## <span id="page-0-1"></span>A NOTE ON A SMOOTH PROJECTIVE SURFACE WITH PICARD NUMBER 2

SICHEN LI

ABSTRACT. We characterize the integral Zariski decomposition of a smooth projective surface with Picard number 2 to partially solve a problem of B. Harbourne, P. Pokora, and H. Tutaj-Gasinska [Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. 22 (2015), 103–108].

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we work over the field C of complex numbers. By a *negative curve* on a surface we will always mean a reduced, irreducible curve with negative self-intersection. By a *(-k)-curve*, we mean a negative curve C with  $C^2 = -k < 0$ .

<span id="page-0-0"></span>The bounded negativity conjecture is one of the most intriguing problems in the theory of projective surfaces and can be formulated as follows.

Conjecture 1.1. [\[B.etc.13,](#page-7-0) Conjecture 1.1] *For each smooth complex projective surface* X *there exists a number*  $b(X) \geq 0$  such that  $C^2 \geq -b(X)$  for every negative curve  $C \subseteq X$ .

Let us say that a smooth projective surface  $X$  has

 $b(X) > 0$ 

if there is at least one negative curve on  $X$ .

In [\[BPS17\]](#page-7-1), T. Bauer, P. Pokora and D. Schmitz established the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. [\[BPS17,](#page-7-1) Theorem] *For a smooth projective surface* X *over an algebraically closed field the following two statements are equivalent:*

- *(1)* X *has bounded Zariski denominators.*
- *(2)* X *satisfies Conjecture [1.1.](#page-0-0)*

Let us say that a smooth projective surface  $X$  has

 $d(X) = 1$ 

<sup>2010</sup> *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 14C20 .

*Key words and phrases.* integral Zariski decomposition, Picard number 2, K3 surface.

The Research was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11471116, 11531007), Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (Grant No. 18dz2271000) and the China Scholar Council 'High-level university graduate program'.

<span id="page-1-3"></span>if every *pseudo-effective divisor* D (cf. [\[Laz04,](#page-7-2) Definition 2.2.25]) on X has an integral Zariski decomposition (cf. Definition [2.2\)](#page-2-0). An interesting criterion for surfaces to have bounded Zariski denominators was given in [\[BPS17\]](#page-7-1) as follows.

Proposition 1.3. [\[HPT15,](#page-7-3) Proprostion 1.2] *Let* X *be a smooth projective surface such that for every curve* C one has  $C^2 \ge -1$ . Then  $d(X) = 1$ .

<span id="page-1-0"></span>The above proposition introduces a converse question:

**Question 1.4.** [\[HPT15,](#page-7-3) Question] Let X be a smooth projective surface with  $d(X) = 1$ . Is every negative curve then a (-1)-curve?

In [\[HPT15\]](#page-7-3), the authors disproved Question [1.4](#page-1-0) by giving a K3 surface X with  $d(X) = 1$ , Picard number  $\rho(X) = 2$  and two (-2)-rational curves (cf. Claim [2.12\)](#page-5-0). However, for a smooth projective surface X with  $|\Delta(X)| = 1$ , sometimes the answer for Question [1.4](#page-1-0) is affirmative, where  $\Delta(X)$  is the determinant of the intersection form on the Néron-Severi lattice of  $X$ . They end by giving the following problem.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Problem 1.5.** [\[HPT15,](#page-7-3) Problem 2.3] Classify all algebraic surfaces with  $d(X) = 1$ .

<span id="page-1-2"></span>To solve Problem [1.5](#page-1-1) partially, for the case when  $\rho(X) = 2$ , we give our main theorem as follows.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let X be a smooth projective surface with Picard number 2. If  $b(X) > 0$  and  $d(X) = 1$ , then the following statements hold.

- *(1)* X *has at most two negative curves.*
- *(2) If* X *has two negative curves, then* X *must be one of the following types: K3 surface, surface of general type, or one point blow-up of either an abelian surface or a K3 surface with Picard number 1.*
- *(3) For every negative curve* C *and every another curve* D *on* X*, the intersection number,*  $(C \cdot D)$  *is divisible by the self-intersection number*  $C^2$ *, i.e.*,  $C^2|(C \cdot D)$ *.*
- (4) If the Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ , then X is a ruled surface with invariant  $e = 1$  *or one point blow up of*  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .
- *(5) If*  $\kappa(X) = 0$  *and the canonical divisor*  $K_X$  *is nef, then X is a K3 surface admitting an intersection form on the Néron-Severi lattice of X which is*

$$
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & -2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

*where*  $a \in \{0, -2\}$  and  $b + a \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

*(6) If*  $\kappa(X) = 1$ *, then* X *has exactly one negative curve* C *and every singular fibre is irreducible. In particular, if every fibre is of type*  $mI_0$ *, then the genus*  $g(C) \geq 2$ *. Here,*  $mI_0$  *is one type in Kodaira's table of singular fibres* (cf. [\[BHPV04,](#page-7-4) V.7. Table 3])*.*

<span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>It is well-known that the following SHGH conjecture implies Nagata's conjecture (cf. [\[Nag59,](#page-7-5) p.772]), which is motivated by Hilbert's 14-th problem.

Conjecture 1.7. (cf. [\[C.etc.13,](#page-7-6) Conjectures 1.1, 2.3]) *Let* X *be a composite of blow-ups of*  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at points  $p_1, \cdots, p_n$  in very general position. Then, every negative curve on X is a *(-1)-rational curve.*

<span id="page-2-2"></span>Finally, we note two corresponding results of Conjecture [1.7](#page-2-1) as follows.

**Proposition 1.8.** (cf. [\[BPS17,](#page-7-1) Theorems 2.2, 2.3]) Let X be a composite of blow-ups of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ *at n distinct points. Then,*  $b(X) = 1$  *if and only if*  $d(X) = 1$ *.* 

Here, a smooth projective surface X has  $b(X) = 1$  if every negative curve C on X is a (-1)-curve. By Proposition [1.8](#page-2-2) and Lemma [2.3,](#page-2-3) we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 1.9.** Let  $X$  be a composite of blow-ups of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at points  $p_1, \cdots, p_n$  in very general *position. If there is a negative curve* C *and another curve* D *on* X *such that the intersection matrix of* C and D is not negative definite and  $C^2 \nmid (C \cdot D)$ , then Conjecture [1.7](#page-2-1) fails.

# 2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM [1.6](#page-1-2)

In this section, we divide our proof of Theorem [1.6](#page-1-2) into some steps.

**Notation 2.1.** [\[Fuj79,](#page-7-7) 1.6] Let  $C_1, \dots, C_q$  be prime divisors. By  $V(C_1, \dots, C_q)$  we denote the Q-vector space of Q-divisors generated by  $C_1, \dots, C_q$ .  $I(C_1, \dots, C_q)$  denotes the quadratic form on  $V(C_1, \dots, C_q)$  defined by the self-intersection number.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Definition 2.2.** (Fujita-Zariski decomposition [\[Zar62,](#page-7-8) [Fuj79\]](#page-7-7)) Let X be a smooth projective surface and  $D$  a pseudo-effective divisor on  $X$ . Then  $D$  can be written uniquely as a sum

$$
D = P + N
$$

of Q-divisors such that

- $(1)$  P is nef:
- (2)  $N = \sum_{i=1}^{q} a_i C_i$  is effective with  $I(C_1, \dots, C_q)$  negative definite if  $N \neq 0$ ;
- (3)  $P \cdot C_i = 0$  for every component  $C_i$  of N.

In particular, X is said to satisfy  $d(X) = 1$  if every pseudo-effective divisor D has an integral Zariski decomposition  $D = P + N$ , i.e., P and N are integral divisors.

<span id="page-2-3"></span>**Lemma 2.3.** Let X be a smooth projective surface with  $b(X) > 0$  and  $d(X) = 1$ . Suppose  $I(C_1, C_2)$  *is not negative definite. Then, for every negative curve*  $C_1$  *and every another curve*  $C_2, C_1^2 | (C_1 \cdot C_2).$ 

*Proof.* Let  $D(m_1, m_2) := m_1C_1 + m_2C_2$  with  $m_1, m_2 > 0$ . If  $D(m_1, m_2) \cdot C_1 < 0$  and  $D(m_1, m_2) \cdot C_2 < 0$ , then by [\[Fuj79,](#page-7-7) Lemma 1.10],  $I(C_1, C_2)$  is negative definite. Therefore,  $D(m_1, m_2) \cdot C_1 < 0$  implies that  $D(m_1, m_2) \cdot C_2 \geq 0$ .

<span id="page-3-2"></span>If  $C_1 \cdot C_2 = 0$ , then  $C_1^2 | (C_1 \cdot C_2)$ , where  $C_2^2 \ge 0$ . Hence, we have completed the proof.

Now suppose  $C_1 \cdot C_2 > 0$ . Then, there are infinitely many coprime positive integer number pairs  $(m_1, m_2)$  such that

$$
D(m_1, m_2) \cdot C_1 < 0, \text{ i.e., } \frac{m_2}{m_1} < \frac{-C_1^2}{(C_1 \cdot C_2)},
$$

since there are infinitely many prime integers. Therefore, we have the following Zariski decomposition:

$$
D(m_1, m_2) = m_2(\frac{(C_1 \cdot C_2)}{-C_1^2}C_1 + C_2) + (m_1 - m_2 \frac{(C_1 \cdot C_2)}{-C_1^2})C_1.
$$

Note that  $-C_1^2$  has only finitely many prime divisors, there exists a positive integer  $m_2$  such that  $(m_2, -C_1^2) = 1$ . Since  $d(X) = 1$ ,  $D(m_1, m_2)$  has an integral Zariski decomposition. Hence,  $C_1^2|(C_1 \cdot C_2)$ .

By Lemma [2.3,](#page-2-3) we can answer the following question in some sense which was posed in [\[B.etc.13\]](#page-7-0).

**Question 2.4.** [\[B.etc.13,](#page-7-0) Question 4.5] Is there for each  $q > 1$  a surface X with infinitely many  $(-1)$ -curves of genus q?

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow B$  be a relatively minimal elliptic fibration of a smooth *projective surface* X with the Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(X) = 2$  *over a smooth base curve* B of *genus*  $q > 2$ *. If*  $d(X) = 1$  *and* X *has infinitely many sections, then* X *has infinitely many (-1)-curves of genus*  $g \geq 2$  *and*  $q(X) = p_g(X)$ *. Here,*  $q(X)$  *is the irregularity of* X,  $p_g(X)$ *is the geometric genus of* X*.*

*Proof.* Since there exists a section  $C$  on  $X$ ,  $X$  has no multiple fibres. In this case, by the well-known result of Kodaira (cf. [\[BHPV04,](#page-7-4) Corollary V.12.3]),  $K_X$  is a sum of a specific choice of  $2q(B) - 2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$  fibres of the elliptic fibration. By [\[Bea96,](#page-7-9) Theorem X.4] and the adjunction formula,  $-C^2 = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$ . If  $d(X) = 1$ , then applying Lemma [2.3](#page-2-3) to  $C_2$  = a fibre, we obtain  $C^2 = -1$  and  $q(X) = p_g(X)$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Proposition 2.6. *Every smooth projective surface with Picard number 2 satisfies Conjecture [1.1.](#page-0-0)*

<span id="page-3-1"></span>Indeed, Proposition [2.6](#page-3-0) follows from the following claim immediately.

*Claim* 2.7. If  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  are two negative curves on a smooth projective surface X with  $\rho(X)$  = 2, then

$$
\overline{NE}(X) = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[C_1] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[C_2]
$$

and  $C_i$   $(i = 1, 2)$  are the only two negative curves.

<span id="page-4-3"></span>*Proof.* By [\[KM98,](#page-7-10) Lemma 1.22],  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  are both extremal curves in the closed Mori cone  $\overline{NE}(X)$  which has only two extremal rays since  $\rho(X) = 2$ . Thus, the first part of Claim [2.7](#page-3-1) follows. Moreover, if  $C_3$  is another negative curve (except for  $C_1, C_2$ ), then the class  $[C_3]$ is also extremal. Since  $\rho(X) = 2$ ,  $C_3 \equiv a_i C_i$  for  $i = 1$  or 2 with  $a_i \in \mathbf{Q}_+$ . Thus,  $0 \leq C_i \cdot C_3 = a_i C_i^2 < 0$ , a contradiction.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>By Lemma [2.3,](#page-2-3) for the case when  $\rho(X) = 2$ , we have the following result.

*Claim* 2.8. Let X be a smooth projective surface with  $\rho(X) = 2$ . If  $b(X) > 0$  and  $d(X) = 1$ , then for every negative curve C and every another curve D on X,  $C^2|(C \cdot D)$ .

<span id="page-4-0"></span>It is well-known that the smooth projective surfaces satisfy the minimal model conjecture (cf. [\[KM98,](#page-7-10) [BCHM10\]](#page-7-11)) as follows.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let X be a smooth projective surface. If the canonical divisor  $K_X$  is pseudo*effective, then the Kodaira dimension*  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ *.* 

<span id="page-4-2"></span>*Claim* 2.10*.* Let X be a smooth projective surface with  $\rho(X) = 2$ . If  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ ,  $b(X) >$ 0 and  $d(X) = 1$ , then X is a ruled surface with invariant  $e = 1$  or one point blow-up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

*Proof.* Let S be a relatively minimal model of X. A smooth projective surface S is relatively minimal if it has no (-1)-rational curves. By the classification of relatively minimal surfaces (cf. [\[Har77,](#page-7-12) [BHPV04,](#page-7-4) [KM98\]](#page-7-10)), it must be one of the following cases: a surface with nef canonical divisor, a ruled surface or  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Since  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ , by Lemma [2.9,](#page-4-0)  $K_S$  is not nef. Therefore, S is either a ruled surface or  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . As a result,  $\rho(X) = 2$  implies that X is either a ruled surface or one point blow-up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

Now suppose X is ruled. Let  $\pi : X \longrightarrow C$  be a ruled surface over a curve C with invariant e, let  $C_0 \subseteq X$  be a suitable section, and let f be a fibre. Then, we have the following (cf. [\[Har77,](#page-7-12) Propositions V.2.3 and V.2.9]):

Pic 
$$
X \simeq \mathbf{Z}C_0 \oplus \pi^* Pic C, C_0 \cdot f = 1, f^2 = 0, C_0^2 = -e
$$
.

Let  $D = aC_0 + bf$  be a curve on X. By [\[Har77,](#page-7-12) Proposition V.2.20],  $D^2 < 0$  if and only if  $D = C_0$  and  $e > 0$ . Since  $d(X) = 1$ , applying Claim [2.8](#page-4-1) to a fibre f, we obtain  $e = 1$ .  $\Box$ 

*Claim* 2.11. Let X be a smooth projective surface with  $\rho(X) = 2$ . If X has two negative curves, then  $X$  must be one of the following types: K3 surface, surface of general type, or one point blow-up of either an abelian surface or a K3 surface with Picard number 1.

*Proof.* Suppose X has two negative curves  $C_1, C_2$ . By Claim [2.10,](#page-4-2) if  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ , then X has at most one negative curve. Thus,  $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ , i.e., there exists a positive integral number m such that  $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) \geq 0$ . Therefore,  $K_X$  is a Q-effective divisor. As a result, by Claim [2.7,](#page-3-1) we have the following result:

$$
K_X \in \overline{NE}(X) = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[C_1] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[C_2], \ i.e., K_X \equiv a_1 C_1 + a_2 C_2, a_1, a_2 \geq 0.
$$

Hence, we have three cases as follows.

- <span id="page-5-1"></span>(1)  $a_1, a_2 > 0$ . Then  $K_X$  is an interior point of  $\overline{NE}(X)$ , and by [\[Iit82,](#page-7-13) Lemma 10.5] or [\[Laz04,](#page-7-2) Theorem 2.2.26],  $K_X$  is big. Thus, X is a surface of general type.
- (2)  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ . Then  $K_X \equiv 0$ , i.e., X is minimal. By Enriques Kodaira classification (cf. [\[Har77,](#page-7-12) Theorem V.6.3]),  $X$  has the following cases: K3 surface, Enriques surface, abelian surface, hyperelliptic surface, where in the latter two cases,  $X$  has no any rational curves. By the genus formula, every negative curve  $C$  on  $X$  is a (-2)rational curve. As a result,  $X$  is either a K3 surface or an Enrique surface. Moreover, since an Enriques surface X has  $\rho(X) = 10$  by [\[BHPV04,](#page-7-4) Proposition VIII.15.2],  $X$  is a K3 surface.
- (3)  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $a_2 = 0$ . Then  $K_X \equiv a_1 C_1$ . Since  $K_X$  is a Q-effective divisor, there exists an effective divisor D such that  $K_X \sim_Q D$ . Therefore, we can find an effective divisor  $D' \neq C_1$  such that

$$
a'_1C_1 + D' = D \equiv a_1C_1,
$$

where  $a'_1 \geq 0$ , and D' and  $C_1$  have no common components. Then,  $D' \equiv (a_1 - a'_1)$  $a'_1)C_1.$ 

If  $a_1 = a'_1$ , then  $K_X \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} a_1 C_1$  with  $a_1 > 0$ . By the genus formula,  $C_1$  is a (-1)rational curve. In this case,  $\kappa(X) = \kappa(X, C) = 0$ . By Castelnuovo's contractibility criterion (cf. [\[Har77,](#page-7-12) Theorem V.5.7] or [\[Bea96,](#page-7-9) Thereom II.17]),  $X$  is a one point blow-up of either an abelian surface or a K3 surface with Picard number 1.

If  $a_1 > a'_1$ , then  $D' \cdot C_1 = (a_1 - a'_1)C_1^2 < 0$ , a contradiction.

If  $a_1 < a'_1$ , on the one hand  $D' + (a'_1 - a_1)C_1 \equiv 0$  with  $a'_1 - a_1 \ge 0$ ; on the other hand, there is an ample divisor H on X such that  $(D' + (a'_1 - a_1)C_1) \cdot H = 0$ . Since the restriction of an ample divisor to a curve is still ample,  $D' + (a'_1 - a_1)C_1 = 0$ , i.e.,  $D = a_1 C_1$ ,  $a_1 = a'_1$ , a contradiction.

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Theorem A of [\[HPT15\]](#page-7-3) is a special case of the following Claim [2.12.](#page-5-0)

*Claim* 2.12. Let X be a smooth projective surface with  $\rho(X) = 2$ . If  $\kappa(X) = 0, b(X) > 0$  $0, d(X) = 1$  and  $K_X$  is nef, then X is a K3 surface admitting the intersection form on the  $Néron$ -Severi lattice of X, which is

$$
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & -2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

where  $a \in \{0, -2\}$  and  $b + a \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\kappa(X) = 0$  and  $K_X$  is nef,  $K_X \equiv 0$ . By the genus formula, every negative curve on  $X$  is a  $(-2)$ -rational curve. Note that abelian surfaces and hyperelliptic surfaces have no rational curves. Then by [\[Har77,](#page-7-12) Theorem V.6.3] and [\[BHPV04,](#page-7-4) Proposition VIII.15.2], we know that X is a K3 surface. In [\[Kov94\]](#page-7-14), the author showed that  $\overline{NE}(X) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_1] +$  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_2]$ , where either  $C_1^2 = C_2^2 = -2$  or  $C_1^2 = 0$  and  $C_2^2 = -2$ . Since  $d(X) = 1$ ,

<span id="page-6-2"></span>applying Claim [2.8](#page-4-1) to a negative curve  $C_i$ ,  $C_i^2$  $i^2|(C_1 \cdot C_2)$ . By the Hodge index theorem,  $(C_1 \cdot C_2)^2 - C_1^2 \cdot C_2^2 > 0$ . Finally, the desired result holds by using [\[Kov94,](#page-7-14) Corollary  $1.4$ ].

<span id="page-6-0"></span>The following lemma is well known.

**Lemma 2.13.** [\[BHPV04,](#page-7-4) Proposition III.11.4] *Let*  $p: X \rightarrow B$  *be an elliptic fibration from a smooth projective surface* X *to a curve* B. If every fibre is of type  $mI_0$ , then  $c_2(X) = 0$ .

<span id="page-6-1"></span>*Claim* 2.14. Let X be a smooth projective surface with  $\rho(X) = 2$ . If  $\kappa(X) = 1$  and  $b(X) > 0$ , then X has exactly one negative curve C and every singular fibre is irreducible. In particular, if every fibre is of type  $mI_0$ , then  $g(C) \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\kappa(X) = 1$ ,  $\rho(X) = 2$  and  $\kappa(X)$  is a birational invariant,  $K_X$  is nef. By [\[Bea96,](#page-7-9) Proposition IX.2], we have  $K_X^2 = 0$  and there is a surjective morphism  $p: X \longrightarrow B$  over a smooth curve B, whose general fibre F is an elliptic curve. Suppose  $F = \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i C_i$ with  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, r \geq 2$  is a singular fibre. Then by Zariski's Lemma (cf. [\[BHPV04,](#page-7-4) Lemma III.8.2]),

$$
(F - m1C1)2 < 0, C12 < 0.
$$

Therefore,  $X$  has at least two negative curves, a contradiction (cf. Claim [2.10\)](#page-4-2). As a result, every singular fibre is irreducible and X has exactly one negative curve C since  $b(X) > 0$ . Moreover, if every fibre is of type  $mI_0$ , then by Lemma [2.13,](#page-6-0) we have  $c_2(X) = 0$ . Hence, by [\[B.etc.13,](#page-7-0) Theorem 2.4], we have the following inequality:

$$
0 < -C^2 \le 2g(C) - 2.
$$

Thus,  $g(C) \geq 2$ .

*Proof of Theorem* [1](#page-1-2).6. By Claims [2.7,](#page-3-1) [2.8,](#page-4-1) [2.10](#page-4-2) to [2.12](#page-5-0) and [2.14,](#page-6-1) we have completed the proof of Theorem [1.6.](#page-1-2)

We end by asking the following two questions.

Question 2.15. Is there a positive constant l such that  $b(X) \leq l$  for any smooth projective surface X with  $\rho(X) = 2$  and  $d(X) = 1$ ?

**Question 2.16.** Let X be a smooth projective surface with Picard number  $\rho(X) \geq 3$  and  $d(X) = 1$ . Take some negative curves  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  with  $k \ge 2$  on X such that  $I(C_1, \dots, C_k)$ is negative definite. Is the determinant  $\det(C_i \cdot C_j)_{1 \le i,j \le k}$  equal to  $(-1)^k$  ?

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author gratefully acknowledge Prof. De-Qi Zhang for his useful comments and crucial suggestions. He also thank Prof. Rong Du and Prof. Piotr Pokora for helpful conversations and the referee for several suggestions.

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[Bea96] A. Beauville, *Complex algebaic surfaces*, 2ed., London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 34, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. ↑ [4,](#page-3-2) [6,](#page-5-1) [7](#page-6-2)
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>[BCHM10] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, and J. McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23(2) (2010), 405-468. ↑ [5](#page-4-3)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[BHPV04] W. P. Barth, K. Hulek, C. A. M. Peters, and A. Van De Ven, *Compact Complex Surfaces*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. ↑ [2,](#page-1-3) [4,](#page-3-2) [5,](#page-4-3) [6,](#page-5-1) [7](#page-6-2)
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[B.etc.13] T. Bauer, B. Harbourne, A. L.ad'Knutsen, A. Küronya, S. Müller-Stach, X. Roulleau, and T. Szemberg, *Negative curves on algebraic surfaces,* Duke Math. J. 162(2013),1877-1894. ↑ [1,](#page-0-1) [4,](#page-3-2) [7](#page-6-2)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[BPS17] T. Bauer, P. Pokora, and D. Schmitz, *On the boundedness of the denominators in the Zariski decomposition on surfaces,* J. Reine Angew. Math. 733(2017),251-259. ↑ [1,](#page-0-1) [2,](#page-1-3) [3](#page-2-4)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[C.etc.13] C. Ciliberto, B. Harbourne, R. Miranda, and J. Roe´, *Variations on Nagata's Conjecture,* Clay Mathematics Proceedings, 18, (2013), 185-203. ↑ [3](#page-2-4)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[Fuj79] T. Fujita, *On Zariski problem,* Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A 55,(1979), 106–110. ↑ [3](#page-2-4)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>[Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 52, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. ↑ [5,](#page-4-3) [6](#page-5-1)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[HPT15] B. Harbourne, P. Pokora, and H. Tutaj-Gasinska, *On integral Zariski decompositions of pseudoeffective divisors on algebraic surfaces,* Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. 22(5)(2015),103-108. ↑ [2,](#page-1-3) [6](#page-5-1)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>[Iit82] S. Iitaka, *Algebraic Geometry,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 76, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982. ↑ [5](#page-4-3)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry I, II,* Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 48-49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. ↑ [2,](#page-1-3) [5](#page-4-3)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>[KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. ↑ [4,](#page-3-2) [5](#page-4-3)
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>[Kov94] S. J. Kov´acs, *The cone of curves of a K3 surface,* Math. Ann. 300(4)(1994),681-691. ↑ [6](#page-5-1)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[Nag59] M. Nagata, *On the 14-th problem of Hilbert,* Am. J. Math. 81(1959), 766-772. ↑ [2](#page-1-3)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[Zar62] O. Zariski, *The theory of Riemann-Roch for high multiples of an effective divisor on an algebraic surface*. Ann. Math. 76(1962),560–615. ↑ [3](#page-2-4)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY OF PMMP, EAST CHINA NOR-MAL UNIVERSITY, MATH. BLDG , NO. 500, DONGCHUAN ROAD, SHANGHAI, 200241, P. R. CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, BLOCK S17, 10 LOWER KENT RIDGE ROAD, SINGAPORE 119076

*E-mail address*: [lisichen123@foxmail.com](mailto:lisichen123@foxmail.com)

*URL*: [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sichen\\_Li4](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sichen_Li4)