

# A Note on $I^K$ and $I^{K^*}$ -convergence in topological spaces

Amar Kumar Banerjee<sup>†1</sup> and Mahendranath Paul<sup>†2</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Purba Burdwan–713104, India.

## Abstract

In this paper we have studied some important topological properties and characterization of  $I^K$ -convergence of functions which is a common generalization of  $I^*$ -convergence of functions. We also introduce the idea of  $I^{K^*}$ -convergence and  $I^K$ -limit points of functions.

Keywords :  $I^K$ -convergence,  $I^{K^*}$ -convergence,  $AP(I, K)$ -condition,  $P$ -ideals,  $I^K$ -limit points.

AMS subject classification(2010): Primary: 54A20; Secondary: 40A05; 40A35

## 1 Introduction and Background

The aim of this paper is to study the notion of  $I^K$  and  $I^{K^*}$ -convergence of functions which are the common generalization of various type of  $I$  and  $I^*$ -convergence of functions in some restriction. Let us start with brief discussion on two types of ideal convergence.

The concept of usual convergence of a real sequence has been extended to statistical convergence by H. Fast[11] and then H. Steinhaus[24] in the year 1951. Now we recall natural density of a set  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$  where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers. Let  $K_n$  denote the set  $\{k \in K : k \leq n\}$  and  $|K_n|$  stands for the cardinality of  $K_n$ . The natural density of  $K$  is defined by

$$d(K) = \lim_n \frac{|K_n|}{n}$$

if the limit exists. A real sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be statistically convergent to  $l$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  the set  $K(\epsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - l| \geq \epsilon\}$  has natural density zero[11, 13, 24]. Ordinary convergence always implies statistical convergence[19, 22, 23]. Later it was developed by many authors and after long 50 years, the concept of statistical convergence has been extended to  $I$  and  $I^*$ -convergence which depends on the structure of ideals of subsets of the natural numbers by P.Kostyrko et al[16, 17, 18]. The concept of  $I^*$ -convergence which is closely related to that of  $I$ -convergence and which arises from a particular result on statistical convergence of real sequence was introduced by P.Kostyrko et al. The result is as follows:

A real sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is statistically convergent to  $\xi$  if and only if there exist a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots < m_k < \dots\}$  such that  $d(M) = 1$  and  $\lim_k x_{m_k} = \xi$ . [16, 17]

If  $I$  is an admissible ideal,  $I^*$ -convergence implies  $I$ -convergence. But converse may not be true. Moreover a statistical convergent sequence and  $I$  and  $I^*$ -convergent sequence need not even be bounded[15, 23].  $I$  and  $I^*$ -convergence coincide for every admissible ideal  $I$  if the space is discrete or if  $I$  satisfies  $AP(I, Fin)$ -condition.[9, 16]. B.K.Lahiri and Pratulananda Das in the year 2005, extended the concept of  $I$  and  $I^*$ -convergence in a topological space and they observed that the basic properties are preserved also in a topological space[15]. Later many works on  $I$ -convergence were done in topological spaces[2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8].

In the year 2010, M. Macaj and M. Slezia[20] defined  $I^K$ -convergence and shew that this type of convergence is a common generalization for all types of  $I$  and  $I^*$ -convergence we have mentioned so far. They also gave the condition  $AP(I, K)$  modifying condition  $AP$  from [9, 17]. Later in the year 2014,  $I^K$ -Cauchy and  $I^{K^*}$ -Cauchy net have been studied in [10, 21].

In this paper we have studied further some basic properties of  $I^K$ -convergence of functions in topological

<sup>1</sup>akbanerjee@math.buruniv.ac.in, akbanerjee1971@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>mahendrabktp@gmail.com

spaces which were not studied before. Also we have defined the notion of  $I^{K^*}$ -convergence and have found out the relation between  $I, I^*, K^*, I^{K^*}$  and  $I^K$ -convergence of functions. While studying the convergence of functions, several closely related notions occur quite naturally such as limit points, cluster points etc. In the last section we have introduced  $I^K$ -limit points and examined some important topological properties like characterization of compactness in terms of  $I^K$ -limit points.

## 2 Basic Definition and Notation

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $S$  be a non-void set then a family of sets  $I \subset 2^S$  is said to be an ideal if

$$(i) A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$$

$$(ii) A \in I, B \subset A \Rightarrow B \in I$$

$I$  is called nontrivial ideal if  $S \notin I$  and  $I \neq \{\phi\}$ . In view of condition (ii)  $\phi \in I$  i.e. an ideal is a non-void system of sets  $I$  hereditary with respect to additive and inclusion. If  $I \subsetneq 2^S$  we say that  $I$  is proper ideal on  $S$ . Several examples of non-trivial ideals are seen in [17]. A nontrivial ideal  $I$  is called admissible if it contains all the singleton of  $\mathbb{N}$ . A nontrivial ideal  $I$  is called non-admissible if it is not admissible. An example of an admissible ideal on a set  $S$  is the ideal of all finite subsets of  $S$  which we shall denote by  $\text{Fin}(S)$ . If  $S = \mathbb{N}$  then we write  $\text{Fin}$  instead of  $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$  for short.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $I$  be the class of all  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  with  $d(A) = 0$ . Then  $I$  is an admissible ideal of  $\mathbb{N}$ , since singleton sets has density zero. For any proper subset  $M \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I = 2^M$  is an non-admissible ideal of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Note 2.1.** The dual notion to the ideal is the notion of the filter i.e. a filter on  $S$  is non-void system of subsets of  $S$ , which is closed under finite intersection and super sets. If  $I$  is a non-trivial ideal on  $X$  then  $F = F(I) = \{A \subset X : X \setminus A \in I\}$  is clearly a filter on  $X$  and conversely.  $F(I)$  is called associated filter with respect to ideal  $I$ .

Now we will give the definition of  $I$ -convergence using function instead of sequence.

**Definition 2.2.** [20] Let  $I$  be an ideal on a non-void set  $S$  and  $X$  be a topological space. A function  $f : S \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $I$ -convergent to  $x \in X$ , written as  $I\text{-}\lim f = x$  if

$$f^{-1}(U) = \{s \in S : f(s) \in U\} \in F(I)$$

for every neighborhood  $U$  of the point  $x$ . i.e. if  $f^{-1}(X \setminus U) = \{s \in S : f(s) \notin U\} \in I$  for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ .

If  $S = \mathbb{N}$  we obtain the usual definition of  $I$ -convergence of sequence.

**Definition 2.3.** [20] Let  $I$  be an ideal on a set  $S$  and let  $f : S \rightarrow X$  be a function to a topological space  $X$ . The function  $f$  is called  $I^*$ -convergent to the point  $x$  of  $X$  if there exists a set  $M \in F(I)$  such that the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ x & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $\text{Fin}(S)$ -convergent to  $x$ .

If  $f$  is  $I^*$ -convergent to  $x$ , then we write  $I^*\text{-}\lim f = x$ . The usual notion of  $I^*$ -convergence of sequence is a special case when  $S = \mathbb{N}$ .  $I^K$ -convergence as a common generalization of all types of  $I^*$ -convergence of sequences or functions from  $S$  into  $X$ . Here we will work with functions from a non-void arbitrary set  $S$  to a topological space  $X$ . One of the reasons is that using functions sometimes helps to simplify notation.

**Definition 2.4.** [20] Let  $K$  and  $I$  be an ideal on a non-void set  $S$ ,  $X$  be a topological space and let  $x$  be an element of  $X$ . A function  $f : S \rightarrow X$  is called  $I^K$ -convergent to the point  $x$  if there exists a set  $M \in F(I)$  such that the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  given by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ x & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $K$ -convergent to  $x$ .

If  $f$  is  $I^K$ -convergent to  $x$ , then we write  $I^K\text{-lim } f = x$ . As usual, notion of  $I^K$ -convergence of sequence is a special case for  $S = \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly as for  $I$ -convergence of sequences. We write  $I^K\text{-lim } x_n = x$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [20] If  $I$  and  $K$  are ideals on a set  $S$  and  $f : S \rightarrow X$  is a function such that  $K\text{-lim } f = x$ , then  $I^K\text{-lim } f = x$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** [20] Let  $I, K$  be ideals on a set  $S$ ,  $X$  be a topological space and let  $f$  be a function from  $S$  to  $X$  then  $I^K\text{-lim } f = x \Rightarrow I\text{-lim } f = x$  if and only if  $K \subset I$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** [20] Let  $I, I_1, I_2, K, K_1$  and  $K_2$  be ideals on a set  $S$  such that  $I_1 \subset I_2$  and  $K_1 \subset K_2$  and let  $X$  be a topological space. Then for any function  $f : S \rightarrow X$ , we have  $I_1^K\text{-lim } f = x \Rightarrow I_2^K\text{-lim } f = x$  and  $I^{K_1}\text{-lim } f = x \Rightarrow I^{K_2}\text{-lim } f = x$ .

### 3 Basic Properties of $I^K$ -Convergence in Topological Spaces

Throughout the paper  $X$  stands for a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and  $I, K$  are non-trivial ideals of a non empty set  $S$  unless otherwise stated. First we introduce a construction regarding double ideal. For any two ideals  $I, K$  on a non-void set  $S$  we have the ideal

$$I \vee K = \{A \cup B : A \in I, B \in K\}$$

which is the smallest ideal containing both  $I$  and  $K$  on  $S$  i.e.  $I, K \subseteq I \vee K$ . It is clear that if  $I \vee K$  is non-trivial and  $I$  and  $K$  are both proper subset of  $I \vee K$  then  $I$  and  $K$  both are non-trivial. But converse part may not be true. To support this following examples are given.

**Example 3.1.** Consider the two sets  $N_1 = \{4n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $N_2 = \{4n - 1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  now it is clear that  $2^{N_1}$ ,  $2^{N_2}$  and  $2^{N_1} \vee 2^{N_2}$  all are non-trivial ideal on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 3.2.** Now let  $N_1$  be set of all odd integers and  $N_2$  be set of all even integers. Then it is clear that  $I = 2^{N_1}$ ,  $K = 2^{N_2}$  both are non-trivial ideals on  $\mathbb{N}$  but  $I \vee K$  is a trivial ideal on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

If  $I \vee K$  is a non-trivial on  $X$  then the dual filter is  $F(I \vee K) = \{G \cap H : G \in F(I), H \in F(K)\}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $I \vee K$  is non-trivial on set  $S$ . If  $X$  is Hausdorff and a function  $f : S \rightarrow X$  is  $I^K$ -convergent then  $f$  has a unique  $I^K$ -limit.

*Proof.* If possible let us consider that the function  $f$  has two distinct  $I^K$ -limits say  $x$  and  $y$ . Since  $X$  is Hausdorff then there exists  $U, V \in \tau$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \phi$ . Since  $f$  has  $I^K$ -limit  $x$ , so from the definition of  $I^K$ -limit, there exists a set  $A_1 \in F(I)$  such that the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  given by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in A_1 \\ x & \text{if } s \notin A_1 \end{cases}$$

is  $K$ -convergent to  $x$ . So,  $g^{-1}(U) = \{s \in S : g(s) \in U\} = \{s \in A_1 : g(s) \in U\} \cup \{s \in S \setminus A_1 : g(s) \in U\} = (S \setminus A_1) \cup f^{-1}(U) = S \setminus (A_1 \setminus f^{-1}(U)) \in F(K)$  i.e.  $A_1 \setminus f^{-1}(U) \in K$  or  $A_1 \setminus B_1 \in K$

where  $B_1 = f^{-1}(U)$ . Similarly,  $f$  has  $I^K$ -limit  $y$  so there exists a set  $A_2 \in F(I)$  s.t.  $A_2 \setminus f^{-1}(V) \in K$  or  $A_2 \setminus B_2 \in K$  where  $B_2 = f^{-1}(V)$ . So,

$$(A_1 \setminus B_1) \cup (A_2 \setminus B_2) \in K \quad (3.1)$$

Now let  $x \in (A_1 \cap A_2) \cap (B_1 \cap B_2)^c = (A_1 \cap A_2) \cap (B_1^c \cup B_2^c) = ((A_1 \cap A_2) \cap B_1^c) \cup ((A_1 \cap A_2) \cap B_2^c)$  i.e. either  $x \in (A_1 \cap A_2) \cap B_1^c \subset A_1 \cap B_1^c$  or  $x \in ((A_1 \cap A_2) \cap B_2^c) \subset A_2 \cap B_2^c$  i.e.  $x \in (A_1 \cap B_1^c) \cup (A_2 \cap B_2^c)$ . So,  $(A_1 \cap A_2) \cap (B_1 \cap B_2)^c \subset (A_1 \cap B_1^c) \cup (A_2 \cap B_2^c) \in K$  (from the equation (3.1)). Thus  $(A_1 \cap A_2) \cap (B_1 \cap B_2)^c \in K$  i.e.  $(A_1 \cap A_2) \setminus (f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)) \in K$  i.e.  $(A_1 \cup A_2) \setminus (f^{-1}(U \cup V)) \in K$ . Since  $U \cap V = \phi$ , then  $f^{-1}(U \cap V) = \phi$  so  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in K$  i.e.

$$S \setminus (A_1 \cap A_2) \in F(K) \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $A_1, A_2 \in F(I)$ ,

$$A_1 \cap A_2 \in F(I) \quad (3.3)$$

Since  $I \vee K$  is non-trivial so the dual filter is  $F(I \vee K) = \{G \cap H : G \in F(I), H \in F(K)\}$ . Now using this from 3.2 and 3.3 we get  $\phi \in F(I \vee K)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence the  $I^K$ -limit is unique.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $I$  and  $K$  be two admissible ideal and if there exists an injective function  $f : S \rightarrow E \subset X$  which is  $I^K$ -convergent to  $x_0 \in X$  then  $x_0$  is a limit point of  $E$*

*Proof.* The function  $f$  has  $I^K$ -limit  $x_0$ , so  $I^K$ -limit there exists a set  $M \in F(I)$  such that the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  given by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ x_0 & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $K$ -convergent to  $x_0$ . Let  $U$  be an arbitrary open set containing  $x_0$ . Then  $g^{-1}(U) = \{s : g(s) \in U\} \in F(K)$ . So  $\{s : g(s) \in U\} \notin K$  i.e.  $\{s : g(s) \in U\}$  is an infinite set, as  $K$  is an admissible ideal. Choose  $k_0 \in \{s : g(s) \in U\}$  such that  $g(k_0) \neq x_0$  then  $g(k_0) \in U \cap (E \setminus \{x_0\})$ . Thus  $x_0$  is a limit point of  $E$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *A Continuous function  $h : X \rightarrow X$  preserves  $I^K$ -convergence.*

*Proof.* Let the function  $f$  has  $I^K$ -limit  $x$ , so there exists a set  $M \subset S \in F(I)$  such that the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  given by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ x & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $K$ -convergent to  $x$ . Let  $U$  be an arbitrary open set containing  $x$ . Then  $g^{-1}(U) = S \setminus (M \setminus f^{-1}(U)) \in F(K)$  i.e.  $M \setminus f^{-1}(U) \in K$ . So to prove the theorem we have to show that  $I^K\text{-lim } h(f(x)) = h(x)$  i.e. it suffices to show that the function  $g_1 : S \rightarrow X$  given by

$$g_1(s) = \begin{cases} (h \circ f)(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ h(x) & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $K$ -convergent to  $h(x)$ . Let  $V$  be an open set containing  $h(x)$ . Since  $h$  is continuous so there exists an open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $h(U) \subset V$ . Clearly  $\{x : h(f(x)) \notin V\} \subset \{x : f(x) \notin U\}$  which implies that  $\{x : f(x) \in U\} \subset \{x : h \circ f(x) \in V\}$  i.e.  $f^{-1}(U) \subset (h \circ f)^{-1}(V)$ . So  $M \setminus (h \circ f)^{-1}(V) \subset M \setminus f^{-1}(U)$ . Then  $M \setminus (h \circ f)^{-1}(V) \in K$  as  $M \setminus f^{-1}(U) \in K$ . So its complement  $g_1^{-1}(V) \in F(K)$ , as required. Hence  $I^K\text{-lim}(h \circ f)(x) = h(x)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $X$  is a discrete space then  $I$ -convergence implies  $I^K$ -convergence, where  $I$  and  $K$  are two admissible ideals.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : S \rightarrow X$  be a function such that  $I\text{-lim } f = x_0$ . Since  $X$  is a discrete space so it has no limit point then  $U = \{x_0\}$  is open. Thus we have  $f^{-1}(X \setminus U) = \{s \in S : f(s) \notin U\} \in I$ . Let the set  $M = f^{-1}(U) =$

$\{s \in S : f(s) \in U\} \in F(I)$ . Thus there exists a set  $M = \{s : f(s) \in U\} = \{s : f(s) = x_0\} \in F(I)$  such that the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ x_0 & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $K$ -convergent to  $x_0$ , since for any open set  $U$  containing  $x_0$  the set  $g^{-1}(U) = S \in F(K)$ . Hence  $I^K$ - $\lim f = x_0$   $\square$

**Note 3.1.** Converse of above theorem may not be true. Let  $I$  and  $K$  be two ideals on a set  $S$ . Consider a set  $A \in K \setminus I$ . Let  $y_0 \in X \setminus \{x_0\}$  be a fixed element and define a function  $f : S \rightarrow X$  by

$$f(s) = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{if } s \in S \setminus A \\ y_0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now if  $V$  is any open set containing  $x_0$  then  $f^{-1}(V) = S \setminus A$  if  $y_0 \notin V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) = S$  if  $y_0 \in V$ . So in both case  $f^{-1}(V) \in F(K)$ . Hence  $K$ - $\lim f = x_0$  then by lemma (2.1) we get  $I^K$ - $\lim f = x_0$ . But  $U = \{x_0\}$  is an open set containing  $x_0$  since  $X$  is a discrete space and  $f^{-1}(X \setminus U) = A \notin I$ . Hence  $f$  is not  $I$ -convergent to  $x_0$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $f : S \rightarrow X$  be a function, where  $S$  is a non-empty set, such that  $x \in X$  is an  $I^K$ -limit of the function  $f$ , for some non-trivial ideals  $I$  and  $K$  of  $S$ . Then there exists a filter  $F$  on  $X$  such that  $x$  is also a limit of the filter  $F$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I$  &  $K$  be two non-trivial ideals on non-empty set  $S$ . Also let  $x$  is  $I^K$ -limit of the function  $f : S \rightarrow X$ . Then from the definition of  $I^K$ -convergence then there exists a set  $M_1 \in F(I)$  such that the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  given by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M_1 \\ x & \text{if } s \notin M_1 \end{cases}$$

is  $K$ -convergent to  $x$ . So for every open set  $U$  containing  $x$ , the set

$$M = g^{-1}(U) = \{s \in S : g(s) \in U\} \in F(K) \quad (3.4)$$

Let us construct for each  $M \in F(K)$  the set  $A_M = \{g(n) : n \in M\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{A_M : M \in F(K)\}$ . Then the family  $\mathcal{B}$  forms a filter base on  $X$ . In fact, (i) We observe that each  $A_M$  is non-empty. Since  $M$  is non-empty so  $\mathcal{B}$  is non-empty. (ii) Since  $F(K)$  is filter,  $\phi \notin F(K)$  and so  $A_M \neq \phi$  for all  $M \in F(K)$  and  $\phi \notin \mathcal{B}$ . (iii) Let us take any two members  $A_M, A_R \in \mathcal{B}$  where  $M, R \in F(K)$ .  $M \cap R \in F(K)$  since  $F(K)$  is filter on  $S$ . So  $A_{M \cap R} \in \mathcal{B}$ . Also  $A_{M \cap R} \subset A_M \cap A_R$ . So  $\mathcal{B}$  is a filter base. Let  $F$  be the filter generated by this filter base. Now we will show that  $x$  be the limit of filter  $F$ . Let  $V$  be any open set of  $x$ . Then from (3.4) the set  $M = \{s \in S : g(s) \in V\} \in F(K)$ . So by our construction of  $A_M$ , we get  $A_M = \{g(n) : n \in M\} \subset V$ . Since  $A_M \in \mathcal{B}$  we get  $V \in F$ . So we conclude that  $V \in F$  for all open set  $V$  of  $x$ . Hence  $x$  becomes limit of the filter  $F$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $x \in X$ . Then for every function  $f : S \rightarrow X$  there exists a filter  $F$  on  $X$  such that if  $x$  is limit of filter  $F$  then  $x$  is also  $I^K$ -limit of the function  $f$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f : S \rightarrow X$  be a function and  $I, K$  be two non-trivial ideals of  $S$ . For each  $M \in F(K)$  let  $A_M = \{f(n) : n \in M\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{A_M : M \in F(K)\}$ . Then the family  $\mathcal{B}$  forms a filter base on  $X$ . Let  $F$  be the filter generated by this filter base. Let  $x$  be the limit of filter  $F$ . Then  $\eta_x \subset F$  where  $\eta_x$  is the neighborhood filter of the point  $x$ . Let  $U \in \eta_x$  be arbitrary. Then  $U \in F$  and so  $A_M \subset U$  for some  $M \in F(K)$ . This implies that  $M \subset \{n \in S : f(n) \in U\}$  which further implies that  $\{n \in S : f(n) \in U\} \in F(K)$  since  $M \in F(K)$ . Now  $U$  is arbitrary so the function  $f$  is  $K$ -convergent to  $x$ . Hence from the lemma (2.1) we get  $f$  is  $I^K$ -convergent to  $x$ .  $\square$

## 4 $I^{K^*}$ -Convergence in Topological Spaces

$I^{K^*}$ -convergence is also a common generalization of all types of  $I^*$  and  $K^*$ -convergence. It is interesting to find the relation between  $I, I^*, K^*, I^{K^*}$  and  $I^K$ -convergence.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $x \in X$  and let  $I, K$  be two ideals on a non-void set  $S$ . A function  $f : S \rightarrow X$  is called  $I^{K^*}$ -convergent to the point  $x$  if there exists a set  $M \in F(I)$  and  $M_1 \in F(K)$  such that the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  given by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \cap M_1 \\ x & \text{if } s \notin M \cap M_1 \end{cases}$$

is  $\text{Fin}(S)$ -convergent to  $x$ .

If  $f$  is  $I^{K^*}$ -convergent to  $x$  then we write  $I^{K^*}\text{-lim } f = x$ .

**Note 4.1.** It follows from the definition that  $f$  is  $I^{K^*}$ -convergent to  $x$  if and only if there exist a set  $M \in F(I)$  such that the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  given by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ x & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $K^*$ -convergent to  $x$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** If  $I$  and  $K$  are two ideals on a set  $S$  and if  $f : S \rightarrow X$  is a function such that  $K^*\text{-lim } f = x$  then  $I^{K^*}\text{-lim } f = x$ .

*Proof.* Follows from the lemma 2.1. □

**Lemma 4.2.** If  $I$  and  $K$  be two admissible ideals on a set  $S$  and  $f : S \rightarrow X$  is a function such that  $I^{K^*}\text{-lim } f = x$  then  $I^K\text{-lim } f = x$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from the note (4.1) and since  $K^*$ -convergence implies  $K$ -convergence of the function  $g$ . □

**Theorem 4.1.** If  $X$  is a discrete space then  $I^K$  and  $I^{K^*}$ -convergence coincide for every admissible ideal  $I$  and  $K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a discrete topological space then it has no limit point and  $x \in X$ . Let  $I$  and  $K$  be two admissible ideals on a set  $S$  and  $f : S \rightarrow X$  is a function such that  $I^K\text{-lim } f = x$ . Because of previous lemma (4.2) we have only to show that  $I^{K^*}\text{-lim } f = x$ . Now from the definition of  $I^K$ -convergence there exists a set  $M \in F(I)$  such that the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ x & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $K$ -convergent to  $x$  i.e.  $K\text{-lim } g(x) = x$ . Since  $X$  has no limit point so  $U = \{x\}$  is open. So we have  $\{s : g(s) \notin U\} \in K$ . Hence the set  $M_1 = \{s : g(s) \in U\} = \{s : g(s) = x\} \in F(K)$ . So there exist  $M_1 \in F(I)$  such that the function  $g_1 : S \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$g_1(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M_1 \\ x & \text{if } s \notin M_1 \end{cases}$$

is  $\text{Fin}(S)$ -convergent to  $x$ , since for any open set  $U$  containing  $x$ ,  $g^{-1}(X \setminus U) = \phi$  is a finite set. Thus  $K^*\text{-lim } g(x) = x$ . So  $I^{K^*}\text{-lim } f = x$ . □

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $I$  and  $K$  be two admissible ideals on a non-empty set  $S$  and let  $f : S \rightarrow X$  be a function where  $X$  is a topological space. Then  $I^{K^*}$ -convergence implies  $I$ -convergence if  $K \subseteq I$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that the function  $f : S \rightarrow X$  is  $I^{K^*}$ -convergent to  $x \in X$ . So there exists sets  $M \in F(I)$  and  $M_1 \in F(K)$  such that the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  given by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \cap M_1 \\ x & \text{if } s \notin M \cap M_1 \end{cases}$$

is  $\text{Fin}(S)$ -convergent to  $x$  i.e.  $g^{-1}(X \setminus U) = \{s \in S : g(s) \notin U\}$  is a finite set for each open set  $U$  containing the point  $x$ . Now the set  $C$  (say)  $= f^{-1}(X \setminus U) \cap (M \cap M_1) \subset g^{-1}(X \setminus U)$  i.e.  $C$  is finite. So  $C \in I$ . Now,

$$f^{-1}(X \setminus U) \subseteq (S \setminus (M \cap M_1)) \cup C \quad (4.1)$$

and  $F(K) \subset F(I)$ , since  $K \subseteq I$ . Therefore  $M \cap M_1 \in F(I)$ . So  $S \setminus (M \cap M_1) \in I$ . So from (4.1) we get  $f^{-1}(X \setminus U) \in I$ . Therefore  $f$  is  $I$ -convergent to  $x$ . i.e.  $I\text{-lim } f = x$   $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** If  $I$  and  $K$  be two admissible ideals on a set  $S$  and  $f$  be a function from  $S$  to  $X$ , where  $X$  be a topological space. Then  $I^{K^*}$ -convergence implies  $K$ -convergence if  $I \subseteq K$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem (4.2) and so omitted.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.**  $I^*$ -convergence implies  $I^{K^*}$ -convergence.

*Proof.* Let  $I$  and  $K$  be two ideals on a non-void set  $S$  and  $f : S \rightarrow X$  be a function such that  $f$  is  $I^*$ -convergence to  $x$  of  $X$ . So  $\exists$  a set  $M \in F(I)$  such that the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ x & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $\text{Fin}(S)$ -convergent to  $x$ . Since  $\text{Fin}$ -convergent always implies  $K^*$ -convergent then the function  $g$  is  $K^*$ -convergent to  $x$ . and so  $f$  is  $I^{K^*}$ -convergent to  $x$  by the Note(4.1).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.**  $K^*$ -convergence implies  $I^{K^*}$ -convergence.

## 4.1 Additive Property with $I^K$ & $I^{K^*}$ -Convergence

We now study the relationship between  $I, I^{K^*}$  &  $I^K$ -convergence. The following definition is important in this regard.

**Definition 4.2.** [10] Let  $I, K$  be ideals on the non-empty set  $S$ . We say that  $I$  has additive property with respect to  $K$  or that the condition  $\text{AP}(I, K)$  holds if for every sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $A_n \in I$ , there exists a sequence  $B_n \in I$  such that  $A_n \Delta B_n \in K$  for each  $n$  and  $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \in I$

Another formulation of condition  $\text{AP}(I, K)$  are given in [20]. Before giving this definition we need to state definition of  $K$ -pseudo-intersection of a system.

**Definition 4.3.** [20] Let  $K$  be an ideal on a set  $S$ . We write  $A \subset_K B$  whenever  $A \setminus B \in K$ . If  $A \subset_K B$  and  $B \subset_K A$  then we write  $A \sim_K B$ . Clearly  $A \sim_K B \Leftrightarrow A \Delta B \in K$   
We say that a set  $A$  is  $K$ -pseudo-intersection of a system  $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  if  $A \subset_K A_n$  holds for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

**Definition 4.4.** [20] Let  $I, K$  be ideals on the set  $S$ . We say that  $I$  has additive property with respect to  $K$  or that the condition  $\text{AP}(I, K)$  holds if any of the equivalent condition of following holds:

- (i) For every sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of sets from  $I$  there is  $A \in I$  such that  $A_n \subset_K A$  for all  $n$ 's.
- (ii) Any sequence  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of sets from  $F(I)$  has  $K$ -pseudo-intersection in  $F(I)$ .

- (iii) For every sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of sets from  $I$  there exists a sequence  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in I$  such that  $A_j \sim_K B_j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $B = \cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} B_j \in I$ .
- (iv) For every sequence of mutually disjoint sets  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in I$  there exists a sequence  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in I$  such that  $A_j \sim_K B_j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $B = \cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} B_j \in I$ .
- (v) For every non-decreasing sequence  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq A_n \dots$  of sets from  $I \exists$  a sequence  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in I$  such that  $A_j \sim_K B_j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $B = \cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} B_j \in I$ .
- (vi) In the Boolean algebra  $2^S / K$  the ideal  $I$  corresponds to a  $\sigma$ -directed subset, i.e. every countable subset has an upper bound.

In the case  $S = \mathbb{N}$  and  $K = \text{Fin}$  we get the condition AP from [17] which characterize ideal such that  $I^*$ -convergence implies  $I$ -convergence. The condition  $\text{AP}(I, K)$  is more generalization of condition AP from [9][17]. Ideals which fulfill the condition  $\text{AP}(I, \text{Fin})$  are sometimes called  $P$ -ideals. (see for examples [1][12])

In the paper [20] the author showed that  $I$ -convergence implies  $I^K$ -convergence if  $\text{AP}(I, K)$  holds. Here we will introduce a new theorem regarding  $I$  and  $I^{K^*}$ -convergence.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $I$  and  $K$  be two ideals on a set  $S$  and  $X$  be a first countable topological space. If the ideal  $I$  has the additive property with respect to  $P$ -ideal  $K$  then  $I$ -convergence implies  $I^{K^*}$ -convergence.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : S \rightarrow X$  be a function such that  $I\text{-lim } f = x_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable base for  $X$  at the point  $x_0$ . Now from the definition of  $I$ -convergence we have  $f^{-1}(U_n) \in F(I)$  for each  $n$ . Thus there exists  $A \in F(I)$  with  $A \subset_K f^{-1}(U_n)$  for each  $n$  i.e.  $A \setminus f^{-1}(U_n) \in K$ . Now it suffices to show that the function the  $g : S \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} f(n) & \text{if } n \in A \\ x_0 & \text{if } n \notin A \end{cases}$$

is  $K^*$ -convergent to  $x_0$ . For  $U_n \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have  $g^{-1}(U_n) = (S \setminus A) \cup f^{-1}(U_n) = S \setminus (A \setminus f^{-1}(U_n))$  and since the set  $A \setminus f^{-1}(U_n) \in K$  so  $S \setminus (A \setminus f^{-1}(U_n)) \in F(K)$  i.e.  $g^{-1}(U_n) \in F(K)$ . Therefore  $g$  is  $K$ -convergent to  $x_0$ . Since  $K$  is  $P$ -ideal so  $g$  is also  $K^*$ -convergent to  $x_0$ .  $\square$

## 5 $I^K$ -Limit Points

We modify the definition of  $I$ -limit points in the following way:

**Definition 5.1.** *Let  $f : S \rightarrow X$  be a function and  $I$  be non-trivial ideal of  $S$ . Then  $y \in X$  is called an  $I$ -limit point of  $f$  if there exists a set  $M \subset S$  such that  $M \notin I$  and the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  defined by*

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ y & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $\text{Fin}(S)$ -convergent to  $y$ .

**Definition 5.2.** *Let  $f : S \rightarrow X$  be a function and  $I, K$  be two non-trivial ideals of  $S$ . Then  $y \in X$  is called an  $I^K$ -limit point of  $f$  if there exists a set  $M \subset S$  such that  $M \notin I, K$  and the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  defined by*

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ y & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $K$ -convergent to  $y$ .

We denote respectively by  $I(L_f)$  and  $I^K(L_f)$  the collection of all  $I$  and  $I^K$ -limit points of  $f$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *If  $K$  is an admissible ideal and  $K \subset I$  then  $I(L_f) \subset I^K(L_f)$*



*Proof.* Let  $y \in I(L_f)$ . Since  $y$  is an  $I$ -limit point of the function  $f : S \rightarrow X$ , then there exists a set  $M \notin I$  such that and the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ y & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $\text{Fin}(S)$ -convergent to  $y$ . So for any open set  $U$  containing  $x$  the set  $\{s : g(s) \notin U\} \in \text{Fin}$ . i.e.  $\{s : g(s) \notin U\}$  is a finite set. So  $\{s : g(s) \notin U\} \in K$ , as  $K$  is an admissible ideal. Therefore  $g$  is  $K$ -convergent function. Again  $M \notin I$  and  $K \subset I$  so  $M \notin I, K$ . Thus  $y$  is  $I^K$ -limit point of  $f$  i.e.  $y \in I^K(L_f)$ . Hence the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Note 5.1.** If  $I$  is an admissible ideal and  $I \subset K$  then  $K(L_f) \subset I^K(L_f)$

**Theorem 5.2.** If every function  $f : S \rightarrow X$  has an  $I^K$ -limit point then every infinite set  $A$  in  $X$  has an  $\omega$ -accumulation point where cardinality of  $S$  is less or equal to cardinality of  $A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be an infinite set. Define an injective function  $f : S \rightarrow A \subset X$ . Then  $f$  has an  $I^K$ -limit point say  $y$ . Then  $\exists$  a set  $M \subset S$  such that  $M \notin I, K$  and the function  $g : S \rightarrow X$  given by

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} f(s) & \text{if } s \in M \\ y & \text{if } s \notin M \end{cases}$$

is  $K$ -convergent to  $y$ . Let  $U$  be open set containing  $y$  then  $g^{-1}(U) = (S \setminus M) \cup f^{-1}(U) = S \setminus (M \setminus f^{-1}(U)) \in F(K)$  i.e.  $M \setminus f^{-1}(U) \in K$ . So  $f^{-1}(U) \notin K$ . (For if  $f^{-1}(U) \in K$  then we get  $M \in K$ , which is a contradiction.) So  $\{s : f(s) \in U\}$  is an infinite set. Consequently  $U$  contains infinitely many points of the function  $f(s)$  in  $X$ . So  $U$  contains infinitely many elements of  $A$ . Thus  $y$  becomes  $\omega$ -accumulation point of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** If  $X, \tau$  is a Lindelof space such that every function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$  has an  $I^K$ -limit point then  $(X, \tau)$  is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Lindelof space such that every  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$  has an  $I^K$ -limit point. We have to show that any open cover of space  $X$  has a finite subcover. Let  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be an open cover of the space  $X$ , where  $\Lambda$  is an index set. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is a Lindelof space so this open cover admits a countable sub-cover say  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots\}$ . Proceeding inductively let  $B_1 = A_1$  and for each  $m > 1$ , let  $B_m$  be the first member of the sequence of  $A$ 's which is not covered by  $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup \dots \cup B_{m-1}$ . If this choice becomes impossible at any stage then the sets already selected becomes a required finite sub-cover. Otherwise it is possible to select a point  $b_n$  in  $B_n$  for each positive integer  $n$  such that  $b_n \notin B_r, r < n$ .

Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$  be a function defined by  $f(n) = b_n$ . Now let  $x$  be an  $I^K$ -limit point of the function  $f$ . Then  $x \in B_p$  for some  $p$ . Now from the definition of  $I^K$ -limit point we get  $g^{-1}(B_p) = (\mathbb{N} \setminus M) \cup f^{-1}(B_p) = \mathbb{N} \setminus (M \setminus f^{-1}(B_p)) \in F(K)$  i.e.  $M \setminus f^{-1}(B_p) \in K$ . So the set  $S = f^{-1}(B_p) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(x_n) \in B_p\} \notin K$ . Hence  $S$  must be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . So there is some  $q > p$  such that  $q \in S$  i.e. there exists some  $q > p$  such that  $f(x_q) \in B_p$  which leads to a contradiction. Thus the result follows.  $\square$

## 6 Acknowledgment

The second author is grateful to the University of Burdwan for providing State Fund Fellowship during the preparation of this work.

## References

- [1] M. Balcerzak and K. Dems and A. Komisarski, Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions, *J. Math Anal. Appl.* **328(1)** (2007), 715-729.

- [2] A.K. Banerjee and A. Banerjee, A Note on  $I$ -Convergence and  $I^*$ -Convergence of Sequence and Nets in Topological Spaces, *Mathematicki Vesnik* **67(3)** (2015), 212-221.
- [3] A.K. Banerjee and A. Banerjee,  $I$ -convergence classes of sequences and nets in topological spaces, *Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* **11(1)** (2018), 13-31.
- [4] A.K. Banerjee and R. Mondal, A note on convergence of double sequences in topological spaces, *Mathematicki Vesnik* **69(2)** (2017), 144-152.
- [5] A.K. Banerjee and A. Dey, Metric Spaces and Complex Analysis, *New Age International Publishers*(2008).
- [6] A.K. Banerjee and A. Banerjee, A Study on  $I$ -Cauchy sequences and  $I$ -divergence in  $S$ -metric Spaces, *Malaya Journal of Matematik* **6** (2018) 226-230.
- [7] A.K. Banerjee and A. Banerjee,  $I$ -Completeness in function spaces, *arXive: 1704.05279v1* (2017)
- [8] A.K. Banerjee and A. Paul,  $I$ -Divergence and  $I^*$ -Divergence in cone metric spaces, *arXive: 1806.09188v1* (2018)
- [9] P. Das, P. Kostyrko, P. Malik, W. Wilczyński,  $I$  and  $I^*$ -convergence of double sequences, *Math. Slov.*, **58(5)**(2008), 605-620.
- [10] P.Das, M. Slezziak, V. Toma,  $I^K$ -Cauchy functions, *Topology and its Applications* **173** (2014), 9-27.
- [11] H.Fast, Sur la convergence ststistique, *Colloq. Math.* **2** (1951), 241-244.
- [12] R. Filipow, N. Mrozek, I. Reclaw and P. szuca, Ideal convergence of bounded sequences, *J. Symbolic Logic* **72(2)**(2007), 501-512.
- [13] J.A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, *Analysis* **11** (1991), 59-66.
- [14] J.L. Kelley, General Topology, *Springer-verlag*, New York, 1955.
- [15] B.K.Lahiri, Pratulananda Das,  $I$  and  $I^*$ -convergence in topological spaces, *Math. Bohem.* **2**(2005), 153-160.
- [16] P. Kostyrko, M. Macaj, T.Šalát, Statistical convergence and  $I$ -convergence, *Unpublished*, <http://thales.doa.fmph.uniba.sk/macaj/ICON.pdf>.
- [17] Pavel Kostyrko, Tibor Šalát, Wladyslaw Wilczyński,  $I$ -convergence, *Real Analysis Exchange* **26(2)** (2000/2001), 669-686.
- [18] P.Kostyroko, M. Macaj, M. Slezziak,  $I$ -convergence and extremal  $I$ -limit points, *Math. Slovaca* **55** (2005), 443-464.
- [19] M. Macaj, T.Šalát, statistical convergence of subsequences of a given sequence, *Math. bohem.* **126** (2001) 191-208.
- [20] M. Macaj, M. Slezziak,  $I^K$ -convergence, *Real Analysis Exchange* **36(1)**(2010/2011), 177-194.
- [21] S.K. Pal, On  $I$  and  $I^K$ -Cauchy nets and completeness, *Sarajevo Journal of Mathematics* **10(23)** (2014), 247-255.
- [22] D. Rath, B.C. Tripathy, On statistically convergent and statistically Cauchy sequence, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **25(4)** (1994), 381-386.
- [23] Tibor Šalát, On statistically convergent sequence of real numbers, *Mathematica Slovaca* **30(2)** (1980), 139-150.
- [24] H. Steinhaus, Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique , *Colloq. Math.* **2** (1951), 73-74.