

**CONIC SINGULARITIES METRICS
WITH PRESCRIBED SCALAR CURVATURE:
A PRIORI ESTIMATES FOR NORMAL CROSSING
DIVISORS**

LONG LI, JIAN WANG, AND KAI ZHENG

Dedicated to Prof. Jean-Pierre Demailly

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to prove the a priori estimates for constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics with conic singularities along normal crossing divisors. The zero order estimates are proved by a reformulated version of Alexandrov's maximum principle. The higher order estimates follow from Chen-Cheng's frame work, equipped with new techniques to handle the singularities. Finally, we extend these estimates to the twisted equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently Chen-Cheng ([6], [7], [8]) established the a priori estimates for the constant scalar curvature Kähler (cscK) metrics equation, which are fundamental towards the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture on the existence of the cscK metrics. Their estimates lead to the resolution of the properness conjecture and Donaldson's geodesic stability conjecture.

Our goal is to prove a singular version of the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture, and this first paper aims to generalise Chen-Cheng's a priori estimates to the *log-smooth klt pair*. That is to say, our metrics develop cone like singularities along normal crossing divisors. In the subsequent papers, we will discuss the existence problem for cscK metrics on *singular klt pairs*.

Let (X, D) be a log smooth klt pair, where $D := \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - \beta_k) D_k$ is an \mathbb{R} -divisor on the compact Kähler manifold X . Here the index $\beta := \{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^d$ is a collection of angles $0 < \beta_k < 1$. For some $0 < \alpha < \min_k \{\frac{1}{\beta_k} - 1, 1\}$, we consider a conic Hölder space $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ first introduced by Donaldson [13].

Suppose $(\varphi, F) \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ is a pair satisfying the conic cscK equation (Definition (2.1)). Denote $H_\beta(\varphi)$ by the entropy of a conic Kähler potential φ , with respect to the background metric ω_β (equation (2.5)):

$$H_\beta(\varphi) := \int_X \log \frac{\omega_\varphi^n}{\omega_\beta^n} \omega_\varphi^n.$$

Then the following estimates are proved.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (φ, F) be a $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ -conic cscK pair on (X, D) . Suppose that its entropy $H_\beta(\varphi)$ is bounded by a uniform constant C . Then there exists another uniform constant \tilde{C} , such that the following holds:*

(i) *the C^0 -estimate*

$$\|\varphi\|_0 < \tilde{C};$$

(ii) *the non-degeneracy estimate*

$$-\tilde{C} < F < \tilde{C};$$

(iii) *the gradient F -estimate and the C^2 -estimate*

$$\max_X |\nabla_\varphi F|_\varphi + \max_X \text{tr}_{\omega_\beta} \omega_\varphi < \tilde{C}.$$

The C^0 estimate is proved in Theorem (4.5) and Corollary (4.6), and the non-degeneracy estimate is proved in Lemma (4.8). Comparing with Chen-Cheng's work [6], the new difficulty is that Alexandrov's maximum principle(AMP) fails in the conic case. More precisely, the constant appearing in Chen-Cheng's estimate depends on the diameter of the coordinate ball, on which we applied this maximum principle. However, the diameter has to become smaller and smaller when the ball is approaching the divisor, and then we lose the control of the constant.

In order to overcome this difficulty, we developed a new version of AMP, the *Generalised Alexandrov's maximum principle*(GAMP) in Theorem (3.5). The key observation is that this maximum principle still works for a function u if the upper contact set Γ_u^+ of this function is completely disjoint from the singular locus of u . Therefore, we can utilise this new maximum principle in the estimates, by adding an extra "extremely" pseudo-convex auxiliary function near the divisor.

The integral method on compact manifold (iteration without assuming uniform Sobolev constant on varying metrics) from Chen-He [9] is important in Chen-Cheng's work. Following this basic frame work, the gradient F -estimate and the C^2 -estimate in the conic setting are also proved via the following $W^{2,p}$ type estimate.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem (5.1)). *Let (φ, F) be a $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ -conic cscK pair on (X, D) . For any $1 < p < +\infty$, there exists a uniform constant C' such that*

$$\int_X (\text{tr}_{\omega_\beta} \omega_\varphi)^p \omega_\beta^n < C'.$$

Here this constant C' depends on p , $\|\varphi\|_0$, $\|F\|_0$ and conic background metrics ω_β, ω_D on (X, D) .

Here ω_D is another conic background metric introduced by Donaldson [13], and the constant C' depends on both ω_β and ω_D . The reason is that we need to switch the background metrics during the proof of the $W^{2,p}$ -estimate, but this does no harm to our L^p -norm $\|n + \Delta\varphi\|_{L^p(\omega_\beta^n)}$ since ω_D and ω_β are quasi-isometric on X .

For later purpose, we assumed that the cscK pair (φ, F) lies in the conic Hölder space $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$. In practice, all these a priori estimates still hold, if we only assume $(\varphi, F) \in \mathcal{C}_\beta^{1,1}$ (Definition (4.1)) in the very beginning.

In order to investigate the existence of the conic cscK metrics, we are further led to studying the following continuity path on $Y := X \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$:

$$t(R_\varphi - \underline{R}_\beta) = (1-t)(\text{tr}_\varphi \tau_\beta - \underline{\tau}_\beta),$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$. Here τ is a closed $(1, 1)$ form varying in a fixed Kähler class. More precisely, we assumed $\tau := \tau_0 + dd^c f \geq 0$, for some fixed smooth $(1, 1)$ form τ_0 on Y with $|\tau_0|_{\omega_\beta}$ uniformly bounded, and the function f satisfies

$$\sup_X f = 0; \quad \int_X e^{-p_0 f} \omega_\beta^n < +\infty, \quad \text{for some } p_0 > 1.$$

With these constraints, a triple $(\varphi, F, f) \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ is the solution to the twisted conic-cscK equation if they satisfy equations (7.1) and (7.2). Then we extend our estimates to the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(\varphi, F, f) \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ be a triple of the twisted equations. Suppose the entropy $H_\beta(\varphi)$ is bounded by a uniform constant C . Then there exists another uniform constant C'' , such that the following holds:*

(iv) *the C^0 -estimate*

$$\|\varphi\|_0 < C'';$$

(v) *the non-degeneracy estimate*

$$-C'' < F < C'';$$

(vi) *there exists a constant k_n , only depending on the dimension n , such that if $p_0 > k_n$, then we have*

$$|\nabla_\varphi(F + f)|_\varphi < C''.$$

Since the upper bound of the $(1, 1)$ form τ is out of control in the twisted case, we no longer expect the C^2 -estimate directly. However, the C^2 -estimate can be actually deduced from the gradient F -estimate, by a conic version of Chen-He's integral estimate.

Similarly, the gradient F -estimate is proved via the following $W^{2,p}$ -estimate.

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem (7.4)). *Let $(\varphi, F, f) \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ be a triple of the twisted equations. For any $p \geq 1$ there exists a constant \hat{C} satisfying*

$$\int_X e^{-(p-1)f} (\text{tr}_{\omega_\beta} \omega_\varphi)^p \omega_\beta^n \leq \hat{C},$$

Here the constant \hat{C} depends on p , p_0 (uniform if p_0 is bounded away from 1), $\|\varphi\|_0$, $\|F + f\|_0$, and background metrics ω_β and ω_D .

More generally, our zero order estimates (the C^0 and non-degeneracy estimates) can be also used on singular klt pairs. In fact, after pulling back to a log-resolution, the metric has conic singularities along normal crossing divisors, but it is possibly degenerate along some exceptional divisors. Therefore, we can apply our tricks on the resolution, and then the estimates follow from GAMP again.

Furthermore, the higher order estimates on singular klt pairs, like the $W^{2,p}$ -estimate and C^2 -estimate, can also be realised on a compact domain away from the divisor. These topics will be discussed in a sequel paper.

Acknowledgement: We want to show our great thanks to Prof. Xiuxiong Chen, for he introduced this problem to us. The first author is grateful to Prof. Donaldson for sharing his beautiful insights on conic Kähler geometry, and he would also like to thank Prof. Demailly, Prof. M. Păun, Prof. Berndtsson, Prof. S. Boucksom, and Prof. Guedj for lots of useful discussions and continuous encouragement. The second author would like to thank Prof. Besson for his encouragement.

The first author is supported by the ERC-ALKAGE project. The second author is supported by the ERC-GETOM and ANR-CCEM projects. The third author has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 703949.

2. PRELIMINARY

Let (X, ω) be an n -dimensional compact complex Kähler manifold. Suppose $D := \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - \beta_k) D_k$ is an \mathbb{R} -divisor on X with simple normal crossing support such that the angle $\beta_k \in (0, 1)$ for all k . Then (X, D) is called as a *log smooth klt pair*.

Near a point p on the support of D , there exists a holomorphic coordinate system $\{z_i\}$ such that the support $\text{Supp}(D)$ is defined by the equation $\{z_1 \cdots z_d = 0\}$. Then a model conic metric ω_{cone} with cone angle β_k along D_k can be written as

$$(2.1) \quad \omega_{cone} := \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{\sqrt{-1} dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k}{|z_k|^{2-2\beta_k}} + \sum_{k=d+1}^n \sqrt{-1} dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k.$$

A positive current $\omega_\varphi := \omega + dd^c \varphi$ is a conic Kähler metric with cone angle β_k along D_k , if it is smooth on $X \setminus (\bigcup D_k)$ and quasi-isometric to the model metric ω_{cone} near each point $p \in \text{Supp}(D)$, i.e. it satisfies

$$C^{-1} \omega_{cone} \leq \omega_\varphi \leq C \omega_{cone},$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

When the divisor D is a smooth hypersurface, Donaldson [13] introduced the conic Hölder spaces for the potential like $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha,\beta}$ or $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, for some constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ with $\alpha\beta < 1 - \beta$. Moreover,

he proved a version of the Schauder estimate([13], [1]) for the conic Laplacian operator.

2.1. Conic Kähler-Einstein metrics. Let (L_k, ϕ_k) , $1 \leq k \leq d$ be a set of hermitian line bundles, with non-trivial sections $s_k \in H^0(X, L_k)$. Assume the divisors $D_k := \{s_k = 0\}$ are smooth, and they have strictly normal intersections. For simplicity, we write the norm of the sections as $|s_k|^2 := |s_k|^2 e^{-\phi_k}$. Then a simple example of conic Kähler metrics, the *Donaldson metric*, can be written as

$$\omega_D := \omega + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^d dd^c |s_k|^{2\beta_k},$$

for some $N > 0$ large.

This example has been widely used as the background metric in the study of conic geometric equations. In fact, there exists a natural smooth approximation of ω_D as

$$\omega_{D,\varepsilon} := \omega + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^d dd^c (|s_k|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{\beta_k},$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ small. However, the holomorphic bisectional curvature of this approximation $R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(\omega_{D,\varepsilon})$ grows too fast along certain directions near the divisor. Therefore, Campana-Guenancia-Păun [10] and Guenancia-Păun [15] introduced another smooth approximation as

$$\tilde{\omega}_{D,\varepsilon} := \omega + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^d dd^c \chi_k (|s_k|^2 + \varepsilon^2),$$

where the auxiliary function $\chi_k(\varepsilon^2 + t)$ is a smooth perturbation of the function $(\varepsilon^2 + t)^{\beta_k}$. This is a “better” choice in the sense that the bisectional curvature $R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(\tilde{\omega}_{D,\varepsilon})$ has a slower growth rate near the divisor.

In the work [10] and [15], they studied the regularities of the so called *conic Kähler-Einstein*(KE) metrics as

$$(2.2) \quad (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = e^{f+\lambda\varphi} d\mu_D,$$

where $\lambda = \{-1, 0, 1\}$, $f \in C^\infty(X)$, and the measure μ_D is defined by

$$d\mu_D := \frac{\omega^n}{\prod_{k=1}^d |s_k|^{2-2\beta_k}}.$$

Here $e^f d\mu_D$ is a probability measure if $\lambda = 0$. They actually proved that an L^∞ solution φ of equation (2.2) is always in the space $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$.

For the case $\lambda \geq 0$, by the celebrated work of Yau [23] on Calabi’s conjecture, there always exists a smooth approximation for the conic KE metric as follows

$$(2.3) \quad (\omega + dd^c \varphi_\varepsilon)^n = \frac{e^{\lambda\varphi_\varepsilon + f + c_\varepsilon} \omega^n}{\prod_{k=1}^d (|s_k|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1-\beta_k}},$$

for some uniformly bounded constant c_ε .

According to the expansion formula of the conic KE metric ([24], [18]), the bisectional curvature $R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(\omega_\varphi)$ ($R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(\omega_{\varphi_\varepsilon})$) behaves even better than $R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(\omega_D)$ ($R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(\tilde{\omega}_{D,\varepsilon})$) near the divisor, when the divisor is smooth. As inspired from the third author's previous work [27], we will use a special conic KE metric as the background metric.

2.2. Conic cscK metrics. For $\lambda = 0$, there always exists a solution $\omega_\beta := \omega + dd^c\psi_\beta$ for the *conic Calabi-Yau* equation as

$$(2.4) \quad (\omega + dd^c\psi_\beta)^n = \frac{e^f \omega^n}{\prod_{k=1}^d |s_k|^{2-2\beta_k}},$$

where $\beta := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ is a collection of angles. In other words, it solves the following geometric equation

$$(2.5) \quad Ric(\omega_\beta) = \Theta + \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - \beta_k)[D_k],$$

where Θ is a smooth closed $(1, 1)$ form on X defined by

$$\Theta := -dd^c f + Ric(\omega) - \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - \beta_k) dd^c \phi_k.$$

Let $\omega_\varphi := \omega_\beta + dd^c\varphi$ be a conic Kähler metric with cone angle β_k along each D_k . Suppose this conic metric is a solution of the following two coupled equations

$$(2.6) \quad (\omega_\beta + dd^c\varphi)^n = e^F \omega_\beta^n,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta_\varphi F = -\underline{R}_\beta + tr_\varphi \Theta,$$

where \underline{R}_β is a topological constant depending on the angle β , and we assume the normalisation $\int_X e^F \omega_\beta^n = 1$. Observe that the solution ω_φ has constant scalar curvature ($R_\varphi = \underline{R}_\beta$), outside the support of the divisor D .

If the solution φ is in the space $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, then F is in $\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha,\beta}$ by equation (2.6). When the divisor D is smooth, we further have $F \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ by equation (2.7) and Donaldson's Schauder estimate. Therefore, it makes sense to assume that φ and F always have the same regularities in general.

Definition 2.1. *A pair of functions (φ, F) is called a $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ -conic cscK pair on (X, D) , if the potential φ is in the space $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, and its associated Kähler metric ω_φ (with cone angle β_k along each D_k) satisfies the coupled equations (2.6), (2.7) on $X \setminus (\bigcup D_k)$, with $F \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$.*

Since we switch the background metric to ω_β , the potential space has a one-one correspondence with the previous one, i.e. $\tilde{\varphi} := \psi_\beta + \varphi$. However, the conic Hölder space is unchanged, since ψ_β is also in

the space $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ ([15]). Therefore, we can stick to this new potential space as the collection of all ω_β -plurisubharmonic functions with $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ regularities.

When the divisor is smooth, the higher regularities have been known in [20] for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, and in ([24], [26]) for any angles. There we used the model cone metric as our background metric. However, this is not an issue, since both potential of ω_D and ω_β have higher regularities.

3. GENERALISED ALEXANDROV'S MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE

Let Ω be a bounded open domain in \mathbb{R}^n , with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega = \overline{\Omega} \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega)$. Let L be a second order differential operator:

$$L = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)D_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x)D_i + c(x),$$

with $a_{ij} \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ and $b_i, c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Moreover, we assume $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$.

The operator L is called *elliptic* on Ω if for every $x \in \Omega$ there exists $\lambda(x) > 0$, such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j \geq \lambda(x)|\xi|^2,$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, for elliptic operator L one defines

$$\mathfrak{D}^* := (\det(a_{ij}))^{1/n}.$$

For any continuous function u on the set $\overline{\Omega}$, we can introduce the *upper contact set* of u , which is roughly speaking the set of points in Ω that have a tangent plane above the graph of u .

Definition 3.1. For any $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, the upper contact set Γ^+ is defined by

$$\Gamma^+ := \{y \in \Omega; \exists p_y \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that } \forall x \in \Omega : u(x) \leq u(y) + p_y \cdot (x - y)\}$$

The set Γ^+ is relatively closed in Ω . If $u \in C^1(\Omega)$, then $p_y = \nabla u(y)$ for any $y \in \Gamma^+$.

Moreover, if $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, then the Hessian matrix $(D_{ij}u)$ is semi-negative on Γ^+ . In other words, the set Γ^+ consists of all ‘‘concave points’’ of u .

Then we invoke Alexandrov's maximum principle(AMP) as follows ([16], [21]).

Theorem 3.2. Let Ω be bounded and L elliptic with $c \leq 0$. Suppose that $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies $Lu \geq f$ with

$$\frac{|b|}{\mathfrak{D}^*}, \frac{f}{\mathfrak{D}^*} \in L^n(\Omega),$$

and then one has

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{\Omega} u \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} u^+ + C \cdot \text{diam}(\Omega) \cdot \left\| \frac{f^-}{\mathfrak{D}^*} \right\|_{L^n(\Gamma^+)},$$

with

$$C := C \left(n, \left\| \frac{|b|}{\mathfrak{D}^*} \right\|_{L^n(\Gamma^+)} \right).$$

When the function u is no longer C^2 in Ω , the Alexandrov maximum principle fails in general. However, observe that the RHS of inequality (3.1) only concerns with integration on the set Γ^+ ! Therefore, there is still some hope left, if the singular locus of u completely misses the upper contact set.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a non-negative function and $u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^2(V)$. Suppose that V is an open connected subset of Ω , such that the upper contact set of u satisfies*

$$\Gamma^+ \subset V.$$

Set $d := \text{diam}(\Omega)$ and

$$M := \frac{\sup_{\Omega} u - \sup_{\partial\Omega} u}{d}.$$

Then we have

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{B_M(0)} g(z) dV(z) \leq \int_{\Gamma^+} g(\nabla u(x)) |\det(D_{ij}u(x))| dV(x).$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the set Γ^+ is also relatively closed in the open subset V . Since the function u is C^2 in an open neighbourhood of Γ^+ , we can consider the mapping:

$$\nabla u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let the set Σ be the image of Γ^+ . Since this mapping is onto and $g \geq 0$, by change of variables, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\Sigma} g(z) dV(z) \leq \int_{\Gamma^+} g(\nabla u(x)) |\det(D_{ij}u(x))| dV(x).$$

Then it is enough to prove $B_M(0) \subset \Sigma$. In other words, we claim that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|a| < M$, there exists a point $y \in \Gamma^+$ such that $a = \nabla u(y)$. Moreover, only continuity of u on $\overline{\Omega}$ and C^1 -regularity of u in V are needed to prove this claim.

For each such a , we define a linear function $L_a(t) := \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} (t + a \cdot x - u(x))$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let t_a be the root of the operator L_a . It follows that $t_a + a \cdot x - u(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$, and $t_a + a \cdot y - u(y) = 0$ for some $y \in \overline{\Omega}$. Therefore, we have

$$(3.4) \quad u(y) \geq u(x) + a \cdot (y - x).$$

Moreover, we can always assume that the maximum of u appears in the interior, i.e. $u(x_0) = \sup_{\Omega} u$ for some $x_0 \in \Omega$. Then one finds

$$u(y) \geq \sup_{\partial\Omega} u + M \cdot d + a \cdot (y - x_0) > \sup_{\partial\Omega} u.$$

Therefore, the point y must be in Ω , and hence it is also in the upper contact set Γ^+ by equation (3.4). Finally, the assumption $u \in C^2(V)$ implies that $a = \nabla u(y)$. \square

For an elliptic operator L defined on V , we define $\mathfrak{D}^*(x), x \in V$ as the geometric average of the eigenvalue of the positive matrix $(a_{ij}(x))$. By picking up $g \equiv 1$, we have the following version.

Corollary 3.4. *Under the condition of Lemma (3.3), we have*

$$(3.5) \quad \sup_{\Omega} u \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} u + \frac{d}{a_n} \left\{ \int_{\Gamma^+} \left(\frac{-\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) D_{ij}u(x)}{n\mathfrak{D}^*} \right)^n dV(x) \right\}^{1/n},$$

where a_n is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. On the set Γ^+ , the matrix $A = (a_{ij}(x))$ is positive, and $D = (D_{ij}u(x))$ is semi-negative. Then we have the inequality

$$\mathfrak{D}^*(\det(-D))^{1/n} = (\det(-AD))^{1/n} \leq \frac{\text{tr}(-AD)}{n},$$

in other words,

$$|\det(D_{ij}u(x))| \leq \left(\frac{-\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) D_{ij}u(x)}{n\mathfrak{D}^*} \right)^n,$$

and then our result follows. \square

Considering the set $\Omega^+ := \{x \in \Omega; u(x) > 0\}$, we further obtains the following inequality

$$(3.6) \quad \sup_{\Omega} u \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} u^+ + \frac{d}{a_n} \left\{ \int_{\Gamma^+ \cap \Omega^+} \left(\frac{-\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) D_{ij}u(x)}{n\mathfrak{D}^*} \right)^n dV(x) \right\}^{1/n}.$$

Up to this stage, we have seen that AMP is essentially a story of $\sup_{\Omega} u, \sup_{\partial\Omega} u, \Gamma^+$ and the ellipticity of L on Γ^+ ! The equation is not actually involved so far, and then we can formulate a new version, *Generalised Alexandrov's maximum principle* (GAMP) as follows.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that there exists an open connected subset V of Ω , such that $\Gamma^+ \subset V$ and $u \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^2(V)$. Let L be an elliptic operator with $c \leq 0$, and it satisfies $Lu \geq f$ on V with*

$$\frac{|b|}{\mathfrak{D}^*}, \frac{f}{\mathfrak{D}^*} \in L^n(\Gamma^+).$$

Then one has

$$(3.7) \quad \sup_{\Omega} u \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} u^+ + C \cdot \text{diam}(\Omega) \cdot \left\| \frac{f^-}{\mathfrak{D}^*} \right\|_{L^n(\Gamma^+)},$$

with

$$C := C \left(n, \left\| \frac{|b|}{\mathfrak{D}^*} \right\|_{L^n(\Gamma^+)} \right).$$

Proof. In the case $b_i = c = 0$, the proof follows directly from Corollary (3.4) since $f \leq Lu \leq 0$ on Γ^+ .

For b_i or c non-zero, one can use Lemma (3.3) with V replaced by $V \cap \Omega^+$, and pick up $g(z) := (|z|^n + \mu^n)^{-1}$ for some constant $\mu = \|f^-/\mathfrak{D}^*\|_{L^n(\Gamma^+)}$ as the standard proof of AMP. \square

4. THE POTENTIAL ESTIMATES

Let (φ, F) be a $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ -conic cscK pair on (X, D) . The coupled equations on $X \setminus \text{Supp}D$ can be re-written as

$$(4.1) \quad \log \det(g_{i\bar{j}} + \varphi_{i\bar{j}}) = F + \log \det(g_{i\bar{j}}),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta_\varphi F = -\underline{R}_\beta + \text{tr}_\varphi \Theta,$$

where $(g_{i\bar{j}})$ stands for the metric for the conic Kähler form ω_β , and we always assume the condition $\sup_X \varphi = 0$. By the construction, the function F is smooth outside the divisor.

Then we want to persuade as in Chen-Cheng [6] to introduce an auxiliary function, by solving the following equation:

$$(4.3) \quad (\omega_\beta + dd^c \psi_1)^n = \frac{e^F \Phi(F) \omega_\beta^n}{\int_X e^F \Phi(F) \omega_\beta^n},$$

where $\Phi(x) := \sqrt{x^2 + 1}$, under the normalization $\sup_X \psi_1 = 0$. This auxiliary function ψ_1 exists by a theorem of Kolodziej [19], and it is also C^α -Hölder continuous on X .

4.1. The auxiliary function. In fact, we can explore more regularities of the function ψ_1 as in [15]. Take $\psi := \psi_\beta + \psi_1$, and equation (4.3) reduces to

$$(4.4) \quad (\omega + dd^c \psi)^n = \frac{e^f dV}{\prod_{k=1}^d |s_k|^{2-2\beta_k}},$$

where $f := F + \frac{1}{2} \log(F^2 + 1)$, and dV is a smooth volume form. This is equation is very similar with the conic KE equation for $\lambda = 0$, expect that the function f may also have conic singularities.

More precisely, the derivatives of f are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial f &= \partial F + \frac{F \cdot \partial F}{2(F^2 + 1)}, \\ \partial \bar{\partial} f &= \left(1 + \frac{F}{2(F^2 + 1)} \right) \partial \bar{\partial} F - \frac{F^2}{2(F^2 + 1)^2} \partial F \wedge \bar{\partial} F. \end{aligned}$$

If $F \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, then there exists a constant C to satisfy

$$(4.5) \quad |\partial F|_{\omega_{\text{conic}}}^2 \leq C;$$

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \leq \partial\bar{\partial}(F + C \sum_{k=1}^d |z_k|^{2\beta_k}) \leq 2C\omega_{cone},$$

near the divisor. Moreover, the function f also satisfies the above two equations by its construction. In fact, we have the following space.

Definition 4.1. *A function $f \in C^2(X \setminus \text{Supp}(D))$ is said to be in the space $\mathcal{C}_\beta^{1,\bar{1}}(X, D)$, if equations (4.5) and (4.6) always hold near the support of the divisor.*

The next goal is to cook up a small smooth perturbation of the function f with complex Hessian controlled near the divisor. Let $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a finite collection of open coordinate balls such that the following conditions hold:

- the manifold X is covered by $\bigcup_{i=1}^N U_i$;
- there exists an integer $1 \leq m < N$, such that $U_i \cap D_k \neq \emptyset$ for some k and $\forall i \leq m$, and $U_i \cap D_k = \emptyset$ for all k and $\forall i > m$.

Furthermore, for each $i \leq m$, we can assume that the defining equation of $\text{Supp}D \cap U_i$ is $\{z_1 \cdots z_k = 0\}$, where $\{z_i\}$ is a coordinate system on U_i . Let $\{\chi_i\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to the open covering $\{U_i\}$, and then we can write $f = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j$, where $f_j := \chi_j \cdot f$ is compactly supported on each U_j .

Let ρ_1 be the standard mollifier on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , and take

$$\rho_\varepsilon(|z|^2) := \varepsilon^{-2n} \rho(|z|^2/\varepsilon^2).$$

There exists a sequence of smooth approximation as $f_{j,\varepsilon} := \rho_\varepsilon \star f_j$ for $j \leq m$ and $f_{j,\varepsilon} = f_j$ for $j > m$. In fact, we can assume the smooth function $f_{j,\varepsilon}$ is defined on X by zero extension. Therefore, the $f_\varepsilon := \sum_{j=1}^N f_{j,\varepsilon}$ is a smooth function on X , and converges to f in C^α -norm. It is easy to see that the derivatives of f_j also satisfy equations (4.5) and (4.6), and then the growth of the complex Hessian of this approximation can be estimated as follow.

Lemma 4.2. *Let B be the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , and \mathcal{Z} be the zero locus of the function $\{z_1 \cdots z_d\}$. Suppose that a function $G(z)$ is in $L^1(B)$ with homogeneous growth near \mathcal{Z} , i.e. there exists real numbers $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^d$ with each $\alpha_k > -1$, such that*

$$|G(z)| \leq C \prod_{k=1}^d |z_k|^{2\alpha_k},$$

in an open neighbourhood of \mathcal{Z} . Then the regularization $G_\varepsilon = \rho_\varepsilon \star G$ has the following growth near the zero locus:

$$(4.7) \quad |G_\varepsilon(z)| \leq C \prod_{k=1}^d (|z_k|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{\alpha_k}.$$

Proof. For any $x \in B_{1-\varepsilon}$, the convolution can be estimated near \mathcal{Z} as

$$\begin{aligned}
|G_\varepsilon(x)| &\leq \int_{|z| \leq 1} \rho(z) |G(x - \varepsilon z)| dz \\
&\leq \int_{|z| \leq 1} \rho(z) \prod_{k=1}^d |x_k - \varepsilon z_k|^{2\alpha_k} dz \\
(4.8) \quad &\leq \varepsilon^{\sum_{k=1}^d 2\alpha_k} \int_{|z| \leq 1} \rho(z) \prod_{k=1}^d |(x_k/\varepsilon) - z_k|^{2\alpha_k} dz,
\end{aligned}$$

up to a constant. Take a function

$$\tilde{G} := \rho_1 \star \left(\prod_{k=1}^d |z_k|^{2\alpha_k} \right),$$

and then we observe that this positive smooth function satisfies

$$\tilde{G}(x) \leq M \prod_{k=1}^d (1 + |x_k|^2)^{\alpha_k},$$

for all $|x| < 1$ and $M = 2^d \sup_B \tilde{G}$. Then the last line of equation (4.8) can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{\sum_{k=1}^d 2\alpha_k} \tilde{G}(x/\varepsilon) &\leq \varepsilon^{\sum_{k=1}^d 2\alpha_k} M \prod_{k=1}^d (1 + |x_k/\varepsilon|^2)^{\alpha_k} \\
(4.9) \quad &\leq M \prod_{k=1}^d (\varepsilon^2 + |x_k|^2)^{\alpha_k},
\end{aligned}$$

and our result follows. \square

The complex Hessian of each $f_{j,\varepsilon}$ is equal to $\rho_\varepsilon \star \partial\bar{\partial}f_j$. Put $G(z) = \partial_p \partial_{\bar{q}} f_j$ for some $1 \leq p, q \leq n$, and then all the conditions in Lemma (4.2) are satisfied, where each α_k is equal to $1 - \beta_k$, $(1 - \beta_k)/2$ or 0. Then Lemma (4.2) shows that $dd^c f_\varepsilon$ is bounded by sums of terms like

$$\frac{dz_p \wedge d\bar{z}_p}{(\varepsilon^2 + |z_p|^2)^{\alpha_p}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{dz_p \wedge d\bar{z}_q + dz_q \wedge d\bar{z}_p}{(\varepsilon^2 + |z_p|^2)^{\alpha'_p} (\varepsilon^2 + |z_q|^2)^{\alpha'_q}},$$

where $\alpha_p \in \{1 - \beta_p, 0\}$ and $\alpha'_p \in \{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta), 0\}$.

Then we can solve the following perturbed equation of equation (4.4):

$$(4.10) \quad (\omega + dd^c \psi_\varepsilon)^n = \frac{e^{f_\varepsilon} dV}{\prod_{k=1}^d (|s_k|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{(1-\beta_k)}},$$

up to some uniform constant c_ε . The argument for the $\mathcal{C}^{1,\bar{1}}$ -estimate for the conic KE equation (Proposition 1, [15]) can be applied again to this equation. The only thing left is to check the following inequality:

$$(4.11) \quad dd^c f_\varepsilon \geq -(C\omega_{D,\varepsilon} + dd^c \Psi_\varepsilon),$$

for some uniform constant C . Here $\Psi_\varepsilon := \sum_{k=1}^d \chi_\rho(|s_k|^2 + \varepsilon^2)$ for some real number $\rho < \min_k \min\{\beta_k, 1 - \beta_k\}$. This is simply true by our previous estimates on the growth of $dd^c f_\varepsilon$. Eventually, we came up with the following regularity theorem of our auxiliary function.

Theorem 4.3. *The metric $\omega_{\psi_1} := \omega_\beta + dd^c \psi_1$ associated to the auxiliary function ψ_1 is a conic Kähler metric with cone angle β_k along each divisor D_k .*

Proof. We already proved the $\mathcal{C}_\beta^{1,\bar{1}}$ -estimate for the potential ψ , and then the metric ω_{ψ_1} is quasi-isometric to the model cone metric ω_{cone} near the divisor. Moreover, on each open coordinate ball U with $U \cap \text{Supp} D = \emptyset$, the function ψ is in $C^{1,\bar{1}}(U)$, and then it is in $C^{2,\alpha}(U)$ by the regularity result in the work [12]. Finally, the solution ψ is smooth on U by the standard boot-strapping technique. \square

4.2. C^0 -estimate. Suppose ω is a Kähler form on X . Let φ be a ω -plurisubharmonic(psh) function on the manifold. The the regularization theorems ([11], [4]) of quasi-psh functions implies that there exists a sequence of smooth ω -psh function φ_j decreasing to φ .

Lemma 4.4. *There exists a real number $\alpha > 0$, such that for all ω -psh function φ with $\sup_X \varphi = 0$, the following estimate satisfies*

$$(4.12) \quad \int_X e^{-\alpha\varphi} \omega^n \leq C_1,$$

for some uniform constant C_1 only depending on (X, ω) .

Proof. The smooth version of this lemma is established in Tian [22]. Taking φ_j as the smooth decreasing approximation of φ , we have $\sup_X \varphi_j \geq 0$, and then there exists two positive numbers α and C_1 to satisfy

$$\int_X e^{-\alpha\varphi_j} \omega^n \leq \int_X e^{-\alpha(\varphi_j - \sup_X \varphi_j)} \omega^n \leq C_1,$$

for all φ and j , and our result follows. \square

Let (φ, F) be a $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ -conic cscK pair for the log smooth *klt* pair (X, D) . Take the normalization $\sup_X \varphi = 0$, and then the lower bound of the potential can be first estimated in terms of F and ψ .

Theorem 4.5. *Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, there exists a constant*

$$C_2 := C_2(\varepsilon, X, \omega, \int_X e^F \Phi(F) \omega^n, \beta, \phi, D)$$

such that the following holds:

$$(4.13) \quad F + \varepsilon\psi_1 - 2(1 + \max_X |\Theta|)\varphi \leq C_2.$$

Corollary 4.6. *For any real fixed number $q > 0$, there exists a constant*

$$C_3 := C_3(q, X, \omega, \int_X e^F \Phi(F) \omega^n, \beta, \phi, D, \max_X |\Theta|)$$

such that the following holds:

$$(4.14) \quad \int_X e^{qF} \omega^n \leq C_3; \quad \|\varphi\|_0 \leq C_3; \quad \|\psi_1\| \leq C_3.$$

Proof. Combing with Lemma (4.4) and Theorem (4.5), the uniform $L^q(\omega^n)$ estimate for the function e^F follows exactly like the argument in Chen-Cheng [6], by picking up $\varepsilon = \alpha/q$. However, in order to prove the L^∞ bound of the potential, we need the following argument.

Re-write the Monge-Ampère equation (4.1) as

$$(\omega + dd^c(\psi_\beta + \varphi))^n = \mu \omega^n,$$

where $\mu := \frac{e^F \omega_\beta^n}{\omega^n}$ is the density function. Taking some $1 < p < \min_k(1 - \beta_k)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, the L^p -norm of μ can be estimated by the Hölder inequality

$$(4.15) \quad \int_X e^{pF} \frac{dV}{\prod_{k=1}^d |s_k|^{2p(1-\beta_k)}} \leq \left(\int_X e^{(p+p')F} dV \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_X \frac{dV}{\prod_{k=1}^d |s_k|^{2p^2(1-\beta_k)}} \right)^{1/p} \\ \leq C_4(\beta) \left(\int_X e^{qF} dV \right)^{1/p'},$$

where we choose $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and $q := p + p'$. Finally, by the work of Kolodziej [19] and Benelkourchi-Guedj-Zeriahi [3], the L^∞ -norm is controlled as

$$0 \leq \|\varphi + \psi_\beta\|_0 \leq C_5 \|\mu\|_{L^p(\omega^n)}^{1/n},$$

where the constant C_5 only depends on p and ω . Then our result follows since ψ_β is uniformly bounded. Moreover, for the auxiliary function ψ_1 , we have the same L^∞ -estimate, since $\sqrt{F^2 + 1}$ is controlled by $e^{\varepsilon_1 F}$ for any small $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. □

For the proof of Theorem (4.5), we first run as Chen-Cheng's argument [6]. Take $u_1 := e^{\delta A_1}$ and $A_1(\varphi, F) := F + \varepsilon \psi_1 - \lambda \varphi$. Here the constants are determined as

$$\lambda := 2(1 + \max_X |\Theta|), \quad \delta := \frac{\alpha}{2n\lambda},$$

where α is the small constant appearing in Lemma (4.4).

Let p_0 be the maximum point of the function u . For some $d > 0$ small enough, we can consider a coordinate ball $B_d(p_0)$ around p_0 with radius d . Take η_p be a cut-off function such that $\eta_p(p) = 1$ and $\eta_p = 1 - \theta$ outside the ball $B_{d/2}(p)$, with the estimate $|\nabla \eta_p|^2 \leq 4\theta^2 d^{-2}$ and $|\nabla^2 \eta_p| \leq 4\theta d^{-2}$. This small positive constant θ will only depend on α and d .

Suppose that the ball $B_{p_0}(d)$ is away from $\text{Supp}(D)$. Then the estimate (4.13) holds for some constant, by applying AMP to the function $u\eta_{p_0}$. However, this constant will depend on the diameter d , and it grows like d^{-1} when the ball is closer and closer to the divisor.

Therefore, we need to introduce a new auxiliary function as

$$\psi_2 := \sum_{k=1}^d |s_k|^{2\gamma_k}.$$

Put $u := e^{\delta A}$, where we define

$$A(\varphi, F) := F + \varepsilon(\psi_1 + \psi_2) - \lambda\varphi.$$

Let x_0 be the maximum point of the function u on the manifold, and we can assume $B_d(x_0) \cap \text{Supp}(D) \neq \emptyset$ for some fixed radius d small. Then there exists an open coordinate system U such that $B_{2d}(x_0) \subset U$, and the defining function of $\text{Supp}(D)$ is $\{z_1 \cdots z_d = 0\}$ in U .

We re-wirte the new auxiliary function ψ_2 on U as $\sum_{k=1}^d (|z_k|^2 e^{-\phi_k})^{\gamma_k}$, and its complex Hessian $dd^c\psi_2$ can be explicitly calculated as

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{p=1}^d \gamma_p^2 e^{-\phi_p} \frac{dz_p \wedge d\bar{z}_p + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{q=1}^n o(z_p) dz_q \wedge d\bar{z}_p \right\} + \sum_{q,l=1}^n o(|z_p|^2) dz_q \wedge d\bar{z}_l}{(|z_p|^2 e^{-\phi_p})^{1-\gamma_p}}.$$

Therefore, we have the following estimate near the divisor

$$(4.17) \quad C_6 \omega_{Euc} + dd^c\psi_2 \geq C_6^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^d \frac{dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k}{|z_k|^{2-2\gamma_k}} + \sum_{j=d+1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j \right),$$

for some constant C_6 only depending on the angle γ and the hermitian metric ϕ .

The complex Hessian function of ψ_2 grows very fast to $+\infty$ near the divisor, and this gives us a chance to avoid its upper contact set.

Lemma 4.7. *Let Γ^+ be the upper contact set of the function $u\eta_{x_0}$ on U . Then there exists an open neighbourhood V_D of $\text{Supp}(D) \cap U$, such that $\Gamma^+ \cap V_D = \emptyset$.*

Proof. By our construction, the function $u\eta_{p_0}$ is smooth outside the divisor, and then we can compute its Laplacian with respect to the Euclidean metric ω_{Euc} on $U \setminus \text{Supp}D$ as

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(e^{\delta A}\eta) &= \Delta(e^{\delta A})\eta + e^{\delta A}\Delta\eta + 2\delta e^{\delta A}\nabla A \cdot \nabla\eta \\ &= e^{\delta A}\eta (\delta\Delta A + \delta^2|\nabla A|^2) + e^{\delta A}\Delta\eta + 2\delta e^{\delta A}\nabla A \cdot \nabla\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By the construction, we have

$$(4.19) \quad e^{\delta A}\Delta\eta \geq -e^{\delta A}4\theta/d^2,$$

and

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\delta \nabla A \cdot \nabla \eta &\geq -\delta^2 \eta |\nabla A|^2 - \eta^{-1} |\nabla \eta|^2 \\ &\geq -\delta^2 \eta |\nabla A|^2 - \frac{4\theta^2}{d^2(1-\theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $\varphi, F, \psi_\beta \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, we see

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(F + \varepsilon\psi_1 + \varepsilon\psi_2 - \lambda\varphi) &\geq \operatorname{tr}_{\omega_{Euc}} \{dd^c(F - \lambda\varphi) - \varepsilon\omega_\beta\} + \varepsilon\Delta\psi_2 \\ &\geq -C_7 \left(\sum_{k=1}^d |z_k|^{2\beta_k-2} + 1 \right) + \varepsilon C_7^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^d |z_k|^{2\gamma_k-2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C_7 (may not be uniform). Eventually, for chosen $\varepsilon, \delta, \lambda$ and θ , we have on $U \setminus \operatorname{Supp}(D)$

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(e^{\delta A} \eta) &\geq \delta \eta e^{\delta A} \Delta(F + \varepsilon\psi_1 + \varepsilon\psi_2 - \lambda\varphi) - e^{\delta A} \left(\frac{4\theta^2}{d^2(1-\theta)} + \frac{4\theta}{d^2} \right) \\ &\geq -C_8 \left(\sum_{k=1}^d |z_k|^{2\beta_k-2} + 1 \right) + C_8^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^d |z_k|^{2\gamma_k-2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C_8 .

By picking up $\gamma_k < \beta_k$, there exists an open neighbourhood V_D of the support of the divisor D in U such that $\Delta(u\eta_{x_0}) > 1$ on $V_D \setminus \operatorname{Supp}(D)$. Therefore, the upper contact set Γ^+ is disjoint from the open set $V_D \setminus \operatorname{Supp}(D)$.

Furthermore, we claim that $\operatorname{Supp}(D) \cap \Gamma^+ = \emptyset$. Otherwise, suppose a point p_0 is in $\operatorname{Supp}(D) \cap \Gamma^+$, and then there exists a vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, such that

$$u\eta_{x_0}(y) \leq u\eta_{x_0}(p_0) + a \cdot (y - p_0),$$

for all $y \in U$. Define a new function on V_D as

$$v(y) := u\eta_{x_0}(y) + a \cdot (p_0 - y).$$

By our construction, this function v obtains its maximum at the point p_0 , and it is continuous on V_D . Moreover, the function v is strictly subharmonic on $V_D \setminus \operatorname{Supp}(D)$ since its real Laplacian $\Delta v = \Delta(u\eta_{x_0})$ is positive there.

In fact, v is even subharmonic on the whole V_D by the extension theorem of subharmonic functions. Thanks to the maximum principle, it must be a constant in V_D , but this contradicts the fact that v is strictly subharmonic outside the divisor. \square

Proof of Theorem (4.5). In order to apply the maximum principle to our function $u\eta_{x_0}$, we compute the Laplacian $\Delta_\varphi(u\eta_{x_0})$ outside the divisor. The calculation is very similar with Chen-Cheng's work [6], and the only difference is that our background metric is ω_β , a conic

metric this time. However, observe that we have $Ric(\omega_\beta) = \Theta$ outside the divisor. Therefore, we obtain

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_\varphi(F + \varepsilon\psi_1 + \varepsilon\psi_2 - \lambda\varphi) &\geq \left\{ -\underline{R}_\beta - \lambda n + \varepsilon n I_\Phi^{-\frac{1}{n}} \Phi^{\frac{1}{n}}(F) \right\} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \Delta\psi_2 + (\lambda - \varepsilon - |\Theta|) \text{tr}_\varphi g_\beta, \end{aligned}$$

where $I_\Phi := \int_X e^F \Phi(F) \omega_\beta^n$. Here we used the following inequality

$$\Delta_\varphi\psi_1 \geq n \left(e^{-F} e^F \Phi(F) I_\Phi^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} - \text{tr}_\varphi g_\beta.$$

Moreover, for some large integer N , we have the Donaldson metric

$$\omega_{D,\gamma} = \omega + N^{-1} dd^c \psi_2 > 0,$$

with cone angle γ_k along D_k . Therefore, we see

$$(4.24) \quad \varepsilon \Delta_\varphi \psi_2 \geq -\varepsilon N \text{tr}_{\omega_\varphi} \omega \geq -\varepsilon N_1 \text{tr}_{\omega_\varphi} \omega_\beta.$$

Here the constants N and N_1 only depend on $\omega, \omega_\beta, X, D$ and the hermitian metric ϕ . Then we may assume $\varepsilon N_1 < 1$, and the following inequality holds:

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_\varphi(e^{\delta A} \eta_{x_0}) &\geq \delta \eta_{x_0} e^{\delta A} \left(-\underline{R}_\beta - \lambda n + \varepsilon n I_\Phi^{-\frac{1}{n}} \Phi^{\frac{1}{n}}(F) \right) \\ &\quad + e^{\delta A} \left(\delta \eta_{x_0} (\lambda - \varepsilon - |\Theta| - 1) - \frac{4\theta}{d^2} - \frac{4\theta^2}{d^2(1-\theta)} \right) \text{tr}_\varphi g_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Recall these constants are taken as $\lambda := 2(1 + \max_X |\Theta|)$, and $\delta := (2n\lambda)^{-1}\alpha$, and then we choose the constant $\theta > 0$ small enough to satisfy

$$\frac{(1-\theta)\alpha}{4n} - \frac{4\theta}{d^2} - \frac{4\theta^2}{d^2(1-\theta)} \geq 0.$$

This implies the following equation on $U \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$

$$(4.26) \quad \Delta_\varphi(u\eta_{x_0}) \geq \delta \eta_{x_0} e^{\delta A} (-\underline{R}_\beta - \lambda n + \varepsilon n I_\Phi^{-\frac{1}{n}} \Phi^{\frac{1}{n}}(F)).$$

Thanks to Lemma (4.7), the upper contact set Γ^+ of the continuous function $u\eta_{x_0}$ in the ball $B_d(x_0)$ is contained in the open subset $B_d(x_0) \setminus \overline{V_D}$, which is away from the divisor. Then we are ready to apply GAMP in the ball to have:

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sup_{B_d(x_0)} u\eta_{x_0} \leq \sup_{\partial B_d(x_0)} u\eta_{x_0} \\ &\quad + C_n d_0 \left(\int_{B_d(x_0) \cap \Omega^-} e^{2F} u^{2n} (-\underline{R}_\beta - \lambda n + \varepsilon n I_\Phi^{-\frac{1}{n}} \Phi^{\frac{1}{n}})^{2n} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where Ω^- denote the set

$$\Omega^- := \{x \in B_d(x_0); -\underline{R}_\beta - \lambda n + \varepsilon n I_\Phi^{-\frac{1}{n}} \Phi^{\frac{1}{n}} < 0\}.$$

Then there exists a constant C_9 only depending on ε , I_Φ and the smooth metric ω such that $F < C_9$ on Ω^- . As in Chen-Cheng [6], the last term is eventually bounded by the integral

$$(4.28) \quad (|\underline{R}_\beta| + \lambda n)^{2n} e^{C_9(2n\delta+2)} \int_{B_d(x_0)} e^{-\alpha\varphi} \omega^n \leq C_{10},$$

by Lemma (4.4). Therefore, we obtain

$$\sup_X u = u\eta(x_0) \leq (1 - \theta) \sup_X u + C_n d \cdot C_{10},$$

and then $\sup_X u \leq \theta^{-1} C_n d \cdot C_{10}$. Finally our result follows since the function ψ_2 is uniformly bounded on X . \square

Eventually, the C^0 -norm of the potential $\|\varphi\|_0$ is controlled by the conic entropy $H_\beta(\varphi) := \int_X F e^F \omega_\beta^n$, by the equivalence between the integral $\int_X e^F \sqrt{F^2 + 1} \omega_\beta^n$ and H_β as in [6].

4.3. Non-degeneracy estimate. The uniform upper bound of the volume form ratio $F := \log \frac{\omega_\beta^n}{\omega^n}$ is easily obtained from the inequality (4.13). In fact, for a fixed ε_0 small enough, we have

$$F \leq C_2 - \varepsilon_0 \psi_1,$$

and the result follows from Corollary (4.6).

The last issue is the lower bound of F , but we can use GAMP again as follows.

Lemma 4.8. *There exists a constant C_{11} satisfying*

$$(4.29) \quad F \geq -C_{11},$$

where the constant depends on

$$C_{11} := C_{11}(\|\varphi\|_0, X, \omega, \beta, \phi, D, \max_X |\Theta|).$$

Proof. As before, we consider a function

$$A_2(F, \varphi) := -F - \lambda\varphi + \varepsilon_2 \psi_2,$$

and put $u_2 = e^{\delta A_2}$. Assume the function u_2 achieves its maximum at the point x_1 . Pick up

$$\lambda := 2(\max_X |\Theta| + 1); \quad \delta := \frac{1}{2n}; \quad \varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{2N_1},$$

where N_1 is the uniform constant in equation (4.24). Then we have

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_\varphi(F + \lambda\varphi - \varepsilon_2 \psi_2) &= (\mathrm{tr}_\varphi \Theta - \underline{R}_\beta - \lambda \mathrm{tr}_\varphi \omega_\beta) + \lambda n - \varepsilon_2 \Delta_\varphi \psi_2 \\ &\leq -\mathrm{tr}_\varphi \omega_\beta + \underline{R}_\beta + \lambda n + \varepsilon_2 N_1 \mathrm{tr}_\varphi \omega_\beta, \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}_\varphi \omega_\beta + \underline{R}_\beta + \lambda n \end{aligned}$$

outside the support of the divisor. Following Chen-Cheng's calculation, we further see

$$(4.31) \quad \Delta_\varphi(u_2\eta_{x_1}) \geq \delta e^{\delta u_2} \left\{ \operatorname{tr}_\varphi g \left(\frac{1}{2}\delta\eta_{x_1} - \frac{2\theta}{d^2} - \frac{4\theta^2}{d^2(1-\theta)} \right) - \delta(\underline{R}_\beta + \lambda n) \right\}.$$

Choose θ sufficiently small to satisfy

$$\frac{1-\theta}{2}\delta - \frac{2\theta}{d^2} - \frac{4\theta^2}{d^2(1-\theta)} \geq 0,$$

and then we have

$$(4.32) \quad \Delta_\varphi(u_2\eta_{x_1}) \geq -\delta e^{\delta A_2}(\underline{R}_\beta + \lambda n).$$

Now observe that the function $u_2\eta_{x_1}$ is strictly subharmonic in an open neighbourhood of the divisor, by the same argument as in Lemma (4.7). Then there exists an open subset V of the ball $B_d(x_1)$ completely disjoint from the divisor, such that the upper contact set $\Gamma_{(u_2\eta_{x_1})}^+$ of the function $u_2\eta_{x_1}$ is contained in V . Therefore, we can apply GAMP to this function on the ball $B_d(x_1)$

$$(4.33) \quad e^{\delta A_2}\eta_{x_1}(x_1) \leq \sup_{\partial B_d(x_1)} e^{\delta A_2}\eta_{x_1} + C_n d \left(\int_X e^{2F} e^{-2n\delta A_2} (\underline{R}_\beta + \lambda n)^{2n} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}}.$$

However, this integral is bounded by the following

$$(4.34) \quad \int_X e^{2F} e^{-2n\delta A_2} (\underline{R}_\beta + \lambda n)^{2n} \omega^n \leq C_{12} \int_X e^{(2-2n\delta)F} \omega^n \leq C_{12} \int_X e^F \omega^n,$$

and our result follows. \square

Remark 4.9. *During the proof of the a priori estimates, the regularity condition $(\varphi, F) \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ is more than enough. In fact, we can prove our results by only assuming $(\varphi, F) \in \mathcal{C}_\beta^{1,\bar{1}}$.*

Remark 4.10. *The constant C_2 and C_3 depend on many things as listed before, but they do not actually depend on the conic background metric ω_β . In other words, if we switch our background metric to another conic metric $\tilde{\omega}_\beta$ which is isometric to ω_β , then the uniform estimate also works, with $\max_X |\Theta|$ replaced by $\max_{X \setminus \operatorname{Supp}(D)} |\operatorname{Ric}(\tilde{\omega}_\beta)|$.*

5. THE $W^{2,p}$ ESTIMATES

In this section, we want to demonstrate the estimates on the Laplacian of the potential φ for conic cscK equations. Taking $Y := X \setminus \operatorname{Supp}(D)$, the idea is to first prove the $W^{2,p}(d\mu, Y)$ estimate for φ for some measure $d\mu$, and then use the $W^{2,p}(d\mu, Y)$ norm to control the L^∞ -norm of the Laplacian.

Theorem 5.1. *For any $p \geq 1$, there exists a constant C_{14} satisfying*

$$(5.1) \quad \int_Y (\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_\beta} \omega_\varphi)^p \omega_\beta^n \leq C_{14},$$

where this constant depends on

$$C_{14} := C_{14}(p, \|\varphi\|_0, \|F\|_0, \omega_D, \omega_\beta, X, D).$$

In Chen-Cheng's proof [8] of the $W^{2,p}$ estimate, this constant C_{14} actually is related to the lower bound of the bisectional curvature $R_{\bar{i}\bar{i}j\bar{j}}$ of the background metric. However, the background metric ω_β in our case is singular, and the growth of its bisectional curvature near the divisor is not clear up to now. Therefore, we need to switch our background metric back to Donaldson's metric in this section, as in Guenancia-Păun [15]. In fact, since the two conic metrics ω_β and ω_D are quasi-isometric on X , it is enough to prove the following

$$(5.2) \quad \int_Y (\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_D} \omega_\varphi)^p \omega_D^n \leq C,$$

for some uniform constant C (may depends on p).

5.1. Conic weight function. Let Ψ_γ be an auxiliary function defined on X as

$$\Psi_\gamma := C \sum_{k=1}^d |s_k|^{2\gamma},$$

for some $\gamma < \min_k \min\{\beta_k, 1 - \beta_k\}$. Then it is the smooth limit on Y of the auxiliary function

$$\Psi_{\gamma,\varepsilon} := C \sum_{k=1}^d \chi_\gamma(\varepsilon^2 + |s_k|^2),$$

constructed in [15]. Then the function Ψ_γ is clearly $C_{15}\omega_D$ -psh for another uniform constant C_{15} , i.e. we have

$$(5.3) \quad C_{15}\omega_D + dd^c\Psi_\gamma \geq 0,$$

on X . Let $\Theta_\omega(T_X)$ denote the Chern curvature tensor of (T_X, ω) . The following inequality is proved in [15]:

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{\omega_{D,\varepsilon}}(T_X) \geq -(C_{16}\omega_{D,\varepsilon} + dd^c\Psi_{\gamma,\varepsilon}) \otimes \mathrm{Id}.$$

In a normal coordinate of the metric $\omega_{D,\varepsilon}$, we can re-write the above inequality as

$$R_{\bar{i}\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(\omega_{D,\varepsilon}) \geq -(C_{16} + \Psi_{\varepsilon,\bar{i}\bar{i}}); \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\bar{i}\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(\omega_{D,\varepsilon}) \geq -(C_{16} + \Psi_{\varepsilon,j\bar{j}}).$$

Therefore, the following holds on Y in a normal coordinate of ω_D :

$$(5.4) \quad R_{\bar{i}\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(\omega_D) \geq -(C_{16} + \Psi_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}); \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\bar{i}\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(\omega_D) \geq -(C_{16} + \Psi_{j\bar{j}}),$$

since everything converges smoothly outside the divisor. Moreover, if we put

$$h_\varepsilon := -\log \left(\frac{\prod_{k=1}^d (\varepsilon^2 + |s_k|^2)^{1-\beta_k} \omega_{D,\varepsilon}^n}{dV} \right)$$

for some smooth volume form dV , then the following also holds by the calculation in [15]

$$C_{17}\omega_{D,\varepsilon} + dd^c\Psi_{\gamma,\varepsilon} \geq dd^c h_\varepsilon \geq -(C_{17}\omega_{D,\varepsilon} + dd^c\Psi_{\gamma,\varepsilon}),$$

for some uniform constant C_{17} . Taking the limit, we have the following estimate on Y

$$(5.5) \quad C_{17}\omega_D + dd^c\Psi_\gamma \geq dd^c h \geq -(C_{17}\omega_D + dd^c\Psi_\gamma),$$

where the function $h := \log \left(\frac{\omega_D^n}{\omega_D^n} \right)$ is defined on Y . In fact, a direct computation shows

$$(5.6) \quad \partial\bar{\partial}|s_k|_{\phi_k}^{2-2\beta_k} = \beta_k^2 \frac{\partial^{\phi_k} s_k \wedge \overline{\partial^{\phi_k} s_k} e^{-\phi_k}}{|s_k|_{\phi_k}^{2-2\beta_k}} - \beta_k |s_k|_{\phi_k}^{2\beta_k} \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_k,$$

and then one obtains

$$(5.7) \quad e^{-h} dV = \sum_L \sum_{I,J} \left(\prod_{i \in I} |s_i|_{\phi_j}^{2-2\beta_i} \right) \left(\prod_{j \in J} |\partial^{\phi_j} s_j|_{\phi_j}^2 \right) \wedge \left(\prod_{l \in L} |s_l|_{\phi_l}^{2\beta_l} \partial\bar{\partial}\phi_l \right) \varrho,$$

where $\{I, J\}$ is any partition of the set $\{1, \dots, d\}$, L is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with possibly repeating indices, and ϱ is a smooth function. At a point p near the divisor, we can assume $\partial\phi_k(p) = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq d$. Therefore, the growth of its gradient can be computed as

$$\partial_k h = O(|z_k|^{-\max\{1-2\beta_k, 2\beta_k-1\}})$$

for $1 \leq k \leq d$, and $\partial_p h = O(1)$ for $d < p \leq n$. Moreover, its complex Hessian can be estimated as

$$\partial_p \partial_{\bar{p}} h = O(|z_p|^{2\alpha_p}); \quad \partial_p \partial_{\bar{q}} h = O(|z_p|^{\alpha'_p} |z_q|^{\alpha'_q}),$$

where $\alpha_p \in \{1 - \beta_p, \beta_p\}$ and $\alpha'_p \in \{\frac{1}{2} - \beta_p, \beta_p - \frac{1}{2}\}$ for all $1 \leq p, q \leq d$.

5.2. Switching background metrics. In the following, we will slightly change our notations. Let (ψ, G) be a $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ -conic cscK pair for (X, D) , i.e. they satisfy the coupled equations (4.1) and (4.3).

Take a new potential $\varphi := \psi + \psi_\beta - \psi_D$, and a new function $F := G + h$. The the new potential φ is also in $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, and it satisfies

$$\omega_\varphi := \omega_D + dd^c\varphi = \omega_\beta + dd^c\psi,$$

and the function F is uniformly bounded, but it may not be in $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ anymore. The two coupled cscK equations (2.6), (2.7) can be re-written as

$$(5.8) \quad (\omega_D + dd^c\varphi)^n = e^F \omega_D^n;$$

$$(5.9) \quad \Delta_\varphi F = \text{tr}_\varphi(\Theta + dd^c h) - \underline{R}_\beta.$$

In fact, the $(1, 1)$ closed form $(\Theta + dd^c h)|_Y$ is the restriction of the curvature $\text{Ric}(\omega_D)$ on Y . From now on, we will adapt to the following conventions:

- denote g, ∇ and Δ with respect to the background metric ω_D ;
- denote $g_\varphi, \nabla_\varphi$ and Δ_φ with respect to the target metric ω_φ .

In order to manipulate the integration by parts on Y , we need to introduce a suitable cut off function. Let $\rho : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ be a function defined by

$$\rho(x) := \log \left(-\log \left(\prod_{k=1}^d |s_k(x)|^2 \right) \right),$$

where we normalise the sections $\tau := \prod_{k=1}^d |s_k(x)|^2 < e^{-1}$. Let $\eta_\varepsilon : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth non-decreasing function, such that $\eta(x) = 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ and $\eta(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 2$. Then the following cut off function is considered in Berndtsson's work [2]

$$\theta_\varepsilon(x) := 1 - \eta(\varepsilon \rho(x)),$$

and it is equal to 1 whenever $\tau \geq e^{-e^{1/\varepsilon}}$ and 0 if $\tau \leq e^{-e^{2/\varepsilon}}$.

Moreover, its gradient is

$$(5.10) \quad \partial \theta_\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon \eta'}{-\log \tau} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial^{\phi_k} s_k}{s_k} \right).$$

The positive $(1, 1)$ form $\partial \theta_\varepsilon \wedge \bar{\partial} \theta_\varepsilon$ is only supported near the divisor, and we have its integrability with respect to the model cone metric

$$(5.11) \quad \int_X \frac{1}{(\log \tau)^2} \sum_{k,l=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial^{\phi_k} s_k}{s_k} \right) \wedge \overline{\left(\frac{\partial^{\phi_l} s_l}{s_l} \right)} \wedge \omega_{cone}^{n-1} < +\infty.$$

Then it is easy to see that the following property holds:

$$(5.12) \quad \int_X d\theta_\varepsilon \wedge d^c \theta_\varepsilon \wedge \Omega_\beta^{n-1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

for any conic Kähler metric Ω_β on X . Moreover, this implies the following

$$(5.13) \quad \int_X d\theta_\varepsilon \wedge d^c F \wedge \Omega_\beta^{n-1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

for any function F with $|\partial F|_{\Omega_\beta}^2 \in L^2(\Omega_\beta^n)$. These properties will be crucial for our later calculation.

Proof of Theorem (5.1). As discussed before, it is enough to prove the $W^{2,p}$ estimate with respect to the new background metric ω_D (equation (5.2)). Let $\kappa > 0, C > 0$ be constants to be determined later.

According to Guenancia-Păun's trick, the following Laplacian can be estimated on Y as

$$(5.14) \quad \Delta_\varphi \log(n + \Delta\varphi) \geq -C_{16} \text{tr}_\varphi g + \frac{\Delta F}{n + \Delta\varphi} - \Delta_\varphi \Psi,$$

where $\Psi := \Psi_\gamma$ is the conic weight function. Denote a function $A(\varphi, F) := -\kappa(F + C\varphi) + (\kappa + 1)\Psi$, and then compute

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & e^{-A} \Delta_\varphi (e^A (n + \Delta\varphi)) \geq (n + \Delta\varphi) \Delta_\varphi (A + \log(n + \Delta\varphi)) \\ & \geq (n + \Delta\varphi) \{ (\kappa C - C_{16}) \text{tr}_\varphi g - \kappa \text{tr}_\varphi \Theta + \kappa (\underline{R}_\beta - Cn) \\ & + \kappa \cdot \text{tr}_\varphi (dd^c \Psi - dd^c h) + (n + \Delta\varphi)^{-1} \Delta F \} \\ & \geq \frac{\kappa C}{4} \text{tr}_\varphi g (n + \Delta\varphi) + \Delta F - \kappa C_{18} (n + \Delta\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

where we choose the constant $\kappa \geq 1$ and

$$C := 4(\max |\Theta|_g + C_{17} + C_{16} + 1).$$

Here we used equations (5.4) and (5.5).

Let $p > 1$, and $0 < \delta < (p - 1)/10$, denote $v := e^A (n + \Delta\varphi)$ as an L^∞ function on X , and then we have

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & (p - 1) \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-2} |\nabla_\varphi v|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n \\ & = \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} (-\Delta_\varphi v) \omega_\varphi^n - 2 \int_X (v \nabla_\varphi \theta_\varepsilon) \cdot_\varphi (\theta_\varepsilon \nabla_\varphi v) v^{p-2} \omega_\varphi^n \\ & \leq \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} (-\Delta_\varphi v) \omega_\varphi^n + \delta \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-2} |\nabla_\varphi v|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n + \delta^{-1} \text{I}_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where the last term is

$$\text{I}_\varepsilon := \int_X |\nabla_\varphi \theta_\varepsilon|_\varphi^2 v^p \omega_\varphi^n = \int_X v^p d\theta_\varepsilon \wedge d^c \theta_\varepsilon \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & (p - 1 - \delta) \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-2} |\nabla_\varphi v|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n \\ & \leq \delta^{-1} \text{I}_\varepsilon - \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} \left(\frac{\kappa C}{4} v \text{tr}_\varphi g + e^A \Delta F - \kappa C_{18} v \right). \end{aligned}$$

We will handle the term involving ΔF as in Chen-Cheng [8]

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} e^A \Delta F \omega_\varphi^n = - \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 e^{(1-\kappa)F - \kappa C\varphi + (\kappa+1)\Psi} \Delta F \omega_D^n \\ & = - \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} e^{(1-\kappa)F - \kappa C\varphi + (\kappa+1)\Psi} \frac{1}{1-\kappa} \Delta((1-\kappa)F - \kappa C\varphi + (1+\kappa)\Psi) \omega_D^n \\ & - \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} e^{(1-\kappa)F - \kappa C\varphi + (\kappa+1)\Psi} \frac{\kappa C \Delta\varphi - (1+\kappa)\Delta\Psi}{1-\kappa} \omega_D^n. \end{aligned}$$

Put $B(\varphi, F, \Psi) := (1 - \kappa)F - \kappa C\varphi + (\kappa + 1)\Psi$, and $0 < \delta_1 < 1$ small. For the first term on the RHS of equation (5.18), we use the integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} e^B \frac{1}{1 - \kappa} \Delta((1 - \kappa)F - \kappa C\varphi + (1 + \kappa)\Psi) \omega_D^n \\
= & - \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 \frac{v^{p-1} e^B}{\kappa - 1} |\nabla((1 - \kappa)F - \kappa C\varphi + (1 + \kappa)\Psi)|^2 \omega_D^n \\
& - \int_X \frac{p-1}{\kappa-1} \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-2} e^B \nabla v \cdot \nabla \{(1 - \kappa)F - \kappa C\varphi + (1 + \kappa)\Psi\} \omega_D^n \\
& - 2 \int_X \frac{v^{p-1} e^B}{\kappa-1} \nabla \theta_\varepsilon \cdot \{\theta_\varepsilon \nabla((1 - \kappa)F - \kappa C\varphi + (1 + \kappa)\Psi)\} \omega_D^n \\
\leq & \frac{(p-1)^2}{2(1-\delta_1)(\kappa-1)} \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-3} e^B |\nabla v|^2 \omega_D^n + \delta_1^{-1} \Pi_\varepsilon \\
\leq & \frac{(p-1)^2}{2(1-\delta_1)(\kappa-1)} \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-2} |\nabla_\varphi v|^2 \omega_\varphi^n + \delta_1^{-1} \Pi_\varepsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

where the last term is

$$\Pi_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{\kappa-1} \int_X v^{p-1} e^B |\nabla \theta_\varepsilon|^2 \omega_D^n.$$

Here we used the inequality

$$(5.20) \quad -2 \frac{v^{p-1} e^B}{\kappa-1} \nabla \theta_\varepsilon \cdot (\theta_\varepsilon \nabla B) \leq \delta_1 \frac{\theta_\varepsilon^2 e^B v^{p-1}}{\kappa-1} |\nabla B|^2 + \frac{v^{p-1} e^B}{\delta_1 (\kappa-1)} |\nabla \theta_\varepsilon|^2,$$

and

$$(5.21) \quad -\frac{p-1}{\kappa-1} v^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla B \leq \frac{(1-\delta_1)v^{p-1}}{2(\kappa-1)} |\nabla B|^2 + \frac{(p-1)^2 v^{p-3} |\nabla v|^2}{2(1-\delta_1)(\kappa-1)}.$$

Picking up $\delta_1 := \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} e^A \Delta F \omega_\varphi^n \leq \frac{(p-1)^2}{(\kappa-1)} \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-2} |\nabla_\varphi v|^2 \omega_\varphi^n + 2\Pi_\varepsilon \\
(5.22) \quad & + \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} e^A \frac{\kappa C \Delta \varphi - (1 + \kappa) \Delta \Psi}{\kappa - 1} \omega_\varphi^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging equation (5.22) back to (5.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_X \left(p - 1 - \delta - \frac{(p-1)^2}{\kappa-1} \right) \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-2} |\nabla_\varphi v|^2 \omega_\varphi^n \\
\leq & - \int_X \frac{\kappa C}{4} \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^p (\text{tr}_\varphi g) \omega_\varphi^n + \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} e^A \left(\kappa C_{18} (n + \Delta \varphi) + \frac{\kappa C}{\kappa-1} \Delta \varphi \right) \omega_\varphi^n \\
& + \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} e^A \frac{\kappa+1}{\kappa-1} (-\Delta \Psi) \omega_\varphi^n + \delta^{-1} \text{I}_\varepsilon + 2\Pi_\varepsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Picking up $\kappa \geq 2$, we see

$$\kappa C_{18}(n + \Delta\varphi) + \frac{\kappa C}{\kappa - 1} \Delta\varphi \leq \kappa(C_{18} + C)(n + \Delta\varphi),$$

and by equation (5.3)

$$\theta_\varepsilon^2 \frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa - 1} (-\Delta\Psi) \leq 3nC_{15}\theta_\varepsilon^2 \leq C_{19}\theta_\varepsilon^2(n + \Delta\varphi),$$

where the constant C_{19} depends on the uniform lower bound of F . Eventually, we come up with

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \left(p - 1 - \delta - \frac{(p-1)^2}{\kappa-1} \right) \theta_\varepsilon^2 |\nabla_\varphi v|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n + \int_X \frac{\kappa C}{4} \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^p (\text{tr}_\varphi g) \omega_\varphi^n \\ & \leq \int_X \kappa \theta_\varepsilon^2 (C_{18} + C + C_{19}) v^p \omega_\varphi^n + \delta^{-1} \mathbb{I}_\varepsilon + 2\mathbb{II}_\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

Take the number $\kappa := \max\{2, 10p/9\}$, and then by our choice of δ , we have

$$p - 1 - \delta - \frac{(p-1)^2}{\kappa-1} \geq 0.$$

Drop the positive term in equation (5.24) involving $|\nabla_\varphi v|_\varphi^2$, and then one obtains

$$(5.25) \quad \int_X \frac{\kappa C}{4} \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^p (\text{tr}_\varphi g) \omega_\varphi^n \leq C_{20} \int_X \kappa \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^p \omega_\varphi^n + \delta^{-1} \mathbb{I}_\varepsilon + 2\mathbb{II}_\varepsilon.$$

For fixing δ , we let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and then the two error terms converges to zero by equation (5.12), and we have

$$(5.26) \quad \int_Y v^p (\text{tr}_\varphi g) \omega_\varphi^n \leq C_{20} \int_Y v^p \omega_\varphi^n$$

Moreover, since $(n + \Delta\varphi) \leq e^F (\text{tr}_\varphi g)^{n-1}$ on Y , we have from the definition of v that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Y e^{(\frac{n-2}{n-1} - \kappa p)F + p(\kappa+1)\Psi - p\kappa C\varphi} (n + \Delta\varphi)^{p + \frac{1}{n-1}} \omega_D^n \\ (5.27) \quad & \leq C_{20} \int_Y e^{(1-\kappa p)F + p(\kappa+1)\Psi - p\kappa C\varphi} (n + \Delta\varphi)^p \omega_D^n \end{aligned}$$

Let C_{21} be a constant such that $\|\varphi\|_0, \|F\|_0, \|\Psi\|_0 < C_{21}$, and then we have

$$(5.28) \quad \int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi)^{p + \frac{1}{n-1}} \omega_D^n \leq C_{22} e^{(\kappa p + p)C_{21}} \int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi)^p \omega_D^n,$$

where the uniform constant C_{22} does not depend on p or κ .

By induction, we can conclude our theorem if there exists a $p_0 > 1$ such that the integral

$$\int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi)^{p_0} \omega_D^n$$

is uniformly bounded, and we claim that this is true for $p_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{n-1}$.

In fact, take a sequence of real numbers $1 < p_i < 1.5$ such that $p_i \searrow 1$. Then for each p_i , we can take $\kappa = 2$, and then there exists a constant C_{23} to satisfy

$$C_{22}e^{(2p_i+2)C_{21}} \leq C_{23},$$

for all i .

By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$(5.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi)^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}} \omega_D^n &\leq \int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi)^{p_i+\frac{1}{n-1}} \omega_D^n \\ &\leq C_{23} \int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi)^{p_i} \omega_D^n, \end{aligned}$$

but the last term is converging to the following by the dominate convergence theorem as $i \rightarrow +\infty$

$$(5.30) \quad \int_X (n + \Delta\varphi) \omega_D^n = \int_X (\omega + dd^c(\psi_D + \varphi)) \wedge (\omega + dd^c\psi_D)^{n-1} = n.$$

Here we used Stoke's theorem for L^∞ quasi-plurisubharmonic functions. Therefore, for all i large enough, we have

$$\int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi)^{p_i} \omega_D^n \leq n + 1.$$

The claim is proved, and our result follows. \square

6. THE LAPLACIAN ESTIMATE

Recall our notations in the previous section. Let (ψ, G) be a $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ -conic cscK pair on (X, D) , with respect to the background metric ω_β , and a new pair (φ, F) be its reformulation with respect to Donaldson's metric ω_D , i.e. they satisfy equations (5.8) and (5.9). Their relations are $\varphi = \psi - \psi_D + \psi_\beta$, $F = G + h$. However, it is important that our target metric remains the same as

$$\omega_\varphi := \omega_D + dd^c\varphi = \omega_\beta + dd^c\psi.$$

Moreover, we adapt to the following conventions:

- denote g, ∇, Δ as the Riemannian metric, gradient, and Laplacian with respect to ω_D ;
- denote $g_\beta, \nabla_\beta, \Delta_\beta$ with respect to the background metric ω_β ;
- denote $g_\varphi, \nabla_\varphi, \Delta_\varphi$ with respect to ω_φ .

The two background metrics ω_D and ω_β are actually quasi-isometric to each other on X , and then there exists a uniform constant C_{24} to satisfy

$$C_{24}^{-1} \text{tr}_{\omega_D} \omega_\varphi \leq \text{tr}_{\omega_\beta} \omega_\varphi \leq C_{24} \text{tr}_{\omega_D} \omega_\varphi,$$

equivalently

$$(6.1) \quad C_{24}^{-1}(n + \Delta\varphi) \leq (n + \Delta_\beta\psi) \leq C_{24}(n + \Delta\varphi).$$

Before proceeding to the C^2 -estimate, we need a different version of the Sobolev inequality for conic metrics. Recall the set $Y := X \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$, and then we proved the following.

Lemma 6.1. *Let u be any smooth function on Y satisfying $\sup_Y |u| < +\infty$. For any $1 < p \leq 2$ and $q = \frac{2np}{2n-p}$, we have*

$$(6.2) \quad \left(\int_Y |u|^q \omega_D^n \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_{sob,D} \left\{ \left(\int_Y |\nabla_g u|^p \omega_D^n \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_Y |u|^p \omega_D^n \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\},$$

for some uniform constant $C_{sob,D}$.

Proof. It is enough to argue in an open neighbourhood U of a point $p \in \text{Supp}(D)$, and the general case follows in the standard way by using a partition of unity. Suppose (z_1, \dots, z_n) is a holomorphic coordinate chart on U , such that p is its origin and the defining function of the support of the divisor is $\{z_1 \cdots z_d = 0\}$. Recall that Donaldson's polar coordinate is a bijection $\Xi : B_1(0) \rightarrow U$ as

$$(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d, z_{d+1}, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (|\zeta_1|^{\frac{1}{\beta_1}-1} \zeta_1, \dots, |\zeta_d|^{\frac{1}{\beta_d}-1} \zeta_d, z_{d+1}, \dots, z_n).$$

This map Ξ is a bijection, diffeomorphism outside of the divisor, but it is no longer holomorphic. Moreover, the pull back of the conic Kähler metric $\Xi^* \omega_D$ is quasi-isometric to the Euclidean metric on Donaldson's polar coordinate. Therefore, it is enough to prove the following inequality

$$(6.3) \quad \left(\int_{B_1 \setminus D} u^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_{B_1 \setminus D} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + C \left(\int_{B_1 \setminus D} |u|^p \right),$$

for some uniform constant C .

Let θ_ε be our previous cut-off function introduced on Donaldson's polar coordinate, and then apply the Sobolev inequality, with exponent p , to the smooth function $\theta_\varepsilon u$ on B_1 to have

$$(6.4) \quad \left(\int_{B_1} |\theta_\varepsilon u|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_{B_1} |\nabla(\theta_\varepsilon u)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + C \left(\int_{B_1} |\theta_\varepsilon u|^p \right).$$

The only issue is on the gradient term while taking convergence of the above equation. Thanks to the Minkowski inequality, this gradient term is controlled by

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{B_1} |\theta_\varepsilon \nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{B_1} |u \nabla \theta_\varepsilon|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{B_1} |\theta_\varepsilon \nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \|u\|_{L^\infty} \int_{B_1} C d\theta_\varepsilon \wedge d^c \theta_\varepsilon \wedge \omega_{Euc}^n. \end{aligned}$$

The last term on the RHS of equation (6.5) converges to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and equation (6.3) is proved.

□

Then we can prove the following C^2 -estimate for conic cscK metrics.

Theorem 6.2. *There exists $p_n > 0$ only depending on the dimension n , such that*

$$(6.6) \quad \max_X |\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2 + \max_X (n + \Delta_\beta \psi) \leq C_{25},$$

where the constant C_{25} depends on the following $\|\varphi\|_0$, $\|G\|_0$, $\|h\|_0$, $\|\psi_D\|_0$, $\|\psi_\beta\|_0$, $\|n + \Delta\varphi\|_{L^{p_n}(\omega_D^n)}$, $(X, \omega_D, \omega_\beta)$ and (D, ϕ) .

Since the two background metrics are quasi-isometric, and the functions G, φ, ψ are all in $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, it is enough to prove that the following estimate holds:

$$(6.7) \quad \max_Y |\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2 + \max_Y (n + \Delta\varphi) \leq C_{25}.$$

The reason to switch the two background metrics back and forth is as follows: on the one hand, the Ricci curvature $Ric(\omega_\beta)$ is smooth and uniformly bounded outside the divisor, but its bisectional curvature is not completely clear for normal crossing divisors; on the other hand, the growth of the bisectional curvature $R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(\omega_D)$ is clear, but its Ricci curvature $Ric(\omega_D)$ is no longer bounded under the conic metric ω_D . In fact, the norm $|\partial h|_{\omega_{cone}}^2$ is not bounded if $\beta > 2/3$.

Since $G \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, the first term $|\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2$ is an L^∞ function on X . Then we invoke Chen-Cheng's C^2 -estimates [7], [8], and compute on Y to obtain

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Delta_\varphi (e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2) &\geq 2 \nabla_\varphi G \cdot_\varphi \nabla_\varphi (\Delta_\varphi G) + g_\varphi^{\bar{q}p} g_\varphi^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \Theta_{p\bar{\beta}} G_\alpha G_{\bar{q}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2 (-\underline{R}_\beta + \text{tr}_\varphi \Theta) + g_\varphi^{\bar{q}p} g_\varphi^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} G_{\alpha\bar{q}} G_{p\bar{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact $Ric(\omega_\beta) = \Theta$ on Y , and then we have

$$(6.9) \quad \text{tr}_\varphi \Theta - \underline{R}_\beta \geq -C_{26}(1 + \text{tr}_\varphi \omega_\beta) \geq -C_{26}(1 + e^{-G}(n + \Delta_\beta \psi)^{n-1}),$$

and also

$$(6.10) \quad g_\varphi^{\bar{q}p} g_\varphi^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} \Theta_{p\bar{\beta}} G_\alpha G_{\bar{q}} \geq -C_{27} |\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2 (n + \Delta_\beta \psi)^{n-1}.$$

Then we came up with the following by equation (6.1)

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_\varphi (e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2) &\geq 2e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \nabla_\varphi G \cdot_\varphi \nabla_\varphi (\Delta_\varphi G) + \frac{1}{C_{28}} g_\varphi^{\bar{q}p} g_\varphi^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} G_{\alpha\bar{q}} G_{p\bar{\beta}} \\ &- C_{28}(1 + (n + \Delta\varphi)^{n-1}) |\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2. \end{aligned}$$

A key observation is that the positive term in equation (6.11) is actually the L^2 -norm under the target metric ω_φ of the complex Hessian of G , and then it can be re-written in ω_D -normal coordinate as

$$g_\varphi^{\bar{q}p} g_\varphi^{\bar{\beta}\alpha} G_{\alpha\bar{q}} G_{p\bar{\beta}} = |\partial\bar{\partial}G|_{g_\varphi}^2 = \frac{|G_{i\bar{j}}|^2}{(1 + \varphi_{i\bar{i}})(1 + \varphi_{j\bar{j}})}.$$

6.1. **The C^2 estimate.** From now on, we stick to the background metric ω_D . Let Ψ_γ be the auxiliary function used in Guenancia-Păun's trick, and we recall the following inequality

$$\Delta_\varphi \log(n + \Delta\varphi) \geq -C_{16} \text{tr}_\varphi g + \frac{\Delta F}{n + \Delta\varphi} - \Delta_\varphi \Psi.$$

Then we have by equations (5.5) and (5.3)

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2\Psi} \Delta_\varphi (e^{2\Psi} (n + \Delta\varphi)) &\geq -C_{16} (n + \Delta\varphi) \text{tr}_\varphi g + \Delta G \\ &\quad + \Delta h + (n + \Delta\varphi) \Delta_\varphi \Psi \\ (6.12) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\geq -(C_{16} + C_{17}) (n + \Delta\varphi) \text{tr}_\varphi g + \Delta G \\ &\geq -C_{29} (n + \Delta\varphi)^n - \frac{1}{C_{28}} \frac{|G_{i\bar{i}}|^2}{(1 + \varphi_{i\bar{i}})^2} \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the inequality

$$\text{tr}_{\omega_D} (C_{17} \omega_D + dd^c \Psi) \leq \text{tr}_{\omega_D} \omega_\varphi \cdot (C_{17} \text{tr}_\varphi g + \Delta_\varphi \Psi).$$

Put

$$u := e^{\frac{G}{2}} |\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2 + (n + \Delta\varphi) + 1.$$

Combining with equations (6.11) and (6.12), we obtain

$$(6.13) \qquad \Delta_\varphi u \geq 2e^{\frac{G}{2}} \nabla_\varphi G \cdot_\varphi \nabla_\varphi (\Delta_\varphi G) - C_{30} (n + \Delta\varphi)^{n-1} u.$$

Proof of Theorem (6.2). We will do integration by parts for the first term on the RHS of equation (6.13) as follows. Let $p > 0$, and we use the previous cut off function to have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.14) \qquad 2p \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p-1} |\nabla_\varphi u|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n &= \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p} (-\Delta_\varphi u) \omega_\varphi^n \\ &\quad - 2 \int_X (u \nabla_\varphi \theta_\varepsilon) \cdot_\varphi (\theta_\varepsilon \nabla_\varphi u) u^{2p-1} \omega_\varphi^n, \end{aligned}$$

and then we have by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (6.15) \qquad p \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p-1} |\nabla_\varphi u|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n &\leq C_{30} \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 (n + \Delta\varphi)^{n-1} u^{2p+1} \omega_\varphi^n \\ &\quad - 2 \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 e^{\frac{G}{2}} \nabla_\varphi G \cdot_\varphi \nabla_\varphi (\Delta_\varphi G) u^{2p} \omega_\varphi^n + p^{-1} \text{IV}_\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where the error term is

$$\text{IV}_\varepsilon := \int_X u^{2p+1} d\theta_\varepsilon \wedge d^c \theta_\varepsilon \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1}.$$

Then perform the integration by parts as

$$(6.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & -2 \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 e^{\frac{G}{2}} \nabla_\varphi G \cdot_\varphi \nabla_\varphi (\Delta_\varphi G) u^{2p} \omega_\varphi^n = \int_X 4p \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p-1} e^{\frac{G}{2}} \Delta_\varphi G (\nabla_\varphi G \cdot_\varphi \nabla_\varphi u) \omega_\varphi^n \\ & + \int_X 2\theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p} e^{\frac{G}{2}} (\Delta_\varphi G)^2 \omega_\varphi^n + \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p} e^{\frac{G}{2}} |\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2 \Delta_\varphi G \omega_\varphi^n + V_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where the error term is

$$V_\varepsilon := 4 \int_X \theta_\varepsilon e^{\frac{G}{2}} u^{2p} (\Delta_\varphi G) d\theta_\varepsilon \wedge d^c G \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1}.$$

This error $V_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ by equation (5.13). Then we further use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain

$$(6.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & 4p \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p-1} e^{\frac{G}{2}} \Delta_\varphi G (\nabla_\varphi G \cdot_\varphi \nabla_\varphi u) \omega_\varphi^n \leq \frac{p}{2} \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p-1} |\nabla_\varphi u|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n \\ & + 8p \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p} e^{\frac{G}{2}} (\Delta_\varphi G)^2 \omega_\varphi^n. \end{aligned}$$

Eventually we have

$$(6.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & -2 \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 e^{\frac{G}{2}} \nabla_\varphi G \cdot_\varphi \nabla_\varphi (\Delta_\varphi G) u^{2p} \omega_\varphi^n \leq \frac{p}{2} \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p-1} |\nabla_\varphi u|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n \\ & + (8p+2) \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p} e^{\frac{G}{2}} (\Delta_\varphi G)^2 \omega_\varphi^n + \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p+1} \Delta_\varphi G \omega_\varphi^n + V_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Combined with equation (6.15), one obtains

$$(6.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{p}{2} \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p-1} |\nabla_\varphi u|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n \leq C_{30} \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 (n + \Delta\varphi)^{n-1} u^{2p+1} \omega_\varphi^n \\ & + (8p+2) \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p} e^{\frac{G}{2}} (\Delta_\varphi G)^2 \omega_\varphi^n + \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p+1} \Delta_\varphi G \omega_\varphi^n + V_\varepsilon + p^{-1} IV_\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

For fixed p and any ε small enough, the RHS of equation (6.19) is bounded from the above by

$$(6.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & C_{30} \int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi)^{n-1} u^{2p+1} \omega_\varphi^n + (8p+2) \int_Y u^{2p} e^{\frac{G}{2}} (\Delta_\varphi G)^2 \omega_\varphi^n \\ & + \int_Y u^{2p+1} |\Delta_\varphi G| \omega_\varphi^n + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the LHS is uniformly bounded from the above, and it is monotony increasing to

$$\frac{p}{2} \int_Y u^{2p-1} |\nabla_\varphi u|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n,$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence we can take $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ simultaneously on the both sides of equation (6.19) to obtain

$$(6.21) \quad p \int_Y u^{2p-1} |\nabla_\varphi u|_\varphi^2 \omega_D^n \leq C_{31}(p+1) \int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi)^{2n-2} u^{2p+1} \omega_D^n,$$

and then we have

$$(6.22) \quad \int_Y |\nabla_\varphi(u^{p+\frac{1}{2}})|_\varphi^2 \omega_D^n \leq \frac{(p+\frac{1}{2})^2(p+1)}{p} \int_Y C_{31}(n + \Delta\varphi)^{2n-2} u^{2p+1} \omega_D^n.$$

Take $0 < \delta < 2$ and $v := u^{p+\frac{1}{2}}$. For $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we apply Hölder's inequality as in Chen-Cheng [6] to obtain

$$(6.23) \quad \left(\int_Y |\nabla v|^{2-\delta} \omega_D^n \right)^{\frac{2}{2-\delta}} \leq p^2 K_\delta C_{32} \left(\int_Y v^{2+\delta} \omega_D^n \right)^{\frac{2}{2+\delta}},$$

where the constant is

$$K_\delta := n^{\frac{\delta}{2-\delta}} \left(\int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi)^{\frac{2-\delta}{\delta}} \omega_D^n \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2-\delta}} \cdot \left(\int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi)^{\frac{(2n-2)(2+\delta)}{\delta}} \omega_D^n \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2+\delta}}.$$

Here v is an L^∞ function on X , and then we can invoke Lemma (6.1), with the Sobolev exponent $p = 2 - \delta$ to have

$$\|v\|_{L^\mu(\omega_D^n)} \leq C_{sob,D} \left\{ \|\nabla v\|_{L^{2-\delta}(\omega_D^n)} + \|v\|_{L^{2-\delta}(\omega_D^n)} \right\},$$

with $\mu := \frac{2n(2-\delta)}{2n-2+\delta}$. Therefore, we eventually obtain the following by the Hölder inequality

$$(6.24) \quad \left(\int_Y u^{p+\frac{1}{2}} \omega_D^n \right)^{\frac{2}{\mu}} \leq p C_{32,\delta} \left(\int_Y u^{(p+\frac{1}{2})(2+\delta)} \omega_D^n \right)^{\frac{2}{2+\delta}}.$$

Pick δ small enough to satisfy

$$\frac{2n(2-\delta)}{2n-2+\delta} > 2 + \delta,$$

and then by the standard iteration technique, we have

$$(6.25) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_{33,\delta} \|u\|_{L^1(\omega_D^n)}^{\frac{1}{2+\delta}} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1+\delta}{2+\delta}},$$

where the constant $C_{33,\delta}$ is uniformly bounded if $C_{32,\delta}$ is. Therefore, the L^∞ -norm of u is controlled by the $L^1(\omega_D^n)$ -norm of u . It is easy to see that $(n + \Delta\varphi) \in L^1(\omega_D^n)$, and we claim that $e^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} |\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2 \in L^1_{\omega_D^n}$.

In fact, the following integral is zero by introducing the cut off function θ_ε and use equation (5.13) to let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$(6.26) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_Y \Delta_\varphi(G^2) \omega_\varphi^n = \int_Y e^G |\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2 \omega_\beta^n + \int_Y G e^G (-\underline{R}_\beta + \text{tr}_\varphi \Theta) \omega_\beta^n,$$

and then we have

$$(6.27) \quad \int_Y e^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} |\nabla_\varphi G|_\varphi^2 \omega_D^n \leq C_{34} \int_Y (1 + \text{tr}_\varphi g_\beta) \omega_\beta^n \leq C_{34}(n+1),$$

since the two background metrics ω_D and ω_β are quasi-isometric. \square

Remark 6.3. *According to our proof, all the a priori estimates, including the C^0 -estimate, non-degeneracy estimate, $W^{2,p}$ and C^2 estimates, still hold if we only assume that the cscK pair $(\varphi, F) \in \mathcal{C}_\beta^{1,\bar{1}}$ in the beginning.*

7. THE TWISTED CASE

Let (X, D) be a log smooth klt pair, and $D := \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - \beta_k) D_k$ as before. We consider a slightly different version of the conic cscK metric in this section.

Fix a closed $(1, 1)$ form τ_0 on Y , such that $|\tau_0|_{\omega_D}$ is an L^∞ function on X . Let (φ, F, f) be a triple of function in the space $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}(X, D) \cap C^\infty(Y)$, and we consider the following equation

$$(7.1) \quad (\omega_\beta + dd^c \varphi)^n = e^F \omega_\beta^n;$$

$$(7.2) \quad \Delta_\varphi F = \text{tr}_\varphi(\Theta - \tau) - R,$$

and we further assume the following conditions:

- $\tau := \tau_0 + dd^c f \geq 0$;
- $\sup_X f = 0$ and e^{-f} is uniformly bounded in $L^{p_0}(\omega_\beta^n)$ -norm, for some $p_0 > 1$;
- R is a uniformly bounded function.

For later use, we also re-write equation (7.2) as

$$(7.3) \quad \Delta_\varphi(F + f) = \text{tr}_\varphi(\Theta - \tau_0) - R.$$

Now we are going to prove all the a priori estimates for this twisted equation. However, the difficulty is that we do not have a uniform upper bound for the $(1, 1)$ form τ . Therefore, it is not reasonable to require the Laplacian estimate anymore.

The proofs of the following a priori estimates are very similar with our previous arguments. Therefore, we will only sketch the proof and emphasize the place where the twisting function f brings a change.

7.1. The C^0 -estimate. In this section, we do need to assume the positivity of τ as in Chen-Cheng [8]. Let ψ_1 be the $\mathcal{C}_\beta^{1,\bar{1}}$ -conic auxiliary function constructed in equation (4.3), and we have the following.

Lemma 7.1. *For any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough, there exists a constant C_{35} to satisfy*

$$F + f + \varepsilon_0 \psi_1 - 4(\max_X |\Theta - \tau_0|_g + 1)\varphi \leq C_{35},$$

where the constant depends on

$$C_{35} := C_{35} \left(\varepsilon_0, \int_X F e^F \omega_\beta^n, \max_X |\tau_0|_g, \|R\|_0, \omega_\beta, X, D, \phi, \beta \right).$$

Proof. Let $\psi_2 := \sum_{k=1}^d |s_k|^{\gamma_k}$ be the potential of Donaldson's metric $\omega_{D,\gamma}$ with angles $\gamma_k < \beta_k$ along each D_k . Denote a function $A(\varphi, F, f)$ by

$$A(\varphi, F, f) := F + f + \varepsilon_0 \psi_1 + \varepsilon \psi_2 - \lambda \varphi,$$

where $\lambda := 4(\max_X |\Theta - \tau_0|_{g_\beta} + 1)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is an arbitrary small number. From equation (7.3), we compute on Y as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\varphi A &= \text{tr}_\varphi(\Theta - \tau_0) - R + \varepsilon_0 \Delta_\varphi \psi_1 + \varepsilon \Delta_\varphi \psi_2 - \lambda n + \lambda \text{tr}_\varphi g_\beta \\ (7.4) \quad &\geq (\lambda - \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon N_1 - \lambda/4) \text{tr}_\varphi g_\beta + \varepsilon_0 I_\Phi^{-\frac{1}{n}} \Phi^{\frac{1}{n}}(F) - (R + \lambda n) \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{tr}_\varphi g_\beta + \varepsilon_0 I_\Phi^{-\frac{1}{n}} \Phi^{\frac{1}{n}}(F) - C_{36}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C_{36} only depends on $\|R\|_0$, $|\Theta|_g$ and $|\tau_0|_g$.

Let the point p be the maximum point of the function $u := e^{\delta A}$, with $\delta := (2n\lambda)^{-1}\alpha$. Suppose η_p is a cut off function in a coordinate ball $B_d(p)$ with radius d centred at p , such that $\eta_p(p) = 1$ and $\eta_p = 1 - \theta$ outside $B_{d/2}(p)$ for some $0 < \theta < 1$. Taking θ so small that it satisfies

$$\frac{(1 - \theta)\alpha}{4n} - \frac{4\theta}{d^2} - \frac{4\theta^2}{d^2(1 - \theta)} \geq 0,$$

we conclude with the following inequality on $B_d(p) \cap Y$

$$(7.5) \quad \Delta_\varphi(u\eta_p) \geq e^{\delta A} \delta \eta_p \left(\varepsilon_0 I_\Phi^{-\frac{1}{n}} \Phi^{\frac{1}{n}}(F) - C_{36} \right).$$

Moreover, since $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, the function $u\eta_p$ is strictly subharmonic in an open neighbourhood of the divisor, as we proved in Lemma (4.7). Then the upper contact set $\Gamma_{(u\eta_p)}^+$ is contained in an open subset V_1 of $B_d(p)$, such that V_1 is disjoint from the divisor with a positive distance. Therefore, we apply GAMP to the function $u\eta_p$ on $B_d(p)$ to have

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{\delta A} \eta_p(p) &\leq \sup_{\partial B_d(p)} e^{\delta A} \eta_p \\ &+ C_n d \left(\int_{B_d(p)} e^{2F} e^{2n\delta A} \left\{ (\varepsilon_0 I_\Phi^{-\frac{1}{n}} \Phi^{\frac{1}{n}}(F) - C_{36})^- \right\}^{2n} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \leq 0$, $\psi_1 \leq 0$, and $|\psi_2| \leq 1$, the integral on the RHS of equation (7.6) is controlled by

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &C_{36}^{2n} \int_{B_d(p) \cap \{F \leq C_{37}\}} e^{2F+2n\delta F} e^{-2n\delta\lambda\varphi} \omega^n \\ &\leq C_{36}^{2n} e^{(2+2n\delta)C_{37}} \int_{B_d(p)} e^{-\alpha\varphi} \omega^n \leq C_{38}, \end{aligned}$$

for some uniform constant C_{37} depending on ε_0 , Φ , I_Φ and C_{36} . Since $\eta_p = 1 - \theta$ on $\partial B_d(p)$, our result follows. \square

Corollary 7.2. *There exists a constant C_{37} such that*

$$F + f \leq C_{37}; \quad \|\varphi\|_0 \leq C_{37}; \quad \|\psi_1\|_0 \leq C_{37}.$$

Here the constant C_{37} depends on the same things as the constant C_{35} in Lemma (7.1), and also on p_0 and $\int_X e^{-p_0 f} \omega_\beta^n$. Moreover, it is uniformly bounded if $p_0 \geq 1 + \varepsilon$ for some small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. We obtain from Lemma (7.1) that

$$\alpha \varepsilon_0^{-1} (F + f) \leq -\alpha \psi_1 + \varepsilon_0^{-1} \alpha C_{35}.$$

For any p , we chose ε_0 small enough to have $p := \varepsilon_0^{-1} \alpha$, and then Proposition (8.3) implies that there exists a uniform constant C_{38} (may depend on β) to satisfy,

$$(7.8) \quad \int_X e^{p(F+f)} \omega_\beta^n \leq C_{38},$$

for every conic angle β .

Let $\psi := \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - \beta_k) \log |s_k|^2$, and then we have from Hölder's inequality

$$(7.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|e^{F-\psi}\|_{L^{1+\varepsilon}}^{1+\varepsilon} &= \int_X \frac{e^{(1+\varepsilon)F - \varepsilon\psi} dV}{\prod_{k=1}^d |s_k|^{2(1-\beta_k)}} = \int_X \frac{e^{(1+\varepsilon)(F+f) - \varepsilon\psi - (1+\varepsilon)f} dV}{\prod_{k=1}^d |s_k|^{2(1-\beta_k)}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_X e^{-p_0 f} \omega_\beta^n \right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p_0}} \left(\int_X e^{\frac{p_0(1+\varepsilon)}{p_0-1-\varepsilon}(F+f)} e^{-\frac{p_0\varepsilon}{p_0-1-\varepsilon}\psi} \omega_\beta^n \right)^{1-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Pick up $\varepsilon = \frac{p_0-1}{m}$ for some larger integer m , and then the second integral on the RHS of the above inequality is equal to

$$\int_X \frac{e^{q(F+f)} dV}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^d |s_k|^2 \right)^{(1-\beta_k)(1+\frac{p_0}{m-1})}},$$

for $q := \frac{p_0(1+\varepsilon)}{p_0-1-\varepsilon}$. Therefore, it is uniformly bounded by equation (7.8) with a slightly smaller angle β' , where $\beta'_k = (1 + \frac{p_0}{m-1})\beta_k - \frac{p_0}{m-1}$. Then we conclude the potential estimates as

$$\|\phi\|_0, \|\psi_1\|_0 \leq C_{37},$$

and the upper bound of $F + f$ follows from Lemma (7.1) again. \square

The next step is to prove the lower bound of $F + f$.

Lemma 7.3. *There exists a uniform constant C_{39} such that*

$$F + f \geq -C_{39},$$

and this constant has the same dependence as C_{37} , with also $\|\varphi\|_0$.

Proof. Take a function $A_3(F, f, \varphi) := -F - f - \lambda\varphi + \varepsilon\psi_2$, and put $u := e^{\delta A_3}$. Pick up the constants as

$$\lambda := 4(\max_X |\Theta - \tau_0|_g + 1); \quad \delta = \frac{p_0}{2n(p_0 - 1)}; \quad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{N_1}.$$

Then we have

$$(7.10) \quad -\Delta_\varphi A_3 \leq -\text{tr}_\varphi \omega_\beta + \|R\|_0 + \lambda n.$$

Assume that u achieves its maximum at the point x on the manifold. We can consider the function $u\eta_x$, with a suitable chosen cut-off function η_x centred at x . Following the same calculation as in Lemma (4.8), we have

$$\Delta_\varphi(u\eta_x) \geq -\delta e^{\delta A_3} (\|R\|_0 + \lambda n).$$

Since $F, f, \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, the function $u\eta_x$ is again strictly subharmonic near the divisor. Then we can apply GAMP locally on a coordinate ball $B_d(x)$ to have

$$(7.11) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{\delta A_3} \eta_x(x) &\leq \sup_{\partial B_d(x)} e^{\delta A_3} \eta_x \\ &+ C_n d \left(\int_X e^{2F} e^{-2n\delta A_3} (\|R\|_0 + \lambda n)^{2n} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the lower bound of $F + f$ is controlled by

$$(7.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &C_{40} \int_X e^{(2-2n\delta)F-2n\delta f} \omega^n \\ &\leq C_{40} \left(\int_X e^F \omega^n \right)^{\frac{2n-2}{p_0-1}} \left(\int_X e^{-p_0 f} \omega^n \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the first integral in the above equation is uniformly bounded as we can see from equation (7.9). \square

7.2. The $W^{2,p}$ estimate. In order to consider this higher order estimate, we switch the background metric to Donaldson's metric as before.

Let $(\psi, G, f) \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ be the triple solution of the twisted equations with respect to the background metric ω_β , i.e. they satisfy equations (7.1) and (7.2). Then we define a new triple (φ, F, f) such that

$$\omega_\varphi = \omega_D + dd^c \varphi = \omega_\beta + dd^c \psi,$$

and $F := G + h$, for $h = \log \frac{\omega_\beta^n}{\omega_D^n}$. Hence they satisfy the following equations:

$$(7.13) \quad (\omega_D + dd^c \varphi)^n = e^F \omega_D^n;$$

$$(7.14) \quad \Delta_\varphi F = \text{tr}_\varphi(\Theta - \tau + dd^c h) - R,$$

where $\tau = \tau_0 + dd^c f$. Here φ, f are still in $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, and F is in $L^\infty(X)$, but may no longer be in the space $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$.

Theorem 7.4. *Assume $\tau \geq 0$. For any $p \geq 1$, there exists a constant C_p satisfying*

$$\int_X e^{(p-1)f} (n + \Delta_\beta \psi)^p \omega_\beta^n \leq C_p,$$

and this constant has the same dependence as C_{37} , also with $\|F + f\|_0$, $\|\varphi\|_0$, and p .

Since ω_D and ω_β are quasi-isometric on X , and their potentials ψ_D, ψ_β are uniformly bounded, it is enough to prove the following inequality

$$(7.15) \quad \int_Y e^{(p-1)f} (n + \Delta\varphi)^p \omega_D^n \leq C'_p,$$

for some uniform constant C'_p .

Proof of Theorem (7.4). Let $\kappa > 0, C > 0, \delta > 0$ be constants to be determined later, and Ψ_γ be the conic weight function as before. Define the following function:

$$A(\varphi, F, f) := -\kappa(F + \delta f + C\varphi) + (\kappa + 1)\Psi,$$

and choose

$$C := 8(\max_X |\Theta - \tau_0|_g + \max_X |\tau_0|_g + C_{17} + C_{16} + 1).$$

Then we compute on Y to have

$$(7.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & e^{-A} \Delta_\varphi (e^A (n + \Delta\varphi)) \\ & \geq \frac{\kappa C}{4} \text{tr}_\varphi g (n + \Delta\varphi) + \Delta F + \kappa(1 - \delta) \Delta_\varphi f (n + \Delta\varphi) - \kappa C_{40} (n + \Delta\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Let $p > 1$ and $\delta_1 := \frac{p-1}{10}$. Denote $v := e^A (n + \Delta\varphi)$, and introduce the previous cut off function θ_ε supported outside the divisor. Put

$$B(\varphi, F, f) := (1 - \kappa)F - \kappa C\varphi - \kappa \delta f + (\kappa + 1)\Psi,$$

and then we can play the same tricks on the integration by parts as in equations (5.16) - (5.22). Finally we obtain

$$(7.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_X \left(p - 1 - \delta_1 - \frac{(p-1)^2}{\kappa-1} \right) \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-2} |\nabla_\varphi v|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n \\ & \leq - \int_X \frac{\kappa C}{4} \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^p (\text{tr}_\varphi g) \omega_\varphi^n + \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} e^A \left(\kappa C_{40} (n + \Delta\varphi) + \frac{\kappa C}{\kappa-1} \Delta\varphi \right) \omega_\varphi^n \\ & + \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} e^A \frac{\kappa+1}{\kappa-1} (-\Delta\Psi) \omega_\varphi^n + \delta_1^{-1} \mathbf{I}_\varepsilon + 2\Pi_\varepsilon \\ & + \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^{p-1} e^A \left\{ -\kappa(1 - \delta) \Delta_\varphi f (n + \Delta\varphi) + \frac{\kappa\delta}{\kappa-1} \Delta f \right\} \omega_\varphi^n, \end{aligned}$$

where the error terms are

$$\mathbf{I}_\varepsilon := \int_X v^p d\theta_\varepsilon \wedge d^c \theta_\varepsilon \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1},$$

and

$$\mathbb{II}_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{\kappa - 1} \int_X v^{p-1} e^B d\theta_\varepsilon \wedge d^c \theta_\varepsilon \wedge \omega_D^{n-1}.$$

Moreover, we have $\mathbb{I}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{II}_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ from the property of θ_ε . Take $\delta := \frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa}$, and then we see

$$(7.18) \quad -\kappa(1 - \delta)\Delta_\varphi f(n + \Delta\varphi) + \frac{\kappa\delta}{\kappa - 1}\Delta f \leq \max_X |\tau_0|_g \text{tr}_\varphi g(n + \Delta\varphi).$$

Since $f \leq 0$, the lower bound of F is controlled by $-||F + f||_0$, and then $(n + \Delta\varphi)$ also has a uniform lower bound. Therefore, taking $\kappa := \max\{2, \frac{10}{9}p\}$, the term on the LHS of equation (7.17) becomes positive. Then we obtain

$$(7.19) \quad \int_X \frac{\kappa C}{8} \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^p (\text{tr}_\varphi g) \omega_\varphi^n \leq C_{41} \int_X \kappa \theta_\varepsilon^2 v^p \omega_\varphi^n + \delta_1^{-1} \mathbb{I}_\varepsilon + 2\mathbb{II}_\varepsilon,$$

Since $\kappa < \kappa C/8$ by our choice, we have the following by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$(7.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_Y e^{\left(\frac{n-2}{n-1} - \kappa p\right)F - p(\kappa-1)f + p(\kappa+1)\Psi - p\kappa C\varphi} (n + \Delta\varphi)^{p + \frac{1}{n-1}} \omega_D^n \\ & \leq C_{41} \int_Y e^{(1-\kappa p)F - p(\kappa-1)f + p(\kappa+1)\Psi - p\kappa C\varphi} (n + \Delta\varphi)^p \omega_D^n. \end{aligned}$$

Let C_{42} be a bound of $||\varphi||_0, ||F + f||_0, ||\Psi||_0$, and we further obtain

$$(7.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_Y e^{(p - \frac{n-1}{n-2})f} (n + \Delta\varphi)^{p + \frac{1}{n-1}} \omega_D^n \\ & \leq C_{43} e^{(p+\kappa p)C_{42}} \int_Y e^{(p-1)f} (n + \Delta\varphi)^p \omega_D^n, \end{aligned}$$

for some uniform constant C_{43} not depending on p or κ .

Take $p := 1 + \frac{k}{n-1}$, and we want to use induction on $k \geq 1$. Then it is enough to prove that the integral

$$(7.22) \quad \int_Y e^{\frac{1}{n-1}f} (n + \Delta\varphi)^{1 + \frac{1}{n-1}} \omega_D^n$$

is uniformly bounded. Let $1 < p_i < 1.5$ be sequence of real numbers decreasing to 1, and then we have

$$(7.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_Y e^{(p_i - \frac{n-1}{n-2})f} (n + \Delta\varphi)^{p_i + \frac{1}{n-1}} \omega_D^n \\ & \leq C_{44} \int_Y e^{(p_i-1)f} (n + \Delta\varphi)^{p_i} \omega_D^n, \end{aligned}$$

for some uniform constant C_{44} not depending on p_i or κ . Since $f \leq 0$, the LHS of equation (7.23) converges to to equation (7.22) by dominant convergence theorem, and the RHS of equation (7.23) converges to

$$(7.24) \quad C_{44} \int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi) \omega_D^n = nC_{44},$$

and our result follows.

□

Corollary 7.5. *For any $1 < q < p_0$, there exists a constant \tilde{C}_q satisfying*

$$\int_X (\text{tr}_{\omega_\beta} \omega_\varphi)^q \omega_\beta^n \leq \tilde{C}_q.$$

Here the constant \tilde{C}_q has the same dependence as C_{37} , also with $\|F + f\|_0$, $\|\varphi\|_0$ and q . Moreover, it is uniformly bounded in q if q is bounded away from p_0 .

Proof. This follows from Theorem (7.4) and Hölder's inequality. Pick up $s := \frac{p_0(q-1)}{p_0-1}$, and then we have as in Chen-Cheng [8]

$$(7.25) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_X (\text{tr}_{\omega_\beta} \omega_\varphi)^q \omega_\beta^n \\ & \leq \left(\int_X e^{-p_0 f} \omega_\beta^n \right)^{\frac{s}{p_0}} \left(\int_X e^{\frac{s p_0}{p_0-s} f} (\text{tr}_{\omega_\beta} \omega_\varphi)^{\frac{p_0 q}{p_0-s}} \omega_\beta^n \right)^{1-\frac{s}{p_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

7.3. The gradient F -estimate. As we explained before, the C^2 estimate is not expected in the twisted case anymore. Therefore, we do not need to switch our background metrics in the following proof of the partial C^3 estimate, i.e. the gradient estimate of $F + f$.

Let $(\varphi, F, f) \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ be the tripe for the twisted equations, i.e. they satisfy equations (7.1) and (7.2). Then we have the following estimate for $W := F + f$.

Theorem 7.6. *There exists a constant k_n , depending only on n , such that $\forall p_0 > k_n$, we have*

$$|\nabla_\varphi W|_\varphi^2 \leq C_{45}.$$

Here the constant C_{45} has the same dependence as C_{37} , also with $\|F + f\|_0$ and $\|\varphi\|_0$.

Proof. Since $W = F + f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, we can compute on Y as in Chen-Cheng [8] to have

$$(7.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & e^{-\frac{W}{2}} \Delta_\varphi (e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla_\varphi W|_\varphi^2) \geq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_\varphi W|_\varphi^2 (\text{tr}_\varphi(\Theta - \tau_0) - R) \\ & + 2 \nabla_\varphi W \cdot_\varphi \nabla_\varphi (\Delta_\varphi W) + |\partial\bar{\partial}W|_\varphi^2 + g_\varphi^{\bar{j}i} g_\varphi^{\bar{\lambda}\mu} \Theta_{i\bar{\lambda}} W_\mu W_{\bar{j}} \\ & - \text{Re} \left\{ g_\varphi^{\bar{j}i} g_\varphi^{\bar{\lambda}\mu} (\tau_0)_{i\bar{\lambda}} W_\mu W_{\bar{j}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we estimate

$$(7.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{tr}_\varphi(\Theta - \tau_0) - R & \geq -C_{46}(e^{-F}(n + \Delta\varphi)^{n-1} + 1) \\ & \geq -C_{47}((n + \Delta\varphi)^{n-1} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the uniform lower bound of F in terms of $\|F + f\|_0$. In a similar way, we can estimate other terms in equation (7.26), and eventually have

$$(7.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_\varphi(e^{\frac{W}{2}}|\nabla_\varphi W|_\varphi^2) &\geq 2e^{\frac{W}{2}}\nabla_\varphi W \cdot_\varphi \nabla_\varphi(\Delta_\varphi W) \\ &- C_{48}e^{\frac{W}{2}}|\nabla_\varphi W|_\varphi^2 \{(n + \Delta\varphi)^{n-1} + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $u := e^{\frac{W}{2}}|\nabla_\varphi W|_\varphi^2 + 1$, and $\tilde{U} := C_{48} \{(n + \Delta\varphi)^{n-1} + 1\}$. We further have

$$(7.29) \quad \Delta_\varphi u \geq 2e^{\frac{W}{2}}\nabla_\varphi W \cdot_\varphi \nabla_\varphi(\Delta_\varphi W) - u\tilde{U}.$$

Pick up $p > 0$, and introduce the previous cut off function θ_ε , we play the same integration by parts trick as in equations (6.15)-(6.18), and eventually obtain the following

$$(7.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{p}{2} \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p-1} |\nabla_\varphi u|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n &\leq \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p+1} (\tilde{U} + (\Delta_\varphi W)^2 + 1) \\ &+ (8p + 2) \int_X \theta_\varepsilon^2 u^{2p+1} e^{\frac{W}{2}} (\Delta_\varphi W)^2 \omega_\varphi^n + V_\varepsilon + p^{-1} IV_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where the error terms are

$$IV_\varepsilon := \int_X u^{2p+1} d\theta_\varepsilon \wedge d^c \theta_\varepsilon \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1};$$

and

$$V_\varepsilon := 4 \int_X \theta_\varepsilon e^{\frac{W}{2}} u^{2p} (\Delta_\varphi W) d\theta_\varepsilon \wedge d^c W \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1}.$$

Since $W \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$, the two errors $IV_\varepsilon, V_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then we get by taking the limit of ε

$$(7.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{p}{(p + \frac{1}{2})^2 C_{49}} \int_Y |\nabla_\varphi(u^{p+\frac{1}{2}})|_\varphi^2 \omega_\beta^n &\leq p \int_Y u^{2p-1} |\nabla_\varphi u|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n \\ &\leq 16(p + 1) \int_Y u^{2p+1} U e^F \omega_\beta^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $U := \tilde{U} + (\Delta_\varphi W)^2 + (\Delta_\varphi W)^2 e^{\frac{W}{2}} + 1$. Let $\delta > 0$ be a small number, and $v := u^{p+\frac{1}{2}}$. We use Hölder inequality again to have

$$(7.32) \quad \left(\int_Y |\nabla v|^{2-\delta} \omega_\beta^n \right)^{\frac{2}{2-\delta}} \leq \frac{C_{50} K_\delta L_\delta (p+1)^3}{p} \left(\int_Y v^{\frac{4}{2-\delta}} \omega_\beta^n \right)^{\frac{2-\delta}{2}},$$

where the coefficients are

$$K_\delta := \left(\int_Y (n + \Delta\varphi)^{\frac{2}{\delta}-1} \omega_\beta^n \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2-\delta}},$$

and

$$L_\delta := \left(\int_Y U^{\frac{2}{\delta}} e^{\frac{2F}{\delta}} \omega_\beta^n \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}.$$

Apply the conic version of Sobolev's inequality (Lemma (6.1)) with exponent $2 - \delta$ to have

$$(7.33) \quad \|u^{p+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^\mu(\omega_\beta^n)}^2 \leq C_{51} \frac{(p+1)^3}{p} (K_\delta L_\delta + 1) \|u^{p+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^{\frac{4}{2-\delta}}(\omega_\beta^n)},$$

where we assumed

$$\mu := \frac{2n(2-\delta)}{2n-2+\delta} > \frac{4}{2-\delta}.$$

This can be realised by choosing $\delta = \frac{1}{2n}$ for $n > 1$. Eventually, we can use the Moser Iteration to control the L^∞ -norm of u , provided that L_δ, K_δ is uniformly bounded. This is also true from Theorem (7.4) by choosing $p_0 \geq 8n(2n-1) + 1$.

For the uniform control on the L^1 -norm of u , we see

$$(7.34) \quad \int_X \theta_\varepsilon (\Delta_\varphi e^{\frac{W}{2}}) \omega_\varphi^n = \frac{1}{2} \int_X e^{\frac{W}{2}} d\theta_\varepsilon \wedge d^c W \rightarrow 0,$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, we have

$$(7.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_Y e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla_\varphi W|_\varphi^2 \omega_\beta^n &\leq C_{52} \int_Y e^{\frac{W}{2}} |\nabla_\varphi W|_\varphi^2 \omega_\varphi^n \\ &\leq C_{52} \int_Y 2e^{\frac{W}{2}} (-\Delta_\varphi W) \omega_\varphi^n \\ &\leq C_{52} \int_Y (1 + \text{tr}_{\omega_\varphi} \omega_\beta) \omega_\varphi^n = C_{52}(n+1) \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 7.7. *As before, all our estimates for the twisted equations only require $(\varphi, F, f) \in \mathcal{C}_\beta^{1,1}$.*

8. APPENDIX

In this section, we will consider the α -invariant for plurisubharmonic (psh) functions integrated against conic volume form

$$d\mu := \omega_D^n = \frac{dV}{\prod_{k=1}^d |s_k|^{2-2\beta_k}}.$$

Let $PSH(X, \omega)$ denote the space of all ω - psh functions on X , and the first observation is that they are all L^1 functions with respect to the measure μ .

Lemma 8.1. *For any $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega)$, we have*

$$\int_X \varphi d\mu > -\infty.$$

Proof. Fix a large integer $j > 0$, and take $\varphi_j := \max\{\varphi, -j\}$. The sequence of functions $\varphi_j \in PSH(X, \omega)$ is decreasing to φ , and then it is enough to prove the integral

$$\int_X \varphi_j \omega_D^n$$

has a uniform lower bound.

First we compute by Stoke's theorem

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_X \varphi_j (\omega + dd^c \psi_D)^n &= \int_X \varphi_j \omega \wedge \omega_D^{n-1} + \int_X \psi_D dd^c \varphi_j \wedge \omega_D^{n-1} \\ &= \int_X \varphi_j \omega \wedge \omega_D^{n-1} + \int_X \psi_D \omega_{\varphi_j} \wedge \omega_D^{n-1} - \int_X \psi_D \omega \wedge \omega_D^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

The third term is uniformly controlled, and the second term can be estimated as

$$\int_X \psi_D \omega_{\varphi_j} \wedge \omega_D^{n-1} \geq \inf_X \psi_D \int_X \omega_{\varphi_j} \wedge \omega_D^{n-1} \geq \inf_X \psi_D.$$

Moreover, the first term can be written as

$$(8.2) \quad \int_X \varphi_j \omega \wedge \omega_D^{n-1} = \int_X \varphi_j \omega^2 \wedge \omega_D^{n-2} + \int_X \psi_D dd^c \varphi_j \wedge \omega \wedge \omega_D^{n-2}.$$

Repeating this trick, we are able to prove

$$\int_X \varphi_j \omega_D^n \geq \int_X \varphi_j \omega^n - C,$$

for some uniform constant C , and our result follows. \square

Therefore, we proved that $PSH(X, \omega) \subset L^1(\mu)$. Thanks to Guedj-Zeriahi's work (Proposition (2.7), [17]), there exists a uniform constant $C_\mu > 0$ such that $\forall \varphi \in PSH(X, \omega)$,

$$(8.3) \quad -C_\mu + \sup_X \varphi \leq \int_X \varphi d\mu \leq \sup_X \varphi.$$

Before proceeding to the α -invariant, we need to improve a theorem by Hörmander (Theorem 4.45, [14]) to the conic case.

Lemma 8.2. *Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all plurisubharmonic function ϕ in the unit ball $B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(z) \leq 1$ for all z close to the boundary ∂B . For any $\beta := \{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^d, 0 < \beta_k < 1$, there exists two constants $0 < r < 1$ and C satisfying*

$$\int_{B_r} \frac{e^{-\phi} d\lambda_{2n}}{\prod_{k=1}^d |z_k|^{2-2\beta_k}} \leq C, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{F},$$

where $d\lambda_{2n}$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n , and the constants r and C only depend on β and n .

Proof. Assume $n = 1$ first, and use the Green kernel to have

$$(8.4) \quad 2\pi\phi = \int_{|\zeta|<1} \log \left| \frac{z - \zeta}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right| d\nu(\zeta) + \int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|z - \zeta|^2} d\sigma(\zeta),$$

where the measures $d\nu = \Delta\phi \geq 0$ and $|d\zeta| - d\sigma \geq 0$ on S^1 . Since $\phi(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$(8.5) \quad \int_{|\zeta|<1} \log \frac{1}{|\zeta|} d\nu(\zeta) + \int_{|\zeta|=1} (|d\zeta| - d\sigma(\zeta)) = 2\pi.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$(8.6) \quad \int_{|\zeta|<1} \log \frac{1}{|\zeta|} d\nu(\zeta) \leq 2\pi; \quad \int_{|\zeta|=1} |d\sigma(\zeta)| \leq 4\pi.$$

Hence we have for all $|z| < e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$,

$$\left| (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|z - \zeta|^2} d\sigma(\zeta) \right| \leq 6$$

and for any $e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} < R < e^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}}$,

$$a := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\zeta|<R} d\nu(\zeta) \leq \frac{1}{-\log R} < 2\beta.$$

Moreover, for such z and $|\zeta| > R$, we see $|z\bar{\zeta}| < |\zeta|^2$, and then it is easy to see the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{z - \zeta}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|\zeta|}.$$

This implies that

$$\left| \int_{|\zeta|>R} \log \frac{|z - \zeta|}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|} d\nu(\zeta) \right| < 2\pi, \quad |z| < e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

Then Jensen's inequality shows the following:

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\zeta|<R} \log \frac{|z - \zeta|}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|} d\nu(\zeta) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\zeta|<R} -a \log \frac{|z - \zeta|}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|} \frac{d\nu(\zeta)}{2\pi a} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi a} \int_{|\zeta|<R} \left(\frac{|z - \zeta|}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|} \right)^{-a} d\nu(\zeta) \\ &< C \int_{|\zeta|<R} |z - \zeta|^{-a} d\nu(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

for all $|z| < e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$. Since $a < 2\beta$, the last term is integrable with respect to the measure $|z|^{2\beta-2} d\lambda_{2n}$. Summing up we proved our estimate for

$r := e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$ when $n = 1$. For the general case, we use the polar coordinate to compute the integral

$$(8.8) \quad \int_{|z|<r} e^{-\phi(z)} d\mu(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{dS(\zeta)}{\prod_{k=1}^d |\zeta_k|^{2-2\beta_k}} \int_{|w|<r} |w|^{2b-2} e^{-\phi(w\zeta)} d\lambda(w),$$

where $b = n - d + \sum_{k=1}^d \beta_k > 0$. Taking $r(\beta) := e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$, the integral is uniformly bounded. \square

Thanks to Lemma (8.2) and equation (8.3), we can follow the same argument as in Tian [22], and prove the existence of a conic version of the α -invariants.

Proposition 8.3. *For all $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ with $\sup_X \varphi = 0$, there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\int_X e^{-\alpha\varphi} d\mu < C,$$

for some uniform constant C only depending on X, ω, μ .

Finally, for any $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha,\beta}$ -conic Kähler metric Ω_β , the estimate in Proposition (8.3) still works for all $\varphi \in PSH(X, \Omega_\beta)$, since the conic potential is always uniformly bounded on X .

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Brendle, *Ricci flat Kähler metrics with edge singularities*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **24** (2013), 5727-5766.
- [2] B. Berndtsson, *L^2 -extension of $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms*, Illinois J. Math. **56** (2012), no. 1, 21-31.
- [3] S. Benelkourchi, V. Guedj, and A. Zeriahi, *A priori estimates for weak solutions of complex Monge-Ampère equations.*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **7** (2008), no. 1, 81-96.
- [4] Z. Blocki and S. Kolodziej, *On regularization of plurisubharmonic functions on manifolds.*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), no. 7, 2089-2093.
- [5] Xiuxiong Chen, *On the existence of constant scalar curvature Kähler metric: a new perspective*, arXiv:1506.06423.
- [6] Xiuxiong Chen and Jingrui Cheng, *On the constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics, a priori estimates*, arXiv:1712.06697.
- [7] ———, *On the constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics, existence results*, arXiv:1801.00656.
- [8] ———, *On the constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics, general automorphism group*, arXiv:1801.05907.
- [9] Xiuxiong Chen and Weiyong He, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. **354** (2012), no. 4, 1583-1600.
- [10] F. Campana, H. Guenancia, and M. Păun, *Metrics with cone singularities along normal crossing divisors and holomorphic tensor fields.*, Ann. Sci. c. Norm. Supr. (4) **46** (2013), no. 6, 879-916.
- [11] J-P. Demailly, *Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory.*, J. Algebraic Geom. **1** (1992), no. 3, 361-409.

- [12] S. Dinew, X. Zhang, and X.W. Zhang, *The $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimate of complex Monge-Ampère equation.*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **60** (2011), no. 5, 1713-1722.
- [13] S.K. Donaldson, *Kähler metrics with cone singularities along a divisor. Essays in mathematics and its applications.*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [14] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables.*, 3rd., North Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [15] H. Guenancia and M. Păun, *Conic singularities metrics with prescribed Ricci curvature: general cone angles along normal crossing divisors.*, J. Differential Geom. **103** (2016), no. 1, 15-57.
- [16] David Gilbarg and Neil Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition. MR1814364
- [17] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, *Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds.*, The Journal of Geometric Analysis **15** (2005), no. 4.
- [18] T. Jeffres, R. Mazzeo, and Y. Robinstein, *Kähler-Einstein metrics with edge singularities.*, Ann. of Math. (2) **183** (2016), no. 1, 95-176.
- [19] S. Kolodziej, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation and pluripotential theory.*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **178** (2005), no. 840.
- [20] Long Li and Kai Zheng, *Uniqueness of constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics with cone singularities, I: Reductivity*, Math. Ann., posted on 2017, DOI 10.1007/s00208-017-1626-z.
- [21] G. Sweets, *Maximum principle, a start.*, 2000.
- [22] Gang Tian, *On Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $C_1(M) > 0$.*, Invent. math. **89** (1987), 225-246.
- [23] S.T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I.*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 339-411.
- [24] Hao Yin and Kai Zheng, *Expansion formula for complex Monge-Ampère equation along cone singularities*, arXiv:1609.03111.
- [25] Yu Zeng, *Deformations from a given Kähler metric to a twisted cscK metric*, arXiv:1507.06287.
- [26] Kai Zheng, *Geodesics in the space of Kahler cone metrics, II. Uniqueness of constant scalar curvature Kahler cone metrics*, arXiv:1709.09616.
- [27] ———, *Existence of constant scalar curvature Kähler cone metrics, properness and geodesic stability*, arXiv:1803.09506.

INSTITUTE FOURIER, 100 RUE DES MATHS 38610 GIÈRES, GRENOBLE, FRANCE
E-mail address: Long.Li1@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

INSTITUTE FOURIER, 100 RUE DES MATHS 38610 GIÈRES, GRENOBLE, FRANCE
E-mail address: jian.wang1@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY, CV4 7AL,
 UK
E-mail address: K.Zheng@warwick.ac.uk