

# SOME MULTIDIMENSIONAL INTEGRALS IN NUMBER THEORY AND CONNECTIONS WITH THE PAINLEVÉ V EQUATION

ESTELLE BASOR, FAN GE, AND MICHAEL O. RUBINSTEIN

ABSTRACT. We study piecewise polynomial functions  $\gamma_k(c)$  that appear in the asymptotics of averages of the divisor sum in short intervals. Specifically, we express these polynomials as the inverse Fourier transform of a Hankel determinant that satisfies a Painlevé V equation. We prove that  $\gamma_k(c)$  is very smooth at its transition points, and also determine the asymptotics of  $\gamma_k(c)$  in a large neighbourhood of  $k = c/2$ . Finally, we consider the coefficients that appear in the asymptotics of elliptic Aliquot cycles.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**Asymptotics of the mean square of sums of the  $k$ -th divisor function over short intervals.** Let  $d_k(n)$  be the  $k$ -th divisor numbers, i.e. the Dirichlet coefficients of the  $k$ -th power of the Riemann zeta function:

$$\zeta(s)^k = \sum_1^\infty \frac{d_k(n)}{n^s}, \quad \Re s > 1. \quad (1.1)$$

The Dirichlet coefficient  $d_k(n)$  is equal to the number of ways of writing  $n$  as a product of  $k$  factors. Define

$$S_k(X) = \sum_{n \leq X} d_k(n). \quad (1.2)$$

Let  $XP_{k-1}(\log X)$  be the residue, at  $s = 1$  of  $\zeta(s)^k X^s/s$ , with  $P_{k-1}(\log X)$  being a polynomial in  $\log X$  of degree  $k - 1$ . Then

$$S_k(X) = XP_{k-1}(\log X) + \Delta_k(X), \quad (1.3)$$

with  $\Delta_k(X)$  denoting the remainder term.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M06, Secondary 33E17.

*Key words and phrases.* Painlevé, Hankel determinants, divisor sums, elliptic aliquot cycles.

The third author is Supported in part by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

The  $k$  divisor problem states that the true order of magnitude for  $\Delta_k$  is:

$$\Delta_k(X) = O\left(X^{(k-1)/2k+\epsilon}\right). \quad (1.4)$$

When  $k = 2$ , the traditional Dirichlet divisor problem is

$$D_2(X) = X \log X + (2\gamma - 1)X + \Delta_2(X), \quad (1.5)$$

with a conjectured remainder

$$\Delta_2(X) = O\left(X^{1/4+\epsilon}\right). \quad (1.6)$$

The estimate for the remainder term  $\Delta_k(X)$  is based on expected cancellation in Voronoi-type formulas for  $\Delta_k(X)$  and also on estimates, due to Cramér [3] ( $k = 2$ ) and Tong [10] ( $k > 2$ ), for the mean square of  $\Delta_k$ .

Let

$$\Delta_k(x; H) = \Delta_k(x + H) - \Delta_k(x) \quad (1.7)$$

be the remainder term for sums of  $d_k$  over the interval  $[x, x + H]$ .

Define

$$a_k = \prod_p \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{k^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(k+j)}{\Gamma(k)j!}\right)^2 \frac{1}{p^j} \right\}. \quad (1.8)$$

Keating, Rodgers, Roditty-Gershon, and Rudnick conjectured [7]:

**Conjecture 1.1.** *If  $0 < \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{k}$  is fixed, then for  $H = X^\alpha$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{X} \int_X^{2X} \left(\Delta_k(x, H)\right)^2 dx \sim a_k \mathcal{P}_k(\alpha) H (\log X)^{k^2-1}, \quad X \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.9)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_k(\alpha)$  is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_k(\alpha) = (1 - \alpha)^{k^2-1} \gamma_k\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right). \quad (1.10)$$

Here

$$\gamma_k(c) = \frac{1}{k! G(1+k)^2} \int_{[0,1]^k} \delta(t_1 + \dots + t_k - c) \prod_{i < j} (t_i - t_j)^2 dt_1 \dots dt_k, \quad (1.11)$$

$G$  is the Barnes  $G$ -function, so that for positive integers  $k$ ,  $G(1+k) = 1! \cdot 2! \cdot 3! \dots (k-1)!$ .

For  $1 - \frac{1}{k-1} < \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{k}$ , the conjecture is consistent with a theorem of Lester [8].

Let  $U$  be an  $N \times N$  matrix. The *secular coefficients*  $\text{Sc}_j(U)$  are the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of  $U$ :

$$\det(I + xU) = \sum_{j=0}^N \text{Sc}_j(U) x^j \quad (1.12)$$

Thus  $\text{Sc}_0(U) = 1$ ,  $\text{Sc}_1(U) = \text{tr } U$ ,  $\text{Sc}_N(U) = \det U$ . The secular coefficients are the elementary symmetric functions in the eigenvalues of  $U$ .

Define the matrix integrals, with respect to Haar measure, over the group  $U(N)$  of  $N \times N$  unitary matrices:

$$I_k(m; N) := \int_{U(N)} \left| \sum_{\substack{j_1 + \dots + j_k = m \\ 0 \leq j_1, \dots, j_k \leq N}} \text{Sc}_{j_1}(U) \dots \text{Sc}_{j_k}(U) \right|^2 dU. \quad (1.13)$$

**Theorem 1.1** (KR<sup>3</sup>). *Let  $c := m/N$ . Then for  $c \in [0, k]$ ,*

$$I_k(m; N) = \gamma_k(c) N^{k^2-1} + O_k(N^{k^2-2}), \quad (1.14)$$

with

$$\gamma_k(c) = \frac{1}{k! G(1+k)^2} \int_{[0,1]^k} \delta(t_1 + \dots + t_k - c) \prod_{i < j} (t_i - t_j)^2 dt_1 \dots dt_k, \quad (1.15)$$

KR<sup>3</sup> also proved the matrix integral satisfies a functional equation  $I_k(m; N) = I_k(kN - m; N)$ , from which it follows that

$$\gamma_k(c) = \gamma_k(k - c), \quad (1.16)$$

and also that

**Theorem 1.2** (KR<sup>3</sup>).

$$\gamma_k(c) = \sum_{0 \leq \ell < c} \binom{k}{\ell}^2 (c - \ell)^{(k-\ell)^2 + \ell^2 - 1} g_{k,\ell}(c - \ell) \quad (1.17)$$

where  $g_{k,\ell}(c - \ell)$  are (complicated) polynomials in  $c - \ell$ .

For a fixed  $k$ ,  $\gamma_k(c)$  is a piecewise polynomial function of  $c$ . Specifically, it is a fixed polynomial for  $r \leq c < r + 1$  ( $r$  integer), and each time the value of  $c$  passes through an integer it becomes a different polynomial.

For example,

$$\gamma_2(c) = \frac{1}{2!} \int_{\substack{0 \leq t_1 \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq c - t_1 \leq 1}} (t_1 - (c - t_1))^2 dt_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{c^3}{3!}, & 0 \leq c \leq 1 \\ \frac{(2-c)^3}{3!}, & 1 \leq c \leq 2 \end{cases} \quad (1.18)$$

and

$$\gamma_3(c) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8!}c^8, & 0 < c < 1 \\ \frac{1}{8!}(3-c)^8, & 2 < c < 3 \end{cases} \quad (1.19)$$

while for  $1 < c < 2$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3(c) = \frac{1}{8!} \Big( & -2c^8 + 24c^7 - 252c^6 + 1512c^5 - 4830c^4 \\ & + 8568c^3 - 8484c^2 + 4392c - 927 \Big). \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

## 2. RELATIONSHIP TO A HANKEL DETERMINANT

Our starting point is to derive an expression for  $\gamma_k(c)$  as the Fourier transform of a Hankel determinant. In (1.11), we substitute for the Dirac delta function:

$$\delta(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(2\pi ixy) dy. \quad (2.1)$$

One can be rigorous by writing  $\delta(x)$  as the limit of a highly peaked Gaussian, i.e. as the inverse Fourier transform of a highly spread out Gaussian, but for convenience we proceed as above.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k(c) = \frac{1}{k! G(1+k)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(2\pi iuc) \int_{[0,1]^k} \exp\left(-2\pi iu \sum t_j\right) \\ \times \prod_{i<j} (t_i - t_j)^2 dt_1 \dots dt_k du. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We also note a more symmetric form of the above by substituting  $t_j = x_j + 1/2$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k(c) = \frac{1}{k! G(1+k)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(2\pi iu(c-k/2)) \int_{[-1/2,1/2]^k} \exp\left(-2\pi iu \sum x_j\right) \\ \times \prod_{i<j} (x_i - x_j)^2 dt_1 \dots dx_k du. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

We will prove the following two formulas for  $\gamma_k(c)$ .

### Theorem 2.1.

$$\gamma_k(c) = \frac{1}{G(1+k)^2 (2\pi i)^{k(k-1)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(2\pi iuc) \det_{k \times k} (f^{(i+j-2)}(u)) du \quad (2.4)$$

where  $f(u) = \int_0^1 \exp(-2\pi iut) dt = (1 - \exp(-2\pi iu))/(2\pi iu)$ . The determinant is a Hankel determinant.

A similar, but more symmetric, identity is:

$$\gamma_k(c) = \frac{1}{G(1+k)^2(2\pi i)^{k(k-1)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(2\pi i u(c-k/2)) \det_{k \times k} (h^{(i+j-2)}(u)) du \quad (2.5)$$

where  $h(u) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \exp(-2\pi i u x) dx = \sin(\pi u)/(\pi u)$ .

Our proof will use the Andreief identity:

**Lemma 2.2** (Andreief). *Let  $A_k(t), B_k(t), r(t)$  be integrable functions on the interval  $[a, b]$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{N!} \int_{[a,b]^N} \prod_{j=1}^N r(t_j) \det_{N \times N} (A_k(t_j)) \det_{N \times N} (B_k(t_j)) dt_1 \dots dt_N \quad (2.6)$$

$$= \det_{N \times N} \left( \int_a^b r(t) A_j(t) B_k(t) dt \right). \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* To prove the first identity in 2.1, apply Andreief's identity to equation (2.2), with  $A$  and  $B$  two Vandermonde determinants, and  $r(t) = \exp(-2\pi i ut)$ , to get:

$$\gamma_k(c) = \frac{1}{G(1+k)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(2\pi i uc) \det_{k \times k} \left( \int_0^1 \exp(-2\pi i ut) t^{i+j-2} dt \right) du \quad (2.8)$$

The entries of the matrix can be expressed as derivatives, with respect to  $u$ , of  $\int_0^1 \exp(-2\pi i ut) dt$ , and we can then correct for the extra powers of  $-2\pi i u$  by dividing the  $l$ -th row by  $(-2\pi i u)^{l-1}$  and the  $j$ -th column by  $(-2\pi i u)^{j-1}$ , thus by  $(-2\pi i u)^{k(k-1)}$  in total (and then dropping the  $-1$  since  $k(k-1)$  is even).

Using the second form (2.3), we similarly have (2.5) where  $h(u) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \exp(-2\pi i u x) dx = \sin(\pi u)/(\pi u)$ .  $\square$

Some of the basic properties of  $\gamma_k(c)$  can be read from (2.4). For example, the inverse Fourier transform of  $f^{(j)}$  is equal to  $(-2\pi i)^j c^j$  on the interval  $(0, 1)$  and 0 outside this interval. Expanding the determinant as a permutation sum, each summand thus has inverse Fourier transform a convolution of such terms, and is thus supported on  $c \in (0, k)$ .

It also shows that  $\gamma_k(c)$  is a polynomial in  $c$  on each interval  $[j, j+1]$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k-1$  of degree at most  $k^2 - 1$ , because the  $i, j$  entry has inverse Fourier Transform a polynomial in  $c$  on  $(0, 1)$  of degree  $i+j-2$ . Multiply out the determinant as a permutation sum. Each summand, when integrated with respect to  $c$ , is the inverse Fourier transform of

a product of  $k$  functions, and hence consists of  $k - 1$  convolutions of the individual inverse Fourier transforms. Each convolution increases the degree of the polynomial by 1. Hence, each permutation  $\sigma$  has its resulting degree bounded by  $(k - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^k (i + \sigma_i - 2) = k^2 - 1$ .

We can thus use (2.4) to compute the polynomials  $\gamma_k(c)$  by evaluating it at  $\geq k^2$  rational values of  $c$ , say, in each unit interval and interpolating. In this manner, we determined the polynomials  $\gamma_k(c)$  listed in Table 1 and 2.

In the symmetric form (2.5), one also sees that  $\gamma_k(c) = \gamma_k(c - k)$ , by substituting  $-u$  for  $u$ , and using the fact that the determinant in that formula is an even function of  $u$ .

Setting

$$g(t) = \int_0^1 \exp(-tx) dx, \quad (2.9)$$

so that

$$g^{(n)}(t) = \int_0^1 (-x)^n \exp(-tx) dx, \quad (2.10)$$

and letting

$$D_k(t) = \det_{k \times k} (g^{(i+j-2)}(t)), \quad (2.11)$$

we have that (2.4) can be written as

$$\gamma_k(c) = \frac{1}{G(k+1)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(2\pi i c u) D_k(2\pi i u) du. \quad (2.12)$$

$D_k(t)$  also satisfies a Painlevé V equation. This is proven in more generality in a paper of Basor, Chen and Ehrhardt [1] (4.38 of that paper, with  $a = 0$ ,  $b = t$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ ). Specifically, the following holds.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let*

$$H_k(t) = t \frac{D'_k(t)}{D_k(t)} + k^2. \quad (2.13)$$

*Then*

$$(tH_k''(t))^2 = (H_k(t) + (2k - t)H_k'(t))^2 - 4(H_k'(t))^2(k^2 - H_k(t) + tH_k'(t)). \quad (2.14)$$

Another interesting feature, is that, while  $\gamma_k(c)$  is given by a different polynomial on each  $[j, j + 1]$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$ ,  $\gamma_k(c)$  can be differentiated  $j^2 + (k - j)^2 - 2$  times at  $c = j$ , i.e. is very smooth.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $j$  be an integer and  $0 < j < k$ . Define*

$$\nu(c, k) = c^2 + (k - c)^2. \quad (2.15)$$

*Then  $\gamma_k(c)$  is  $(\nu(j, k) - 2)$ -times differentiable at  $c = j$ .*

Note that  $\nu(c, k)$  reaches its minimum at  $c = \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor$ , in which case

$$\nu\left(\left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right\rfloor, k\right) = \left\lfloor \frac{k^2+1}{2} \right\rfloor. \quad (2.16)$$

Thus, we have

**Corollary 2.5.** *The function  $\gamma_k(c)$  is  $(\lfloor \frac{k^2+1}{2} \rfloor - 2)$ -times differentiable for all  $0 < c < k$ .*

The following lemma is essentially proved in Section 4 of [6].

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let*

$$I_k(u) = \frac{1}{k!} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2\pi i u \sum_j t_j} \prod_{j < \ell} (t_j - t_\ell)^2 dt_1 \cdots dt_k. \quad (2.17)$$

Then

$$I_k(u) = \sum_{c=0}^k e^{i\pi u(k-2c)} \left( \frac{a(c, k)}{u^{\nu(c, k)}} + O\left(\frac{1}{u^{\nu(c, k)+1}}\right) \right) \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$\nu(c, k) = c^2 + (k - c)^2 \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$a(c, k) = (-1)^c (2\pi i)^{-\nu(c, k)} G(c+1)^2 G(k-c+1)^2. \quad (2.20)$$

Note that  $I_k$  above is essentially the inner multidimensional integral in the expression (2.3) for  $\gamma_k$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *We have*

$$\gamma_2(c) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i u(c-1)} \left( -\frac{1}{u^2} + \frac{\sin(\pi u)^2}{\pi^2 u^4} \right) du \quad (2.21)$$

$$(2.22)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{c^3}{3!}, & \text{if } 0 \leq c \leq 1, \\ \frac{(2-c)^3}{3!}, & \text{if } 1 \leq c \leq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.23)$$

In particular,  $\gamma_2(c)$  is not differentiable at  $c = 1$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.* Substituting (2.17) into equation (2.3),

$$\gamma_k(c) = \frac{1}{G(1+k)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i u(c-\frac{k}{2})} I_k(u) du. \quad (2.24)$$

Moreover, from its multi-integral definition we see that  $I_k(u)$  is continuous for all real  $u$ . In particular,  $I_k(u)$  is bounded near the origin.

Therefore, to prove that  $\gamma_k(c)$  is  $(\nu(j, k) - 2)$ -times differentiable at  $c = j$ , it suffices to show that

$$J_k(c) := \int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c - \frac{k}{2})} I_k(u) du \quad (2.25)$$

is  $(\nu(j, k) - 2)$ -times differentiable at  $c = j$ .

By Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} J_k(c) &= \int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c - \frac{k}{2})} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^k e^{i\pi u(k-2\ell)} \left( \frac{a(\ell, k)}{u^{\nu(\ell, k)}} + O\left(\frac{1}{u^{\nu(\ell, k)+1}}\right) \right) du \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^k \int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c-\ell)} \cdot \left( \frac{a(\ell, k)}{u^{\nu(\ell, k)}} + O\left(\frac{1}{u^{\nu(\ell, k)+1}}\right) \right) du. \end{aligned}$$

We show that for each  $\ell$ ,

$$J_{\ell, k}(c) := \int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c-\ell)} \cdot \left( \frac{a(\ell, k)}{u^{\nu(\ell, k)}} + O\left(\frac{1}{u^{\nu(\ell, k)+1}}\right) \right) du \quad (2.26)$$

is  $(\nu(j, k) - 2)$ -times differentiable at  $c = j$ .

*Case 1:  $\ell = j$ .* In this case, we observe that, for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \nu(j, k) - 2$ , the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|u|>1} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial c^n} \left[ e^{2\pi i u(c-j)} \cdot \left( \frac{a(j, k)}{u^{\nu(j, k)}} + O\left(\frac{1}{u^{\nu(j, k)+1}}\right) \right) \right] du \\ &= \int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c-j)} \cdot (2\pi i u)^n \left( \frac{a(j, k)}{u^{\nu(j, k)}} + O\left(\frac{1}{u^{\nu(j, k)+1}}\right) \right) du \\ &\ll \int_{|u|>1} u^n \left( \frac{a(j, k)}{u^{\nu(j, k)}} + O\left(\frac{1}{u^{\nu(j, k)+1}}\right) \right) du \end{aligned}$$

are uniformly convergent in  $c$ . Therefore,  $J_{j, k}$  is  $(\nu(j, k) - 2)$ -times differentiable at  $c = j$  and, in addition,

$$\frac{d^n}{dc^n} J_{j, k}(c) = \int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c-j)} \cdot (2\pi i u)^n \left( \frac{a(j, k)}{u^{\nu(j, k)}} + O\left(\frac{1}{u^{\nu(j, k)+1}}\right) \right) du \quad (2.27)$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \nu(j, k) - 2$ .

*Case 2:  $\ell \neq j$ .* In this case, we show that  $J_{\ell, k}(c)$  is in fact  $C^\infty$  at  $c = j$ . To prove this, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{|c|>1} e^{2\pi i u\delta} \frac{du}{u} \quad (2.28)$$

is  $C^\infty$  at  $\delta \neq 0$ .



Using integration by parts repeatedly we see that

$$\int_{|c|>1} e^{2\pi i u \delta} \frac{du}{u} = \frac{m!}{(2\pi i \delta)^m} \int_{|c|>1} e^{2\pi i u \delta} \frac{du}{u^{m+1}} + O_m(\delta^{-1} + \delta^{-m}) \quad (2.29)$$

for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and real  $\delta \neq 0$ , where the Big- $O$  term is a  $C^\infty$  function for  $\delta \neq 0$ . Also, by uniform convergence (see a similar argument in Case 1)

$$\frac{m!}{(2\pi i \delta)^m} \int_{|c|>1} e^{2\pi i u \delta} \frac{du}{u^{m+1}} \quad (2.30)$$

is  $(m-1)$ -times differentiable at  $\delta \neq 0$ . It follows that

$$\int_{|c|>1} e^{2\pi i u \delta} \frac{du}{u} \quad (2.31)$$

is  $(m-1)$ -times differentiable at  $\delta \neq 0$ . Since  $m$  is arbitrary, we have

$$\int_{|c|>1} e^{2\pi i u \delta} \frac{du}{u} \quad (2.32)$$

is  $C^\infty$  at  $\delta \neq 0$ .

Combining Case 1 and Case 2 we obtain that

$$J_k(c) := \int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c-\frac{k}{2})} I_k(u) du \quad (2.33)$$

is  $(\nu(j, k) - 2)$ -times differentiable at  $c = j$ , and therefore, so is  $\gamma_k(c)$ .

Lastly, we show that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dc}\right)^{\nu(j,k)-2} \gamma_k(c) \quad (2.34)$$

is not differentiable at  $c = j$ . It suffices to show that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dc}\right)^{\nu(j,k)-2} J_{j,k} \quad (2.35)$$

is not differentiable at  $c = j$ . By equation (2.27) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dc}\right)^{\nu(j,k)-2} J_{j,k} &= \int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c-j)} \cdot (2\pi i u)^{\nu(j,k)-2} \\ &\quad \left(\frac{a(j,k)}{u^{\nu(j,k)}} + O\left(\frac{1}{u^{\nu(j,k)+1}}\right)\right) du. \end{aligned}$$

Again, by the uniform convergence argument we see that

$$\int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c-j)} \cdot (2\pi i u)^{\nu(j,k)-2} \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{u^{\nu(j,k)+1}}\right) du$$

is differentiable at  $c = j$ . Therefore, it remains to show that

$$\int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c-j)} \cdot (2\pi i u)^{\nu(j,k)-2} \cdot \frac{a(j,k)}{u^{\nu(j,k)}} du$$

is not differentiable at  $c = j$ , or equivalently,

$$\int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c-1)} \cdot \frac{du}{u^2}$$

is not differentiable at  $c = 1$ .

It follows from Lemma 2.7 that

$$\int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c-1)} \left( -\frac{1}{u^2} + \frac{\sin(\pi u)^2}{\pi^2 u^4} \right) du$$

is not differentiable at  $c = 1$ . Since

$$\int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c-1)} \cdot \frac{\sin(\pi u)^2}{\pi^2 u^4} du$$

is differentiable at  $c = 1$ , we see that

$$\int_{|u|>1} e^{2\pi i u(c-1)} \cdot \frac{du}{u^2}$$

is not differentiable at  $c = 1$ . This ends our proof of Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

The highly smooth nature of  $\gamma_k(c)$  was first observed empirically by Conrey in the related problem of determining the asymptotics of the second moment of Dirichlet polynomials whose coefficients are  $k$ -th divisor numbers. Specifically, he defines

$$M_k(c) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(k^2)!}{a_k T (\log T)^{k^2}} \int_0^T \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{d_k(n)}{n^{1/2+it}} \right|^2 dt$$

for integer values of  $k$  and  $N = T^c$  with  $c > 0$ , and determined  $M_k(c)$  for  $k \leq 4$  (conjecturally for  $k = 3, 4$ ). By comparing Conrey's tables (personal communication) for  $M_k(c)$  with our tables for  $\gamma_k(c)$ , it appears to be the case that the derivative of  $M_k(c)$  is equal to  $(k^2)! \gamma_k(c)$ . Bettin [2] has proven the analogous smoothness for the polynomials  $M_k(c)$ .

### 3. EXPANSION FOR $\log D_k(t)$ AND THE LIMITING BEHAVIOUR OF $\gamma_k(c)$

Notice that

$$g^{(n)}(0) = \int_0^1 (-x)^n dx = (-1)^n / (n+1). \quad (3.1)$$

Thus, pulling out powers of  $-1$  from the determinant, of which there are an even number, we have  $D_k(0) = \det_{k \times k}(1/(i+j-1))$ , which is a special case of the Cauchy determinant and thus

$$D_k(0) = G(k+1)^4/G(2k+1). \quad (3.2)$$

Now,  $D_k(t)$  satisfies the Toda equation [9]:

$$\frac{D_{k-1}(t)D_{k+1}(t)}{D_k(t)^2} = \frac{D_k''(t)}{D_k(t)} - \frac{(D_k'(t))^2}{D_k(t)^2} = (\log(D_k(t)))'' \quad (3.3)$$

This follows from a recursion of Dodgson (aka Lewis Carroll) for computing determinants [5]. Define  $c_m(k)$  by:

$$D_k(t) = D_k(0) \exp\left(\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{c_m(k)}{m} t^m\right). \quad (3.4)$$

Take the log derivative of the lhs and rhs of the above identity, substitute the series for  $\log(D_k(t))$ , and clear the denominator of the rhs. Comparing coefficients gives the recursion, for  $M > 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} c_M(k) &= \frac{1}{(M-1)(M-2)} \sum_{m=0}^{M-3} (m+1)c_{m+2}(k) \\ &\quad \times (c_{M-m-2}(k-1) + c_{M-m-2}(k+1) - 2c_{M-m-2}(k)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

This recursion determines the coefficients  $c_M(k)$  in terms of  $c_1(k), \dots, c_{M-2}(k)$ .

To get  $c_1(k)$ :

$$c_1(k) = D_k'(0)/D_k(0). \quad (3.6)$$

One can differentiate  $D_k(t)$  by using the product rule to get a sum of determinants where we differentiate the  $i$ -th row. However, because the entries of  $D_k(t)$  are derivatives, differentiating the  $i$ -th row produces a row that matches the one below it, and the determinant vanishes. Thus, only the last of these terms, where we differentiate the last row, survives. However, that determinant is also a Cauchy determinant with  $i, j$  entry  $(-1)^{i+j-1}/(i+j-1)$  as before, except for the last row where the entry is  $(-1)^{i+j}/(i+j)$ .

Using the formula for the Cauchy determinant, a lot of cancellation occurs and we get

$$c_1(k) = -k/2. \quad (3.7)$$

To determine  $c_2(k)$ , substitute  $t = 0$  into identity (3.3). On the lhs:

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{k-1}(0)D_{k+1}(0)/D_k(0)^2 \\ &= G(k)^4G(k+2)^4G(2k+1)^2/(G(2k-1)G(2k+3)G(k+1)^8) \\ &= k^2/(4(4k^2-1)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

On the rhs, the constant term of  $(\log(D_k(t)))''$  is  $c_2(k)$ , so

$$c_2(k) = k^2/(4(4k^2 - 1)). \quad (3.9)$$

The recursion, along with the initial two terms determine all the  $c_m(k)$ 's. For example,  $c_3(k) = 0$ , and

$$c_4(k) = \frac{k^2}{16(4k^2 - 1)^2(4k^2 - 9)}. \quad (3.10)$$

We can apply the above to determine the asymptotic expansion of  $\gamma_k(c)$  in a large neighbourhood of  $k/2$ . To do so, isolate the  $m = 1, 2$  terms from the series (3.4), substitute into (2.12) with  $t = 2\pi iu$ , and compose the series for  $\exp$  with that of the terms  $m \geq 3$  of (3.4), to get that the integrand of (2.12) equals:

$$\exp\left(-\frac{(k\pi u)^2}{2(4k^2 - 1)} + 2\pi i(c - k/2)u\right) \left(1 + \frac{k^2(\pi u)^4}{4(4k^2 - 1)^2(4k^2 - 9)} + \dots\right). \quad (3.11)$$

One can obtain more terms, if desired, from the recursion for  $c_M(k)$ . We thus have the following asymptotic expansion:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $b_k = 8(1 - 1/(4k^2))$  and  $c = k/2 + o(k)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k(c) \sim & \frac{G(k+1)^2}{G(2k+1)} \sqrt{\frac{b_k}{\pi}} \exp(-b_k(c - k/2)^2) \\ & \times \left(1 + \frac{1}{4k^2 - 9} \left(\frac{64(c - k/2)^4 - 24(c - k/2)^2 + 3/4}{k^2}\right.\right. \\ & \left.\left. - 2\frac{(c - k/2)^2(16(c - k/2)^2 - 3)}{k^4} + 4\frac{(c - k/2)^4}{k^6}\right) + \dots\right). \quad (3.12) \end{aligned}$$

*i.e. Gaussian near the centre.*

#### 4. ELLIPTIC ALIQUOT CYCLES

The basic method used to pass from (1.11) to equation (2.2) can be used in the context of elliptic aliquot cycles.

Let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_d)$  be a  $d$ -tuple of distinct primes. Let  $\alpha(\mathbf{p})$  be the probability of choosing random and independently  $d$  elliptic curves  $E_1, \dots, E_d$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p_1}, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{p_d}$ , respectively, with the property that  $|E(\mathbb{F}_{p_j})| = p_{j+1}$ , for  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Here,  $p_{d+1} = p_1$ . We are choosing the curves  $E_j$  uniformly from the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

David, Koukoulopoulos, and Smith [4] gave an asymptotic for the average of  $\alpha(\mathbf{p})$  over the set

$$\mathcal{P}_d(x) = \{(p_1, \dots, p_d) : p_1 \leq x\}. \quad (4.1)$$

(Hasse's bound implies that  $\alpha(\mathbf{p}) = 0$  unless  $|p_{j+1} - p_j - 1| < 2\sqrt{p_j}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ ).

**Theorem 4.1** (DKS). *For any fixed  $A > 0$ ,*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_d(x)} \alpha(\mathbf{p}) = C_{\text{aliquot}}^{(d)} \int_2^x \frac{du}{2\sqrt{u}(\log u)^d} + O_A\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{(\log x)^A}\right) \sim C_{\text{aliquot}}^{(d)} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{(\log x)^d},$$

where

$$C_{\text{aliquot}}^{(d)} := I_{\text{aliquot}}^{(d)} \cdot \prod_{\ell} \frac{\ell^d \cdot \#\left\{ \sigma \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^d : \begin{array}{l} \det(\sigma_j) + 1 - \text{tr}(\sigma_j) \equiv \det(\sigma_{j+1})(\ell) \\ \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq d, \text{ where } \sigma_{d+1} = \sigma_1 \end{array} \right\}}{|GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})|^d}$$

with

$$I_{\text{aliquot}}^{(d)} := \frac{2^d}{\pi^d} \int_{\substack{|t_j| \leq 1 \\ |t_1 + \dots + t_{d-1}| \leq 1}} \dots \int_{(1 \leq j \leq d-1)} \sqrt{1 - (t_1 + \dots + t_{d-1})^2} \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \sqrt{1 - t_j^2} dt_1 \dots dt_{d-1}.$$

Let

$$I(d) := \int_{\substack{|t_j| \leq 1 \\ |t_1 + \dots + t_{d-1}| \leq 1}} \dots \int_{(1 \leq j \leq d-1)} \sqrt{1 - (t_1 + \dots + t_{d-1})^2} \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} \sqrt{1 - t_j^2} dt_1 \dots dt_{d-1}. \quad (4.2)$$

$I(1) = 1$ ,  $I(2) = 4/3$ . One might wonder if  $I(d)$  persists in being rational. We will show, for  $d = 3$ , that this seems unlikely.

Replacing the Dirac delta function by the integral in (2.1), we have

$$I(d) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[-1,1]^d} \prod_1^d (1 - t_j^2)^{1/2} \exp\left(2\pi i y \sum t_j\right) dt_1 \dots dt_d dy \quad (4.3)$$

But

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1 - t^2)^{1/2} \exp(2\pi i y t) dt = J_1(2\pi y)/(2y), \quad (4.4)$$

( $J$ -Bessel function on the rhs). Separating the integral, we get

$$I(d) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{J_1(2\pi y)}{(2y)} \right)^d dy, \quad (4.5)$$

i.e. a one dimensional integral.

This formula can be used to efficiently evaluate  $I(d)$  for, say,  $d = 3, 4, \dots$ , for example with Poisson summation.

Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and let

$$\hat{f}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-2\pi iyt} dt. \quad (4.6)$$

denote its Fourier transform. The Poisson summation formula asserts, for, say,  $f$  continuous, that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) \quad (4.7)$$

provided the rhs converges absolutely and that  $\sum f(n+v)$  converges uniformly in  $v$  on compact sets.

Let  $\Delta > 0$ . By a change of variable

$$\Delta \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n\Delta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n/\Delta) = \hat{f}(0) + \sum_{n \neq 0} \hat{f}(n/\Delta), \quad (4.8)$$

so that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)dt - \Delta \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n\Delta) = - \sum_{n \neq 0} \hat{f}(n/\Delta) \quad (4.9)$$

tells us how closely the Riemann sum  $\Delta \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n\Delta)$  approximates the integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)dt$ .

Apply, with

$$f(y) = \left( \frac{J_1(2\pi y)}{(2y)} \right)^d. \quad (4.10)$$

Note that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{J_1(2\pi y)}{(2y)} \exp(-2\pi iux) dx = \begin{cases} (1-u^2)^{1/2}, & |u| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore, the Fourier transform of  $\left( \frac{J_1(2\pi y)}{(2y)} \right)^d$ , being the  $d$ -fold convolution of  $(1-u^2)^{1/2}$  with itself, is supported in  $|u| \leq d$ .

Hence, in the Poisson sum method, any choice of  $\Delta \geq 1/d$  gives *no* remainder in the Poisson formula (i.e. 0 contribution from them  $|n| \geq 1$  terms). Thus, taking  $\Delta = 1/d$  gives:

$$I(d) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{J_1(2\pi y)}{(2y)} \right)^d dy = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{J_1(2\pi n/d)}{(2n/d)} \right)^d. \quad (4.12)$$

Furthermore,  $J_1(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cos(z - 3\pi/4)$ , hence the sum on the right has terms that are  $\ll (2\pi)^{-d}(n/d)^{-3d/2}$ . Thus with  $d = 3$ , the first million terms of the sum gives more than twenty digits accuracy.

One can accelerate the convergence of the sum further using the asymptotics of the  $J$ -Bessel function, and algorithms for the evaluation of the polylogarithm  $\text{Li}_s(z) = \sum_1^\infty z^n/n^s$ . Or one can cheat and just use a blackbox like Maple to evaluate (4.5), with  $d = 3$ :

$$I(3) = 1.7053570421915038354985956872898996791331386909 \\ 7890590667136169819331192007797559594679011\dots \quad (4.13)$$

Let  $A_n/B_n$  be the  $n$ -th convergent of the continued fraction of the real number  $\alpha$ . If  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfies:

$$|\alpha - p/q| < |\alpha - A_n/B_n| \quad (4.14)$$

then  $q > B_n$ . Therefore, computing the continued fraction for  $I(3)$ , the 85-th convergent is:

$$\frac{14703927951211792459205597491632973549428444428}{8622199098152613288048825699460716423721576467} \quad (4.15)$$

(and  $|I(3) - A_{85}/B_{85}| \neq 0$ . With given precision, there is a limit to how many convergents we can meaningfully use).

Thus, if  $I(3)$  is rational, then it has denominator at least  $10^{45}$ . It would not be too difficult to increase the denominator to hundreds or thousands of digits (millions of digits with some effort), assuming  $I(3)$  is irrational.

Maple's identify command did not turn up any obvious expressions for  $I(3)$  in terms of algebraic numbers and known constants.

One can also determine the behaviour of  $I(d)$  for large  $d$ . Writing

$$\left(\frac{J_1(2\pi y)}{2y}\right)^d = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^d \exp(d \log(J_1(2\pi y)/(\pi y))), \quad (4.16)$$

expanding  $J_1$  in its Maclaurin series, and pulling out the  $y^2$  term, the above becomes

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^d \exp\left(-\frac{d\pi^2 y^2}{2}\right) \\ \times \exp\left(-\frac{d\pi^4 y^4}{24} - \frac{d\pi^6 y^6}{144} - \frac{d\pi^8 y^8}{720} - \frac{13d\pi^{10} y^{10}}{43200} + \dots\right). \quad (4.17)$$

Taking the Maclaurin series of the latter exponential (truncated with remainder term), we thus get the asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(d) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{J_1(2\pi y)}{(2y)} \right)^d dy \\
 &\sim \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{d-1/2} \frac{1}{d^{1/2}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{8d} - \frac{5}{384d^2} + \frac{7}{3072d^3} + \frac{3829}{491520d^4} + \dots \right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.18}$$



$k$	$j$	$(k^2 - 1)! \gamma_k(c)$
2	0	$c^3$
	1	$(2 - c)^3$
3	0	$c^8$
	1	$-2c^8 + 24c^7 - 252c^6 + 1512c^5 - 4830c^4 + 8568c^3 - 8484c^2 + 4392c - 927$
	2	$(c - 3)^8$
4	0	$c^{15}$
	1	$-3c^{15} + 60c^{14} - 1680c^{13} + 29120c^{12} - 294840c^{11} + 1873872c^{10} - 7927920c^9 + 23268960c^8 - 48674340c^7 + 73653580c^6 - 80912832c^5 + 63969360c^4 - 35497280c^3 + 13131720c^2 - 2910240c + 292464$
	2	$3c^{15} - 120c^{14} + 3360c^{13} - 58240c^{12} + 644280c^{11} - 4948944c^{10} + 28428400c^9 - 128700000c^8 + 470398500c^7 - 1381480100c^6 + 3179336160c^5 - 5531176560c^4 + 6950332480c^3 - 5910494520c^2 + 3031004640c - 705916304$
	3	$(4 - c)^{15}$
5	0	$c^{24}$
	1	$-4c^{24} + 120c^{23} - 6900c^{22} + 253000c^{21} - 5578650c^{20} + 79695000c^{19} - 785367660c^{18} + 5598232200c^{17} - 29915282925c^{16} + 123134189200c^{15} - 398517412920c^{14} + 1029946456560c^{13} - 2149736416100c^{12} + 3651921075600c^{11} - 5072249298600c^{10} + 5768661885360c^9 - 5363308269495c^8 + 4055447662200c^7 - 2470634081300c^6 + 1194550480200c^5 - 447845361810c^4 + 125530048600c^3 - 24758793900c^2 + 3065085000c - 179192775$
	2	$6c^{24} - 360c^{23} + 20700c^{22} - 759000c^{21} + 17798550c^{20} - 292215000c^{19} + 3673797820c^{18} - 38235839400c^{17} + 347123925225c^{16} - 2790376974000c^{15} + 19589544660840c^{14} - 117507788504400c^{13} + 592028782736300c^{12} - 2479096272534000c^{11} + 8573537591434200c^{10} - 24367026171730000c^9 + 56603181050415945c^8 - 106665764409131400c^7 + 161304132700472300c^6 - 192656070655587000c^5 + 177464649282553710c^4 - 121528934511474600c^3 + 58223870087874900c^2 - 17407730744067000c + 2443806916000825$
	3	$-4c^{24} + 360c^{23} - 20700c^{22} + 759000c^{21} - 18861150c^{20} + 345345000c^{19} - 4991492660c^{18} + 59676982200c^{17} - 604502001675c^{16} + 5220961534800c^{15} - 38343917872920c^{14} + 238359873297840c^{13} - 1250073382257700c^{12} + 5522495132708400c^{11} - 20539021982760600c^{10} + 64263112978594640c^9 - 168820549421134545c^8 + 370693368908418600c^7 - 674525363862958300c^6 + 1002229415508043800c^5 - 1187187920423969310c^4 + 1078975874367012600c^3 - 706068990841773900c^2 + 295689680026989000c - 59394510856327775$
4	$(5 - c)^{24}$	

TABLE 1. The polynomials  $(k^2 - 1)! \gamma_k(c)$  for  $k \leq 5$  and  $j \leq c \leq j + 1$ .

$k$	$j$	$(k^2 - 1)! \gamma_k(c)$
6	0	$c^{35}$
6	1	$-5c^{35} + 210c^{34} - 21420c^{33} + 1413720c^{32} - 56862960c^{31} + 1501747632c^{30}$ $-27736558080c^{29} + 375954464160c^{28} - 3881009646360c^{27} + 31410293440680c^{26}$ $-203947162827408c^{25} + 1082230579684800c^{24} - 4764220775823600c^{23}$ $+17613096754503600c^{22} - 55229306110228800c^{21} + 148080133608311520c^{20}$ $-341689133815514100c^{19} + 682008750903872700c^{18} - 1182119446613536200c^{17}$ $+1784232273468783600c^{16} - 2349159980084905680c^{15} + 2699953776702032400c^{14}$ $-2707997790067516800c^{13} + 2366932574161864800c^{12} - 1798264701411305400c^{11}$ $+1182907170763213896c^{10} - 670007069282572560c^9 + 324322366699605120c^8$ $-132818300667235920c^7 + 45395326648924560c^6 - 12709759385961792c^5$ $+2839179794146080c^4 - 486611119673910c^3 + 60083734292610c^2$ $-4757721939180c + 181451828088$
	2	$10c^{35} - 840c^{34} + 85680c^{33} - 5654880c^{32} + 238447440c^{31} - 7029581328c^{30}$ $+158939827200c^{29} - 3010298623200c^{28} + 51174168784200c^{27} - 802885194480600c^{26}$ $+11485501718811120c^{25} - 145954772087342400c^{24} + 1615205663712622800c^{23}$ $-15414821245929142800c^{22} + 126507768912420350400c^{21} - 893399034384858022560c^{20}$ $+5440022414523749814300c^{19} - 28627456041998656712100c^{18} + 130462364245768533732600c^{17}$ $-515683796529615245254800c^{16} + 1769595318452023551221040c^{15} - 5272695333575690900655600c^{14}$ $+13632520546818627517123200c^{13} - 30536223709478278133815200c^{12} + 59100950810144250579990600c^{11}$ $-98447935269887910573290424c^{10} + 140369638227928515300288240c^9 - 170046927222112798851396480c^8$ $+173284197564689124463669680c^7 - 146552294343347207749027440c^6 + 100980418141793007531096768c^5$ $-55222971916535322127277280c^4 + 23052485974924851589246410c^3 - 6898544814307888233994110c^2$ $+1317633501288006725436180c - 120657836168926671721608$

TABLE 2.  $(k^2 - 1)! \gamma_k(c)$  for  $k = 6$  and  $j \leq c \leq j + 1$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ . The polynomials for  $j = 3, 4, 5$  can be determined from the above using  $\gamma_k(c) = \gamma_k(k - c)$ .

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The third author is supported in part by an NSERC Discovery Grant. The first and third authors conducted part of this research at the American Institute of Mathematics. We thank AIM for support, and Brian Conrey and Sandro Bettin for helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Basor, Y. Chen and T. Ehrhardt, *Painlevé V and time-dependent Jacobi polynomials*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theory, **43** (2010).
- [2] S. Bettin, *Notes on  $M_k(c)$* , preprint.
- [3] H. Cramér, *Über zwei Sätze von Herrn G.H. Hardy*, Math. Zeit., 15 (1922), 201–210.
- [4] C. David, and D. Koukoulopoulos and E. Smith, *Sums of Euler products and statistics of elliptic curves*, Math. Ann. 368 (2017), no. 1–2, 685–752.
- [5] C.L. Dodgson, *Condensation of Determinants, Being a New and Brief Method for Computing their Arithmetical Values*, Proc. Royal Society of London, **15** (1866–1867), 150–155.
- [6] A. Deaño, D. Huybrechs and A. Iserles, *The kissing polynomials and their Hankel determinants*, eprint arXiv:1504.07297.

- [7] J.P. Keating, B. Rodgers, E. Roditty-Gershon, and Z. Rudnick, *Sums of divisor functions in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  and matrix integrals*, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **288** (2018), 167–198.
- [8] S. Lester, *On the variance of sums of divisor functions in short intervals*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Society*, **144** (2016), no. 12, 5015–27.
- [9] K. Sogo, *Time dependent orthogonal polynomials and theory of soliton applications to matrix model, vertex model and level statistics*, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, **62** (1993), 1887–94.
- [10] K.C. Tong, *On divisor problems III*, *Acta. Math. Sinica*, **6** (1956), 515–541.

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS

*E-mail address:* `ebasor@aimath.org`

PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO

*E-mail address:* `fange.math@gmail.com`

PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO

*E-mail address:* `mrubinst@uwaterloo.ca`