- R. Bader, A topological fixed-point index theory for evolution inclusions, Z. Anal. Anwend. 20 (2001) 3–15.
- [2] M. Galewski, On the Dirichlet problem for a Duffing type equation, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 2011:15 (2011) 1–12.
- [3] L. Gasiński, N.S. Papageorgiou, Nonlinear Analysis, Ser. Math. Anal. Appl., 9, Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida (2006).
- [4] P. Holmes, A nonlinear oscillator with a strange attractor, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 292 (1979) 419–448.
- [5] S. Hu, N.S. Papageorgiou, Handbook of Multivalued Analysis. Vol. I: Theory, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands (1997).
- [6] S. Hu, N.S. Papageorgiou, Handbook of Multivalued Analysis. Vol. II: Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands (2000).
- [7] P. Kalita, P.M. Kowalski, On multivalued Duffing equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2018.01.067
- [8] P.M. Kowalski, Well-posed Dirichlet problems pertaining to the Duffing equation, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 2014:59 (2014) 1–15.
- [9] S. Kyritsi, N. Matzakos, N.S. Papageorgiou, Periodic problems for strongly nonlinear second-order differential inclusions, J. Differential Equations 183 (2002) 279–302.
- [10] R. Manásevich, J. Mawhin, Periodic solutions for nonlinear systems with p-Laplacian-like operators, J. Differential Equations 145 (1998) 367–393.
- [11] R. Manásevich, J. Mawhin, Boundary value problems for nonlinear perturbations of vector p-Laplacian-like operators, J. Korean Math. Soc. 37 (2000) 665–685.
- [12] F. Moon, P. Holmes, A magnetoelastic strange attractor, J Sound Vib. 65 (1979) 275–296.
- [13] N.S. Papageorgiou, C. Vetro, F. Vetro, Extremal solutions and strong relaxation for nonlinear multivalued systems with maximal monotone terms, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 461 (2018) 401–421.
- [14] P. Tomiczek, Remark on Duffing equation with Dirichlet boundary condition, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2007:81 (2007) 1–3.

(N.S. Papageorgiou) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, ZO-GRAFOU CAMPUS, 15780, ATHENS, GREECE

E-mail address: npapg@math.ntua.gr

(C. Vetro) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF PALERMO, VIA ARCHIRAFI 34, 90123, PALERMO, ITALY

E-mail address: calogero.vetro@unipa.it

12

(F. Vetro) $^{(1)}$ Nonlinear Analysis Research Group, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, $^{(2)}$ Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{francescavetro@tdt.edu.vn}$