ON THE CONGRUENCES OF EISENSTEIN SERIES WITH POLYNOMIAL INDEXES

SU HU, MIN-SOO KIM, AND MIN SHA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, based on Serre's *p*-adic family of Eisenstein series, we prove a general family of congruences for Eisenstein series G_k in the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(p)G_{f_i(p)} \equiv g_0(p) \mod p^N$$

where $f_1(t), \ldots, f_n(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ are non-constant integer polynomials with positive leading coefficients and $g_0(t), \ldots, g_n(t) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)$ are rational functions. This generalizes the classical von Staudt–Clausen's and Kummer's congruences of Eisenstein series, and also yields some new congruences.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation. Let E_k ($k \ge 4$ even) be normalized Eisenstein series of weight k for the modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by the following qexpansion:

$$E_k = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(j) q^j,$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$, B_k is the k-th Bernoulli number and $\sigma_{k-1}(j) = \sum_{d|j} d^{k-1}$. E_k can be regarded as formal power series in the indeterminate q. If $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[[q]]$ are power series and N is a natural number, $f \equiv g \mod N$ means that f and g are both N-integral and the congruence holds coefficientwise [2, page 132].

In what follows, we assume that p is an odd prime.

Several well-known congruences of E_k have been given in [4, page 164, Theorem 7.1]. For example, from von Staudt–Clausen's and Kummer's congruences for Bernoulli numbers, one can easily obtain (see [2,

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F33, 11A07, 11B68, 11S80.

Key words and phrases. Eisenstein series, congruences, Serre's p-adic family of Eisenstein series, p-adic analysis, Bernoulli numbers.

Equation (1.3)

$$E_k \equiv 1 \mod p^r$$
 if $k \equiv 0 \mod (p-1)p^{r-1}$

and

$$E_k \equiv E_l \mod p^r$$
 if $k \equiv l \mod (p-1)p^r$,

for $k, l \ge r + 1$, and (k, p), (l, p) are regular. The last condition means that p does not divide (the numerator of) B_k . Since this condition depends only on the residue class of $k \mod p - 1$, it holds simultaneously for k and l (see [2, Equation (1.3)]). By using Serre's theory of p-adic moular forms [6] and viewing the coefficients of Eisenstein series as Iwasawa functions [2, Theorem 4.7], Gekeler [2] proved several congruences of the shape $E_{k+l} \equiv E_k \cdot E_l$ modular prime power.

In this paper, we study congruence relations of Serre's normalized Eisenstein series (see [6, page 194])

(1.1)
$$G_k = -\frac{B_k}{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(j)q^j, \quad k \ge 4 \text{ even.}$$

For further deductions, we make a convention that

(1.2) $G_k = 0$, if $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ but k is not even greater than 2.

Let $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ have positive leading coefficient and satisfy f(1) = 0. Then, von Staudt-Clausen's congruence of Bernoulli numbers in polynomial index (see [5, Equation (1.2)]) implies that

(1.3)
$$2pf(p)G_{f(p)} \equiv 1 \mod p$$

for every sufficiently large prime p (note that f(p) is even because f(1) = 0).

Besides, let f(t), $g(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ be distinct non-constant polynomials with positive leading coefficient, and suppose that $f(1) = g(1) \neq 0$. Let d be the largest power of t dividing f(t) - g(t). Then, by using Kummer's congruence of Bernoulli numbers in polynomial index (see [5, Equation (1.3)]), one can obtain

(1.4)
$$G_{f(p)} \equiv G_{g(p)} \mod p^{d+1}$$

for every sufficiently large odd prime p.

In order to generalize (1.3) and (1.4), we consider the following problem:

Question 1.1. Given polynomials $f_1(t), \ldots, f_n(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ with positive leading coefficient, rational functions $g_0(t), g_1(t), \ldots, g_n(t) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)$, and

 $\mathbf{2}$

a positive integer N, determine whether the congruence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(p) G_{f_i(p)} \equiv g_0(p) \mod p^N$$

is true for any sufficiently large prime p.

This is inspired by a recent work of Julian Rosen [5]. He investigated a similar problem for Bernoulli numbers [5, Question 1.1], and he also obtained a very general criterion (see [5, Theorem 1.2]). The main tool is a Taylor expansion for the Kubota-Leopoldt's *p*-adic zeta functions (see [5, Proposition 2.1]). As pointed out in [5, page 1896], the wellknown Kummer's and von Staudt–Clausen's congruences of Bernoulli numbers in polynomial index which have been given in [1, Sections 9.5 and 11.4.2] can be deduced from this criterion.

1.2. Main results. From now on, let N be a fixed positive integer. Let $f_1(t), \ldots, f_n(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ be non-constant integer polynomials with positive leading coefficients, and let $g_0(t), \ldots, g_n(t) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)$ be rational functions. Write v_t for the t-adic valuation on $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, and set

$$M = \min_{i=1,...,n} \{ v_t(g_i(t)) \}.$$

Here, we fix a convention that $v_t(0) = \infty$. For the *p*-adic valuation v_p , as usual we fix the convention $v_p(0) = \infty$.

We define the following four conditions: C1:

$$v_t \left(g_0(t) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{t} \right) \sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=0}}^n g_i(t) f_i(t)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1) \ge 4}}^n \frac{B_{f_i(1)}}{f_i(1)} (1 - t^{f_i(1)-1}) g_i(t) \right) \ge N;$$

C2: for every even integer $l \leq 2$ and every $0 \leq m \leq N - M - 1$,

$$v_t\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(t)f_i(t)^m\right) \ge N-m;$$

C3: for every even integer $l \ge 4$ and every $1 \le m \le N - M - 1$,

$$v_t \left(\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(t)(f_i(t)^m - l^m) \right) \ge N - m;$$

C4: for every even integer $l \ge 4$,

$$v_t\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(t)\right) \ge N.$$

We remark that if N - M < 1, then the condition **C2** automatically holds; and if $N - M \le 1$, the condition **C3** also holds automatically. Besides, if $f_i(1) \le 3$ for each $1 \le i \le n$, then the conditions **C3** and **C4** hold automatically.

In order to make our main result effective, let P be a positive integer safisfying:

- $P \ge N M + 3;$
- $P \ge |f_i(1)| + 1$ for each $1 \le i \le n$;
- for each $1 \le i \le n$ and any integer j > P, $f_i(j) > \max\{3, N\}$;
- for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, write $g_i(t) = t^{d_i}h_i(t)$ with $h_i(0) \neq 0$ for some integer $d_i \geq 0$, P is not less than the numerator and denominator of $|h_i(0)| \in \mathbb{Q}$; (Under this condition, for any prime p > P we have $v_p(g_i(p)) = v_t(g_i(t))$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$; see [5, Proposition 3.1].)
- for each valuated function, say h(t), in the v_t valuation in the conditions **C1**, **C2**, **C3** and **C4** (for instance, in **C4**, $h(t) = \sum_{\substack{f_i(1)=l\\f_i(1)=l}}^{n} g_i(t)$), write $h(t) = t^d q(t)$ with $q(0) \neq 0$ for some integer $d \geq 0$, P is not less than the numerator and denominator of $|q(0)| \in \mathbb{Q}$. (Under this condition, for any prime p > P we have $v_p(h(p)) = v_t(h(t))$ for each such function h(t); see [5, Proposition 3.1].)

When the initial data $N, f_1, \ldots, f_n, g_0, \ldots, g_n$ are given, the verification of the conditions **C1**, **C2**, **C3** and **C4** is in fact a finite computation, and also it is easy to get an explicit choice for the integer P.

Our main result is the following congruence relation of Eisenstein series G_k .

Theorem 1.2. The congruence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(p) G_{f_i(p)} \equiv g_0(p) \mod p^N$$

holds for every odd prime p > P if all the conditions C1, C2, C3 and C4 hold.

Theorem 1.2 is an analogue of [5, Theorem 1.2] and moreover in an effective manner.

4

The condition that all the polynomials f_i are non-constant is for simplicity. But it is not essential, that is, for each f_i that is constant, we can move the term $g_i(p)G_{f_i(p)}$ to the right hand side of the congruence in Theorem 1.2.

Remark 1.3. Using Theorem 1.2, we can directly recover the congruences (1.3) and (1.4). For proving (1.3), we choose N = 1, n = 1, $f_1(t) = f(t)$ satisfying f(1) = 0, $g_0(t) = 1$ and $g_1(t) = 2tf(t)$ in Theorem 1.2; while for proving (1.4), we choose n = 2, $f_1(t) = f(t)$, $f_2(t) = g(t)$, $g_0(t) = 0$, $g_1(t) = 1$, $g_2(t) = -1$ and $N = v_t(f - g) + 1$ in Theorem 1.2 and notice the condition $f(1) = g(1) \neq 0$.

The following corollary is a generalization of (1.4).

Corollary 1.4. In Theorem 1.2, choose

$$N = 1 + \min_{1 \le i,j \le n} v_t (f_i - f_j),$$

and assume that $f_1(1) = \ldots = f_n(1) \neq 0$, $g_0 = 0$, $g_1 + \ldots + g_n = 0$. Then, for any odd prime p > P, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(p) G_{f_i(p)} \equiv 0 \mod p^N.$$

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.5. Assume that $f_i(1) \leq 3$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, The congruence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(p) G_{f_i(p)} \equiv g_0(p) \mod p^N$$

holds for every odd prime p > P if the following two conditions hold: (1)

$$v_t \Big(g_0(t) + \frac{1}{2} \Big(1 - \frac{1}{t} \Big) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ f_i(1)=0}}^n g_i(t) f_i(t)^{-1} \Big) \ge N;$$

(2) for every even integer $l \leq 2$ and every $0 \leq m \leq N - M - 1$,

$$v_t \left(\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(t) f_i(t)^m \right) \ge N - m.$$

From Corollary 1.5, one can get some more examples about congruence of Eisenstein series. **Example 1.6.** In Corollary 1.5, we choose n = 2, $g_0(t) = 0$, $g_1(t) = 1$, $g_2(t) = -1$ and $N = v_t(f_1 - f_2) - 1$ such that $f_1(0)f_2(0) \neq 0$, $f_1(1) = f_2(1) = 0$ and $N \ge 1$, then we have

$$G_{f_1(p)} \equiv G_{f_2(p)} \mod p^N$$

for any sufficiently large prime p.

Example 1.7. In Corollary 1.5, we choose $n \ge 2$, $f_i(t) = a_i(t-1)$ for each $1 \le i \le n$, $g_0(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{a_2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{a_n} - \frac{n-1}{a_1})$, $g_1(t) = (n-1)t$, $g_i(t) = -t$ for each $2 \le i \le n$, and N = 2, then we have for any prime $p > \max\{4, n-1\}$,

$$(n-1)pG_{a_1(p-1)} - \sum_{i=2}^n pG_{a_i(p-1)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{a_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n} - \frac{n-1}{a_1}) \mod p^2.$$

In particular, we have for any prime p > 4,

$$pG_{a_1(p-1)} - pG_{a_2(p-1)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{a_2} - \frac{1}{a_1}) \mod p^2.$$

For the proof of Theorem 1.2, the approach is similar as in [5], but it indeed needs some extra considerations in the setting of Eisenstein series. For example, we need a new ingredient, that is, a Taylor expansion for the non-constant coefficients of p-adic Eisenstein series in Proposition 3.3, which will play a key role in the proof.

Our paper will be organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief recall of Serre's p-adic family of Eisenstein series. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.4.

2. *p*-ADIC EISENSTEIN SERIES

In this section, we recall some facts about Serre's p-adic family of Eisenstein series [6]; see also [3]. Recall that p is an odd prime.

Serre's normalized Eisenstein series has been defined in (1.1). We pass to the *p*-adic limit. Let $X = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$, where \mathbb{Z}_p is the ring of *p*-adic integer. The integers \mathbb{Z} are embedded into X naturally by $j \mapsto (j, j)$. For $k \in X$ and $j \ge 1$, define

$$\sigma_{k-1}^*(j) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid j \\ (p,d)=1}} d^{k-1}$$

(see [6, page 205], and see [6, page 201] for the definition of d^{k-1}). If k is even (that is, $k \in 2X$), there exists a sequence of even integers

 $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $|k_i| \to \infty$ and $k_i \to k$ when $i \to \infty$. Then, the sequence $G_{k_i} = -\frac{B_{k_i}}{2k_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k_i-1}(j)q^j$ has a limit: (see [6, page 206])

(2.1)
$$G_k^* = a_0(G_k^*) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j(G_k^*) q^j$$

with $a_0(G_k^*) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta^*(1-k)$ by defining $\zeta^*(1-k) = \lim_{i\to\infty} \zeta(1-k_i)$ and $a_j(G_k^*) = \sigma_{k-1}^*(j)$, where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. The function ζ^* is thus defined on the odd elements of $X \setminus \{1\}$.

Let χ be a Dirichlet character on \mathbb{Z}_p , and let $L_p(s, \chi)$ be the *p*-adic *L*-function. We have the following result on ζ^* .

Theorem 2.1 (see [6, page 206, Théorème 3]). If $(s, u) \neq 1$ is an odd element of $X = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\zeta^*(s,u) = L_p(s,\omega^{1-u}),$$

where ω is the Teichmüller character.

For $k = (s, u) \in X$ and u is even, by Theorem 2.1 the coefficients of $G_k^* = G_{s,u}^*$ are given by (see [6, page 245])

(2.2)
$$a_0(G_{s,u}^*) = \frac{1}{2} \zeta^* (1-s, 1-u) = \frac{1}{2} L_p(1-s, \omega^u),$$
$$a_j(G_{s,u}^*) = \sum_{\substack{d|j\\(p,d)=1}} d^{-1} \omega(d)^u \langle d \rangle^s,$$

where $\langle d \rangle = d/\omega(d) \equiv 1 \mod p$.

Thus, the assignment

$$(s, u) \mapsto G^*_{s, u}$$

gives a family of p-adic modular forms parametrized by the group of weights X.

For any even integer $k \ge 4$, we first write

(2.3)
$$G_k = a_0(G_k) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j(G_k)q^j,$$

where $a_0(G_k) = -\frac{B_k}{2k}$, $a_j(G_k) = \sigma_{k-1}(j)$; and then from (2.2), we have

(2.4)
$$a_0(G_k^*) = a_0(G_{k,k}^*) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta^*(1-k,1-k)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}L_p(1-k,\omega^k) = -\frac{1-p^{k-1}}{2}\frac{B_k}{k}$$
$$= (1-p^{k-1})a_0(G_k),$$

where we also use the relation between L_p and Bernoulli numbers (see, for instance, the first paragraph in the proof of [5, Proposition 2.1]), and

(2.5)
$$a_j(G_k^*) = a_j(G_{k,k}^*) = \sum_{\substack{d|j\\(p,d)=1}} d^{-1}\omega(d)^k \langle d \rangle^k = \sum_{\substack{d|j\\(p,d)=1}} d^{k-1}.$$

The proof of our main result is based on the following relationship between the *p*-adic Eisenstein Series G_k^* and the Eisenstein series G_k :

(2.6)
$$G_k \equiv G_k^* \mod p^{k-1}, \qquad k \ge 4 \text{ even},$$

which can be easily deduced from (2.1), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5). As in (1.2), we also make a convention that

(2.7)
$$G_k^* = 0$$
, if $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ but k is not even greater than 2.

3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Recall that p is an odd prime. For the proof, we need some preparations. The first one follows from (2.4) and [5, Proposition 2.1] directly.

Proposition 3.1. Let l be an even residue class modulo p - 1. Then, there exist coefficients $a_m^{(0)}(p,l) \in \mathbb{Q}_p, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, such that for every even integer $k \ge 4$ with $k \equiv l \pmod{p-1}$, there is a convergent p-adic series identity

(3.1)
$$a_0(G_k^*) = -\frac{1-p^{k-1}}{2}\frac{B_k}{k} = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m^{(0)}(p,l)k^{m-1}.$$

The coefficients $a_m^{(0)}(p,l)$ satisfy the following conditions: (1)

$$a_0^{(0)}(p,l) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{p} & \text{if } l \equiv 0 \mod p - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(2) for all m, p and l,

$$v_p(a_m^{(0)}(p,l)) \ge \frac{p-2}{p-1}m-2,$$

(3) for $p \ge m+2$ and all l,

$$v_p(a_m^{(0)}(p,l)) \ge m-1.$$

Using Proposition 3.1, we obtain a congruence relation for the coefficient $a_0(G_k^*)$ in polynomial index.

Proposition 3.2. The congruence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(p)a_0(G^*_{f_i(p)}) \equiv g_0(p) \mod p^N$$

holds for every prime p > P if the conditions C1, C2 and C3 hold.

Proof. We extend the proof of [5, Theorem 1.2] to our case.

Since p > P and noticing the choice of P, we know that $f_i(p) \ge 4$ for each $1 \le i \le n$. In view of the convention (2.7), we consider the quantity

$$A^{(0)}(p) = g_0(p) - \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(p) a_0(G^*_{f_i(p)}).$$

By Proposition 3.1, we have

$$A^{(0)}(p) = g_0(p) + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(p) \text{ even}}}^n g_i(p) \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^\infty a_m^{(0)}(p, f_i(p)) f_i(p)^{m-1}\right)$$

= $g_0(p) + \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}\\h \text{ even}, m \ge 0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1\\h \text{ oven}}}^n \frac{1}{2} g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p, h).$

Since $f_i(p) \equiv f_i(1) \pmod{p-1}$ for each $1 \le i \le n$, we have

$$\begin{split} A^{(0)}(p) &= g_0(p) + \sum_{\substack{\text{even } l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \ge 0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n \frac{1}{2} g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p,l) \\ &= g_0(p) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{l \le 2 \text{ even } \\ l \ne 0, m \ge 0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p,0) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{n \ge 0 \\ m \ge 0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p,l), \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{l \ge 4 \text{ even } \\ m \ge 0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p,l), \end{split}$$

which, by Proposition 3.1 (1), becomes (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(0)}(p) &= g_0(p) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{l \le 2 \text{ even} \\ l \ne 0, m \ge 0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p,l) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=0}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m \ge 1 \\ m \ge 1}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=0}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p,l). \end{aligned}$$

Due to the choice of P and p > P, we have $p > |f_i(1)| + 1$ for each $1 \le i \le n$. So, for any even l satisfying $l = f_i(1)$ for some $1 \le i \le n$, it can not happen that $l \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$, which together with Proposition 3.1 (1) implies that

(3.3)
$$a_0^{(0)}(p,l) = 0.$$

Thus, from (2.4), (3.1) and (3.3), for any even $l \ge 4$ satisfying $l = f_i(1)$ for some $1 \le i \le n$, we have

(3.4)
$$a_1^{(0)}(p,l) = -2a_0(G_l^*) - \sum_{m \ge 2} a_m^{(0)}(p,l)l^{m-1}$$
$$= (1-p^{l-1})\frac{B_l}{l} - \sum_{m \ge 2} a_m^{(0)}(p,l)l^{m-1}.$$

Substituting (3.3) and (3.4) into (3.2), we have (3.5)

$$\begin{split} A^{(0)}(p) &= g_0(p) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{l \leq 2 \text{ even} \\ l \neq 0, \ m \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p,l) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=0}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=0}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p,0) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{l \geq 4 \text{ even} \\ m \geq 2}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n \frac{B_l}{l} (1 - p^{l-1}) g_i(p) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{l \geq 4 \text{ even} \\ m \geq 2}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) (f_i(p)^{m-1} - l^{m-1}) a_m^{(0)}(p,l). \end{split}$$

Under the condition C1 and noticing the choices of p and P, we have

(3.6)
$$g_{0}(p) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_{i}(1)=0}}^{n} g_{i}(p) f_{i}(p)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \ge 4 \text{ even}} \sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_{i}(1)=l}}^{n} \frac{B_{l}}{l} (1 - p^{l-1}) g_{i}(p) \equiv 0 \mod p^{N}.$$

For every even integer $l \leq 2$ satisfying $l = f_i(1)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, under the condition **C2** and due to the choices of p and P, for any $1 \leq m \leq N - M$ we have

$$v_p\Big(\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p)f_i(p)^{m-1}\Big) = v_p\Big(\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(t)f_i(t)^{m-1}\Big) \ge N - (m-1);$$

and by Proposition 3.1 (3) and noticing $p \ge N - M + 2 \ge m + 2$ due to the choice of P, we have

$$v_p(a_m^{(0)}(p,l)) \ge m-1;$$

and so we obtain

(3.7)
$$v_p \Big(\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p,l)\Big) \ge N, \quad 1 \le m \le N - M.$$

If $m \ge N - M + 1$ and $p \ge m + 2$, then by Proposition 3.1 (3), we have $v_p(a_m^{(0)}(p,l)) \ge m - 1$, which together with $v_p(g_i(p)) = v_t(g_i(t)) \ge M$ for each $1 \le i \le n$ (due to the choices of p and P) implies that for $m \ge N - M + 1$ and $p \ge m + 2$, for some j with $f_j(1) = l$,

(3.8)
$$v_p \Big(\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p,l) \Big) \ge v_p(g_j(p)) + v_p(a_m^{(0)}(p,l)) \ge M + m - 1 \ge N.$$

If $m \ge N - M + 1$ and $p \le m + 1$, then by Proposition 3.1 (2) and noticing $v_p(g_i(p)) \ge M$ and $p > P \ge N - M + 3$, we obtain

(3.9)
$$v_p \Big(\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p,l) \Big) \ge M + v_p(a_m^{(0)}(p,l)) \\ \ge M + \frac{p-2}{p-1}m - 2 \ge M + p - 4 \ge N.$$

Thus, under the condition **C2** and combining (3.7), (3.8) with (3.9), for every even integer $l \leq 2$ satisfying $l = f_i(1)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ and any $m \geq 1$, we have

(3.10)
$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^{n} g_i(p) f_i(p)^{m-1} a_m^{(0)}(p,l) \equiv 0 \mod p^N.$$

As the above, under the condition C3 and noticing the choices of p and P, for every even $l \ge 4$ and $m \ge 2$, we have

(3.11)
$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^{n} g_i(p)(f_i(p)^{m-1} - l^{m-1})a_m^{(0)}(p,l) \equiv 0 \mod p^N.$$

Finally, by (3.5), (3.6), (3.10) and (3.11) we conclude that $A^{(0)}(p) \equiv 0 \mod p^N$ for any prime p > P. This completes the proof. \Box

As an analogue of Proposition 3.1, we obtain a convergent *p*-adic series identity for each coefficient $a_j(G_k^*), j \ge 1$. The approach here is different from the one in [5, Proposition 2.1].

Proposition 3.3. Let p be an odd prime, l an even residue class modulo p-1, and j a positive integer. Then, there exist coefficients $a_m^{(j)}(p,l) \in \mathbb{Q}_p, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, such that for every even integer $k \ge 4$ with $k \equiv l \pmod{p-1}$, there is a convergent p-adic series identity

$$a_j(G_k^*) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m^{(j)}(p,l)k^m.$$

The coefficients $a_m^{(j)}(p,l)$ satisfy the following conditions: (1) for all m, p, l,

$$v_p(a_m^{(j)}(p,l)) \ge \frac{p-2}{p-1}m_j$$

(2) for $p \ge m+2$ and all l,

$$v_p(a_m^{(j)}(p,l)) \ge m.$$

Proof. For $(s, u) \in X$ and u is even, by (2.2), we have

(3.12)
$$a_j(G_{s,u}^*) = \sum_{\substack{d|j\\(p,d)=1}} d^{-1}\omega(d)^u \langle d \rangle^s.$$

Write $\langle d \rangle = 1 + pq_d$ with $q_d \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have

$$\langle d \rangle^s = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} {s \choose m} p^m q_d^m.$$

Substituting the above into (3.12), we have

$$a_j(G_{s,u}^*) = \sum_{\substack{d|j\\(p,d)=1}} d^{-1}\omega(d)^u \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{s}{m} p^m q_d^m$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{s}{m} p^m \sum_{\substack{d|j\\(p,d)=1}} q_d^m d^{-1}\omega(d)^u.$$

Thus, we obtain

(3.13)
$$a_{j}(G_{k}^{*}) = a_{j}(G_{k,k}^{*}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{k}{m} p^{m} \sum_{\substack{d|j \\ (p,d)=1}} q_{d}^{m} d^{-1} \omega(d)^{k}$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{k}{m} p^{m} \sum_{\substack{d|j \\ (p,d)=1}} q_{d}^{m} d^{-1} \omega(d)^{l},$$

where the last equality comes from the fact that $\omega(a)^k = \omega(a)^l$ if $k \equiv l \pmod{p-1}$.

For each $1 \le m \le k$, we have

(3.14)
$$\binom{k}{m} = \frac{k(k-1)\cdots(k-m+1)}{m!} \\ = \frac{1}{m!}(k^m + b_{m,m-1}k^{m-1} + \dots + b_{m,1}k)$$

for some integers $b_{m,1}, \ldots, b_{m,m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ dependings only on m.

Substituting (3.14) into (3.13), we obtain

$$a_j(G_k^*) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m^{(j)}(p,l)k^m$$

for some $a_m^{(j)}(p,l) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ satisfying

$$v_p(a_m^{(j)}(p,l)) \ge \min\{v_p(p^m/m!), v_p(p^{m+1}/(m+1)!), \ldots\}$$

 $\ge m - \frac{m}{p-1},$

where the number of terms in the min function is finite and the last inequality follows from the fact that $v_p(m!) \leq m/(p-1)$. This gives the conclusion (1) of the proposition. The conclusion (2) (in the case $p \geq m+2$) follows from (1) directly by noticing $v_p(a_m^{(j)}(p,l)) \in \mathbb{Z}$. \Box

Applying Proposition 3.3, we can also obtain a congruence relation for the coefficient $a_j(G_k^*)$ in polynomial index. **Proposition 3.4.** For any integer $j \ge 1$, the congruence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(p) a_j(G^*_{f_i(p)}) \equiv 0 \mod p^N$$

holds for every prime p > P if the conditions C2, C3 and C4 hold.

Proof. We apply the same strategy as in the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Since p > P and noticing the choice of P, we know that $f_i(p) \ge 4$ for each $1 \le i \le n$. In view of the convention (2.7), we consider the quantity

$$A^{(j)}(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(p) a_j(G^*_{f_i(p)}).$$

By Proposition 3.3, we have

$$A^{(j)}(p) = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(p) \text{ even}}}^n g_i(p) \sum_{m=0}^\infty a_m^{(j)}(p, f_i(p)) f_i(p)^m$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}\\h \text{ even, } m \ge 0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1\\h \text{ even, } m \ge 0}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^m a_m^{(j)}(p, h).$$

Since $f_i(p) \equiv f_i(1) \mod p - 1$, we have

$$(3.15) A^{(j)}(p) = \sum_{\substack{\text{even } l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \ge 0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^m a_m^{(j)}(p,l) \\ = \sum_{\substack{l \le 2 \text{ even } \\ m \ge 0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^m a_m^{(j)}(p,l) \\ + \sum_{\substack{l \ge 4 \text{ even } \\ m \ge 0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^m a_m^{(j)}(p,l). \end{aligned}$$

For any even integer $l \ge 4$ satisfying $l = f_i(1)$ for some $1 \le i \le n$, by Proposition 3.3 we have

$$a_0^{(j)}(p,l) = a_j(G_l^*) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^{(j)}(p,l)l^m.$$

14

Substituting the above equation into (3.15), we have

(3.16)
$$A^{(j)}(p) = \sum_{\substack{l \le 2 \text{ even} \\ m \ge 0}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) f_i(p)^m a_m^{(j)}(p,l) + \sum_{\substack{l \ge 4 \text{ even} \\ m \ge 1}} a_j(G_l^*) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) + \sum_{\substack{l \ge 4 \text{ even} \\ f_i(1)=l}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) (f_i(p)^m - l^m) a_m^{(j)}(p,l).$$

As in the proof of Proposition 3.2, under the condition C2 and the choices of p and P and using Proposition 3.3, for every even integer $l \leq 2$ and $m \geq 0$, we obtain

(3.17)
$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^{n} g_i(p) f_i(p)^m a_m^{(j)}(p,l) \equiv 0 \mod p^N$$

Similarly, under the condition C3, for every even integer $l \ge 4$ and $m \ge 1$, we have

(3.18)
$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p)(f_i(p)^m - l^m)a_m^{(j)}(p,l) \equiv 0 \mod p^N.$$

Also, under the condition C4 and noticing $a_j(G_l^*) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ by (2.5), for every even integer $l \geq 4$ we have

(3.19)
$$a_j(G_l^*) \sum_{\substack{i=1\\f_i(1)=l}}^n g_i(p) \equiv 0 \mod p^N.$$

Finally, by (3.16), (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19), we conclude that $A^{(j)}(p) \equiv 0 \mod p^N$ for any prime p > P. This completes the proof. \Box

We are now at the point to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since p > P and noticing the choice of P, we have $f_i(p) > N$ for each $1 \le i \le n$. Thus, by (2.6), for any $1 \le i \le n$ with even $f_i(p)$ we have

(3.20)
$$G_{f_i(p)} \equiv G^*_{f_i(p)} \mod p^N.$$

Otherwise if $f_i(p)$ is odd, then by the conventions (1.2) and (2.7), we have $G_{f_i(p)} = G^*_{f_i(p)} = 0$, and so (3.20) still holds. On the other hand,

by Propositions 3.2 and 3.4, we directly obtain

(3.21)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(p) G^*_{f_i(p)} \equiv g_0(p) \mod p^N$$

for every prime p > P if all the conditions C1, C2, C3 and C4 hold. The desired result now follows from (3.20) and (3.21).

Finally, we prove Corollary 1.4.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. First, by assumption, it is easy to see that the conditions C1 and C4 hold.

Since $f_1(1) = \ldots = f_n(1)$ and $g_1 + \ldots + g_n = 0$, for verifying the conditions **C2** and **C3**, it suffices to show that for any $m \ge 1$,

$$v_t \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(t) f_i(t)^m\right) \ge N - 1.$$

Now, we first prove the case m = 1. Let $d = \min_{1 \le i,j \le n} v_t (f_i - f_j)$. Then, N = d + 1. The case d = 0 is trivial. Assume $d \ge 1$ and write $F(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(t)f_i(t)$. To prove $v_t(F) \ge d$, it suffices to show that $F^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for any $0 \le k \le d - 1$, where $F^{(k)}$ denotes the k-th derivative of F. Note that

$$F^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^{(j)}(t) f_i^{(k-j)}(t).$$

Since $0 \le k \le d-1$, by definition we have $f_1^{(k-j)}(0) = \ldots = f_n^{(k-j)}(0)$, and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^{(j)}(0) f_i^{(k-j)}(0) = f_1^{(k-j)}(0) \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i^{(j)}(0) = 0,$$

where we use the assumption $g_1 + \ldots + g_n = 0$. Hence, we have $F^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for any $0 \le k \le d - 1$. This completes the proof of the case m = 1.

For $m \geq 2$, we have

$$\min_{1 \le i,j \le n} v_t(f_i^m - f_j^m) \ge \min_{1 \le i,j \le n} v_t(f_i - f_j) = d.$$

Hence, applying the same argument as the above, we obtain

$$v_t\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(t)f_i(t)^m\right) \ge N-1.$$

The desired result now follows.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Julian Rosen for his detailed explanation of an equality in his paper. Su Hu is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (No. 2020A1515010170). Min-Soo Kim is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. 2019R1F1A1062499). Min Sha was partly supported by a Macquarie University Research Fellowship.

References

- H. Cohen, Number theory, vol. II: Analytic and Modern Tools, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 240, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [2] E.-U. Gekeler, A series of new congruences for Bernoulli numbers and Eisenstein series, J. Number Theory 97 (2002), 132–143.
- [3] S. Hu and M.-S. Kim, On p-adic analogue of Weil's elliptic functions according to Eisenstein, J. Number Theory 147 (2015), 605–619.
- [4] S. Lang, Introduction to modular forms, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [5] J. Rosen, A general family of congruences for Bernoulli numbers, Int. J. Number Theory 14 (2018), 1895–1902.
- [6] J.-P. Serre, Formes modulaires et fonctions zêta p-adiques, Modular functions of one variable III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, 1972), pp. 191–268, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 350, Springer, Berlin, 1973.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOL-OGY, GUANGZHOU 510640, CHINA

Email address: mahusu@scut.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, KYUNGNAM UNIVERSITY, CHANG-WON, GYEONGNAM 51767, REPUBLIC OF KOREA Email address: mskim@kyungnam.ac.kr

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, 510631, CHINA

Email address: shamin@scnu.edu.cn