

INTRODUCTION TO FRACTIONAL ORLICZ-SOBOLEV SPACES

MOHAMMED SRATI¹
 ELHOSSINE AZROUL²
 ABDELMOUJIB BENKIRANE³

Abstract In this paper, we define the fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, and we prove some important results of these spaces. The main result is to show the continuous and compact embedding for these spaces. As an application, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution for a non local problem involving the fractional M-Laplacian operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , and let $s \in (0, 1)$. For any $p \in [1, +\infty)$, the fractional Sobolev spaces are defined as,

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{N}{p} + s}} \in L^p(\Omega \times \Omega) \right\}.$$

These spaces have been a classical topic in functional and harmonic analysis all along, and some important books, such as [34] treat the topic in detail. On the other hand, fractional spaces, and the corresponding nonlocal equations, are now experiencing impressive applications in different subjects, such as, among others, the thin obstacle problem [28], finance [17], phase transitions [2, 10], stratified materials [14, 15], crystal dislocation [7], soft thin films [27], semipermeable membranes and flame propagation [11], conservation laws [8], ultra-relativistic limits of quantum mechanics [25], quasi-geostrophic flows [13], multiple scattering [22], minimal surfaces [12], materials science [5], water waves [18, 19, 35], gradient potential theory [30] and singular set of minima of variational functionals [29]. Don't panic, instead, see also [33] for further motivation.

In mathematics and precisely in PDEs, when trying to relax some conditions on the operators (as growth conditions), the problem can not be formulated with classical Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. Hence, the adequate functional spaces is the so-called Orlicz spaces (see [3],[4]).

J.F.Bonder and A.M.Salort in [9] proposed a version of the fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, i.e.,

$$W^s L_M(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L_M(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^s}\right) \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^N} < \infty \right\},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E30, 46E35, 35R11, 35J60.

Key words and phrases. Fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, Fractional M-Laplacian operator, Variational problem.

where M is N-function and L_M is the Orlicz space (see section 2).

The authors prove that for any $u \in L_M(\Omega)$ and $0 < s < 1$, it holds that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (1-s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M\left(\frac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^s}\right) \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^N} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widetilde{M}(u(x)) dx,$$

where \widetilde{M} is an N-function defined by,

$$\widetilde{M}(a) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (1-s) \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-s}} M(a|z_N|r^{1-s}) dS_z \frac{dr}{r}.$$

The previous definition creates problems in the mathematical analysis, more precisely in the calculus and in the embedding results, for example, the Borel measure defined as $d\mu = \frac{dxdy}{|x-y|^N}$ is not finite in the neighbourhood of the origin. To overcome those difficulties, we introduce another definition of the fractional Orlicz-Sobolev space, i.e.,

$$W^s L_M(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L_M(\Omega) : \exists \lambda > 0 \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{\lambda(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right) dxdy < \infty \right\}.$$

Observe that in the case $M(t) = t^p$, these spaces coincide with the fractional Sobolev space $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$.

We begin this paper by showing some natural properties of the space $W^s L_M(\Omega)$, and then we come to the important point of the article, i.e, to study the embedding results of these spaces. We follow the approach of Donaldson and Trudinger in [21] and show the embedding results of the fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces $W^s L_M(\Omega)$ into the Orlicz spaces.

This paper is organized as follows: We introduce in the second section, some properties on the Orlicz-Sobolev and fractional Sobolev spaces.

The third section is devoted to proving we prove some important results on the fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, and we prove a result of continuous and compact embedding of these spaces into the Orlicz spaces. Finally, we conclude this article by an application of our main results, to show the existence and uniqueness of solution for a non local problem involving the fractional M-Laplacian operator.

2. SOME PRELIMINARY RESULTS

First, we briefly recall the definitions and some elementary properties of the Orlicz spaces and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. We refer the reader to [1, 26, 32] for further reference and for some of the proofs of the results in this subsection.

2.1. Orlicz-Sobolev Spaces. We start by recalling the definition of the well-known N-functions.

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . Let $M : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an N-function, i.e., M is continuous, convex, with $M(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, $\frac{M(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{M(t)}{t} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Equivalently, M admits the representation : $M(t) = \int_0^t m(s) ds$ where $m : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is non-decreasing, right continuous, with $m(0) = 0$, $m(t) > 0 \forall t > 0$ and $m(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The conjugate N-function of M is defined by $\overline{M}(t) = \int_0^t \overline{m}(s) ds$, where $\overline{m} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is given by $\overline{m}(t) = \sup \{s : m(s) \leq t\}$. Evidently we have

$$st \leq M(t) + \overline{M}(s), \tag{1}$$

which is known Young's inequality. Equality holds in (1) if and only if either $t = \overline{m}(s)$ or $s = m(t)$.

We will extend these N-functions into even functions on all \mathbb{R} . The N-function M is said to satisfy the global Δ_2 -condition if, for some $k > 0$,

$$M(2t) \leq kM(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

When this inequality holds only for $t \geq t_0 > 0$, M is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition near infinity.

We call the pair (M, Ω) is Δ -regular if either :

- (a) M satisfies a global Δ_2 -condition, or
- (b) M satisfies a Δ_2 -condition near infinity and Ω has finite volume.

Lemma 2.1. (cf. [9]). *Let M be an N-function which satisfies the Δ_2 -condition. Then we have,*

$$\overline{M}(m(t)) \leq (p-1)M(t), \quad (2)$$

for some $p > 1$.

Let Φ_1, Φ_2 be two N-function. Φ_1 is stronger (resp essentially stronger) than Φ_2 , $\Phi_1 \succ \Phi_2$ (resp $\Phi_1 \succ \succ \Phi_2$) in symbols, if

$$\Phi_2(x) \leq \Phi_1(ax), \quad , \quad x \geq x_0 \geq 0,$$

for some (resp for each) $a > 0$ and x_0 (depending on a).

Remark 2.1. $\Phi_1 \succ \succ \Phi_2$ is equivalent to the condition

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi_2(\lambda x)}{\Phi_1(x)} = 0,$$

for all $\lambda > 0$.

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . The Orlicz class $K_M(\Omega)$ (resp. the Orlicz space $L_M(\Omega)$) is defined as the set of (equivalence classes of) real-valued measurable functions u on Ω such that

$$\int_{\Omega} M(u(x))dx < \infty \quad (\text{resp. } \int_{\Omega} M(\lambda u(x))dx < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0). \quad (3)$$

$L_M(\Omega)$ is a Banach space under the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_M = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right)dx \leq 1 \right\}, \quad (4)$$

and $K_M(\Omega)$ is a convex subset of $L_M(\Omega)$. The closure in $L_M(\Omega)$ of the set of bounded measurable functions on Ω with compact support in $\overline{\Omega}$ is denoted by $E_M(\Omega)$.

The equality $E_M(\Omega) = L_M(\Omega)$ holds if and only if (M, Ω) is Δ -regular.

Theorem 2.1. [cf. [1]] *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N which has a finite volume, and suppose M, B two N-function such that $B \prec \prec M$. Then any bounded subset S of $L_M(\Omega)$ which is precompact in $L^1(\Omega)$, is also precompact in $L_B(\Omega)$.*

Let J be a nonnegative, real-valued function belonging to $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and having the properties :

- $J(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq 1$ and

- $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J(x)dx = 1.$

If $\varepsilon > 0$, the function $J_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} J\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ is nonnegative, belongs to $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and satisfies

- $J_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq 1,$
- $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J_\varepsilon(x)dx = 1.$

J_ε is called a mollifier. We pose

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = J_\varepsilon * u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J_\varepsilon(x-y)u(y)dy.$$

Lemma 2.2. (cf. [1])

- If $u \in L^1_{loc}(\overline{\Omega})$ then $u_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$
- If also $\text{supp}(u)$ is compact, then $u_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$ for all $\varepsilon < \text{dist}(\text{supp}(u), \partial\Omega).$

Theorem 2.2. (cf. [1])

- (1) $C_0(\Omega)$ is dense in $E_M(\Omega).$
- (2) $E_M(\Omega)$ is separable.
- (3) $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $E_M(\Omega).$
- (4) For each $u \in E_M(\Omega),$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} u_\varepsilon = u$ in $E_M(\Omega).$

2.2. Fractional Sobolev spaces. This subsection is devoted to the definition of the fractional Sobolev spaces, and we recall some result of continuous and compact embedding in fractional Sobolev spaces. We refer the reader to [20, 23] for further reference and for some of the proofs of these results.

We start by fixing the fractional exponent $s \in (0, 1).$ For any $p \in [1, \infty),$ we define the fractional Sobolev space $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ as follows,

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{N}{p} + s}} \in L^p(\Omega \times \Omega) \right\};$$

i.e, an intermediary Banach space between, endowed with the natural norm

$$\|u\|_{s,p} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{sp+N}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Theorem 2.3. (cf. [23]). Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and let $p \in [1, +\infty)$ such that $sp < N.$ Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with $C^{0,1}$ -regularity and bounded boundary. So there exists a constant $C = C(N, s, p, \Omega)$ such that, for all $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ we have

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} \quad \forall q \in [p, p^*],$$

i.e,

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \quad \forall q \in [p, p^*],$$

where $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-sp}.$

If, in addition, Ω is bounded, then the space $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $L^q(\Omega)$ for any $q \in [1, p^*].$

Theorem 2.4. (cf. [20]). Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and let $p \in [1, +\infty)$ such that $sp < N$. Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with $C^{0,1}$ -regularity and bounded boundary. Then the embedding

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \quad \forall q \in [1, p^*),$$

is compact.

3. MAIN RESULTS

3.1. Fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Now, we define the fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, and we will present some important results on these spaces.

Definition 3.1. Let M be an N -function. For a given domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^N and $0 < s < 1$, we define the fractional Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^s L_M(\Omega)$ as follows,

$$W^s L_M(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L_M(\Omega) : \exists \lambda > 0 / \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M \left(\frac{\lambda(u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) dx dy < \infty \right\}. \quad (5)$$

This space is equipped with the norm,

$$\|u\|_{s,M} = \|u\|_M + [u]_{s,M}, \quad (6)$$

where $[.]_{s,M}$ is the Gagliardo seminorm, defined by

$$[u]_{s,M} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M \left(\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{\lambda |x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) dx dy \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (7)$$

Definition 3.2. Let M be an N -function. For a given domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^N and $0 < s < 1$, We define, the space $W^s E_M(\Omega)$ as follows,

$$W^s E_M(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in E_M(\Omega) : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \in E_M(\Omega \times \Omega) \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Remark 3.1.

- $W^s E_M(\Omega) \subset W^s L_M(\Omega)$.
- $W^s E_M(\Omega)$ coincides with $W^s L_M(\Omega)$ if and only if (M, Ω) is Δ -regular.
- If $1 < p < \infty$ and $M_p(t) = t^p$, then $W^s L_{M_p}(\Omega) = W^s E_{M_p}(\Omega) = W^{s,p}(\Omega)$.

Many properties of fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces are obtained by very straightforward generalization of the proofs of the same properties for ordinary fractional Sobolev spaces and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

Theorem 3.1. Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , and let $s \in (0, 1)$. The space $W^s L_M(\Omega)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm (6), and a reflexive (resp. separable) space if and only if (M, Ω) is Δ -regular (resp. (M, Ω) and (\bar{M}, Ω) are Δ -regular).

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence for the norm $\|.\|_{s,M}$. In particular, $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_M(\Omega)$. It converges to a function $u \in L_M(\Omega)$. Moreover, the sequence $\{v_n\}$ defined as,

$$v_n(x, y) = \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)},$$

is a Cauchy sequence in $L_M(\Omega \times \Omega)$. It therefore also converges to an element of $L_M(\Omega \times \Omega)$. Let us extract a subsequence $\{u_{\sigma(n)}\}$ of $\{u_n\}$ that converges almost everywhere to u . We note that $v_{\sigma(n)}(x, y)$ converges, for almost every pair (x, y) , to

$$v(x, y) = \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)}.$$

Applying Fatou's lemma, we obtain, for some λ (note that λ exists since $\{u_{\sigma(n)}\} \subset W^s L_M(\Omega)$),

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M \left(\frac{\lambda(u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) dx dy \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M \left(\frac{\lambda(u_{\varphi(n)}(x) - u_{\varphi(n)}(y))}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) dx dy < \infty.$$

Hence $u \in W^s L_M(\Omega)$.

On the other hand, since $\{v_n\}$ converges in $L_M(\Omega \times \Omega)$, then by dominated convergence theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{v_{\sigma(n)}\}$ and a function h in $L_M(\Omega \times \Omega)$ such that

$$|v_{\sigma(n)}(x, y)| \leq |h(x, y)| \text{ for almost every pair } (x, y),$$

and we have

$$v_{\sigma(n)}(x, y) \rightarrow v(x, y) \text{ for almost every pair } (x, y),$$

this implies by dominated convergence theorem that,

$$[u_n - u]_{s, M} \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W^s L_M(\Omega)$.

To establish the reflexivity and separation of the fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, we define the operator $T : W^s L_M(\Omega) \rightarrow L_M(\Omega) \times L_M(\Omega \times \Omega)$ by

$$T(u) = \left(u(x), \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right).$$

Clearly, T is an isometry. Since $L_M(\Omega)$ is a reflexive and separable space, then $W^s L_M(\Omega)$ is also a reflexive and separable space. □

We are now a position to construct the Orlicz norm corresponding to the fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, and show that it is equivalent to the Luxemburg norm. The Orlicz norm in Orlicz space is defined by,

$$\|u\|_{(M)} = \sup_{\rho(v, \overline{M}) \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x)dx \right|,$$

where

$$\rho(v, \overline{M}) = \int_{\Omega} \overline{M}(v(x))dx.$$

By [26], the expression $\|u\|_{(M)}$ is a norm in $L_M(\Omega)$ which is equivalent to $\|u\|_M$.

Proposition 3.1. *We pose*

$$\|u\|_{(s, M)} = \|u\|_{(M)} + [u]_{(s, M)}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$[u]_{(s, M)} = \sup_{\tilde{\rho}(v, \overline{M}) \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} v(x, y) dx dy \right|, \quad (10)$$

with

$$\tilde{\rho}(v, \overline{M}) = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \overline{M}(v(x, y)) dx dy.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_{(s, M)}$ is a norm in $W^s L_M(\Omega)$ which is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{s, M}$.

Proof. Clearly $[\cdot]_{(s, M)}$ is a seminorm, then $\|\cdot\|_{(s, M)}$ is a norm.

Let $u \in W^s L_M(\Omega)$. By Young's inequality we have,

$$\begin{aligned} [u]_{(s, M)} &= \sup_{\tilde{\rho}(v, \overline{M}) \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} v(x, y) dx dy \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\tilde{\rho}(v, \overline{M}) \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left[M \left(\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) + \overline{M}(v(x, y)) \right] dx dy \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\tilde{\rho}(v, \overline{M}) \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M \left(\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) dx dy + \sup_{\tilde{\rho}(v, \overline{M}) \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \overline{M}(v(x, y)) dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M \left(\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) dx dy + 1 \\ &:= \phi_{s, M}(u) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get,

$$\left[\frac{u}{[u]_{s, M}} \right]_{(s, M)} \leq \phi_{s, M} \left(\frac{u}{[u]_{s, M}} \right) + 1 \leq 2, \quad (11)$$

this implies that,

$$[u]_{(s, M)} \leq 2[u]_{s, M}.$$

On the other hand, we pose

$$\varphi(x, y) = \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \in L_M(\Omega \times \Omega).$$

Then, we have,

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M \left(\frac{\varphi(x, y)}{\|\varphi(x, y)\|_{(M)}} \right) dx dy \leq 1,$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(x, y)\|_{(M)} &= \sup_{\rho(v, \overline{M}) \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, y) v(x, y) dx dy \right| \\ &= \sup_{\tilde{\rho}(v, \overline{M}) \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} v(x, y) dx dy \right| \\ &= [u]_{(s, M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\phi_{s, M} \left(\frac{u}{[u]_{s, M}} \right) = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M \left(\frac{\varphi(x, y)}{\|\varphi(x, y)\|_{(M)}} \right) dx dy \leq 1, \quad (12)$$

this implies that $[u]_{s, M} \leq [u]_{(s, M)}$.

□

3.2. Approximation theorem and generalized Poincaré inequality. As in the classic case with s being an integer, any function in the fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces can be approximated by a sequence of smooth functions with compact support.

Theorem 3.2. $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $W^s E_M(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. Let $u \in W^s E_M(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then by theorem 2.2, $u_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_\varepsilon - u\|_{(M)} = 0. \quad (13)$$

So just show that,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} [u_\varepsilon - u]_{(s,M)} = 0.$$

Indeed, by Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} [u_\varepsilon - u]_{(s,M)} &= \sup_{\rho(v, \overline{M}) \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u_\varepsilon(x) - u(x)) - (u_\varepsilon(y) - u(y))}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} v(x, y) dx dy \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\rho(v, \overline{M}) \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J(z) dz \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{(u(x - \varepsilon z) - u(y - \varepsilon z)) - (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right| |v(x, y)| dx dy \\ &\leq \sup_{\rho(v, \overline{M}) \leq 1} 2 \|v\|_{\overline{M}} \int_{|z| < 1} J(z) \left\| \frac{(u(x - \varepsilon z) - u(y - \varepsilon z)) - (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right\|_M dz, \end{aligned}$$

this implies that

$$[u_\varepsilon - u]_{(s,M)} \leq 2 \int_{|z| < 1} J(z) \left\| \frac{(u(x - \varepsilon z) - u(y - \varepsilon z)) - (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right\|_M dz.$$

On the other hand, since $w(x, y) := \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \in E_M(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N)$, then given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $g(x, y) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|w - g\|_M \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$. That is,

$$\|w(x - \varepsilon z, y - \varepsilon z) - g(x - \varepsilon z, y - \varepsilon z)\|_M \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6},$$

and for sufficiently small ε ,

$$\|g(x - \varepsilon z, y - \varepsilon z) - g(x, y)\|_M \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6},$$

for every z with $|z| \leq 1$. Thus $[u_\varepsilon - u]_{(s,M)} \leq \varepsilon$. □

Let $W_0^s L_M(\Omega)$ denote the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{s,M}$ defined in (6). The space $W_0^s E_M(\Omega)$ is defined in analogous fashion.

Note that, in view of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$W_0^s E_M(\mathbb{R}^N) = W^s E_M(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Theorem 3.3. (Generalized Poincaré inequality). Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , and let $s \in (0, 1)$. Let M be an N -function. Then there exists a positive constant μ such that,

$$\|u\|_M \leq \mu [u]_{s,M}, \quad \forall u \in W_0^s L_M(\Omega).$$

Therefore, if Ω is bounded and M be an N-function, then $[\cdot]_{s,M}$ is a norm of $W_0^s L_M(\Omega)$ equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{s,M}$.

Proof. Since $W_0^s L_M(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^s L_M(\Omega)$, then it is enough to prove that there exists a positive constant μ such that,

$$\|u\|_M \leq \mu[u]_{s,M}, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Indeed, let $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$, i.e, the ball of radius R in the complement of Ω . Then for all $x \in \Omega$, $y \in B_R$ and all $\lambda > 0$ we have,

$$M\left(\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right) = M\left(\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{\lambda|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)} |x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)\right),$$

this implies that,

$$M\left(\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right) \leq M\left(\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{\lambda|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)} \text{diam}(\Omega \cup B_R)^s \text{diam}(M^{-1}(|\Omega \cup B_R|^N))\right),$$

we suppose $\alpha = \text{diam}(\Omega \cup B_R)^s \text{diam}(M^{-1}(|\Omega \cup B_R|^N))$, we get

$$M\left(\frac{u(x)}{\alpha\lambda}\right) \leq M\left(\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{\lambda|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right),$$

therefore

$$|B_R| M\left(\frac{u(x)}{\alpha\lambda}\right) \leq \int_{B_R} M\left(\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{\lambda|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right) dy,$$

then

$$\int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{u(x)}{\alpha\lambda}\right) dx \leq \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{\Omega} \int_{B_R} M\left(\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{\lambda|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right) dx dy,$$

so,

$$\|u\|_M \leq \mu[u]_{s,M} \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

where $\mu = \frac{\alpha}{|B_R|}$. By passing to the limit, the desired result is obtained. \square

3.3. Some embeddings results. The embeddings results obtained in the fractional Sobolev space $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ can also be formulated for the fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

Let M be a given N-function, satisfying the following conditions :

$$\int_0^1 \frac{M^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau^{\frac{N+s}{N}}} d\tau < \infty, \quad (14)$$

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{M^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau^{\frac{N+s}{N}}} d\tau = \infty. \quad (15)$$

For instance if $M(t) = \frac{1}{p}t^p$, then (14) holds precisely when $sp < N$.

If (15) is satisfied, we define the inverse Sobolev conjugate N-function of M as follows,

$$M_*^{-1}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{M^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau^{\frac{N+s}{N}}} d\tau. \quad (16)$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be an N-function, and $s \in (0, 1)$. Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with $C^{0,1}$ -regularity and bounded boundary. If (14) and (15) hold, then*

$$W^s L_M(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{M_*}(\Omega). \quad (17)$$

The proof will be carried out in a several lemmas. The first of these establishes an estimate for the Sobolev conjugate N-function M_* , defined by (16).

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be an N-function satisfying (14) and (15), and suppose that, for some p such that $1 \leq p < N$, the function B defined by $B(t) = M(t^{\frac{1}{p}})$ is an N-function. Let M_* be defined by (16). Then the following conclusions may be drawn.*

- (1) $[M_*(t)]^{\frac{N-s}{N}}$ is an N-function, in particular, M_* is an N-function.
- (2) For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $K_\epsilon > 0$ such that for every t ,

$$[M_*(t)]^{\frac{N-s}{N}} \leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon} M_*(t) + \frac{K_\epsilon}{\epsilon} t. \quad (18)$$

Proof of lemma 3.1. (1) Let $Q(t) = [M_*(t)]^{\frac{N-s}{N}}$, Noting that $B^{-1}(t) = [M^{-1}(t)]^p$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (Q^{-1})'(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} M_*^{-1}(t^{\frac{N}{N-s}}) \\ &= \frac{N}{N-s} t^{\frac{N}{N-s}-1} \frac{M_*^{-1}(t^{\frac{N}{N-s}})}{[t^{\frac{N}{N-s}}]^{\frac{N+s}{N}}} \\ &= \frac{N}{N-s} \frac{M_*^{-1}(t^{\frac{N}{N-s}})}{t^{1+\frac{s}{N-s}}} \\ &= \frac{N}{N-s} \left[\frac{B^{-1}(t^{\frac{N}{N-s}})}{t^{\frac{N}{N-s}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} t^{-\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = 1 + \frac{s}{N-s} - \frac{N}{N-s} \frac{1}{p} = \frac{N(p-1)}{(N-s)p} \geq 0$. Being the inverse of an N-function, B^{-1} satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{B^{-1}(t)}{t} = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B^{-1}(t)}{t} = 0,$$

and for $0 < r < \sigma$ we have, $\frac{B^{-1}(r)}{B^{-1}(\sigma)} > \frac{r}{\sigma}$. Hence, if $0 < t < s$, then we get,

$$\frac{(Q^{-1})'(t)}{(Q^{-1})'(s)} \geq \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{-\mu} > 1.$$

It follows that $(Q^{-1})'$ is positive and decreases monotonically from ∞ to 0 as t increases from 0 to ∞ , so that Q is an N-function.

(2) Let $g(t) = \frac{M_*(t)}{t}$ and $h(t) = \frac{[M_*(t)]^{\frac{N-s}{N}}}{t}$. It readily checked that h is bounded on finite intervals and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(t)}{h(t)} = \infty$, then for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for every $t \geq t_0$, $h(t) \leq \frac{g(t)}{2\epsilon}$. We pose $K_\epsilon = \epsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} h(t)$, then

$$[M_*(t)]^{\frac{N-s}{N}} \leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon} M_*(t) + \frac{K_\epsilon}{\epsilon} t.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , and $0 < s < 1$. Let f satisfies a Lipschitz-condition on \mathbb{R} and $f(0) = 0$, then,*

- (1) For every $u \in W_{loc}^{s,1}(\Omega)$, $g \in W_{loc}^{s,1}(\Omega)$ where $g(x) = f(|u(x)|)$.
- (2) For every $u \in W^s L_M(\Omega)$, $g \in W^s L_M(\Omega)$ where $g(x) = f(|u(x)|)$.

Proof of lemma 3.2. (1) Let K be a compact subset of Ω , follows that $\mathbf{1}_K g \in W^{s,1}(\Omega)$. Since $f(0) = 0$, then we have,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{1}_K(x)g(x)|dx = \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{1}_K(x)(f(u(x)) - f(0))|dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{1}_K(x)u(x)|dx < \infty,$$

where C is the Lipschitz constant of f . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\mathbf{1}_K(x)g(x) - \mathbf{1}_K(y)g(y)}{|x-y|^{N+s}} \right| dx dy &= \int_K \int_K \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy + 2 \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \int_K \frac{|\mathbf{1}_K(x)g(x)|}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \frac{|\mathbf{1}_K(x)g(x) - \mathbf{1}_K(y)g(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where the third term in the right hand-side of (19) is null, and since f satisfies the Lipschitz-condition, then,

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\mathbf{1}_K(x)g(x) - \mathbf{1}_K(y)g(y)}{|x-y|^{N+s}} \right| dx dy \leq C \int_K \int_K \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy + 2 \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \int_K \frac{|g(x)|}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy, \quad (20)$$

where the first term in the right hand-side of (20) is finite since $u \in W_{loc}^{s,1}(\Omega)$ and

$$2 \int_K \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \frac{|g(x)|}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy \leq 2 \int_K |g(x)| dx \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \frac{1}{d(y, \partial K)^{N+s}} dy < \infty.$$

Note that due to the fact that K is a compact subset, then $dis(y, \partial K)^{N+s} > 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus K$ and we have $N + s > N$.

Therefore,

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\mathbf{1}_K(x)g(x) - \mathbf{1}_K(y)g(y)}{|x-y|^{N+s}} \right| dx dy < \infty.$$

(2) Let $u \in W^s L_M(\Omega)$ then there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that,

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{\lambda u(x) - \lambda u(y)}{|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right) dx dy < \infty.$$

Let $C > 0$ denotes the Lipschitz constant of f then, if $|C| \leq 1$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{\lambda g(x) - \lambda g(y)}{|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right) dx dy &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{\lambda f \circ u(x) - \lambda f \circ u(y)}{|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right) dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{|C|(\lambda u(x) - \lambda u(y))}{|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right) dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{\lambda u(x) - \lambda u(y)}{|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right) dx dy < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $|C| > 1$ for $\lambda_1 = \frac{\lambda}{|C|}$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{\lambda_1 g(x) - \lambda_1 g(y)}{|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right) dx dy &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{\lambda_1 f \circ u(x) - \lambda_1 f \circ u(y)}{|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right) dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{|C|(\lambda u(x) - \lambda u(y))}{|C||x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right) dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{\lambda u(x) - \lambda u(y)}{|x-y|^s M^{-1}(|x-y|^N)}\right) dx dy < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

this implies that $g \in W^s L_M(\Omega)$. □

Let M be an N-function, since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{M(t)}{t} = 0$ so there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $M(t) \leq t$ for all $t \leq \alpha$. For this α , we define the function M_1 as,

$$M_1(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{M(\alpha)}{\alpha}t & \text{if } t \leq \alpha, \\ M(t) & \text{if } t > \alpha. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

M_1 is a convex, continuous, nondecreasing, finite valued function which is $M_1(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} M_1(t) = +\infty$. M_1 is called a Young function (cf. [31]).

For a given domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^N , we define the space $L_{M_1}(\Omega)$ as,

$$L_{M_1}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \exists \lambda > 0 / \int_{\Omega} M_1(\lambda u(x)) dx < \infty \right\},$$

this space is equipped with the norm,

$$\|u\|_{M_1} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} M_1\left(\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (22)$$

The Young complement of M_1 is defined for $0 \leq x < \infty$ by

$$\overline{M_1}(t) = \max_{s \geq 0} \{st - M_1(s)\},$$

then we have $st \leq M_1(t) + \overline{M_1}(s)$ for all $s, t \geq 0$ and for all $u, v \in L_{M_1}(\Omega)$ we get the Hölder inequality, i.e.,

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)v(x)| dx \leq 2\|u\|_{M_1}\|v\|_{\overline{M_1}}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let $s \in (0, 1)$. Let M be an N-function and M_1 as defined by (21) then,*

- (1) $L_{M_1}(\Omega) = L_M(\Omega)$.
- (2) The norm $\|\cdot\|_M$ and $\|\cdot\|_{M_1}$ are equivalent.

Proof of lemma 3.3. (1) By definition of the function M_1 we have, $M(t) \leq \beta M_1(t)$ for all $t > 0$, where $\beta = \max\left\{1, \frac{\alpha}{M(\alpha)}\right\}$, then

$$L_{M_1}(\Omega) \subset L_M(\Omega).$$

Let $u \in L_M(\Omega)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} M_1(\lambda u(x)) dx &= \int_{\Omega \cap \{\lambda u(x) \leq \alpha\}} M_1(\lambda u(x)) dx + \int_{\Omega \cap \{\lambda u(x) > \alpha\}} M_1(\lambda u(x)) dx \\ &\leq M(\alpha)|\Omega| + \int_{\Omega} M(\lambda u(x)) dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $L_{M_1}(\Omega) = L_M(\Omega)$.

(2) Let $u \in L_{M_1}(\Omega)$, since $M(t) \leq \beta M_1(t)$ for all $t > 0$, then evidently $\|u\|_M \leq \beta\|u\|_{M_1}$. On the other hand, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} M_1\left(\frac{u(x)}{\|u\|_M}\right) dx &= \int_{\Omega \cap \left\{\frac{u(x)}{\|u\|_M} \leq \alpha\right\}} M_1\left(\frac{u(x)}{\|u\|_M}\right) dx + \int_{\Omega \cap \left\{\frac{u(x)}{\|u\|_M} > \alpha\right\}} M_1\left(\frac{u(x)}{\|u\|_M}\right) dx \\ &\leq M(\alpha)|\Omega| + \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{u(x)}{\|u\|_M}\right) dx < |\Omega| + 1, \end{aligned}$$

so, $\|u\|_{M_1} \leq (M(\alpha)|\Omega| + 1)\|u\|_M$.

□

Remark 3.2. Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let $s \in (0, 1)$. Let M_1 as defined by (21), then we define the space $W^s L_{M_1}(\Omega)$ by,

$$W^s L_{M_1}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L_{M_1}(\Omega) : \exists \lambda > 0 / \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M_1 \left(\frac{\lambda(u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^s M_1^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) dx dy < \infty \right\}. \quad (23)$$

which equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{s, M_1} = \|u\|_{M_1} + [u]_{s, M_1}$$

where,

$$[u]_{s, M_1} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M_1 \left(\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{\lambda |x - y|^s M_1^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) dx dy \leq 1 \right\}.$$

If Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , then by lemma 3.3, we have $W^s L_{M_1}(\Omega) = W^s L_M(\Omega)$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{s, M}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{s, M_1}$ are equivalent.

Lemma 3.4. Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let $s \in (0, 1)$. Let M_1 as defined by (21), then the space $W^s L_{M_1}(\Omega)$ continuously embedded in $W^{s,1}(\Omega)$. Therefore $W^s L_M(\Omega)$ continuously embedded in $W^{s,1}(\Omega)$.

Proof of lemma 3.4. Let $u \in W^s L_{M_1}(\Omega)$, we have by Hölder inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)| dx \leq 2 \|u\|_{M_1} \|1\|_{\overline{M_1}}. \quad (24)$$

On the other hand, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{s+N}} dx dy &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap \{|x-y| \leq \alpha\}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{s+N}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap \{|x-y| > \alpha\}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{s+N}} dx dy \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of M_1 and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap \{|x-y| \leq \alpha\}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{s+N}} dx dy = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap \{|x-y| \leq \alpha\}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s M_1^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} dx dy \\ &\leq 2 [u]_{s, M_1} \|1\|_{\overline{M_1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap \{|x-y| > \alpha\}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{s+N}} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap \{|x-y| > \alpha\}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s M_1^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \frac{M_1^{-1}(|x - y|^N)}{|x - y|^N} dx dy \\ &\leq \sup_{\Omega \times \Omega \cap \{|x-y| > \alpha\}} \frac{M_1^{-1}(|x - y|^N)}{|x - y|^N} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap \{|x-y| > \alpha\}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^s M_1^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

since $M_1^{-1}(t)$ is continuous for all $t > \alpha$ and Ω is bounded so,

$$\sup_{\Omega \times \Omega \cap \{|x-y| > \alpha\}} \frac{M_1^{-1}(|x - y|^N)}{|x - y|^N} = C' < \infty.$$

Therefore by Hölder inequality,

$$I_2 \leq C' \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap \{|x-y|>\alpha\}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s M_1^{-1}(|x-y|^N)} dx dy \leq 2C'[u]_{s,M_1} \|1\|_{\overline{M_1}}. \quad (26)$$

Combining (24), (25) and (26) we obtain

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,1}} \leq C \|u\|_{s,M_1},$$

where $C = (2 + 2C') \|1\|_{\overline{M_1}}$. □

Proof of theorem 3.4. Let $\sigma(t) = [M_*(t)]^{\frac{N-s}{N}}$ and $u \in W^s L_M(\Omega)$, we suppose for the moment that u is bounded on Ω and not equal to zero in $L_M(\Omega)$, then $\int_{\Omega} M_*\left(\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right) dx$ decreases continuously from infinity to zero as λ increases from zero to infinity, so that

$$\int_{\Omega} M_*\left(\frac{u(x)}{k}\right) dx = 1, \quad k = \|u\|_{M_*}. \quad (27)$$

Let $f(x) = \sigma\left(\frac{u(x)}{k}\right)$. Evidently by lemma 3.4 $u \in W^{s,1}(\Omega)$, and σ is Lipschitz, so that by lemma 3.2 we have $f \in W^{s,1}(\Omega)$, and since $N > s$, then by theorem 2.3, one has,

$$W^{s,1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{N}{N-s}}(\Omega).$$

So

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-s}}} \leq k_1 (\|f\|_{L^1} + [f]_{s,1}),$$

and by (27),

$$1 = \left(\int_{\Omega} M_*\left(\frac{u(x)}{k}\right) dx \right)^{\frac{N-s}{N}} = \|f\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-s}}},$$

this implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq k_1 (\|f\|_{L^1} + [f]_{s,1}) \\ &= k_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} \sigma\left(\frac{u(x)}{k}\right) dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy \right) \\ &= k_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} \sigma\left(\frac{u(x)}{k}\right) dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\sigma\left(\frac{u(x)}{k}\right) - \sigma\left(\frac{u(y)}{k}\right)|}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy \right) \\ &= k_1 I_1 + k_1 I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

By (18) we have for $\varepsilon = k_1$,

$$k_1 I_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} M_*\left(\frac{u(x)}{k}\right) dx + \frac{k_{\varepsilon}}{k} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)| dx \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k'_{\varepsilon}}{k} \|u\|_M, \quad (29)$$

where $k'_{\varepsilon} = 2k_{\varepsilon} \|1\|_{\overline{M}}$ since Ω has a finite volume.

On the other hand, since σ is Lipschitz, then there exists $C > 0$ such that,

$$k_1 I_2 \leq \frac{C}{k} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy.$$

But by the lemma 3.4, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+s}} dx dy \leq C'[u]_{s,M}, \quad (30)$$

and

$$k_1 I_2 \leq \frac{C}{k} C' [u]_{s,M}. \quad (31)$$

We pose $k_3 = C k_1 C'$. Combining (29)-(31) we obtain

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k'_\varepsilon}{k} \|u\|_M + \frac{k_3}{k} [u]_{s,M},$$

this implies that,

$$\frac{k}{2} \leq k'_\varepsilon \|u\|_M + k_3 [u]_{s,M}.$$

So we obtain,

$$\|u\|_{M_*} \leq k_4 \|u\|_{s,M},$$

where $k_4 = \max\{2k'_\varepsilon, 2k_3\}$.

If $u \in W^s L_M(\Omega)$ arbitrary, we define

$$u_n(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) & \text{if } |u(x)| \leq n, \\ n \operatorname{sgn} u(x) & \text{if } |u(x)| > n. \end{cases}$$

u_n is bounded and by lemma 3.4 it belongs to $W^s L_M(\Omega)$. Moreover

$$\|u_n\|_{M_*} \leq k_4 \|u_n\|_{s,M} \leq k_4 \|u\|_{s,M}.$$

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{M_*} = k$, then $k \leq k_4 \|u\|_{s,M}$. By Fatou's Lemma we get

$$\int_{\Omega} M_*\left(\frac{u(x)}{k}\right) dx \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} M_*\left(\frac{u_n(x)}{\|u_n\|_{M_*}}\right) dx < 1,$$

so $u \in L_{M_*}(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{M_*} \leq k$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and M be an N -function. Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and $C^{0,1}$ -regularity with bounded boundary. If (14) and (15) hold, then*

$$W^s L_M(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_B(\Omega), \quad (32)$$

is compact for all $B \prec\prec M_*$.

Proof. By the lemma 3.4, we have,

$$W^s L_M(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{s,1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega).$$

The latter embedding being compact by theorem 2.4. A bounded subset S of $W^s L_M(\Omega)$ is also a bounded subset of $L_{M_*}(\Omega)$ and precompact in $L^1(\Omega)$, hence by theorem 2.1 it is precompact in $L_B(\Omega)$. □

3.4. Application. In this final subsection, we define the fractional M-Laplacian operator, and we establish the existence of a unique solution for the variational problem related to this operator by the Minty Browder theorem.

In the rest of this subsection we assume that (M, Ω) is Δ -regular.

Definition 3.3. Let M be an N -function and $0 < s < 1$, we define the fractional M -Laplacian operator as,

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)_m^s u(x) &= 2P.V \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} M' \left(\frac{(u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \\ &= 2P.V \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m \left(\frac{(u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \frac{dy}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \end{aligned}$$

where $P.V$ is the principal value and $M' = m$.

In the case $M(t) = \frac{|t|^p}{p}$, we have

$$(-\Delta)_m^s u(x) = (-\Delta)_p^s u(x) = 2PV \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dy.$$

Lemma 3.5. If $u \in W^s L_M(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $(-\Delta)_m^s u(x) \in (W^s L_M(\mathbb{R}^N))^*$, and

$$\langle (-\Delta)_m^s u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(h_{x,y}(u)) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} h_{x,y}(v) dx dy,$$

for all $v \in W^s L_M(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $h_{x,y}(u) := \frac{(u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)}$.

Proof. Evidently

$$\langle (-\Delta)_m^s u, v \rangle = P.V \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(h_{x,y}(u)) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} h_{x,y}(v) dx dy.$$

By Young inequality and (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| m(h_{x,y}(u)) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} h_{x,y}(v) \right| &\leq |m(h_{x,y}(u)) h_{x,y}(v)| \\ &\leq \overline{M}(m(h_{x,y}(u))) + M(h_{x,y}(v)) \\ &\leq (p-1)M(h_{x,y}(u)) + M(h_{x,y}(v)) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\langle (-\Delta)_m^s u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} m(h_{x,y}(u)) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} h_{x,y}(v) dx dy. \quad \square$$

Given a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, we establish the existence of unique weak solution for the following Dirichlet type equation,

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_m^s u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

We shall work in the closed linear subspace

$$\widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega) = \{u \in W^s L_M(\Omega) : u = 0 \text{ a.e in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega\},$$

equivalently renormed by setting $[\cdot]_{s,M}$. which is a reflexive separable Banach space.

Definition 3.4. We say that $u \in \widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (33) if

$$\langle (-\Delta)_m^s u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f v dx \quad (34)$$

for all $v \in \widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and $f \in (\widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega))^*$, then the problem (33) has a unique solution $u \in \widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega)$.*

Proof. We need to show that $(-\Delta)_m^s$ satisfies the conditions of Minty Browder theorem (cf. [6]).

Step 1. $(-\Delta)_m^s$ is bounded and continuous. Indeed, by lemma 3.5 and Hölder inequality we have for all $u \in \widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega)$

$$\|(-\Delta)_m^s u\|_{(\widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega))^*} = \sup_{\|v\|_{s,M} \leq 1} \langle (-\Delta)_m^s u, v \rangle \leq 2 \|m(h_{x,y}(u))\|_{\overline{M}}$$

therefore $\|(-\Delta)_m^s u\|_{(\widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega))^*}$ is bounded once $\|u\|_{s,M}$ is bounded.

Let $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^s L_M(\Omega)$ we show that $(-\Delta)_m^s u_n \rightarrow (-\Delta)_m^s u$ in $(\widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega))^*$. Indeed Hölder inequality

$$\|(-\Delta)_m^s u_n - (-\Delta)_m^s u\|_{(\widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega))^*} \leq \|m(h_{x,y}(u_n)) \frac{u_n(x) - u_n(y)}{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|} - m(h_{x,y}(u)) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|}\|_{\overline{M}},$$

On the other hand, since $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_M(\Omega)$, by dominated convergence theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}$ and a function h in $L_M(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ and $|u_{n_k}(x)| \leq |h(x)|$ for all k , a.e. on Ω . This implies that

$$|m(h_{x,y}(u_{n_k})) \frac{u_{n_k}(x) - u_{n_k}(y)}{|u_{n_k}(x) - u_{n_k}(y)|}| \leq |m(h_{x,y}(h))| \in L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega) \text{ a.e in } \Omega \times \Omega,$$

and

$$m(h_{x,y}(u_{n_k})) \frac{u_{n_k}(x) - u_{n_k}(y)}{|u_{n_k}(x) - u_{n_k}(y)|} \rightarrow m(h_{x,y}(h)) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|} \text{ a.e in } \Omega \times \Omega,$$

then by dominated convergence theorem we obtain the desired result.

Step 2. $(-\Delta)_m^s$ is strictly monotonous. Since m is increasing, then $f(u) := m(u) \frac{u}{|u|}$ is also increasing, then for all $u, v \in \widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega)$ such that $u \neq v$, we have

$$\langle (-\Delta)_m^s u - (-\Delta)_m^s v, u - v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} [m(h_{x,y}(u)) k_{x,y}(u) - m(h_{x,y}(v)) k_{x,y}(v)] (h_{x,y}(u) - h_{x,y}(v)) > 0,$$

$$\text{where } k_{x,y}(u) := \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|u(x) - u(y)|}.$$

Step 3. $(-\Delta)_m^s$ is coercive. Indeed, let $\beta \in (1, [u]_{s,M})$, By lemma C.3(ii) in [16] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M \left(\frac{\lambda u(x) - \lambda u(y)}{|x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) dx dy \\ &\geq \beta^{p_0} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} M \left(\frac{\lambda u(x) - \lambda u(y)}{\beta |x - y|^s M^{-1}(|x - y|^N)} \right) dx dy \geq \beta^{p_0}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $\langle \phi'(u), v \rangle = \langle (-\Delta)_m^s u, v \rangle$ and since M is convex, it follows that ϕ is also convex. Thus, we have

$$\phi(u) \leq \langle \phi'(u), u \rangle \text{ for all } u \in W_0^s L_M(\Omega),$$

it is clear that for any $u \in \widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega)$ with $[u]_{s,M} > 1$ we have

$$\frac{\langle (-\Delta)_m^s u, v \rangle}{\|u\|_{s,M}} = \frac{\langle \phi'(u), u \rangle}{\|u\|_{s,M}} \geq \frac{\phi(u)}{\|u\|_{s,M}} \geq \frac{[u]_{s,M}^{p_0}}{\|u\|_{s,M}} \geq C \|u\|_{s,M}^{p_0-1},$$

where C it is the constant of the Poincaré-inequality. Thus,

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{s,M} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle (-\Delta)_m^s u, v \rangle}{\|u\|_{s,M}} = \infty,$$

i.e. $(-\Delta)_m^s$ is coercive.

Hence, in light of Minty-Browder theorem then there exists a unique solution $u \in \widetilde{W}_0^s L_M(\Omega)$ of the problem (33). □

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] G. Alberti, G. Bouchitte and P. Seppecher, Phase transition with the line-tension effect, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 144 (1998), no. 1, 1-46.
- [3] E. Azroul, A. Benkirane, Tienari, M. On the regularity of solutions to the Poisson equation in Orlicz-spaces. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 7 (2000), no. 1, 1-12
- [4] E. Azroul, A. Benkirane, On a necessary condition in the calculus of variations in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Math. Slovaca 51 (2001), no. 1, 93-105.
- [5] P. W. Bates, On some nonlocal evolution equations arising in materials science. In Nonlinear dynamics and evolution equations, Vol. 48 of Fields Inst. Commun., pages 13-52. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [6] H. Brezis, Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations, Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [7] P. Biler, G. Karch and R. Monneau, Nonlinear diffusion of dislocation density and self-similar solutions, Comm. Math. Phys. 294 (2010), no. 1, 145-168.
- [8] P. Biler, G. Karch and W. A. Woyczynski, Critical nonlinearity exponent and self-similar asymptotics for Lévy conservation laws, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 18 (2001), no. 5, 613-637.
- [9] J. F. Bonder and A. M. Salort, Fractional order Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, arXiv:1707.03267v1 [math.AP] 11jul 2017
- [10] X. Cabre and J. Sola-Morales, Layer solutions in a half-space for boundary reactions, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), no. 12, 1678-1732.
- [11] L. Caffarelli, A. Mellet and Y. Sire, Traveling waves for a boundary reaction-diffusion equation, preprint.
- [12] L. Caffarelli and E. Valdinoci, Uniform estimates and limiting arguments for nonlocal minimal surfaces, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 41, No. 1-2, 203-240.
- [13] L. Caffarelli and A. Vasseur, Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation Annals of Math. 171 (2010), No. 3, 1903-1930.
- [14] M. Chermisi and E. Valdinoci, Fibered nonlinearities for $p(x)$ - Laplace equations, Adv. Calc. Var. 2(2009), no. 2, 185-205.
- [15] M. Chermisi and E. Valdinoci, A symmetry result for a general class of divergence form PDEs in fibered media. Nonlinear Anal. 73(2010), no. 3, 695-703.
- [16] Ph. Clément, B. de Pagter, G. Sweers, F. de Thelin; Existence of solutions to a semilinear elliptic system through Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, Mediterr. J. Math. 1 (2004), 241-267.
- [17] R. Cont and P. Tankov, Financial modelling with jump processes, Chapman Hall/CRC Financial Mathematics Series, Chapman Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004.
- [18] W. Craig and M. D. Groves, Hamiltonian long-wave approximations to the water-wave problem, Wave Motion 19 (1994), no. 4, 367-389.
- [19] W. Craig and D. P. Nicholls, Travelling two and three dimensional capillary gravity water waves, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 32 (2000), no. 2, 323-359 (electronic).
- [20] F. Demengel and G. Demengel Functional Spaces for the Theory of Elliptic Partial Differential Equations, Springer (2012).
- [21] T.K. Donaldson and N.S. Trudinger, Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and embedding theorems, J. Functional Analysis, 8(1971), 52-75.
- [22] J. J. Duistermaat and V. W. Guillemin, The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics, Invent. Math. 29 (1975), no. 1, 3979.
- [23] Eleonora Di Nezza, Giampiero Palatucci, and Enrico Valdinoci, Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces, Bull. Sci. Math. 136 (2012), no. 5, 521-573. MR 2944369.

- [24] L. Esposito, F. Leonetti and G. Mingione, Sharp regularity for functionals with $(p; q)$ growth, *J. Diff. Eq.* 204 (2004), 5-55.
- [25] C. Fefferman and R. de la Llave, Relativistic stability of matter. I, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 2 (1986), no. 1-2, 119-213.
- [26] M. A. Krasnosel'skii and Ja. B. Rutickii, Convex functions and Orlicz spaces, Translated from the first Russian edition by Leo F. Boron, P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1961. MR 0126722.
- [27] M. Kurzke, A nonlocal singular perturbation problem with periodic well potential, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* 12 (2006), no. 1, 52-63 (electronic).
- [28] E. Milakis and L. Silvestre, Regularity for the nonlinear Signorini problem, *Advances in Math.* 217 (2008), 1301-1312.
- [29] G. Mingione, The singular set of solutions to non-differentiable elliptic systems, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 166 (2003), 287-301.
- [30] G. Mingione, Gradient potential estimates, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 13 (2011), 459486.
- [31] R. O'Neil, Fractional integration in Orlicz spaces. I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 115 (1965), 300-328.
- [32] Rao, M.M., Ren, Z.D.: *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1991).
- [33] L. Silvestre, Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator. Ph.D. Thesis, Austin University, 2005.
- [34] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton Mathematical Series 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [35] G. B. Whitham, *Linear and nonlinear waves*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley and Sons], New York, 1974.

[MOHAMMED SRATI, ELHOSSINE AZROUL AND ABDELMOUJIB BENKIRANE
SIDI MOHAMED BEN ABDELLAH UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCES DHAR AL MAHRAZ, LAB-
ORATORY OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS, FEZ, MOROCCO.

E-mail address: ¹srati93@gmail.com

E-mail address: ²elhossine.azroul@gmail.com

E-mail address: ³abd.benkirane@gmail.com