

Integrable derivations in the sense of Hasse-Schmidt for some binomial plane curves

María de la Paz Tirado Hernández^{*†}

Abstract

We describe the module of integrable derivations in the sense of Hasse-Schmidt of the quotient of the polynomial ring in two variables over an ideal generated by the equation $x^n - y^q$.

Keywords: Hasse-Schmidt derivation, Integrability, Plane curve.

MSC 2010: 13N15, 14H50.

INTRODUCTION

Let k be a commutative ring and A a commutative k -algebra. A Hasse-Schmidt derivation of A over k of length $m \in \mathbb{N}$ or $m = \infty$ is a sequence $D = (D_i)_{i \geq 0}^m$ such that:

$$D_0 = \text{Id}_A, \quad D_i(xy) = \sum_{a+b=i} D_a(x)D_b(y)$$

for all $x, y \in A$. We denote by $\text{HS}_k(A; m)$ the set of Hasse-Schmidt derivations of A of length m . The component D_i of a Hasse-Schmidt derivation is a differential operator of order $\leq i$, in particular D_1 is a k -derivation.

The Hasse-Schmidt derivations of length m , also called higher derivation of order m (see [Ma]), were introduced by H. Hasse and F.K. Schmidt ([H-S]) and they have been used by several authors in different contexts (see [Na1], [Se] or [Tr]). An important notion related with Hasse-Schmidt derivations is integrability. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ or $m = \infty$, then we say that $\delta \in \text{Der}_k(A)$ is m -integrable if there exists $D \in \text{HS}_k(A; m)$ such that $\delta = D_1$. The set of all m -integrable k -derivations is an A -submodule of $\text{Der}_k(A)$ for all m , which is denoted by $\text{IDer}_k(A; m)$.

If k has characteristic 0 or A is 0-smooth over k , then any k -derivation is ∞ -integrable ([Ma]), that means that $\text{Der}_k(A) = \text{IDer}_k(A; \infty)$. If we consider k a ring of positive characteristic and A any commutative k -algebra, the modules $\text{IDer}_k(A; m)$ have better properties than $\text{Der}_k(A)$ (see [Mo]). So exploring these modules seems interesting to better understand singularities in positive characteristic.

The aim of this paper is to describe the modules of m -integrable derivations, for $m \geq 1$ and $m = \infty$, of the quotient of the polynomial ring in two variables over an ideal generated by an equation of type $x^n - y^q$.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 1 we recall the definition of Hasse-Schmidt derivations and give some known properties that will be useful in later sections. In section 2 we focus on the integrability of derivations in the sense of Hasse-Schmidt in quotients of polynomial rings in two variables over the ideal generated by the equation $x^n - y^q$. Namely, we calculate the module of integrable k -derivations when k is a reduced ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and n or q are not multiple of p . In section 2.1, we assume that k is a unique factorization domain and we see the relationship between integrable derivations of the quotient of a polynomial ring over $\langle f \rangle$ and over $\langle f^p \rangle$ where f is a polynomial. Thanks to this relationship, we can describe the integrable derivations of $k[x, y]/\langle x^n - y^q \rangle$ when n and q are both multiples of p . In section 3, we calculate the module of integrable derivations in some examples taken from [Gr].

Acknowledgment. The author thanks Professor Luis Narváez Macarro for their careful reading of this paper with numerous useful comments.

^{*}Partially supported by MTM2016-75027, P12-FQM-2696 and FEDER.

[†]Departamento de Álgebra e Instituto de Matemáticas (IMUS), Universidad de Sevilla, España.

1 Hasse-Schmidt derivations

Let k be any commutative ring and A a commutative k -algebra. In this section we will define Hasse-Schmidt derivations and we will give some of their properties, ending with the case where A is a polynomial ring. We denote $\overline{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. For each integer $m \geq 1$, we will write $A[[\mu]]_m := A[[\mu]]/\langle \mu^{m+1} \rangle$ and $A[[\mu]]_\infty := A[[\mu]]$.

Definition 1.1 A Hasse-Schmidt derivation (over k) of A of length $m \geq 1$ (resp. of length ∞) is a sequence $D := (D_0, D_1, \dots, D_m)$ (or resp. $D = (D_0, D_1, \dots)$) of k -linear maps $D_i : A \rightarrow A$, satisfying the conditions:

$$D_0 = \text{Id}_A, \quad D_i(xy) = \sum_{a+b=i} D_a(x)D_b(y)$$

for all $x, y \in A$ and for all i . We write $\text{HS}_k(A; m)$ (resp. $\text{HS}_k(A)$) for the set of Hasse-Schmidt derivations (over k) of A of length m (resp. ∞).

Remark 1.2 ([Ma]; cf. [Na2]) 1. Any Hasse-Schmidt derivation $D \in \text{HS}_k(A; m)$ is determined by the k -algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_D : A &\rightarrow A[[\mu]]_m \\ a &\mapsto \sum_{i \geq 0} D_i(a) \mu^i \end{aligned}$$

satisfying $\varphi_D(x) = x \pmod{\mu}$. φ_D can be uniquely extended to a k -algebra automorphism $\tilde{\varphi}_D : A[[\mu]]_m \rightarrow A[[\mu]]_m$ with $\tilde{\varphi}_D(\mu) = \mu$. So, $\text{HS}_k(A; m)$ has a canonical group structure. Namely, $D \circ D' = D'' \in \text{HS}_k(A; m)$ with $D''_n = \sum_{i+j=n} D_i \circ D'_j$ for $n \leq m$. Moreover, the component D_1 is a k -derivation. So, the map $(\text{Id}, D_1) \in \text{HS}_k(A; 1) \mapsto D_1 \in \text{Der}_k(A)$ is a group isomorphism.

2. For any $a \in A$ and any $D \in \text{HS}_k(A; m)$, the sequence $a \bullet D = (a^i D_i) \in \text{HS}_k(A; m)$.

3. For any $1 \leq n \leq m$ and any $D \in \text{HS}_k(A; m)$, we define the truncation map by $\tau_{mn}(D) = (\text{Id}, D_1, \dots, D_n) \in \text{HS}_k(A; n)$.

Definition 1.3 Let $D \in \text{HS}_k(A; m)$ where $m \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and $n \geq m$. Let I be an ideal of A .

- We say that D is I -logarithmic if $D_i(I) \subseteq I$ for all i . The set of I -logarithmic Hasse-Schmidt derivations is denoted by $\text{HS}_k(\log I; m)$, $\text{HS}_k(\log I) := \text{HS}_k(\log I; \infty)$ and $\text{Der}_k(\log I) := \text{HS}_k(\log I; 1)$.
- We say that D is n -integrable if there exists $E \in \text{HS}_k(A; n)$ such that $\tau_{nm}(E) = D$. Any such E will be called a n -integral of D . If D is ∞ -integrable we say that D is integrable. If $m = 1$, we write $\text{IDer}_k(A; n)$ for the set of n -integrable derivations and $\text{IDer}_k(A) := \text{IDer}_k(A; \infty)$.
- We say that D is I -logarithmically n -integrable if there exists $E \in \text{HS}_k(\log I; n)$ such that E is a n -integral of D . We put $\text{IDer}_k(\log I; n)$ for the set of I -logarithmically n -integrable derivations when $m = 1$ and $\text{IDer}_k(\log I) := \text{IDer}_k(\log I, \infty)$.

Remark 1.4 $\text{IDer}_k(A; n)$ is an A -submodule of $\text{Der}_k(A)$ thanks to the group structure of $\text{HS}_k(A; n)$ and operation 2.

Definition 1.5 A has a leap on $s > 1$ if the inclusion $\text{IDer}_k(A; s-1) \supsetneq \text{IDer}_k(A; s)$ is proper.

Lemma 1.6 Let k be a ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and $h \in A$. Consider $D \in \text{HS}_k(A; m)$ with $m \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\tau \geq 0$. Then, for all $i \leq m$,

$$D_i(h^{p^\tau}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p^\tau \nmid i \\ D_{i/p^\tau}(h)^{p^\tau} & \text{if } p^\tau | i \end{cases}$$

Proof.

Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow A[[\mu]]_m$ be the k -algebra homomorphism determined by D . Then,

$$\sum_{i \geq 0}^m D_i \left(h^{p^r} \right) \mu^i = \varphi \left(h^{p^r} \right) = \varphi(h)^{p^r} = \sum_{j \geq 0}^m D_j(h)^{p^r} \mu^{jp^r} \pmod{\langle \mu^{m+1} \rangle}$$

and we obtain the result by equating the coefficients in the above equation. \square

Lemma 1.7 *Consider $g \in A$ and $D \in \text{HS}_k(A; m)$. Suppose that $D_j(g) \in \langle g \rangle$ for all $0 \leq j < m$. Then, for all $r \geq 1$,*

$$D_m(g^r) \in rg^{r-1}D_m(g) + \langle g^r \rangle.$$

Proof.

We will prove that $D_j(g^r) \in \langle g^r \rangle$ for all $j < m$ and $r \geq 1$. We proceed by induction on j . For $j = 0$ the result is clear since $D_0 = \text{Id}$. Let us assume that $D_a(g^r) \in \langle g^r \rangle$ for all $a < j$ and all r . We will show the result for j by induction on r . When $r = 1$, it's obvious from the hypothesis. Let us suppose that $D_j(g^{r-1}) \in \langle g^{r-1} \rangle$. From the definition of Hasse-Schmidt derivation,

$$D_j(g^r) = D_j(g^{r-1})g + \sum_{\substack{a+b=j \\ a, b \neq 0}} D_a(g^{r-1})D_b(g) + g^{r-1}D_j(g) \in \langle g^r \rangle.$$

Now, we will prove the lemma by induction on $r \geq 1$. It is obvious for $r = 1$, let us suppose that $D_m(g^{r-1}) \in (r-1)g^{r-2}D_m(g) + \langle g^{r-1} \rangle$. From the definition of Hasse-Schmidt derivation,

$$D_m(g^r) = D_m(g^{r-1})g + D_m(g)g^{r-1} + \sum_{\substack{a+b=m \\ a, b \neq 0}} D_a(g^{r-1})D_b(g) \in rg^{r-1}D_m(g) + \langle g^r \rangle$$

and the lemma is proved. \square

1.1 Polynomial ring and integrability

Consider $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ the polynomial ring over a commutative ring k . In this section, we recall, for the ease of the reader, some results related with the integrability of k -derivation in a polynomial ring.

Theorem 1.8 [Ma, Th. 27.1] *Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ the polynomial ring over k , then $\text{IDer}_k(R) = \text{Der}_k(R)$.*

Corollary 1.9 *Any Hasse-Schmidt derivation of R over k of length $m \geq 1$ is integrable.*

Proof. This is consequence of Theorem 1.8 and Proposition 2.1.5 of [Na2]. \square

Corollary 1.10 [Na2, Corollary. 2.1.10] *The map $\Pi : \text{IDer}_k(\log I; m) \rightarrow \text{IDer}_k(R/I; m)$ defined by $\Pi(D) = \overline{D}$ where $\overline{D}_i(a+I) = D_i(a) + I$ is a surjective group homomorphism.*

Corollary 1.11 *Let $I \subset R$ be an ideal and $A = R/I$. Then, A has a leap on $s \geq 1$ if and only if the inclusion $\text{IDer}_k(\log I; s-1) \supseteq \text{IDer}_k(\log I; s)$ is proper.*

Proposition 1.12 [Na2, Prop. 2.2.4] *Let $f \in R$, $I = \langle f \rangle$, and $J^0 = \langle \partial_1(f), \dots, \partial_d(f) \rangle$ the gradient ideal. If $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ is an I -logarithmic k -derivation with $\delta \in J^0 \text{Der}_k(R)$, then δ admits an I -logarithmic integral $D \in \text{HS}_k(\log I)$ with $D_i(f) = 0$ for all $i > 1$. In particular, if $\delta(f) = 0$, the integral D can be taken with $\varphi_D(f) = f$.*

2 Integrable derivations for $x^n - y^q$

Let $R = k[x, y]$ be the polynomial ring in two variables over a reduced ring k of characteristic $p > 0$ and $h = x^n - y^q \in R$. In this section we will study the modules of n -integrable derivations of $A = R/\langle h \rangle$ of length $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$.

In this section we will follow the following notation: Let $\alpha := \text{val}_p(n)$ be the p -adic valuation of n and $s = n/p^\alpha$. We will denote by m the remainder of the division of q by p and $\beta := \text{val}_p(q - m)$. We write

$$\gamma := \min\{i | ip^\alpha \geq q - 1\} = \lceil (q - 1)/p^\alpha \rceil.$$

Proposition 2.1 *Let k be a commutative reduced ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and $R = k[x, y]$ the polynomial ring over k . We set $A = R/\langle h \rangle$ where $h = x^n - y^q$. For $\delta \in \text{Der}_k(\log h)$, we denote $\overline{\delta} = \Pi(\delta)$ (Corollary 1.10).*

- If $n, q \neq 0$, then

$$\text{IDer}_k(A) = \text{Der}_k(A) = \langle \overline{\delta_1}, \overline{\delta_2} \rangle$$

where $\delta_1 = qx\partial_x + ny\partial_y$ and $\delta_2 = qy^{q-1}\partial_x + nx^{n-1}\partial_y$.

- If $n = 0 \pmod p$ and $q = 1$, then

$$\text{IDer}_k(A) = \text{Der}_k(A) = \langle \overline{\partial_x} \rangle$$

- If $\alpha, m \geq 1$ and $q \geq 2$, then

$$\text{IDer}_k(A; i) = \begin{cases} \begin{cases} \langle \overline{\partial_x} \rangle & 1 \leq i < p^\alpha \\ \langle \overline{x\partial_x, y^\gamma\partial_x} \rangle & p^\alpha \leq i < p^{\alpha+\beta} \\ \langle \overline{x\partial_x, y^{\gamma+1}\partial_x} \rangle & i \geq p^{\alpha+\beta} \text{ or } i = \infty \end{cases} & \text{if } s = 1, \alpha \leq \beta, m = 1 \\ \begin{cases} \langle \overline{\partial_x} \rangle & 1 \leq i < p^\alpha \\ \langle \overline{x\partial_x, y^\gamma\partial_x} \rangle & i \geq p^\alpha \text{ or } i = \infty \end{cases} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof.

Let $\delta = u\partial_x + v\partial_y$ be a k -derivation of R . To prove this result it is enough to show which derivations are h -logarithmically i -integrable for $i \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ (Corollary 1.10).

- $n, q \neq 0 \pmod p$.

We have to find the pairs (u, v) such that $\delta(h) = nu x^{n-1} - qv y^{q-1} \in \langle h \rangle$. It is easy to see that $\text{Der}_k(\log h) = \langle \delta_1, \delta_2 \rangle$ where $\delta_1 = qx\partial_x + ny\partial_y$ and $\delta_2 = qy^{q-1}\partial_x + nx^{n-1}\partial_y$. Note that h is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial with respect to the weights $w(x) = q$ and $w(y) = n$. By Theorem 1.2. of [Tr], the Euler vector field, δ_1 , is h -logarithmically ∞ -integrable. On the other hand, the gradient of h is $J^0 = \langle x^{n-1}, y^{q-1} \rangle$, so $\delta_2 \in J^0 \text{Der}_k(R)$ and from Proposition 1.12 we know that δ_2 is h -logarithmically ∞ -integrable too. So, $\text{IDer}_k(A) = \text{Der}_k(A) = \langle \overline{\delta_1}, \overline{\delta_2} \rangle$.

- $n = 0 \pmod p$ and $q = 1$.

The condition for δ to be h -logarithmic is that $v \in \langle h \rangle$, so $\text{Der}_k(\log h) = \langle \partial_x, h\partial_y \rangle$. In this case $J^0 = \langle 1 \rangle$, hence any $\langle h \rangle$ -logarithmic derivation is integrable (Prop. 1.12). Then, $\text{IDer}_k(A) = \text{Der}_k(A) = \langle \overline{\partial_x} \rangle$.

- $\alpha, m \geq 1$ and $q \geq 2$.

Note that $n = sp^\alpha$. In order for δ to be h -logarithmic, $v \in \langle h \rangle$ so $\text{Der}_k(\log h) = \langle \partial_x, h\partial_y \rangle$. Since $h\partial_y$ is the zero derivation on A , we can focus on the h -logarithmic integrability of $\delta = u\partial_x$ with $u \in R$. Let $u_x \in k[x, y]$ and $u_y \in k[y]$ such that

$$u = u_x(x, y)x + u_y(y) \Rightarrow \delta = u\partial_x = u_x x \partial_x + u_y \partial_x.$$

Since h is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial with respect to the weights $w(x) = q$ and $w(y) = sp^\alpha$, the Euler vector field, $\chi = qx\partial_x$, is h -logarithmically integrable, and hence also $u_x x \partial_x$ are. Since $\text{IDer}_k(\log h; i)$ is a R -modules for all i ,

$$\delta \in \text{IDer}_k(\log h; i) \Leftrightarrow u_y \partial_x \in \text{IDer}_k(\log h; i)$$

Let us consider $\delta = u\partial_x$ where $u \in k[y]$. Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow R[[\mu]]$ be a k -algebra homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : R &\longrightarrow R[[\mu]] \\ x &\longmapsto x + u\mu + u_2\mu^2 + \cdots \\ y &\longmapsto y + v_2\mu^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

To show that δ is i -integrable it is enough to prove that there exist u_j, v_j for $2 \leq j \leq i$ such that $\varphi(h) \in \langle h \rangle \pmod{\mu^{i+1}}$, or, equivalently, the coefficients of μ^j in $\varphi(h)$ belong to $\langle h \rangle$ for all $j \leq i$. We will denote by μ_j the coefficient of μ^j in the equation

$$\varphi(h) = \left(x^{p^\alpha} + u^{p^\alpha} \mu^{p^\alpha} + u_2^{p^\alpha} \mu^{2p^\alpha} + \cdots \right)^s - \left(y + v_2\mu^2 + v_3\mu^3 + \cdots \right)^q \quad (1)$$

Suppose that there exists i such that $2 \leq i < p^\alpha$. Then, $\mu_2 = -qy^{q-1}v_2$ has to belong to $\langle h \rangle$. Hence, $v_2 \in \langle h \rangle$, so we can put $v_2 = 0$. Let us assume that $v_l = 0$ for all $2 \leq l < i < p^\alpha$. In this case, $\mu_i = -qy^{q-1}v_i$ and, as the same before, we can put $v_i = 0$. Then,

$$\text{Der}_k(A) = \text{IDer}_k(A; i) = \langle \overline{\partial_x} \rangle \quad \forall i < p^\alpha$$

and we can write the equation (1) as:

$$\left(x^{p^\alpha} + u^{p^\alpha} \mu^{p^\alpha} + u_2^{p^\alpha} \mu^{2p^\alpha} + \cdots \right)^s - \left(y + v_{p^\alpha} \mu^{p^\alpha} + v_{p^\alpha+1} \mu^{p^\alpha+1} + \cdots \right)^q \in \langle h \rangle \quad (2)$$

Now, we have to see that there are $u_{p^\alpha}, v_{p^\alpha} \in R$ such that

$$\mu_{p^\alpha} = sx^{p^\alpha(s-1)}u^{p^\alpha} - qy^{q-1}v_{p^\alpha} \in \langle h \rangle \quad (3)$$

Since $u \in k[y]$, the previous expression implies that $u^{p^\alpha} \in \langle y^{q-1} \rangle$. Therefore, if we write $u = \sum_{i \geq 0} u_i y^i$ with $u_i \in k$, then $u_i^{p^\alpha} = 0$ for all i such that $ip^\alpha < q-1$, so $u_i = 0$ because k is reduced. Hence, we can write $u = w(y)y^\gamma$ where $\gamma = \min\{i | ip^\alpha \geq q-1\}$ and $w(y) \in k[y]$. Substituting the expression of u on (3), we can deduce that

$$sx^{p^\alpha(s-1)}w^{p^\alpha}y^{\gamma p^\alpha - (q-1)} - qv_{p^\alpha} \in \langle h \rangle \Rightarrow v_{p^\alpha} \in (s/q)x^{p^\alpha(s-1)}w^{p^\alpha}y^{\gamma p^\alpha - (q-1)} + \langle h \rangle \quad (4)$$

Therefore, A has a leap on p^α and

$$\text{IDer}_k(A; p^\alpha) = \langle \overline{x\partial_x}, \overline{y^\gamma\partial_x} \rangle \text{ where } \gamma = \min\{i | ip^\alpha \geq q-1\}.$$

Let us write $q = tp^\beta + m$. Note that the only case where $\gamma p^\alpha = q-1$ is $q = tp^\beta + 1$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$. Let us focus on this case when $s = 1$.

- *Case $q = tp^\beta + 1$, $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $s = 1$.* Observe that $t \neq 0$ because $q \geq 2$. It is easy to see that $\gamma = tp^{\alpha-\beta}$. We will study the integrability of $w(y)y^\gamma\partial_x$ in this particular case.

Substituting the values of q and s in the equation (2) and (4) we obtain:

$$\left(x^{p^\alpha} + u^{p^\alpha} \mu^{p^\alpha} + u_2^{p^\alpha} \mu^{2p^\alpha} + \cdots \right) - \left(y^{p^\beta} + v_{p^\alpha}^{p^\beta} \mu^{p^{\alpha+\beta}} + v_{p^\alpha+1}^{p^\beta} \mu^{(p^\alpha+1)p^\beta} + \cdots \right)^t \left(y + v_{p^\alpha} \mu^{p^\alpha} + \cdots \right) \in \langle h \rangle$$

and

$$v_{p^\alpha} = cw^{p^\alpha} + Fh$$

for $c = 1/q$ and some $F \in k[x, y]$. Let us consider i such that $p^\alpha < i < p^{\alpha+\beta}$. If $i = jp^\alpha$ for some $j \geq 2$, then $\mu_i = u_j^{p^\alpha} - y^{tp^\beta} v_i$. Otherwise, $\mu_i = -y^{tp^\beta} v_i$. So, $wy^\gamma\partial_x$ is h -logarithmically i -integrable for all $i < p^{\alpha+\beta}$ (it's enough to put $u_j = v_i = 0$ so that $\mu_i \in \langle h \rangle$). Now,

$$\mu_{p^{\alpha+\beta}} = u_{p^\beta}^{p^\alpha} - ty^{(t-1)p^\beta+1}v_{p^\alpha}^{p^\beta} - y^{tp^\beta}v_{p^{\alpha+\beta}}$$

has to belong to $\langle h \rangle$. So, substituting the value of v_{p^α} , we have that

$$u_{p^\beta}^{p^\alpha} - ctw^{p^{\alpha+\beta}} y^{(t-1)p^\beta+1} - y^{tp^\beta} v_{p^{\alpha+\beta}} = G \left(x^{p^\alpha} - y^{tp^\beta+1} \right)$$

for some $G \in k[x, y]$. The coefficient of y^j with $j = (t-1)p^\beta + 1$ in this equality is $tcw_0^{p^\alpha} = 0$ where w_0 is the independent term of w . Since R is reduced, $w_0 = 0$. Hence, $y^\gamma \partial_x$ is not $p^{\alpha+\beta}$ -integrable. However, if $w = w'y$ with $w' \in k[y]$, the previous equation is

$$u_{p^\beta}^{p^\alpha} - ctw'p^{\alpha+\beta} y^{q+p^\beta(p^\alpha-1)} - y^{tp^\beta} v_{p^{\alpha+\beta}} = G \left(x^{p^\alpha} - y^{tp^\beta+1} \right)$$

Then, there exists a solution, for instance $u_{p^\beta} = 0$ and $v_{p^{\alpha+\beta}} = -ctw'p^{\alpha+\beta} y^{p^\beta(p^\alpha-1)+1}$. In conclusion, in this case A has a leap in $p^{\alpha+\beta}$ and

$$\text{IDer}_k(A; p^{\alpha+\beta}) = \langle \overline{x\partial_x}, \overline{y^{\gamma+1}\partial_x} \rangle$$

Until now we saw that, for all $q \geq 2$

$$\text{IDer}_k(A; p^\alpha) = \langle \overline{x\partial_x}, \overline{y^\gamma\partial_x} \rangle \text{ where } \gamma = \min\{i \mid ip^\alpha \geq q-1\}$$

and moreover, when $q = tp^\beta + 1$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ and $s = 1$, $y^\gamma \partial_x$ is not h -logarithmically integrable but

$$\text{IDer}_k(A; p^{\alpha+\beta}) = \langle \overline{x\partial_x}, \overline{y^{\gamma+1}\partial_x} \rangle$$

Let us rewrite $\gamma := \gamma + 1$ in the latter case. We will see that $y^\gamma \partial_x$ is integrable on A for all $q \geq 2$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: A &\longrightarrow A[[\mu]] \\ x &\longmapsto x + y^\gamma \mu \\ y &\longmapsto y + v_1 \mu^{p^\alpha} + v_2 \mu^{2p^\alpha} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v_i = C_i x^{p^\alpha(s-\sigma)} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha - (\tau+1)q+1} \text{ for } i = \tau s + \sigma \text{ with } \tau \geq 0 \text{ and } \sigma = 1, \dots, s,$$

$$C_i = \frac{1}{q} \left[\binom{s}{i} - \sum_{j \in I_i} D_j \right] \text{ where } \binom{s}{i} = 0 \text{ if } i > s,$$

$$I_i = \left\{ j = (j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}) \mid j_k \geq 0 \ \forall k = 0, \dots, i-1, |j| = q, \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} k j_k = i \right\}$$

and, for all $j = (j_0, j_1, \dots, j_l)$ with $l \geq 1$,

$$D_j = \binom{q}{j} C_1^{j_1} \dots C_l^{j_l} \text{ with } \binom{q}{j} = \frac{q!}{j_0! \dots j_l!}.$$

We have to prove that φ is well defined. First we see that $i\gamma p^\alpha - (\tau+1)q+1 \geq 0$, i.e., $(\tau s + \sigma)\gamma p^\alpha - \tau q \geq q-1$.

- When $\gamma p^\alpha > q-1$, then $\gamma p^\alpha \geq q$, but q is not multiple of p , so $\gamma p^\alpha \geq q+1$ and therefore

$$(\tau s + \sigma)\gamma p^\alpha - \tau q \geq (\tau s + \sigma)(q+1) - \tau q = (\tau(s-1) + \sigma)q + \tau s + \sigma \geq q-1$$

because $s-1 \geq 0$ and $\sigma \geq 1$.

- Let us consider $\gamma p^\alpha = q - 1$. As we have seen before, the previous equality only hold if $q = tp^\beta + 1$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$. If $s = 1$, then we have considered $\gamma + 1$, so we are in the first point. Therefore, we have just considered $s \geq 2$. In this case, we have to prove that $(\tau s + \sigma)\gamma p^\alpha - \tau q = (\tau s + \sigma)(q - 1) - \tau q \geq q - 1$. Then

$$(\tau s + \sigma)(q - 1) - \tau q \geq (2\tau + \sigma)(q - 1) - \tau q = (\tau + \sigma)q - (2\tau + \sigma)$$

So,

$$(\tau + \sigma)q - (2\tau + \sigma) \geq q - 1 \Leftrightarrow (\tau + \sigma - 1)q \geq 2\tau + \sigma - 1$$

and this is true because $q \geq 2$ and $\tau + \sigma - 1 \geq 0$. Note that if $\tau + \sigma - 1 = 0$ then $\tau = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$, so $2\tau + \sigma - 1 = 0$ too.

Now, we have to show that $\varphi(h) = 0$ in $A[|\mu|]$. The equation is:

$$\varphi(h) = \left(x^{p^\alpha} + y^{\gamma p^\alpha} \mu^{p^\alpha}\right)^s - \left(y + v_1 \mu^{p^\alpha} + v_2 \mu^{2p^\alpha} + \dots\right)^q$$

Since all degrees of the monomial which appeared in this equation are multiple of p^α , let us denote μ_i to the coefficient of degree ip^α . Then

$$\mu_i = \binom{s}{i} x^{p^\alpha(s-i)} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha} - \tilde{\mu}_i$$

where $\tilde{\mu}_i$ is the coefficient of μ^{ip^α} from $(y + v_1 \mu^{p^\alpha} + v_2 \mu^{2p^\alpha} + \dots)^q$. This coefficient can be found on

$$\left(y + v_1 \mu^{p^\alpha} + \dots + v_i \mu^{ip^\alpha}\right)^q = \sum_{|j|=q} \binom{q}{j} y^{j_0} v_1^{j_1} \dots v_i^{j_i} \mu^{p^\alpha(j_1 + \dots + ij_i)}$$

We just have to consider all j such that $j_1 + \dots + ij_i = i$. Observe that there exists only one j holding this equation such that $j_i \neq 0$, This j is $(q - 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$ where 1 is in the position i . So, we can identify the set of all these j with $I_i \cup (q - 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Let us calculate a term of $\tilde{\mu}_i$. Fixed j , we have

$$\binom{q}{j} y^{j_0} v_1^{j_1} \dots v_i^{j_i} = \binom{q}{j} C_1^{j_1} \dots C_i^{j_i} x^{ap^\alpha} y^b = D_j x^{ap^\alpha} y^b$$

where

$$a = \sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} (s - \sigma) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b = j_0 + \sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} (\gamma p^\alpha (\tau s + \sigma) - (\tau + 1)q + 1) \geq 0$$

We are going to study these exponents.

$$a = s \sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} - \sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} \sigma = s(q - j_0) - \sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} \sigma$$

On the other side, we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} (\tau s + \sigma) = s \sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} \tau + \sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} \sigma = ls + r$$

where $i = ls + r$ (remember: $l \geq 0$ and $1 \leq r \leq s$). Then, if we denote $T = \sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} \tau$ and we substitute on a , we have

$$a = s(q - j_0) - ((l - T)s + r) = s(q - j_0 - l + T) - r \geq 0$$

If $q - j_0 - l + T < 1$, then $a < 0$ so $q - j_0 - l + T \geq 1$ and we can write

$$a = (q - j_0 - l + T - 1)s + s - r$$

Observe that $s - r \geq 0$ because $1 \leq r \leq s$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} b &= j_0 + \gamma p^\alpha \sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} (\tau s + \sigma) - q \sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} \tau - q \sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} + \sum_{1 \leq \tau s + \sigma \leq i} j_{\tau s + \sigma} \\ &= \gamma p^\alpha i - qT - q(q - j_0) + (q - j_0) + j_0 = i\gamma p^\alpha - q(T + q - j_0 - 1) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\binom{q}{j} y^{j_0} v_1^{j_1} \dots v_i^{j_i} = D_j x^{(q-j_0-l+T-1)sp^\alpha + (s-r)p^\alpha} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha - q(T+q-j_0-1)}$$

Since $x^{sp^\alpha} = y^q$ in A ,

$$\binom{q}{j} y^{j_0} v_1^{j_1} \dots v_i^{j_i} = D_j x^{(s-r)p^\alpha} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha + q(q-j_0-l+T-1) - q(T+q-j_0-1)} = D_j x^{(s-r)p^\alpha} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha - lq}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_i &= \sum_{\substack{|j|=q \\ j_1 + \dots + j_i = i}} D_j x^{p^\alpha(s-r)} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha - lq} = \left(\sum_{j \in I_i} D_j + D_{(q-1, 0, \dots, 0, 1)} \right) x^{p^\alpha(s-r)} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha - lq} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j \in I_i} D_j + qC_i \right) x^{p^\alpha(s-r)} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha - lq} = \left(\sum_{j \in I_i} D_j + q(1/q) \left[\binom{s}{i} - \sum_{j \in I_i} D_j \right] \right) x^{p^\alpha(s-r)} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha - lq} \\ &= \binom{s}{i} x^{p^\alpha(s-r)} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha - lq} \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\mu_i = \binom{s}{i} x^{p^\alpha(s-i)} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha} - \binom{s}{i} x^{p^\alpha(s-r)} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha - lq}$$

If $i > s$, then $\binom{s}{i} = 0$, and hence $\mu_i = 0$.

If $i \leq s$, then $i = 0 \cdot s + i$, i.e., $l = 0$ and $r = i$, then

$$\mu_i = \binom{s}{i} x^{p^\alpha(s-i)} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha} - \binom{s}{i} x^{p^\alpha(s-i)} y^{i\gamma p^\alpha} = 0$$

so, φ is well defined and the proposition is proved. \square

Examples 2.2 Let us consider k a reduced ring of characteristic $p = 3$ and $h = x^3 - y^4 \in k[x, y]$, then $\gamma = 1$ so, according with Proposition 2.1,

$$\text{IDer}_k(A; i) = \begin{cases} \langle \bar{\partial}_x \rangle & 1 \leq i < 3 \\ \langle \bar{x}\bar{\partial}_x, \bar{y}\bar{\partial}_x \rangle & 3 \leq i < 9 \\ \langle \bar{x}\bar{\partial}_x, \bar{y}^2\bar{\partial}_x \rangle & i \geq 9 \end{cases}$$

Now, if we consider $h = x^3 - y^5$, then $\gamma = 2$ and

$$\text{IDer}_k(A; i) = \begin{cases} \langle \bar{\partial}_x \rangle & 1 \leq i < 3 \\ \langle \bar{x}\bar{\partial}_x, \bar{y}^2\bar{\partial}_x \rangle & i \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.3 Note that if k is not reduced, Proposition 2.1 is not true. For example, if $k = \mathbb{F}_3[t]/\langle t^3 \rangle$ and $h = x^3 - y^5$, then $t\bar{\partial}_x \in \text{IDer}_k(A)$ with the integral

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow A[[\mu]] \\ x &\mapsto x + t\mu \\ y &\mapsto y \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.4 Let k be a commutative reduced ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and $A = k[x, y]/\langle h \rangle$ where $h = x^n - y^q$ such that $\alpha, m \geq 1$ and $q \geq 2$. We denote $B_i := \text{Ann}_A(\text{IDer}_k(A; i-1)/\text{IDer}_k(A; i))$ for $i > 1$. Then,

$$B_i = \begin{cases} \langle x, y^\alpha \rangle & \text{if } i = p^\alpha \\ \langle y \rangle & \text{if } i = p^{\alpha+\beta}, s = 1, \alpha \leq \beta \text{ and } m = 1 \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $B_i \supseteq J^0 = \langle y^{q-1} \rangle$ where J^0 is the gradient ideal of h defined in Proposition 1.12.

Proof.

Let us start with $i = p^\alpha$. From Proposition 2.1, we can deduce that

$$\text{IDer}_k(A; p^\alpha - 1) / \text{IDer}_k(A; p^\alpha) = \langle \partial_x \rangle / \langle x\partial_x, y^\alpha \partial_x \rangle$$

where $\partial_x \in \text{Der}_k(A)$. By definition, $a \in B_i$ if $a\partial_x = 0 \pmod{\langle x\partial_x, y^\alpha \partial_x \rangle}$, i.e, if there exist $F, G \in A$ such that $a\partial_x = Fx\partial_x + Gy^\alpha \partial_x$. Applying this derivation to x , we have that $a \in \langle x, y^\alpha \rangle$.

Now, when $\alpha \leq \beta$, $s = m = 1$ and $i = p^{\alpha+\beta}$, from Proposition 2.1,

$$\text{IDer}_k(A; p^{\alpha+\beta} - 1) / \text{IDer}_k(A; p^{\alpha+\beta}) = \langle x\partial_x, y^\alpha \partial_x \rangle / \langle x\partial_x, y^{\alpha+1} \partial_x \rangle = \langle y^\alpha \partial_x / y^{\alpha+1} \partial_x \rangle$$

In this case, $a \in B_{p^{\alpha+\beta}}$ if and only if $ay^\alpha \partial_x \in \langle y^{\alpha+1} \partial_x \rangle$, i.e, if $(a - Fy)y^\alpha \partial_x = 0$ for some $F \in A$. This implies that $a \in \langle y \rangle$ and we have proved the corollary. □

2.1 I^p -logarithmic derivations

In this section, we want to calculate the m -integrable derivations of $A = k[x, y]/\langle h \rangle$ where k is a unique factorization domain (UFD) of characteristic $p > 0$ and $h = x^n - y^q$ with $n, q = 0 \pmod{p}$. We start with some general results about the relationship between $\langle f \rangle$ -logarithmic and $\langle f^p \rangle$ -logarithmic derivations. In this section, we denote $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$.

Proposition 2.5 If $f, g \in R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ are coprime, then, for all $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, we have:

$$\text{HS}_k(\log fg; n) = \text{HS}_k(\log f; n) \cap \text{HS}_k(\log g; n).$$

Proof.

\supseteq . Let $D \in \text{HS}_k(\log f; n) \cap \text{HS}_k(\log g; n)$. By definition, $D_i(f) \in \langle f \rangle$ and $D_i(g) \in \langle g \rangle$ for all $i \leq n$. Then $D_i(fg) = \sum_{a+b=i} D_a(f)D_b(g) \in \langle fg \rangle$, so $D \in \text{HS}_k(\log fg; n)$.

\subseteq . Let $D \in \text{HS}_k(\log fg; n)$. This implies that $D_i(fg) \in \langle fg \rangle$ for all $i \leq n$. We will prove the result by induction on i . When $i = 1$, then $D_1(fg) = D_1(f)g + D_1(g)f \in \langle fg \rangle \subseteq \langle f \rangle, \langle g \rangle$. So, $D_1(f)g \in \langle f \rangle$. Since g and f are coprime, $D_1(f) \in \langle f \rangle$. For g is analogous.

Now let us assume that $D_i(f) \in \langle f \rangle$ and $D_i(g) \in \langle g \rangle$ for all $i < n$. By definition,

$$D_n(fg) = D_n(f)g + D_n(g)f + \sum_{\substack{a+b=n \\ a, b \neq 0}} D_a(f)D_b(g) \in \langle fg \rangle \Rightarrow D_n(f)g + D_n(g)f \in \langle fg \rangle$$

and we can proceed like case $i = 1$. □

Corollary 2.6 If $f, g \in R$ are coprime, then $\text{IDer}_k(\log fg; n) \subseteq \text{IDer}_k(\log f; n) \cap \text{IDer}_k(\log g; n)$ for all $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. If $\delta \in \text{IDer}_k(\log fg; n)$ then, there exists $D \in \text{HS}_k(\log fg; n)$ a n -integral of δ . By Proposition 2.5, $D \in \text{HS}_k(\log f; n) \cap \text{HS}_k(\log g; n)$ so, $\delta \in \text{IDer}_k(\log f; n) \cap \text{IDer}_k(\log g; n)$. □

Remark 2.7 In general, equality in Proposition 2.5 does not hold. For example: Let $k = \mathbb{F}_2$ and $f = y^2$ and $g = x^2 - y$ two polynomial of $k[x, y]$. Then $\partial_x \in \text{IDer}_k(\log f; 4) \cap \text{IDer}_k(\log g; 4)$. However $\partial_x \notin \text{IDer}_k(\log fg; 4)$.

Corollary 2.8 Let $f_1, \dots, f_m \in R$. If f_i, f_j are coprime whenever $i \neq j$, then, for all $\bar{\mathbb{N}}$ we have:

$$\text{HS}_k(\log f_1 \cdots f_m; n) = \bigcap_i \text{HS}_k(\log f_i; n) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{IDer}_k(\log f_1 \cdots f_m; n) \subseteq \bigcap_i \text{IDer}_k(\log f_i; n)$$

Proof. The result is obtained thanks to Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 by induction on m . □

Lemma 2.9 Let f be an irreducible polynomial, $a \geq 1$ and $n \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}$. Consider $D \in \text{HS}_k(R; n)$. Suppose that $D_i(f^a)^p \in \langle f^{ap} \rangle$ for all $i \leq n$. Then, $D \in \text{HS}_k(\log f^a; n)$.

Proof.

We write $a = sp^\alpha$ where $\alpha = \text{val}_p(a) \geq 0$ and $s \geq 1$. By Lemma 1.6,

$$D_i(f^{sp^\alpha}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p^\alpha \nmid i \\ D_{i/p^\alpha}(f^s)^{p^\alpha} & \text{if } p^\alpha | i \end{cases}$$

Hence, we can focus on the case $n \geq p^\alpha$ and $i = jp^\alpha \leq n$. It's enough to show that $D_j(f) \in \langle f \rangle$ because, if this is true, we have that $D_j(f^s) \in \langle f^s \rangle$ by Lemma 1.7, and $D_i(f^{sp^\alpha}) = D_j(f^s)^{p^\alpha} \in \langle f^{sp^\alpha} \rangle$ so we would have the result.

Since $i = jp^\alpha \leq n$,

$$D_j(f^s)^{p^{\alpha+1}} = D_{jp^\alpha}(f^{sp^\alpha})^p \in \langle f^{sp^{\alpha+1}} \rangle \quad (5)$$

When $j = 1$, $D_1(f^s) = sf^{s-1}D_1(f)$. Substituting in the previous expression, we have that

$$D_1(f^s)^{p^{\alpha+1}} = sf^{(s-1)p^{\alpha+1}}D_1(f)^{p^{\alpha+1}} \in \langle f^{sp^{\alpha+1}} \rangle \quad (6)$$

Since R is UFD and $f, s \neq 0$, $D_1(f)^{p^{\alpha+1}} \in \langle f^{p^{\alpha+1}} \rangle \subseteq \langle f \rangle$ and hence $D_1(f) \in \langle f \rangle$.

Let us assume that $D_l(f) \in \langle f \rangle$ for all $l < j$ with $jp^\alpha \leq n$. Thanks to the hypothesis, we can use Lemma 1.7, and we have

$$D_j(f^s) = sf^{s-1}D_j(f) + Ff^s$$

for some $F \in R$. Substituting this expression in (5),

$$sf^{(s-1)p^{\alpha+1}}D_j(f)^{p^{\alpha+1}} + F^{p^{\alpha+1}}f^{sp^{\alpha+1}} \in \langle f^{sp^{\alpha+1}} \rangle \Rightarrow sf^{(s-1)p^{\alpha+1}}D_j(f)^{p^{\alpha+1}} \in \langle f^{sp^{\alpha+1}} \rangle$$

Observe that it is the same condition that (6), so we can deduce that $D_j(f) \in \langle f \rangle$. □

Proposition 2.10 Let k be an UFD of characteristic $p > 0$ and $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ the polynomial ring over k . Let h be a polynomial of R . For all $n \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}$, we have:

$$\text{IDer}_k(\log h; n) = \text{IDer}_k(\log h^p, np).$$

Proof.

\subseteq . Let $D_1 \in \text{IDer}_k(\log h; n)$ and $D \in \text{HS}_k(\log h; n)$ an integral. If $n < \infty$, from Corollary 1.9, D is np -integrable, so let D' be a np -integral of D . If $n = \infty$, we put $D' = D$. Observe that $D'_1 = D_1$ so, if $D' \in \text{HS}_k(\log h^p; np)$ then $D_1 \in \text{IDer}_k(\log h^p; np)$. We have to see that $D'_i(h^p) \in \langle h^p \rangle$ for all $i \leq np$.

By Lemma 1.6,

$$D'_i(h^p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \nmid i \\ D'_{i/p}(h)^p & \text{if } p | i \end{cases}$$

Then, we can focus on $i = jp$ where $1 \leq j \leq n$. Note that $D'_j = D_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, so

$$D'_i(h^p) = D'_j(h)^p = D_j(h)^p \in \langle h^p \rangle.$$

Therefore, $D'_i(h^p) \in \langle h^p \rangle$ for all $i \leq np$ and we have the inclusion.

\supseteq . Let $D_1 \in \text{IDer}_k(\log h^p; np)$ and $D \in \text{HS}_k(\log h^p; np)$ a np -integral of D_1 . Let $h = h_1^{a_1} \cdots h_m^{a_m}$ be the factorization of h in irreducible factors, i.e., h_i is irreducible and $a_i \geq 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $h_i \neq h_j$ if $i \neq j$. Then $h_i^{a_i}$ and $h_j^{a_j}$ are coprime whenever $i \neq j$, and therefore, $h_1^{a_1 p}, \dots, h_m^{a_m p}$ are coprime too. By Corollary 2.8,

$$D \in \text{HS}_k(\log h^p; np) = \bigcap_i \text{HS}_k(\log h_i^{a_i p}; np).$$

Hence, $D_j(h_i^{a_i})^p = D_{jp}(h_i^{a_i p}) \in \langle h_i^{a_i p} \rangle$ for $j \leq n$. By Lemma 2.9, $D_j(h_i^{a_i}) \in \langle h_i^{a_i} \rangle$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $j \leq n$. So, $\tau_{np, n}(D) \in \bigcap \text{HS}_k(\log h_i^{a_i}; n) = \text{HS}_k(\log h; n) \Rightarrow D_1 \in \text{IDer}_k(\log h; n)$. \square

Corollary 2.11 For all $\tau \geq 0$ and $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, $\text{IDer}_k(\log h; n) = \text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; np^\tau)$.

Proof. By induction on τ using Proposition 2.10. \square

Proposition 2.12 Let k be a UFD of characteristic $p > 0$, $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ the polynomial ring over k , $h \in R$ and $\tau \geq 1$. Then the set of the leaps of $A := R/\langle h^{p^\tau} \rangle$ is

$$\begin{cases} \{np^\tau \mid n \text{ leap of } R/\langle h \rangle\} & \text{if } \text{Der}_k(\log h) = \text{Der}_k(R) \\ \{np^\tau \mid n \text{ leap of } R/\langle h \rangle\} \cup p^\tau & \text{if } \text{Der}_k(\log h) \neq \text{Der}_k(R) \end{cases}$$

Proof.

By Corollary 1.11, A has a leap on $s > 1$ if and only if the inclusion $\text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; s-1) \supsetneq \text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; s)$ is proper. First of all, we will prove the next two equalities:

$$1. \text{ For } s < p^\tau, \text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; s) = \text{Der}_k(R).$$

\subseteq is always true. Let $D_1 \in \text{Der}_k(R) = \text{IDer}_k(R)$ and $D \in \text{HS}_k(R)$ an integral. Since $s < p^\tau$, for all $j \leq s$, $p^\tau \nmid j$. By Lemma 1.6, $D_j(h^{p^\tau}) = 0 \in \langle h^{p^\tau} \rangle$ for all $j \leq s$. Then, any derivation D_1 has a h^{p^τ} -logarithmic s -integral and the other inclusion holds. So, A does not have a leap on s .

$$2. \text{ Let } s \text{ be an integer such that } np^\tau < s < (n+1)p^\tau \text{ for some } n \geq 1. \text{ Then } \text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; s) = \text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; np^\tau).$$

Since $s > np^\tau$, the inclusion \subseteq is true. Let $D_1 \in \text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; np^\tau)$. By definition there exists an integral $D \in \text{HS}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; np^\tau)$. By Corollary 1.9, we can consider $D' \in \text{HS}_k(R; s)$ an integral of D . Hence, for all j such that $np^\tau < j \leq s < (n+1)p^\tau$, $p^\tau \nmid j$ and, by Lemma 1.6, $D'_j(h^{p^\tau}) = 0 \in \langle h^{p^\tau} \rangle$. Since $D'_l = D_l$ for all $l \leq np^\tau$, $D' \in \text{HS}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; s)$. Therefore, $D_1 \in \text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; s)$ and A does not have a leap on s .

Thanks to these two equalities we know that the leaps are given on $s = np^\tau$ for some $n \geq 1$. Let us suppose that $s = p^\tau$. By Corollary 2.11 and the point 1.,

$$\text{Der}_k(R) = \text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; s-1) \supseteq \text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; p^\tau = s) = \text{Der}_k(\log h)$$

Hence, A has a leap on p^τ if and only if $\text{Der}_k(\log h) \neq \text{Der}_k(R)$. Now, let us consider $s = np^\tau$ for $n \geq 2$. By Corollary 2.11 and the point 2.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IDer}_k(\log h; n-1) &= \text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; (n-1)p^\tau) = \text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; np^\tau - 1) \\ &\supseteq \text{IDer}_k(\log h^{p^\tau}; np^\tau) = \text{IDer}_k(\log h; n) \end{aligned}$$

Then, A has a leap on $s = np^\tau$ if and only if n is a leap on $R/\langle h \rangle$ and we have proved the result. \square

Proposition 2.13 *Let k be a UFD of characteristic $p > 0$ and $h = x^n - y^q \in k[x, y]$. Suppose $\alpha := \text{val}_p(n) \geq 1$ and $\beta := \text{val}_p(q) \geq 1$. We write $\tau = \min \alpha, \beta \geq 1$, $s = n/p^\tau$ and $t = q/p^\tau$. Then,*

$$\text{IDer}_k(k[x, y]/\langle h \rangle; np) = \{\bar{\delta} \mid \delta \in \text{IDer}_k(\log \langle x^s - y^t \rangle, n)\}$$

where the leaps occur in $\{np^\tau \mid n \text{ is a leap of } k[x, y]/\langle H \rangle\} \cup p^\tau$.

Proof. Using Corollary 2.11 and Proposition 2.1. □

3 Other examples

We are going to calculate the integrable derivations of the quotient of a polynomial ring over some non-binomial equations. These examples have been taken from the article [Gr].

Example 1.

Let k be a domain of characteristic $p > 0$ and $h = x^p + tx^{p+1} \in R = k[x]$ with $t \in k$. Let $A = R/\langle h \rangle$. The module of $\text{Der}_k(\log h)$ is generated by $(1 + tx)\partial_x$. From Example (2.1.2) of [Na2], we have that $(1 + tx)\partial_x$ is h -logarithmically $(p - 1)$ -integrable. So, let us consider $E \in \text{HS}_k(\log h; p - 1)$ an integral of $u(1 + tx)\partial_x$ where $u \in R$. From Corollary 1.9, there exists $D \in \text{HS}_k(R)$ an integral of E . In order for D to be h -logarithmic,

$$D_p(x^p + tx^{p+1}) = D_1(x)^p + t(xD_1(x))^p + D_p(x)x^p = u^p(1 + tx)^{p+1} + tD_p(x)x^p \in \langle h \rangle$$

So, $u \in \langle x \rangle$ and $\text{IDer}_k(\log h; p) = \langle x(1 + tx)\partial_x \rangle$. Observe that this generator is ∞ -integrable, for example $x \in A \mapsto x + x(1 + tx)\mu \in A[[\mu]]$ is an integral. In conclusion,

$$\text{IDer}_k(A; i) = \begin{cases} \langle \overline{(1 + tx)\partial_x} \rangle & \text{if } i \leq p - 1 \\ \langle x(1 + tx)\partial_x \rangle & \text{if } i \geq p \end{cases}$$

Example 2.

Let k be a domain of characteristic $p = 2$ and $h = x^4 + y^6 + y^7 \in R = k[x, y]$. Let $A = R/\langle h \rangle$. In this case, the module of h -logarithmic derivations is generated by ∂_x and $h\partial_y$. Since $h\partial_y$ is h -logarithmically ∞ -integrable, we can focus on the h -logarithmic integrability of $u\partial_x$ where $u \in k[x, y]$. Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow R[[\mu]]$ a k -algebra homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : R &\longrightarrow R[[\mu]] \\ x &\longmapsto x + u\mu + u_2\mu^2 + \dots \\ y &\longmapsto y + v_2\mu^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

We want to see that there exist $u_i, v_i \in R$ for $i \geq 2$ such that φ is h -logarithmic. The coefficient of μ^i for $i = 2, 3$ in $\varphi(h)$ is y^6v_i . In order for φ to be h -logarithmic, $v_i \in \langle h \rangle$, so we can put $v_i = 0$. In fact, we can put $v_i = 0$ for all i such that $4 \nmid i$. Thanks to this, we can write:

$$\varphi(h) = (x + u\mu + u_2\mu^2 + \dots)^4 + (y + v_4\mu^4 + v_8\mu^8 \dots)^6(1 + y + v_4\mu^4 + v_8\mu^8 \dots) \quad (7)$$

The coefficient of μ^4 in (7) is $\mu_4 := u^4 + y^6v_4$ and it has to belong to $\langle h \rangle$. Hence, $u \in \langle x, y^2 \rangle$ and $\text{IDer}_k(\log h; 4) = \langle x\partial_x, y^2\partial_x, h\partial_y \rangle$. It's easy to proof the following lemma through the calculation of a term in the equation (7):

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose that $u_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$ and $v_{4n} \in \langle y^2 \rangle$ for all $n < i$, then there exists $v_{4i} \in \langle y^2 \rangle$ such that the coefficient of μ^{4i} in (7) belongs to $\langle h \rangle$.*

Using this lemma repeatedly we deduce that $y^2\partial_x$ and $xy\partial_x$ are h -logarithmically integrable since a possible solution so that μ_4 is h -logarithmic is $v_4 = y^2$ and $v_4 = (1 + y)y^4$ respectively. Therefore, we need to see the h -logarithmic integrability of $ux\partial_x$ where $u \in k[x]$. In this case, $v_4 \in (1 + y)u^4 + \langle h \rangle$. Calculating the coefficient of μ^8 in (7), we obtain $\mu_8 := u_2^4 + y^6v_8 + v_4^2(1 + y)y^4$. In order for μ_8 to be in $\langle h \rangle$, $u \in \langle x \rangle$. Hence, $v_4 \in \langle x^4, h \rangle$. We deduce that $x^2\partial_x$ is h -logarithmically integrable by the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2 *Suppose that $u_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$ and $v_{4n} \in \langle x^4 \rangle$ for all $n < i$, then there exists $v_{4i} \in \langle x^4 \rangle$ such that the coefficient of μ^{4i} in (7) belongs to $\langle h \rangle$.*

In conclusion,

$$\text{IDer}_k(A; i) = \begin{cases} \langle \overline{\partial_x} \rangle & \text{if } 1 \leq i < 4 \\ \langle \overline{x\partial_x, y^2\partial_x} \rangle & \text{if } 4 \leq i < 8 \\ \langle \overline{x^2\partial_x, xy\partial_x, y^2\partial_x} \rangle & \text{if } i \geq 8 \end{cases}$$

Example 3.

Let k be a domain of characteristic $p = 3$ and $h = x^3 + y^5 + x^2y^2 \in R = k[x, y]$. Let $A = R/\langle h \rangle$. The module of h -logarithmic derivation is generated by $\delta_1 := x^2\partial_x + y^3\partial_y$ and $\delta_2 := 2y^2\partial_x + (x + y^2)\partial_y$. These two derivations are h -logarithmically integrable. To verify this claim, let us consider $\varphi : R \rightarrow R[[\mu]]$ a homomorphism of k -algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : R &\longrightarrow R[[\mu]] \\ x &\longmapsto x + u_1\mu + u_2\mu^2 + \cdots \\ y &\longmapsto y + v_1\mu + v_2\mu^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous example, we want to prove that there exist $u_i, v_i \in R$ for $i \geq 2$ such that $\varphi(h) \in \langle h \rangle$ where u_1 and v_1 are determined by δ_1 or δ_2 . By calculating a generic term of $\varphi(h)$, we can show the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.3 *Let $u_1 = x^2$ and $v_1 = y^3$. Suppose that $v_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$ and $u_n \in \langle x^2 \rangle$ for all $n < i$. Then, there exists $u_i \in \langle x^2 \rangle$ such that the coefficient of μ^i in $\varphi(h)$ belongs to $\langle h \rangle$.*

Lemma 3.4 *Let $u_1 = 2y^2$ and $v_1 = x + y^2$. Suppose $u_n \in \langle xy, y^3 \rangle$ and $v_n \in \langle y^2 \rangle$ for all $2 \leq n < i$. Then, there exist $u_i \in \langle xy, y^3 \rangle$ and $v_i \in \langle y^2 \rangle$ such that the coefficient of μ^i in $\varphi(h)$ belongs to $\langle h \rangle$.*

Using Lemma 3.3 for the integrability of δ_1 and Lemma 3.4 for the integrability of δ_2 , we can deduce that

$$\text{IDer}_k(A) = \langle \overline{\delta_1}, \overline{\delta_2} \rangle.$$

References

- [Gr] G.M. Greuel, *Singularities in Positive Characteristic*, arXiv:1711.03453v1 [math.AG] (2017).
- [H-S] H. Hasse, F.K. Schmidt, *Noch eine Begründung der Theorie der höheren Differentialquotienten in einem algebraischen Funktionenkörper einer Unbestimmten*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 177 (1937), 223-239.
- [Ma] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 8, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1986).
- [Mo] S. Molinelli, *Sul modulo delle derivazioni integrabili in caratteristica positiva*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 121 (1979), 25-38.
- [Na1] L. Narváez Macarro, *Hasse-Schmidt derivations, divided powers and differential smoothness*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 59 (7) (2009), 2979-3014.
- [Na2] L. Narváez Macarro, *On the modules of m -integrable derivations in non-zero characteristic*, Adv. Math, 229 (2012), 2712-2740.
- [Se] A. Seidenberg, *Derivations and integral closure*, Pacif of Math. Vol 16, No 1, (1966).
- [Tr] W. Traves, *Tight closure and differential simplicity*, Jour. of Alg. 228 (2000) 457-476.