# DIFFERENCE BETWEEN FAMILIES OF WEAKLY AND STRONGLY MAXIMAL INTEGRAL LATTICE-FREE POLYTOPES

Gennadiy Averkov\*

November 21, 2021

#### Abstract

A d-dimensional closed convex set K in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is said to be lattice-free if the interior of K is disjoint with  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . We consider the following two families of lattice-free polytopes: the family  $\mathcal{L}^d$  of integral lattice-free polytopes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that are not properly contained in another integral lattice-free polytope and its subfamily  $\mathcal{M}^d$  consisting of integral lattice-free polytopes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which are not properly contained in another lattice-free set. It is known that  $\mathcal{M}^d = \mathcal{L}^d$  holds for  $d \leq 3$  and, for each  $d \geq 4$ ,  $\mathcal{M}^d$  is a proper subfamily of  $\mathcal{L}^d$ . We derive a super-exponential lower bound on the number of polytopes in  $\mathcal{L}^d \setminus \mathcal{M}^d$  (with standard identification of integral polytopes up to affine unimodular transformations).

### 1 Introduction

By |X| we denote the cardinality of a finite set X. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all positive integers and let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  be the dimension. We call elements of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  are called *integral points* or *integral vectors*. We call a polyhedron  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  *integral* if P is the convex hull of  $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Let  $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  be the group of affine transformations  $A : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $A(\mathbb{Z}^d) = \mathbb{Z}^d$ . We call elements of  $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  *affine unimodular transformations*. For a family  $\mathcal{X}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we consider the family of equivalence classes

$$\mathcal{X}/\operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d) := \left\{ \left\{ A(X) \, : \, A \in \operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \right\} \, : \, X \in \mathcal{X} \right\}$$

with respect to identification of the elements of  $\mathcal{X}$  up to affine unimodular transformations. A subset K of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is called *lattice-free* if K is closed, convex, d-dimensional and the interior of K contains no points from  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . A set K is called *maximal lattice-free* if K is lattice-free and is not a proper subset of another lattice-free set.

Our objective is to study the relationship between the following two families of integral lattice-free polytopes:

<sup>\*</sup>Institute of Mathematical Optimization, Fakultät für Mathematik, Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg, Universitätsplatz 2, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany. Email: averkov@ovgu.de

- The family  $\mathcal{L}^d$  of integral lattice-free polytopes P in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that there exists no integral lattice-free polytope properly containing P. We call elements of  $\mathcal{L}^d$  weakly maximal integral lattice-free polytopes.
- The family  $\mathcal{M}^d$  of integral lattice-free polytopes P in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that there exists no <u>lattice-free set</u> properly containing P. We call the elements of  $\mathcal{L}^d$  strongly maximal integral lattice-free polytopes.

The family  $\mathcal{L}^d$  has applications in mixed-integer optimization, algebra and algebraic geometry; see [DPW16, AKW17], [BHHS16] and [Tre10], respectively. In [AWW11, NZ11] it was shown that  $\mathcal{L}^d$  is finite up to affine unimodular transformations:

**Theorem 1.** ([AWW11, Theorem 2.1], [NZ11, Corollary 1.3])  $\mathcal{L}^d/\operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  is finite.

Several groups of researchers are interested in enumeration of  $\mathcal{L}^d$ , up to affine unimodular transformations, in fixed dimensions. This requires understanding geometric properties of  $\mathcal{L}^d$ . Currently, no explicit description of  $\mathcal{L}^d$  is available for dimensions  $d \geq 4$  and, moreover, it is even extremely hard to decide if a given polytope belongs to  $\mathcal{L}^d$ . A brute-force algorithm based on volume bounds for  $\mathcal{L}^d$  (provided in [NZ11]) would have doubly exponential running time in d. In contrast to  $\mathcal{L}^d$ , its subfamily  $\mathcal{M}^d$  is easier to deal with. Lovász's characterization [Lov89, Proposition 3.3] of maximal lattice-free sets leads to a straightforward geometric description of polytopes belong to  $\mathcal{M}^d$ . This characterization can be used to decide whether a given polytope is an element of  $\mathcal{M}^d$  in only exponential time in d. Thus, while enumeration of  $\mathcal{M}^d$  in fixed dimensions is a hard task, too, enumeration of  $\mathcal{L}^d$  is even more challenging.

For a given dimension d, it is a priori not clear whether or not  $\mathcal{M}^d$  is a proper subset of  $\mathcal{L}^d$ . Recently, it has been shown that the inequality  $\mathcal{M}^d = \mathcal{L}^d$  holds if and only if  $d \leq 3$ . The equality  $\mathcal{M}^d = \mathcal{L}^d$  is rather obvious for  $d \in \{1,2\}$ , as it is not hard to enumerate  $\mathcal{L}^d$  in these very small dimensions and to check that every element of  $\mathcal{L}^d$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}^d$ . Starting from dimension three, the problem gets very difficult. Results in [AWW11] and [AKW17] establish the equality  $\mathcal{M}^3 = \mathcal{L}^3$  and enumerate  $\mathcal{L}^3$ , up to affine unimodular transformations. As a complement, in [NZ11, Theorem 1.4] it was shown that for all  $d \geq 4$  there exists a polytope belonging to  $\mathcal{L}^d$  but not to  $\mathcal{M}^d$ .

While Theorem 1.4 in [NZ11] shows that  $\mathcal{L}^d$  and  $\mathcal{M}^d$  are two different families, it does not provide information on the number of polytopes in  $\mathcal{L}^d$  that do not belong to  $\mathcal{M}^d$ . Relying on a result of Konyagin [Kon14], we will show that, asymptotically, the gap between  $\mathcal{L}^d$  and  $\mathcal{M}^d$  is very large.

For  $a_1, \ldots, a_d > 0$ , we introduce

$$\kappa(a) := \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_d) = \frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_d}.$$
(1.1)

Reciprocals of positive integers are sometimes called Egyptian fractions. Thus, if  $a \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , then  $\kappa(a)$  is a sum of d Egyptian fractions. We consider the set

$$\mathcal{A}_d := \left\{ (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d : a_1 \le \dots \le a_d, \ \kappa(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 1 \right\}$$
 (1.2)

of all different solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$\kappa(x_1,\ldots,x_d)=1$$

in the unknowns  $x_1, \ldots, x_d \in \mathbb{N}$ . The set  $\mathcal{A}_d$  represents possible ways to write 1 as a sum of d Egyptian fractions. It is known that  $\mathcal{A}_d$  is finite. Our main result allows is a lower bound on the cardinality of  $(\mathcal{L}^d \setminus \mathcal{M}^d)/\operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ :

Theorem 2. 
$$|(\mathcal{L}^{d+5} \setminus \mathcal{M}^{d+5})/\operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^{d+5})| \geq |\mathcal{A}_d|$$
.

The proof of Theorem 2 is constructive. This means that, for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$ , we generate an element in  $P_a \in \mathcal{L}^{d+5} \setminus \mathcal{M}^{d+5}$  such that for two different elements a and b of  $\mathcal{A}_d$ , the respective polytopes  $P_a$  and  $P_b$  do not coincide up to affine unimodular transformations. The proof of Theorem 2 is inspired by the construction in [NZ11]. Using lower bounds on  $|\mathcal{A}_d|$  from [Kon14], we obtain the following asymptotic estimate:

Corollary 3. 
$$\ln \ln \left| \left( \mathcal{L}^d \setminus \mathcal{M}^d \right) / \operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \right| = \Omega \left( \frac{d}{\ln d} \right)$$
, as  $d \to \infty$ .

**Notation.** We view the elements of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as columns. By o we denote the zero vector and by  $e_1, \ldots, e_d$  the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ , then  $x_i$  denotes the i-th component of x. The relation  $a \leq b$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$  means  $a_i \leq b_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ . The relations  $\geq, >$  and < on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are introduced analogously. The abbreviations aff, conv, int and relint stand for the affine hull, convex hull, interior and relative interior, respectively.

# 2 An approach to construction of polytopes in $\mathcal{L}^d \setminus \mathcal{M}^d$

We will present a systematic approach to construction of polytopes in  $\mathcal{L}^d \setminus \mathcal{M}^d$ , but first we discuss general maximal lattice-free sets.

**Definition 4.** Let P be a lattice-free polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We say that a facet F of P is blocked if the relative interior of F contains an integral point.

Maximal lattice-free sets can be characterized as follows:

**Proposition 5.** ([Lov89, Proposition 3.3].) Let K be a d-dimensional closed convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) K is maximal lattice-free,
- (ii) K is a lattice-free polyhedron such that every facet of K is blocked.

It can happen that some facets of a maximal lattice-free polyhedron are more than just blocked. We introduce a respective notion. Recall that the *integer hull*  $K_I$  of a compact convex set K in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is defined by

$$K_I := \operatorname{conv}(K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d).$$

**Definition 6.** Let P be a d-dimensional lattice-free polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . A facet F of P is called strongly blocked if  $F_I$  is (d-1)-dimensional and  $\mathbb{Z}^d \cap relint$   $F_I \neq \emptyset$ . The polyhedron P is called strongly blocked if all facets of P are strongly blocked.

The following proposition extracts the geometric principle behind the construction from [NZ11, Section 3]. (Note that arguments in [NZ11, Section 3] use an algebraic language.)

**Proposition 7.** Let P be a strongly blocked lattice-free polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $P_I \in \mathcal{L}^d$ . Furthermore, if  $P_I$  is not integral, then  $P_I \notin \mathcal{M}^d$ .

Proof. In order to show  $P_I \in \mathcal{L}^d$  it suffices to verify that, for every  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that  $\operatorname{conv}(P_I \cup \{z\})$  is lattice-free, one necessarily has  $z \in P_I$ . If  $z \notin P_I$ , then  $z \notin P$  and so, for some facet F of P, the point z and the polytope P lie on different sides of the hyperplane aff F. Then  $\emptyset \neq \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \operatorname{relint} F_I \subseteq \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{conv}(P \cup \{z\}))$ , yielding a contradiction to the choice of z. Thus, for every facet F of P, z and P lie on the same side of aff F. It follows  $z \in P$ . Hence  $z \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \subseteq P_I$ .

If P is not integral, then  $P_I \notin \mathcal{M}^d$  since  $P_I \subsetneq P$  and P is lattice-free.

# 3 Lattice-free axis-aligned simplices

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ , the d-dimensional simplex

$$T(a) := \text{conv}\{o, a_1 e_1, \dots, a_d e_d\}.$$

is called axis-aligned. The proof of the following proposition is straightforward.

**Proposition 8.** For  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ , the following statements hold.

- I. The simplex T(a) is a lattice-free set if and only if  $\kappa(a) \geq 1$ .
- II. The simplex T(a) is a maximal lattice-free set if and only if  $\kappa(a) = 1$ .

We introduce transformations which preserve the values of  $\kappa$ . The transformations arise from the following trivial identities for t > 0:

$$\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{t+1} + \frac{1}{t(t+1)},\tag{3.1}$$

$$\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{t+2} + \frac{1}{t(t+2)} + \frac{1}{t(t+2)},\tag{3.2}$$

$$\frac{1}{t} = \frac{2}{3t} + \frac{1}{3t}. (3.3)$$

Consider a vector  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$ . By (3.1), if t is a component of a, we can replace this component with two new components t+1 and t(t+1) to generate a vector  $b \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_{>0}$  satisfying  $\kappa(b) = \kappa(a)$ . Identities (3.2) and (3.3) can be applied in a similar fashion. For

every  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , with the help of (3.1)–(3.3), we introduce the following maps:

$$\phi_d: \mathbb{R}^d_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_{>0}, \qquad \phi_d(a) := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{d-1} \\ a_d + 1 \\ a_d(a_d + 1) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.4)$$

$$\psi_{d}: \mathbb{R}^{d}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}^{d+3}_{>0}, \qquad \psi_{d}(a) := \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{d-1} \\ a_{d} + 3 \\ a_{d}(a_{d} + 1) \\ (a_{d} + 1)(a_{d} + 3) \\ (a_{d} + 1)(a_{d} + 3) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.5)$$

$$\xi_d: \mathbb{R}^d_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_{>0} \qquad \qquad \xi_d(a) := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{d-1} \\ \frac{3}{2}a_d \\ 3a_d \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.6)

The map  $\phi_d$  replaces the component  $a_d$  by two other components based on (3.1), while  $\xi_d$  replaces  $a_d$  based on (3.3). The map  $\psi_d$  acts by replacing the component  $a_d$  based on (3.1) and then replacing the component  $a_d + 1$  based on (3.2). Identities (3.1)–(3.3) imply

$$\kappa(\phi_d(a)) = \kappa(\psi_d(a)) = \kappa(\xi_d(a)) = \kappa(a). \tag{3.7}$$

**Lemma 9.** Let  $P = T(\xi_d(a))$ , where  $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$  and  $d \geq 2$ . Then P is a strongly blocked lattice-free (d+1)-dimensional polytope. Furthermore, if  $a_d$  is odd, P is not integral.

*Proof.* In this proof, we use the all-ones vector

$$\mathbb{1}_d := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For the sake of brevity we introduce the notation  $t:=a_d$ . One has  $1=\kappa(a)=\sum_{i=1}^d\frac{1}{a_i}\geq\sum_{i=1}^d\frac{1}{t}=\frac{d}{t}$ , which implies  $t\geq d\geq 2$ . By (3.7), one has  $\kappa(\xi_d(a))=1$  and so, by Proposition 8, P is maximal lattice-free.

If t is even, the polytope P is integral and hence every facet of P. In view of Proposition 5, integral maximal lattice-free polytopes are strongly blocked, and so we conclude that P is strongly blocked.

Assume that t is odd, then the polytope P has one non-integral vertex. In this case, we need to look at facets of P more closely, to verify that P is strongly blocked. We consider all facets of P.

1. The facet  $F = \text{conv}\{o, a_1e_1, \dots, a_{d-1}e_{d-1}, 3te_{d+1}\}$  is a d-dimensional integral integral axis-aligned simplex. Since

$$\kappa(a_1,\ldots,a_{d-1},3t)<1,$$

the integral point  $e_1 + \cdots + e_{d-1} + e_{d+1}$  is in the relative interior of F. Hence, F is strongly blocked.

2. The facet  $F = \text{conv}\left\{o, a_1e_1, \dots, a_{d-1}e_{d-1}, \frac{3}{2}te_d\right\}$  contains the *d*-dimensional integral axis-aligned simplex

$$G := \operatorname{conv} \left\{ o, a_1 e_1, \dots, a_{d-1} e_{d-1}, \frac{3t-1}{2} e_d \right\},$$

as a subset. In view of  $t \geq 2$ , we have

$$\kappa\left(a_1,\ldots,a_{d-1},\frac{3t-1}{2}\right)<1,$$

which implies that the integral point  $e_1 + \cdots + e_d$  is in the relative interior of G. It follows that F is strongly blocked.

3. The facet  $F := \operatorname{conv}\left\{a_1e_1, \dots, a_{d-1}e_{d-1}, \frac{3}{2}te_d, 3te_{d+1}\right\}$  contains the integral d-dimensional simplex

$$G := \operatorname{conv} \left\{ a_1 e_1, \dots, a_{d-1} e_{d-1}, \frac{3t-1}{2} e_d + e_{d+1}, 3t e_{d+1} \right\}.$$

as a subset. It turns out that  $\mathbb{1}_{d+1}$  is the relative interior of G, because  $\mathbb{1}_{d+1}$  is a convex combination of the vertices of relint G, with positive coefficients. Indeed, the equality

$$\mathbb{1}_{d+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{a_i} (a_i e_i) + \lambda \left( \frac{3t-1}{2} e_d + e_{d+1} \right) + \mu \left( 3t e_{d+1} \right)$$

holds for  $\lambda = \frac{2}{3t-1}$  and  $\mu = \frac{t-1}{t(3t-1)}$ , where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{a_i} + \lambda + \mu = 1.$$

4. It remains to consider faces F with the vertex set  $\{o, a_1e_1, \ldots, a_de_d, \frac{3}{2}te_d, 3te_{d+1}\}\setminus \{a_ie_i\}$ , where  $i\in\{1,\ldots,d+1\}$ . Without loss of generality, let i=1 so that  $F=\operatorname{conv}\{o, a_2e_2,\ldots,\frac{3}{2}te_d, 3te_{d+1}\}$ . This facet contains the integral d-dimensional simplex

$$G := \operatorname{conv} \left\{ o, a_2 a_2, \dots, a_{d-1} e_{d-1}, \frac{3t-1}{2} e_d + e_{d+1}, 3t e_{d+1} \right\}.$$

Similarly to the previous case, one can check that  $e_2 + \cdots + e_{d+1}$  is an integral point in the relative interior of G. Consequently, F is strongly blocked.

## 4 Proof of the main result

For  $d \geq 4$ , Nill and Ziegler [LZ91] construct one vector  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$  with  $T(a)_I \in \mathcal{L}^d \setminus \mathcal{M}^d$ . We generalize this construction and provide many further vectors a with the above properties. We will also need to verify that for difference choices of a, we get essentially different polytopes  $T(a)_I$ .

**Lemma 10.** Let P and Q be d-dimensional strongly blocked lattice-free polytopes such that for their integral hulls the equality  $Q_I = A(P_I)$  holds for some  $A \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ . Then Q = A(P).

*Proof.* Since A is an affine transformation, we have

$$A(P_I) = A(\operatorname{conv}(P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)) = \operatorname{conv} A(P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d).$$

Using  $A \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , it is straightforward to check the equality  $A(P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) = A(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ . We thus conclude that  $A(P_I) = A(P)_I$ . The assumption  $Q_I = A(P_I)$  yields  $Q_I = A(P)_I$ . Since P is strongly blocked lattice-free, A(P) too is strongly blocked lattice-free. We thus have the equality  $Q_I = A(P)_I$  for strongly blocked lattice-free polytopes Q and A(P). To verify the assertion, it suffices to show that a strongly blocked lattice-free polytope Q is uniquely determined by the knowledge of its integer hull  $Q_I$ . This is quite easy to see. For every strongly blocked facet G of  $Q_I$ , the affine hull of G contains a facet of Q. Conversely, if F is an arbitrary facet of Q, then  $G = F_I$  is a strongly blocked facet of  $Q_I$ . Thus, the knowledge of  $Q_I$  allows to determine affine hulls of all facets of Q. In other words,  $Q_I$  uniquely determines a hyperplane description of Q.

**Lemma 11.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d_{>0}$  be such that the equality T(b) = A(T(a)) holds for some  $A \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ . Then a and b coincide up to permutation of components.

Proof. We use induction on d. For d=1, the assertion is trivial. Let  $d \geq 2$ . One of the d facets of T(a) containing o is mapped by A to a facet of T(b) that contains o. Without loss of generality we can assume that the facet  $T(a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1}) \times \{0\}$  of T(a) is mapped to the facet  $T(b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}) \times \{0\}$  of T(b). By the inductive assumption,  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1})$  and  $(b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1})$  coincide up to permutation of components. Since unimodular transformations preserve the volume, T(a) and T(b) have the same volume. This means,  $\prod_{i=1}^d a_i = \prod_{i=1}^d b_i$ . Consequently,  $a_d = b_d$  and we conclude that a and b coincide up to permutation of components.

Proof of Theorem 2. For every  $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$ , we introduce the (d+5)-dimensional integral lattice-free polytope

$$P_a := T(\eta(a))_I$$

where

$$\eta(x) := \xi_{d+4}(\psi_{d+1}(\phi_d(x)))$$

and the functions  $\xi_{d+4}$ ,  $\psi_{d+1}$  and  $\phi_d$  are defined by (3.4)–(3.6).

By (3.7) for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$ , we have  $\kappa(\eta(a)) = 1$ . For  $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$  the last component of  $\phi_d(a)$  is even. This implies that the last component of  $\psi_{d+1}(\phi_d(a))$  is odd. Thus, by Lemma 9,  $T(\eta(a))$  is strongly blocked lattice-free polytope which is not integral.

Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_d$  be such that the polytopes  $P_a$  and  $P_b$  coincide up to affine unimodular transformations. Then, by Lemma 10,  $T(\eta(a))$  and  $T(\eta(b))$  coincide up to affine unimodular transformations. But then, by Lemma 11,  $\eta(a)$  and  $\eta(b)$  coincide up to permutations. Since the components of a and b are sorted in the ascending order, the components of  $\eta(a)$  and  $\beta(b)$  too are sorted in the ascending order. Thus, we arrive at the equality  $\eta(a) = \eta(b)$ , which implies a = b.

In view of Proposition 7, each  $P_a$  with  $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}^d$  but not to  $\mathcal{M}^d$ . Thus, the equivalence classes of the polytopes  $P_a$  with  $a \in \mathcal{A}_d$  with respect to identification up to affine unimodular transformations form a subset of  $(\mathcal{L}^{d+5} \setminus \mathcal{M}^{d+5})/\operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}^{d+5})$  of cardinality  $|\mathcal{A}_d|$ . This yields the desired assertion.

*Proof of Corollary 3.* The assertion is a direct consequence of Theorem 2 and the asymptotic estimate

$$\ln \ln |\mathcal{A}_d| = \Omega \left( \frac{d}{\ln d} \right)$$

of Konyagin [Kon14, Theorem 1].

**Remark 12.** In view of the upper bound  $\ln \ln |\mathcal{A}_d| = O(d)$  by Sándor [Sán03, Theorem 2], the lower bound of Konyagin is optimal up to the logarithmic factor in the denominator.

Since all known elements of  $\mathcal{L}^d$  are of the form  $P_I$ , for some strongly blocked lattice-free polytope P, we ask the following

**Question 13.** Do there exist polytopes  $L \in \mathcal{L}^d$  which cannot be represented as  $L = P_I$  for any strongly blocked lattice-free polytope P?

If there is a gap between the families  $\mathcal{L}^d$  and the family

$$\left\{P_I: P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \text{ strongly blocked lattice-free polytope}\right\}$$

then it would be interesting to understand how irregular the polytopes from this gap can be. For example, one can ask the following

**Question 14.** Do there exist polytopes  $L \in \mathcal{L}^d$  with the property that no facet of L is blocked?

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Christian Wagner for valuable comments.

#### References

- [AKW17] Gennadiy Averkov, Jan Krümpelmann, and Stefan Weltge, Notions of maximality for integral lattice-free polyhedra: the case of dimension three, Math. Oper. Res. **42** (2017), no. 4, 1035–1062. MR 3722425
- [AWW11] Gennadiy Averkov, Christian Wagner, and Robert Weismantel, Maximal lattice-free polyhedra: finiteness and an explicit description in dimension three, Math. Oper. Res. 36 (2011), no. 4, 721–742. MR 2855866

- [BHHS16] Mónica Blanco, Christian Haase, Jan Hofmann, and Francisco Santos, *The finiteness threshold width of lattice polytopes*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.00798 (2016).
- [CC15] Wouter Castryck and Filip Cools, The lattice size of a lattice polygon, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 136 (2015), 64–95. MR 3383267
- [Cur22] D. R. Curtiss, On Kellogg's Diophantine Problem, Amer. Math. Monthly 29 (1922), no. 10, 380–387. MR 1520110
- [DPW16] Alberto Del Pia and Robert Weismantel, Relaxations of mixed integer sets from lattice-free polyhedra, Ann. Oper. Res. **240** (2016), no. 1, 95–117. MR 3500323
- [Guy94] R. K. Guy, Unsolved problems in number theory, second ed., Problem Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994, Unsolved Problems in Intuitive Mathematics, I. MR 96e:11002
- [Kon14] S. V. Konyagin, Double exponential lower bound for the number of representations of unity by Egyptian fractions, Math. Notes 95 (2014), no. 1-2, 277–281,
   Translation of Mat. Zametki 95 (2014), no. 2, 312–316. MR 3267215
- [Lov89] L. Lovász, Geometry of numbers and integer programming, Mathematical programming (Tokyo, 1988), Math. Appl. (Japanese Ser.), vol. 6, SCIPRESS, Tokyo, 1989, pp. 177–201. MR 92f:90041
- [LZ91] J. C. Lagarias and G. M. Ziegler, Bounds for lattice polytopes containing a fixed number of interior points in a sublattice, Canad. J. Math. 43 (1991), no. 5, 1022–1035. MR 92k:52032
- [NZ11] Benjamin Nill and Günter M. Ziegler, *Projecting lattice polytopes without interior lattice points*, Math. Oper. Res. **36** (2011), no. 3, 462–467. MR 2832401
- [Sán03] Cs. Sándor, On the number of solutions of the Diophantine equation  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i} = 1$ , Period. Math. Hungar. **47** (2003), no. 1-2, 215–219. MR 2004j:11031
- [Sch93] R. Schneider, Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR 94d:52007
- [Tre10] J. Treutlein, 3-dimensional lattice polytopes without interior lattice points, PhD thesis, 2010.