A general explicit form for higher order approximations for fractional derivatives and its consequences

W. A. Gunarathna[*1], H. M. Nasir[†2], and W. B. Daundasekera[‡3]

[1]Department of Mathematics, General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Ratmalana, Sri Lanka
[2]Department of Mathematics, Sultan Qaboos University, Sultanate of Oman
[3]Department of Mathematics, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

September 19, 2018

Abstract

A general explicit form for generating functions for approximating fractional derivatives is derived. To achieve this, an equivalent characterisation for consistency and order of approximations established on a general generating function is used to form a linear system of equations with Vandermonde matrix for the coefficients of the generating function which is in the form of power of a polynomial. This linear system is solved for the coefficients of the polynomial in the generating function. These generating functions completely characterise Grünwald type approximations with shifts and order of accuracy. Incidentally, the constructed generating functions happen to be generalization of the previously known Lubich forms of generating functions without shift. As a consquence, a general explicit form for new finite difference formulas for integer-order derivatives with any order of accuracy are derived.

Keywords: Fractional derivative, Grünwald approximation, Generating function, Vandermonde system, finite difference formula

1 Introduction

Fractional calculus has a history that goes back to L'Hospital, Leibniz and Euler [9, 5]. A historical account of early works on fractional calculus can be found, for eg., in [21]. Fractional integral and fractional derivative are extensions of the integer-order integrals and derivatives to a real or complex order. Various definitions of

---

[*]gunarathnawa@yahoo.com
[†]nasirh@squ.edu.om
[‡]wbd@pdn.ac.lk

fractional derivatives have been proposed in the past, among which the Riemann-Liouville, Grünwald-Letnikov and Caputo derivatives are common and established. Each definition characterizes certain properties of the integer-order derivatives.

Recently, fractional calculus found its way into the application domain in science and engineering [12, 1, 25, 15]. Fractional derivative is also found to be more suitable to describe anomalous transport in an external field derived from the continuous time random walk [2], resulting in a fractional diffusion equation (FDE). The FDE involves fractional derivatives either in time, in space or in both variables.

Fractional derivative is approximated by Grünwald approximation obtained from the equivalent Grünwald-Letnikov formula of the Riemann-Liouville definition. Numerical experience and theoretical justifications have shown that application of this approximation *as it is* in the space fractional diffusion equation results in unstable solutions when explicit Euler, implicit Euler and even the Crank-Nicolson (CN) type schemes are used [13]. The latter two schemes are popular for their unconditional stability for classical diffusion equations with integer-order derivatives. This peculiar phenomenon for the implicit and CN type schemes is corrected and the stability is restored when a shifted form of the Grünwald approximation is used [13, 14].

The Grünwald approximation is completely identified by a generating function $W_1(z) = (1-z)^\alpha$ whose Taylor expansion provides coefficients for the series in the Grünwald approximation formula. This approximation is known to be of first order with the space discretization size h in the shifted and non-shifted form and is, therefore, useful only in first order schemes for the FDE such as Euler (forward) and implicit Euler(backward). Since the CN approximation scheme is of second order in time step τ, Meerchaert et al. [22] used extrapolation improvement for the space discretization to obtain a second order accuracy. Subsequently, second order approximations for the space fractional derivatives were obtained through some manipulations on the Grünwald approximation. Nasir et al. [16] obtained a second order accuracy through a non-integer shift in the Grünwald approximation, displaying super convergence. A convex combination of various shifts of the shifted Grünwald approximations were used to obtain higher order approximations in Chinese schools [24, 7, 29, 10, 27], some of them are unconditionally stable for the space FDE with CN type schemes. Zhao and Deng [28] extended the concept of super convergence to derive a series of higher order approximations. All of the above schemes are based on the first order approximating generating function $W_1(z) = (1-z)^\alpha$.

Earlier, Lubich [11] obtained some higher order approximations for the fractional derivative without shift for orders up to 6. Numerical experiments show that these approximations are also unstable for the FDE when the methods mentioned above are used. Shifted forms of these higher order approximations diminish the order to one, making them unusable as Chen and Deng [4, 3] noted. Nasir and Nafa [18, 17] have recently constructed generating functions for some higher order Grünwald type approximations with shift. They generalized the concept of Grünwald difference approximation by identifying it with coefficient generating functions. The generating functions have to be constructed individually for each order required using Taylor series expansions which are time consuming even with symbolic computations.

In this paper, we construct a general explicit form for approximating generating functions for any arbitrary order with shift. Their non-shift versions incidentally reduce to the Lubich generating functions. Our formulation also gives a unified general formula for higher order approximations for any integer order derivatives.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries, definitions and notations of earlier works are introduced with proofs of relevant important

results. In Section 3, the general explicit form for generating functions is established. Section 4 derives from the main result finite difference formulas for integer-order derivatives for any desired order and shift. Conclusion are drawn in Section 5.

2 Prelimineries, definitions and notations

The space of Lebesgue integrable functions defined in $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $L_1(\Omega)$. Let $f(x) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and assume that it is sufficiently differentiable so that the following definitions hold. The left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of real order $\alpha > 0$ are defined respectively as

$$^{RL}D_{x-}^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\frac{d^n}{dx^n}\int_{-\infty}^{x}\frac{f(\eta)}{(x-\eta)^{\alpha+1-n}}d\eta, \tag{1}$$

and

$$^{RL}D_{x+}^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\frac{d^n}{dx^n}\int_{x}^{\infty}\frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta-x)^{\alpha+1-n}}d\eta, \tag{2}$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$, an integer with $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n$ and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the gamma function.

The corresponding left/right Grünwald-Letnikov (GL) definition is given respectively by

$$^{GL}D_{x\mp}^{\alpha}f(x) = \lim_{h\to 0}\frac{1}{h^{\alpha}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\binom{\alpha}{k}f(x\mp kh), \tag{3}$$

where $\binom{\alpha}{k} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1-k)k!}$.

It is known that the Riemann-Liouville and Grünwald-Letnikov definitions are equivalent [19]. Hence, from now onwards, we denote the left and right fractional differential operators as D_{x-}^{α} and D_{x+}^{α} respectively

The Fourier transform (FT) of an integrable function $f(x) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by $\hat{f}(\eta) := \mathfrak{F}(f(x))(\eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}f(x)e^{-i\eta x}dx$. The inverse FT is given by $\mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\hat{f}(\eta))(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{f}(\eta)e^{i\eta x}d\eta = f(x)$. The FT is linear: $\mathfrak{F}(\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x))(\eta) = \alpha\hat{f}(\eta) + \beta\hat{g}(\eta)$. For a function f at a point $x + \beta \in \Omega$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the FT is given by $\mathfrak{F}(f(x + \beta))(\eta) = e^{i\beta x}\hat{f}(\eta)$. If $D_{x\mp}^{\alpha}f(x) \in L_1(\Omega)$, the FT of the left and right fractional derivatives are given by $\mathfrak{F}(D_{x\mp}^{\alpha}f(x))(\eta) = (\pm i\eta)^{\alpha}\hat{f}(\eta)$ [19]. A consequence of Riemann-Lebesgue lemma states that $f(x)$ and its derivatives upto $\gamma > 0$ are in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $|\hat{f}(\eta)| \leq C_0(1 + |\eta|)^{-\gamma}$ for some constant $C_0 > 0$.

For a fixed h, the Grünwald approximations for the fractional derivatives in (1) and (2) are obtained by simply dropping the limit in the GL definitions in (3):

$$\delta_{x\mp,h}^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{1}{h^{\alpha}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}g_k^{(\alpha)}f(x\mp kh),$$

where $g_k^{(\alpha)} = (-1)^k\binom{\alpha}{k}$ are the coefficients of the Taylor series expansion of the generating function $W_1(z) = (1 - z)^{\alpha}$.

When $f(x)$ is defined in the intervals $[a, b]$, it is zero-extended outside the interval to adopt these definitions of fractional derivatives and their approximations. The sums are then restricted to finite terms up to N which grows to infinity as $h \to 0$. Ideally, N is chosen to be $N = \left[\frac{x-a}{h}\right]$ and $N = \left[\frac{b-x}{h}\right]$ for the left and right fractional

derivatives respectively to cover the sum up to the boundary of the domain intervals, where $[y]$ denotes the integer part of y.

The Grünwald approximations are of first order accuracy:

$$D_{x\mp}^{\alpha}f(x) = \delta_{x\mp,h}^{\alpha}f(x) + O(h)$$

and display unstable solutions in the approximation of FDE by implicit and CN type schemes [13]. As a remedy, a shifted form of Grünwald formula with shift r is used:

$$\delta_{x\mp,h,r}^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{1}{h^{\alpha}}\sum_{k=0}^{N+r} g_k^{(\alpha)}f(x\mp(k-r)h),$$

where the upper limit of the summation has been adjusted to cover the shift r.

Meerchaert et al. [13] showed that for a shift $r = 1$, the $\delta_{x\mp,h,1}^{\alpha}f(x)$ are also of first order approximations with unconditional stability restored in implicit and CN type schemes for space FDEs.

For higher order approximations, Nasir et al. [16] derived a second order approximation by a non-integer shift, displaying super convergence:

$$\delta_{x\mp,h,\alpha/2}f(x) = D_{x\mp}^{\alpha}f(x) + O(h^2).$$

Chen et al.[24] used convex combinations of different shifted Grünwald forms to obtain order 2 approximations:

$$\lambda_1\delta_{x\mp,h,p} + \lambda_2\delta_{x\mp,h,q} = D_{x\mp}^{\alpha}f(x) + O(h^2)$$

with $\lambda_1 = \frac{\alpha-2q}{2(p-q)}$ and $\lambda_2 = \frac{\alpha-2p}{2(p-q)}$ for $(p,q) = (1,0),(1,-1)$.

Hao et al. [7] obtained an quasi-compact order 4 approximation.

Higher order approximations were also obtained earlier by Lubich [11] establishing a connection with the characteristic polynomials of multistep methods for ordinary differential equations. Specifically, if $\rho(z), \sigma(z)$ are, the characteristic polynomials of a multistep method of order of convergence p [8], then $\left(\frac{\sigma(1/z)}{\rho(1/z)}\right)^{\alpha}$ gives the coefficients for the Grünwald type approximation of same order for the fractional derivative of order α. From the backward multistep methods, Lubich [11] derived higher order approximations of up to order six in the form $W_p(z) = (\sum_{j=1}^{p}\frac{1}{j}(1-z)^j)^{\alpha}$. The generating functions $W_p(z)$ given in Table 1 are of order p for $1 \le p \le 6$.

$W_1(z) = (1-z)^{\alpha}$
$W_2(z) = \left(\frac{3}{2} - 2z + \frac{1}{2}z^2\right)^{\alpha}$
$W_3(z) = \left(\frac{11}{6} - 3z + \frac{3}{2}z^2 - \frac{1}{3}z^3\right)^{\alpha}$
$W_4(z) = \left(\frac{25}{12} - 4z + 3z^2 - \frac{4}{3}z^3 + \frac{1}{4}z^4\right)^{\alpha}$
$W_5(z) = \left(\frac{137}{60} - 5z + 5z^2 - \frac{10}{3}z^3 + \frac{5}{4}z^4 - \frac{1}{5}z^5\right)^{\alpha}$
$W_6(z) = \left(\frac{49}{20} - 6z + \frac{15}{2}z^2 - \frac{20}{3}z^3 + \frac{15}{4}z^4 - \frac{6}{5}z^5 + \frac{1}{6}z^6\right)^{\alpha}$

Table 1: Lubich approximation generating functions

These higher order approximations also suffer the same instability issues without shift when used in numerical schemes mentioned above. Shifted forms of these approximations reduce the order to one, making them uninteresting[18].

4

2.1   Higher order approximations with shift

A generalization of the Grünwald approximation was proposed by Nasir and Nafa
[18, 17] to construct higher order approximating generating functions that can be
used with shift without reducing the order of accuracy. The definitions and results in
their work are given below.

Let $\left\{w_{k,r}^{(\alpha)}\right\}$ be any real sequence and

$$W(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{k,r}^{(\alpha)} z^k$$

be its generating function.

For a sufficiently smooth function $f(x)$, denote the left or right Grünwald type oper-
ator with shift r and weights $w_{k,r}^{(\alpha)}$ as

$$\Delta_{x\mp,h,r}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{1}{h^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{k,r}^{(\alpha)} f(x \mp (k-r)h). \tag{4}$$

Definition 1. *A sequence* $\left\{w_{k,r}^{(\alpha)}\right\}$ *(or its generating function* $W(z)$ *) is said to
approximate the left or right fractional derivative* $D_{x\mp}^{\alpha} f(x)$ *at* x *with shift* r *in the
sense of Grünwald if*
$$D_{x\mp}^{\alpha} f(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \Delta_{x\mp,h,r}^{\alpha} f(x).$$

Definition 2. *A sequence* $\left\{w_{k,r}^{(\alpha)}\right\}$ *(or its generating function* $W(z)$ *) is said to
approximate the fractional derivative* $D_{x\mp}^{\alpha} f(x)$ *with shift* r *and order* $p \geq 1$ *if*

$$D_{x\mp}^{\alpha} f(x) = \Delta_{x\mp,h,r}^{\alpha} f(x) + O(h^p). \tag{5}$$

The following theorem establishes an equivalent characterization of the generator
$W(z)$ for an approximation of fractional differential operator with order $p \geq 1$ and
shift r. We denote for conciseness the integer-order differential operators as $D^k = \frac{d^k}{dx^k}, k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

Theorem 1. [18, 17]
Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *and* $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ *satisfying* $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n < m$. *Let* $f(x) \in C^{m+n+1}(\mathbb{R})$
and $D^k f(x) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ *for* $0 \leq k \leq m+n+1$. *Let* $W(z)$ *be the generating function of
a real sequence* $\{w_{k,r}^{(\alpha)}\}$ *and*

$$G_r(z) := \frac{1}{z^{\alpha}} W(e^{-z}) e^{rz} \tag{6}$$

be analytic in $|z| \leq R$ *for some* $R \geq 1$. *Then, the generating function* $W(z)$ *ap-
proximates the left and right fractional differential operators with shift* r *and order* p,
$1 \leq p \leq m$, *if and only if*
$$G_r(z) = 1 + O(z^p). \tag{7}$$
Moreover, if $G_r(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ *, we have*

$$\Delta_{x\mp,h,r}^{\alpha} f(x) = D_{x\mp}^{\alpha} f(x) + \sum_{k=p}^{m-1} h^k a_k D_{x\mp}^{k+\alpha} f(x) + O(h^m). \tag{8}$$

5

Proof. We restrict the proof for the left fractional derivative and the proof for right derivative follows analogously. Analyticity of $G_r(z)$ allows to write $G_r(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l z^l$ as a series.

Applying the FT for $\Delta_{x-,h,r}^{\alpha} f(x)$ in (4), with the use of linearity of FT, we have, with $z = i\eta h$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{F}(\Delta_{x-,h,r}^{\alpha} f(x))(\eta) &= \frac{1}{h^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{k,r}^{(\alpha)} \mathfrak{F}(f(x-(k-r)h))(\eta) \\
&= \frac{1}{h^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{k,r}^{(\alpha)} e^{-(k-r)i\eta h} \hat{f}(\eta) \\
&= \frac{e^{rih\eta}}{(i\eta h)^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{k,r}^{(\alpha)} e^{-ki\eta h} (i\eta)^{\alpha} \hat{f}(\eta) \\
&= \frac{e^{rz}}{z^{\alpha}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{k,r}^{(\alpha)} (e^{-z})^k \right] (i\eta)^{\alpha} \hat{f}(\eta) = \frac{e^{rz}}{z^{\alpha}} W(e^{-z})(i\eta)^{\alpha} \hat{f}(\eta) \\
&= G_r(z)(i\eta)^{\alpha} \hat{f}(\eta) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l (i\eta h)^{l+\alpha} \hat{f}(\eta) + \hat{\phi}(\eta, h), \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}
$$

where, from (9),

$$
\hat{\phi}(\eta, h) = \left(G_r(i\eta h) - \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l (i\eta h)^l \hat{f}(\eta) \right) (i\eta)^{\alpha} \hat{f}(\eta). \qquad (10)
$$

We establish, with the assumptions on $W(z)$ and $f(x)$, that

$$
|\hat{\phi}(\eta, h)| \leq C h^m (1 + |\eta|)^{-(n+1-\alpha)} \qquad (11)
$$

so that, being $n+1-\alpha > 0$, there is a function $\phi(x, h) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathfrak{F}(\phi(x, h)) = \hat{\phi}(\eta, h)$. Taking the inverse FT, we have

$$
\Delta_{h,+r}^{\alpha} f(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l D_{x-}^{l+\alpha} f(x) h^l + O(h^m). \qquad (12)
$$

Now, (7) holds if and only if $a_0 = 1, a_l = 0$, for $l = 1, 2, \cdots, p-1$ and the required (8) holds.

To prove (11), we establish the following: (a) We have from (7), $z^{\alpha} G_r(z) = W(e^{-z})e^{rz}, z \neq 0$. Taking limit as $z \to 0$, we have $W(1) = 0$. This is the consistency condition for the approximation of the fractional operator.

(b) From the consistency condition, we have $W(z) = (1 - z)^{\alpha} Q(z)$ for some analytic function $Q(z)$. Hence, we have $|Q(e^{-i\eta h})| \leq C$. Moreover, the series of $G_r(z)$ is absolutely convergent with radius of convergence R. Now, for $|\eta h| > R$, we have

$$
|G_r(i\eta h)| = \left| \frac{1 - e^{-i\eta h}}{i\eta h} \right|^{\alpha} |Q(e^{-i\eta h})| \leq C \frac{2^{\alpha}}{R^{\alpha}} \leq C \frac{2^{\alpha} |\eta h|^m}{R^{\alpha+m}} = C_1 |\eta h|^m. \qquad (13)
$$

(c) Also, for $|\eta h| > R$, we have

$$
\left| \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l (i\eta h)^l \hat{f}(\eta) \right| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} |a_l| |\eta h|^l |\hat{f}(\eta)| \leq |\eta h|^m \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{|a_l| |\hat{f}(\eta)|}{|\eta h|^{m-l}} \leq C_2 |\eta h|^m. \qquad (14)
$$

6

From the inequalities in (13) and (14), we have, for $|\eta h| > R$,

$$\left| G_r(i\eta h) - \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l(i\eta h)^l \right| \leq (C_1 + C_2)|\eta h|^m. \tag{15}$$

(d) Moreover, for $|\eta h| \leq R$, absolute convergence of $G_r(z)$ gives,

$$\left| G_r(i\eta h) - \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l(i\eta h)^l \right| \leq |\eta h|^m \sum_{l=m}^{\infty} |a_l| \leq C_3|\eta h|^m. \tag{16}$$

Inequalities (15) and (16) give, with $C_4 = \max(C_1 + C_2, C_3)$, for $\eta h \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left| G_r(i\eta h) - \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l(i\eta h)^l \right| \leq C_4|\eta h|^m. \tag{17}$$

Now, from (10), we establish (11) as follows:

$$|\hat{\phi}(\eta, h)| \leq C_4|\eta|^{\alpha+m}|\hat{f}(\eta)| \leq C_4(1 + |\eta|)^{\alpha+m}C_0(1 + |\eta|)^{-(m+n+1)}$$
$$\leq C(1 + |\eta|)^{-(n+1-\alpha)}.$$

$\square$

We also need the following results on the determinant of Vandermonde matrix and its variant.

Lemma 1. *It is known that, for a finite sequence of parameters $x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_q$, the determinant of the Vandermonde matrix $V(x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_q)$ of size $(q+1)$ is given by*

$$|V(x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_q)| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_q \\ x_0^2 & x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_q^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_0^q & x_1^q & x_2^q & \cdots & x_q^q \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq p} (x_j - x_i).$$

Lemma 2. *Let a variant of the Vandermonde matrix be*

$$U_2(x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_q) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_0^2 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_q^2 \\ x_0^3 & x_1^3 & \cdots & x_q^3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_0^{q+1} & x_1^{q+1} & \cdots & x_q^{q+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The determinant of U_2, which is of size $(q+1)$, is given by

$$|U_2| = \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq q} (x_j - x_i) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{q} \prod_{\substack{l=0 \\ l \neq m}}^{q} x_l \right). \tag{18}$$

Proof. It is clear that $|U_2|$ is a multi variable homogeneous function of total degree $2 + 3 + \cdots + (q + 1) = q(q + 3)/2$. Moreover, for $i \neq j$, by replacing x_i with x_j, we have $|U_2| = 0$ and thus $(x_i - x_j)$ are factors of $|U_2|$, and there are $q(q + 1)/2$ such factors as was in the Vandermonde determinant $|V|$. Hence,

$$|U_2| = \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq q} (x_j - x_i) P(x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_q),$$

where P is a homogeneous polynomial of total degree $q(q + 3)/2 - q(q + 1)/2 = q$. Since there are $q + 1$ variables, $x_j, 0 \leq j \leq q$, P has the form, with constant A,

$$P = A[(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_q) + (x_0 x_2 \cdots x_q) + \cdots + (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{q-1})] = A \left(\sum_{m=0}^{q} \prod_{\substack{l=0 \\ l \neq m}}^{q} x_l \right).$$

Equating one of the terms of $|U_2|$, we see that $A = 1$. □

3 Construction of higher order approximations

We consider generating functions in the form $W(z) = (P(z))^\alpha$, where $P(z)$ is a polynomial.

In [18], a second order approximating generating functions with shifts were constructed in the form $W_{2,r}(z) = (\beta_0 + \beta_1 z + \beta_2 z^2)^\alpha$ with the use of Theorem 1. The construction process involved Taylor series expansion of $G_r(z)$ and applying (5) to form a set of equations and solving for the coefficients β_j. As this involves many parameters such as α, order p, shift r, for higher order approximations, this expansion process leads a tedious algebraic manipulations requiring symbolic computation with a considerable amount of computing time.

In this paper, we construct explicit expressions for the coefficients β_j for an approximating generating function of the form

$$W_{p,r}(z) = (\beta_0 + \beta_1 z + \cdots + \beta_p z^p)^\alpha$$

with shift r and order p.

Theorem 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1, the generating function of the form $W_p(z) = (\sum_{j=0}^{p} \beta_j z^j)^\alpha$ approximates the left and right fractional derivatives $D_{x\mp} f(x)$ at x with shift r and order $p \geq 1$ if and only if the coefficients $\beta_j, 0 \leq j \leq p$, govern the linear system*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p} (\lambda - j)^n \beta_j = \delta_{1,n}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \cdots, p, \tag{19}$$

where $\lambda = r/\alpha$ and $\delta_{1,n}$ is the Kronecker delta having value of one for $n = 1$ and zero otherwise.

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, $W_{p,r}(z)$ approximates the fractional derivatives with

shift r and order p if and only if , with $\lambda_j = \lambda - j$,

$$G_r(z) = \frac{1}{z^\alpha} W_p(e^{-z}) e^{rz} = \frac{1}{z^\alpha} \left(\sum_{j=0}^p \beta_j e^{-jz} \right)^\alpha e^{rz} = \frac{1}{z^\alpha} \left(\sum_{j=0}^p \beta_j e^{(r/\alpha - j)z} \right)^\alpha$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^\alpha} \left(\sum_{j=0}^p \beta_j e^{\lambda_j z} \right)^\alpha = \left(\frac{1}{z} \sum_{j=0}^p \beta_j \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \lambda_j^n z^n \right)^\alpha = \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n z^{n-1} \right)^\alpha$$

$$= \left(\frac{b_0}{z} + b_1 + \sum_{n=2}^\infty b_n z^{n-1} \right)^\alpha = 1 + O(z^p),$$

where

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^p \lambda_j^n \beta_j z^{n-1}, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots .$$

Since $G_r(z)$ is analytic, it does not have any poles and hence we have $b_0 = 0$. Next, since $G_r(z) = 1 + O(z^p)$ has the constant term 1, we must have $b_1 = 1$. For $p = 1$, these two conditions give the system (19) and the proof ends. For $p > 1$, $G_r(z)$ reduces to

$$G_r(z) = \left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^\infty b_n z^{n-1} \right)^\alpha =: (1 + X)^\alpha,$$

where $X = \sum_{n=2}^\infty b_n z^{n-1}$. Taylor expansion of $G_r(z)$ with respect to X gives

$$G_r(z) = 1 + \alpha X + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2!} X^2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)}{3!} X^3 + \cdots = 1 + O(z^p).$$

The term z appears in the term αX only on the left hand side. This gives $b_2 = 0$. The same is true for all $b_n, n = 2, 3, \cdots, p$ by successively comparing the coefficients of $z^{n-1}, n = 2, 3, \cdots, p$. Altogether, we have $b_n = \delta_{1,n}, n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, p$ which yield the system (19). $\qquad\square$

The system (19) can be expressed in Vandermonde matrix form as

$$V(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p) \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{d}, \qquad (20)$$

where $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}$ are column vectors given by $\mathbf{b} = [\beta_0, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_p]^T, \mathbf{d} = [0, 1, 0, \cdots, 0]^T$ respectively with superscript T denoting transpose. Solving the Vandermonde system (20), we have the following main result.

Theorem 3. *The generating function $W_{p,r}(z) = (\beta_0 + \beta_1 z + \cdots + \beta_p z^p)^\alpha$ approximates the fractional derivatives with shift r and order p if and only if the coefficients β_j are given by*

$$\beta_j = - \left(\prod_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq j}}^p \frac{1}{j - m} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq j}}^p \prod_{\substack{l=0 \\ l \neq m,j}}^p (\lambda - l) \right) \qquad (21)$$

$$= - \left(\prod_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq j}}^p \frac{\lambda - m}{j - m} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq j}}^p \frac{1}{\lambda - m} \right), \qquad j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, p. \qquad (22)$$

Proof. Employing Cramer's rule to solve the system (20), we have

$$\beta_j = \frac{|V_j(\mathbf{d})|}{|V(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p)|}, \tag{23}$$

where $V_j(\mathbf{d})$ is the matrix obtained from $V(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p)$ by replacing its jth column with vector $\mathbf{b}$.

When $p = 1$, we have $|V_0(\mathbf{d})| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \lambda_1 \end{vmatrix} = -1$ and $|V_1(\mathbf{d})| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda_0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1$, while $|V(\lambda_0, \lambda_1)| = \lambda_1 - \lambda_0 = 0 - 1 = -1$. Hence, $\beta_0 = 1, \beta_1 = -1$.

When $p > 1$, $|V_j(\mathbf{d})|$ is given by, with $\mathbf{d}$ in the j-th column and by using the standard determinant rule selecting the $j-$th column as pivot,

$$|V_j(\mathbf{d})| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \lambda_0 & \lambda_1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & \lambda_p \\ \lambda_0^2 & \lambda_1^2 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_p^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_0^p & \lambda_1^p & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_p^p \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (-1)^{j+1} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \lambda_0^2 & \lambda_1^2 & \cdots & \lambda_{j-1}^2 & \lambda_{j+1}^2 & \cdots & \lambda_p^2 \\ \lambda_0^3 & \lambda_1^3 & \cdots & \lambda_{j-1}^3 & \lambda_{j+1}^3 & \cdots & \lambda_p^3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_0^p & \lambda_1^p & \cdots & \lambda_{j-1}^p & \lambda_{j+1}^p & \cdots & \lambda_p^p \end{vmatrix}.$$

Note that the last determinant is of the form U_2 of size $p \times p$ missing the column with the parameter λ_j. Hence, we have from (18),

$$|V_j(\mathbf{d})| = (-1)^{j+1} \left(\prod_{\substack{0 \leq m < n \leq p \\ m,n \neq j}} (\lambda_n - \lambda_m) \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq j}}^{p} \prod_{\substack{l=0 \\ l \neq m,j}}^{p} \lambda_l \right).$$

The determinant of the Vandermonde matrix $V(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p))$ can be written as

$$|V| = \prod_{0 \leq m < n \leq p} (\lambda_n - \lambda_m) = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq m < n \leq p \\ m,n \neq j}} (\lambda_n - \lambda_m)(-1)^j \prod_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq j}}^{p} (\lambda_m - \lambda_j),$$

where we have partitioned the product to extract the product part in $|V_j(\mathbf{d})|$.
We thus have from (23) and with the observation that $\lambda_m - \lambda_j = j - m$,

$$\beta_j = - \left(\prod_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq j}}^{p} \frac{1}{j-m} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq j}}^{p} \prod_{\substack{l=0 \\ l \neq m,j}}^{p} \lambda_l \right) = - \left(\prod_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq j}}^{p} \frac{\lambda - m}{j-m} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ m \neq j}}^{p} \frac{1}{\lambda - m} \right).$$

$\square$

Note that formulas (21) and (22) are compatible for the case $p = 1$ as well although we treated it separately in the proof.
We derive some generating functions using the explicit forms (21) and (22).

1. When $p = 1$, we have from (22)
   $\beta_0 = -\frac{\lambda_1}{0-1}\frac{1}{\lambda_1} = 1, \beta_1 = -\frac{\lambda_0}{1-0}\frac{1}{\lambda_0} = -1$ and generating function is given by
   $W_{1,r}(z) = (1-z)^\alpha$.

2. When $p = 2$, we have
   $\beta_0 = -\frac{\lambda_1}{0-1}\frac{\lambda_2}{0-2}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_2 + \lambda_1) = -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 2 + \lambda - 1) = \frac{3}{2} - \lambda$,
   $\beta_1 = -\frac{\lambda_0}{1-0}\frac{\lambda_2}{1-2}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2}\right) = -1(\lambda_2 + \lambda_0) = -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 2 + \lambda - 0) = -2 + 2\lambda$,
   $\beta_2 = -\frac{\lambda_0}{2-0}\frac{\lambda_1}{2-1}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_0) = -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1 + \lambda - 0) = \frac{1}{2} - \lambda$
   and hence $W_{2,r}(z) = \left(\left(\frac{3}{2} - \lambda\right) + (-2 + 2\lambda)z + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)z^2\right)^\alpha$ as in [18].

3. When $p = 3$, using the other form for β_j, we have
   $\beta_0 = -\frac{1}{0-1}\frac{1}{0-2}\frac{1}{0-3}(\lambda_2\lambda_3 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_1\lambda_2) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + \frac{11}{6}$.
   $\beta_1 = -\frac{1}{1-0}\frac{1}{1-2}\frac{1}{1-3}(\lambda_2\lambda_3 + \lambda_0\lambda_3 + \lambda_0\lambda_2) = -\frac{3}{2}\lambda^2 + 5\lambda - 3$.
   $\beta_2 = -\frac{1}{2-0}\frac{1}{2-1}\frac{1}{2-3}(\lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_0\lambda_3 + \lambda_0\lambda_1) = -\frac{3}{2}\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + \frac{3}{2}$.
   $\beta_3 = -\frac{1}{3-0}\frac{1}{3-1}\frac{1}{3-2}(\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_0\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_2) = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + \lambda - \frac{1}{3}$.

We list the coefficients for approximating generating functions $W_{p,r}(z)$ with order p, shift r obtained for $1 \le p \le 6$ in Table 2.

Note that when there is no shift, i.e., $r = 0$, we have $\lambda = \frac{r}{\alpha} = 0$ and these generating functions reduce to those in Table 1 obtained by Lubich [11].

When constructing these generating functions, we were not concerned with the condition of absolute convergence of the series for the generating function. Therefore, these results should be validated using this condition. This validation will give range of values for α and for the shift r.

For the generating functions $W_{p,r}(z)$, we have the following:

Theorem 4. *The generating functions $W_{p,r}(z)$ approximate the left and right fractional differential operators $D_{x\mp}^\alpha$ with shift r and order p.*

Proof. Taylor series expansion of $G_{p,r}(z)$ gives $G_{p,r}(z) = \frac{1}{z^\alpha}e^{\pm rz}W_{p,r}(e^{-z}) = 1 + O(z^p)$ for $0 \le p \le 6$. □

The Grünwald weights $w_{k,r}^{(\alpha)}$ can be computed by a recurrence formula [20, 26].

Lemma 3. *Let $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \beta_j z^j$, where $\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $W(z) = (P(z))^\alpha = \sum_{m=0}^\infty w_m z^m$. Then, the coefficients w_m satisfy the recurrence form*

$$w_0 = \beta_0^\alpha, \quad w_m = \frac{1}{m\beta_0}\sum_{j=1}^m (j(\alpha+1) - m)w_{m-j}\beta_j. \tag{24}$$

For the generating function $W_{p,r}(z)$, being the power of a polynomial of degree p, the upper limit of the summation in (24) goes up to $\min(m, p)$ only.

It seems that, despite higher order of approximation of $W_{p,r}(z)$, the stability of solutions using these approximations to FDEs remains an issue. Our numerical tests on some steady state problems show that the second order approximation $W_{2,1}(z)$ displays stability for values of α in the interval $[1, 2]$. However, for the other higher order approximations, the stability is limited to a subset $[\alpha_0, 2], 1 < \alpha_0$, of the interval $[1, 2]$. This phenomenon warrants a thorough theoretical investigation for approximations of orders three and above.

p	$\beta_k, 0 \le k \le p$
1	$\beta_0 = 1$
	$\beta_1 = -1$
2	$\beta_0 = -\lambda + \frac{3}{2}$
	$\beta_1 = -2 + 2\lambda$
	$\beta_2 = -\lambda + \frac{1}{2}$
3	$\beta_0 = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - 2\lambda + \frac{11}{6}$
	$\beta_1 = -\frac{3\lambda^2}{2} + 5\lambda - 3$
	$\beta_2 = \frac{3\lambda^2}{2} - 4\lambda + \frac{3}{2}$
	$\beta_3 = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} + \lambda - \frac{1}{3}$
4	$\beta_0 = -\frac{\lambda^3}{6} + \frac{5\lambda^2}{4} - \frac{35\lambda}{12} + \frac{25}{12}$
	$\beta_1 = \frac{2\lambda^3}{3} - \frac{9\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{26\lambda}{3} - 4$
	$\beta_2 = -\lambda^3 + 6\lambda^2 - \frac{19\lambda}{2} + 3$
	$\beta_3 = \frac{2\lambda^3}{3} - \frac{7\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{14\lambda}{3} - \frac{4}{3}$
	$\beta_4 = -\frac{\lambda^3}{6} + \frac{3\lambda^2}{4} - \frac{11\lambda}{12} + \frac{1}{4}$
5	$\beta_0 = \frac{\lambda^4}{24} - \frac{\lambda^3}{2} + \frac{17\lambda^2}{8} - \frac{15\lambda}{4} + \frac{137}{60}$
	$\beta_1 = -\frac{5\lambda^4}{24} + \frac{7\lambda^3}{3} - \frac{71\lambda^2}{8} + \frac{77\lambda}{6} - 5$
	$\beta_2 = \frac{5\lambda^4}{12} - \frac{13\lambda^3}{3} + \frac{59\lambda^2}{4} - \frac{107\lambda}{6} + 5$
	$\beta_3 = -\frac{5\lambda^4}{12} + 4\lambda^3 - \frac{49\lambda^2}{4} + 13\lambda - \frac{10}{3}$
	$\beta_4 = \frac{5\lambda^4}{24} - \frac{11\lambda^3}{6} + \frac{41\lambda^2}{8} - \frac{61\lambda}{12} + \frac{5}{4}$
	$\beta_5 = -\frac{\lambda^4}{24} + \frac{\lambda^3}{3} - \frac{7\lambda^2}{8} + \frac{5\lambda}{6} - \frac{1}{5}$
6	$\beta_0 = -\frac{\lambda^5}{120} + \frac{7\lambda^4}{48} - \frac{35\lambda^3}{36} + \frac{49\lambda^2}{16} - \frac{203\lambda}{45} + \frac{49}{20}$
	$\beta_1 = \frac{\lambda^5}{20} - \frac{5\lambda^4}{6} + \frac{31\lambda^3}{6} - \frac{29\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{87\lambda}{5} - 6$
	$\beta_2 = -\frac{\lambda^5}{8} + \frac{95\lambda^4}{48} - \frac{137\lambda^3}{12} + \frac{461\lambda^2}{16} - \frac{117\lambda}{4} + \frac{15}{2}$
	$\beta_3 = \frac{\lambda^5}{6} - \frac{5\lambda^4}{2} + \frac{121\lambda^3}{9} - 31\lambda^2 + \frac{254\lambda}{9} - \frac{20}{3}$
	$\beta_4 = -\frac{\lambda^5}{8} + \frac{85\lambda^4}{48} - \frac{107\lambda^3}{12} + \frac{307\lambda^2}{16} - \frac{33\lambda}{2} + \frac{15}{4}$
	$\beta_5 = \frac{\lambda^5}{20} - \frac{2\lambda^4}{3} + \frac{19\lambda^3}{6} - \frac{13\lambda^2}{2} + \frac{27\lambda}{5} - \frac{6}{5}$
	$\beta_6 = -\frac{\lambda^5}{120} + \frac{5\lambda^4}{48} - \frac{17\lambda^3}{36} + \frac{15\lambda^2}{16} - \frac{137\lambda}{180} + \frac{1}{6}$

Table 2: Coefficients β_k of $W_{p,r}(z) = (\beta_0 + \beta_1 z + \cdots + \beta_p z^p)^\alpha$
for order p approximation, $1 \le p \le 6$.

4 General finite difference formula for derivatives

The generating function (21) also gives a unified finite difference formula for integer order derivatives.

Infact, when $\alpha = n$, an integer, the generating function $W_{p,r}(z)$ is an integer power of a polynomial and hence has a finite series expansion which obviously satisfies the condition of absolute convergence.

This means that we have finite difference formulas for any given order with any given shift for any integer order derivatives. The coefficients for the finite difference formulas are obtained by expanding the integer power of the polynomial obtained from Theorem 3.

We list here some of well known and not well-known finite difference formulas for demonstration. Let f be a function defined on an interval which has enough derivatives to have finite difference forms. For notational brevity, we denote $f(x+kh) = f_k$.

1. For the first derivative $df(x)/dx$, approximation of order 2 with shift 1 is ob-

tained from (21) with parameters, $\alpha = 1, p = 2$ and $r = 1$. The generating function is then given by, after expanding the power, $W(z) = -\frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ and we have $\frac{d}{dx}f(x) = \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{2}f_{-1} - \frac{1}{2}f_1\right) + O(h^2)$. This is the well known central difference formula of order 2 for the first derivative.

For order 3 with shift 2, we have $W(z) = -\frac{z^3}{3} - \frac{z^2}{2} + z - \frac{1}{6}$ and the finite difference formula at x, with $\alpha = 1, p = 3$ and $r = 2$, is $\frac{d}{dx}f(x) = \frac{1}{h}\left(-\frac{1}{6}f_{-2} + f_{-1} - \frac{1}{2}f_0 - \frac{1}{3}f_1\right) + O(h^3)$.

For order 3 with shift 3/2, we have $W(z) = \frac{z^3}{24} - \frac{9z^2}{8} + \frac{9z}{8} - \frac{1}{24}$ and the finite difference formula at $f(x) = f_0$, with $\alpha = 1, p = 3$ and $r = 3/2$, is given by $\frac{d}{dx}f(x) = -\frac{1}{24}f_{-3/2} + \frac{9}{8}f_{-1/2} - \frac{9}{8}f_{1/2} + \frac{1}{24}f_{3/2} + O(h^3)$ which is a central difference formula with functional values at midpoints of the discretized points.

2. For the second derivative $d^2f(x)/dx^2$, approximating generating function of order 4 with shift 2 is given by $W_{4,2}(z) = \left(-\frac{z^4}{12} + \frac{z^3}{2} - \frac{3z^2}{2} + \frac{5z}{6} + \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 = \frac{z^8}{144} - \frac{z^7}{12} + \frac{z^6}{2} - \frac{59z^5}{36} + \frac{73z^4}{24} - \frac{9z^3}{4} - \frac{z^2}{18} + \frac{5z}{12} + \frac{1}{16}$ and the finite difference formula for $d^2f(x)/dx^2$ at x is given by $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}f(x) = \frac{1}{h^2}\left(\frac{f_{-2}}{16} + \frac{5f_{-1}}{12} - \frac{f_0}{18} - \frac{9f_1}{4} + \frac{73f_2}{24} - \frac{59f_3}{36} + \frac{f_4}{2} - \frac{f_5}{12} + \frac{f_6}{144}\right) + O(h^4)$.

To the best of our knowledge, this type of general explicit form for finite difference formulas with arbitrary shifts and order for any derivative is not available in the literature and hence is claimed novelty. However, it should be mentioned that these finite difference formulas with given stencils of points and gien order of derivatives can be computed by solving their corresponding system of equations individually for each case [23]. A recursive algorithm to compute the coefficients are also given in [6] in which the coefficients for the approximation of a higher order derivative require the coefficients of the previous order derivative and the stencil points are supplied.

In our explicit form, the coefficients are directly computed for a derivative of given order n and approximation order p. The number of stencil points is then at most $np + 1$. The derivative point within the stencil is given through the shift r. The generating function for left/right derivatives of integer orders with no shift gives the backward/forward difference formulas and the left or right derivative with halfway shift, that is $r = np/2$ which may not be an integer, gives the central difference formulas for the desired orders. Any other shifts give new formulas of intended orders.

5   Conclusion

Higher order approximations for fractional derivatives in the Grünwald sense are considered. The Grünwald type approximations are completely characterised by corresponding generating functions from which the weights are obtained. Construction of approximating generating functions in the form of power of polynomials is considered and a general explicit form for such generating functions are derived with arbitrary shift and order of accuracy for fractional derivatives. Incidentally, these generating functions are generalizations of the known higher order approximations without shift. The formula for approximating generating function also gives finite difference formulas for any integer-order derivatives as well giving new formulas with any order and shift. These explicit generating functions might be a useful tool for constructing finite difference approximations for fractional and integer-order derivatives and for their analysis

and computations.

References

[1] BAGLEY, R. L., AND TORVIK, P. J. A theoretical basis for the application of fractional calculus to viscoelasticity. *Journal of Rheology 27*, 3 (1983), 201–210.

[2] BARKAI, E., METZLER, R., AND KLAFTER, J. From continuous time random walks to the fractional fokker-planck equation. *Physical Review E 61*, 1 (2000), 132.

[3] CHEN, M., AND DENG, W. Fourth order accurate scheme for the space fractional diffusion equations. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 52*, 3 (2014), 1418–1438.

[4] CHEN, M., AND DENG, W. Fourth order difference approximations for space riemann-liouville derivatives based on weighted and shifted lubich difference operators. *Communications in Computational Physics 16*, 02 (2014), 516–540.

[5] EULERO, L. De progressionibus transcendentibus, sev quarum termini generales algebraice dari nequevent translation:"on transcendental progressions. that is, those whose general terms cannot be given algebraically". *Commentarii academiae scientiarum Petropolitanae 5* (1738), 36–57.

[6] FORNBERG, B. Generation of finite difference formulas on arbitrarily spaced grids. *Mathematics of computation 51*, 184 (1988), 699–706.

[7] HAO, Z., SUN, Z., AND CAO, W. A fourth-order approximation of fractional derivatives with its applications. *Journal of Computational Physics 281* (2015), 787–805.

[8] HENRICI, P. *Discrete variable methods in ordinary differential equations.* John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Interscience Publishers Inc., 1962.

[9] LEIBNITZ, G. W. Letter from hanover, germany to g.f.a. l'hospital, september 30, 1695. *Leibnizen Mathematische Schriften, Reprinted in Hildesheim, Germany (Olns Verlag) 2* (1962), 301–302.

[10] LI, C., AND DENG, W. A new family of difference schemes for space fractional advection diffusion equation. *Advances in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics 9*, 2 (2017), 282–306.

[11] LUBICH, C. Discretized fractional calculus. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 17*, 3 (1986), 704–719.

[12] MAINARDI, F. Fractional relaxation-oscillation and fractional diffusion-wave phenomena. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 7*, 9 (1996), 1461–1477.

[13] MEERSCHAERT, M. M., AND TADJERAN, C. Finite difference approximations for fractional advection–dispersion flow equations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 172*, 1 (2004), 65–77.

[14] Meerschaert, M. M., and Tadjeran, C. Finite difference approximations for two-sided space-fractional partial differential equations. *Applied numerical mathematics 56*, 1 (2006), 80–90.

[15] Metzler, R., and Klafter, J. The restaurant at the end of the random walk: recent developments in the description of anomalous transport by fractional dynamics. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 37*, 31 (2004), R161.

[16] Nasir, H. M., Gunawardana, B. L. K., and Aberathna, H. M. N. P. A second order finite difference approximation for the fractional diffusion equation. *International Journal of Applied Physics and Mathematics 3*, 4 (2013), 237.

[17] Nasir, H. M., and Nafa, K. Algebraic construction of a third order difference approximations for fractional derivatives and applications. *ANZIAM Journal, accepted* (2018).

[18] Nasir, H. M., and Nafa, K. A new second order approximation for fractional derivatives with applications. *Sultan Qaboos University Journal for Science [SQUJS] 23*, 1 (2018), 43–55.

[19] Podlubny, I. *Fractional differential equations: an introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications*, vol. 198. Academic press, 1998.

[20] Rall, L. B. *Automatic differentiation: Techniques and applications*. Springer, 1981.

[21] Ross, B. The development of fractional calculus 1695–1900. *Historia Mathematica 4*, 1 (1977), 75–89.

[22] Tadjeran, C., Meerschaert, M. M., and Scheffler, H. A second-order accurate numerical approximation for the fractional diffusion equation. *Journal of Computational Physics 213*, 1 (2006), 205–213.

[23] Taylor, C. Finite difference coefficients calculator. http://web.media.mit.edu/~crtaylor/calculator.html, 2017. Online, accessed: 2018-09-30.

[24] Tian, W., Zhou, H., and Deng, W. A class of second order difference approximations for solving space fractional diffusion equations. *Mathematics of Computation 84*, 294 (2015), 1703–1727.

[25] Vinagre, B. M., Podlubny, I., Hernandez, A., and Feliu, V. Some approximations of fractional order operators used in control theory and applications. *Fractional calculus and applied analysis 3*, 3 (2000), 231–248.

[26] Weilbeer, M. *Efficient numerical methods for fractional differential equations and their analytical background*. Papierflieger, 2005.

[27] Yu, Y., Deng, W., Wu, Y., and Wu, J. Third order difference schemes (without using points outside of the domain) for one sided space tempered fractional partial differential equations. *Applied Numerical Mathematics 112* (2017), 126–145.

15

[28] Zhao, L., and Deng, W. A series of high-order quasi-compact schemes for space fractional diffusion equations based on the superconvergent approximations for fractional derivatives. *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations 31*, 5 (2015), 1345–1381.

[29] Zhou, H., Tian, W., and Deng, W. Quasi-compact finite difference schemes for space fractional diffusion equations. *Journal of Scientific Computing 56*, 1 (2013), 45–66.