

ATTRACTORS ASSOCIATED TO A FAMILY OF HYPERBOLIC p -ADIC PLANE AUTOMORPHISMS

CLAYTON PETSCHÉ

ABSTRACT. We consider a certain two-parameter family of automorphisms of the affine plane over a complete, locally compact non-Archimedean field. Each of these automorphisms admits a chaotic attractor on which it is topologically conjugate to a full two-sided shift map, and the attractor supports a unit Borel measure which describes the distribution of the forward orbit of Haar-almost all points in the basin of attraction. We also compute the Hausdorff dimension of the attractor, which is non-integral.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a complete and locally compact field with respect to a nontrivial, non-Archimedean absolute value $|\cdot|$. Let

$$R = \{x \in K \mid |x| \leq 1\}$$

be the ring of integers in K , and let $\pi \in R$ be a uniformizing parameter; thus $|\pi|$ is maximal among all $x \in R$ with $|x| < 1$, and

$$\pi R = \{x \in R \mid |x| < 1\}$$

is the unique maximal ideal of R . The most well-known examples are the fields $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ of p -adic numbers for prime numbers p , or more generally any finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p .

Let $\mathbb{F} = R/\pi R$ denote the residue field of K , and let $q = |\mathbb{F}|$ denote its order. We select the normalization of the absolute value $|\cdot|$ for which $|\pi| = 1/q$; this choice is not strictly necessary but it simplifies many of our calculations.

In [1], Allen-DeMark-Petsche studied the Hénon map $H : K^2 \rightarrow K^2$ given by $H(x, y) = (a + by - x^2, x)$ in the case $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$. For certain parameters $a, b \in K$, they found that H is topologically conjugate on its filled Julia set to the shift map on the space of bisequences on two symbols, and for certain other choices of parameters, H admits an attractor which appears in many cases to be uncountably infinite. In [1] § 4.3, working with a particular family of examples over \mathbb{Q}_3 , they proved that the attractor is infinite and supports a measure which equidistributes the forward orbits of all points in the basin of attraction. These attractors are thus similar in some ways to the strange attractors associated to certain real Hénon maps; see [7], [3]. On the other hand, unlike the real Hénon attractors, which are chaotic and have nonintegral Hausdorff dimension, the Hénon maps studied in [1] § 4 are non-expanding and

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37P20, 37D45, 37D20, 37B10, 37F35, 11S82.

Key words and phrases. p -adic or non-Archimedean dynamical systems, strange attractors, symbolic dynamics, physical measures, Hausdorff dimension.

hence nonchaotic on their attractors, and the 3-adic attractor described in [1] § 4.3 has Hausdorff dimension 1; so the similarities to real strange attractors are limited.

In the present paper we study a family of hyperbolic non-Archimedean plane automorphisms which admit chaotic attractors. Consider the family of automorphisms

$$(1) \quad T : K^2 \rightarrow K^2 \quad T(x, y) = (ay + b(x^q - x), x)$$

where $a, b \in K$ satisfy

$$(2) \quad 0 < |a| < 1 \text{ and } |b| = q.$$

It follows from the assumptions (2) and the congruence $t^q \equiv t \pmod{\pi}$ for all $t \in R$ that $T(R^2) \subseteq R^2$. Moreover, the restriction $T|_{R^2} : R^2 \rightarrow R^2$ is nonsurjective; for example $T^{-1}(1, 0) = (0, \frac{1}{a}) \notin R^2$ and hence $(1, 0) \notin T(R^2)$. It follows that the (compact) intersection

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{A}_T = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} T^n(R^2)$$

is an attractor strictly contained in R^2 and whose (open) basin of attraction

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{B}_T = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} T^{-n}(R^2)$$

strictly contains R^2 .

One should expect T to have hyperbolic and chaotic dynamics on \mathcal{A}_T , as can be seen by considering the characteristic polynomial of the Jacobian matrix of T at a point $(x, y) \in K^2$, which is $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - b(qx^{q-1} - 1)\lambda - a$. Inspection of the Newton polygon of this polynomial shows that, when $(x, y) \in R^2$, the Jacobian has eigenvalues $\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max} \in K$ with $|\lambda_{\min}| = |a|/|b| < 1$ and $|\lambda_{\max}| = |b| > 1$.

To describe our main results, we first review some basic ideas from symbolic dynamics. Let $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the set of bisequences

$$(s_k) = (\dots s_{-3}s_{-2}s_{-1}.s_0s_1s_2s_3\dots)$$

where each $s_k \in \mathbb{F}$. This is a compact topological space, and the *shift map* on $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the homeomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by setting the k -th term of $\sigma((s_k))$ equal to s_{k+1} ; that is

$$(5) \quad \sigma((\dots s_{-3}s_{-2}s_{-1}.s_0s_1s_2s_3\dots)) = (\dots s_{-2}s_{-1}s_0.s_1s_2s_3s_4\dots).$$

Theorem 1. *The automorphism $T : K^2 \rightarrow K^2$ restricts to a map $T : \mathcal{A}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$ which is topologically conjugate to the shift map $\sigma : \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. More precisely, there exists a homeomorphism $\omega : \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$ such that $\omega \circ \sigma = T \circ \omega$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ \omega \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega \\ \mathcal{A}_T & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathcal{A}_T \end{array}$$

We prove Theorem 1 in § 3, using an argument related to the Smale horseshoe map ([4] §2.3) going back to the study by Devaney-Nitecki [5] of real Hénon maps, and which

was developed further in the non-Archimedean context by Allen-DeMark-Petsche [1]. Woodcock-Smart [10] considered the automorphism of R^2 corresponding to the case $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $a = 1$, $b = 1/p$ in (1); in this case $\mathcal{A}_T = \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ is no longer an attractor, but the topological conjugacy to the shift map still holds, and they use this map to create a pseudo-random number generator. See also the related results of Benedetto-Briend-Perdry [2] for (non-invertible) quadratic polynomial maps in one variable.

In § 4, we use Theorem 1 to obtain a unit Borel measure μ_T supported on \mathcal{A}_T by pushing forward the uniform Bernoulli measure on $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ via the homeomorphism $\omega : \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$. It is then a simple matter to use the Birkhoff ergodic theorem to show that μ_T -almost all points in \mathcal{A}_T have the property that their forward T -orbits are μ_T -equidistributed. Going further, we adapt an argument using the idea of stable manifolds in smooth dynamics to obtain the following result. Borrowing terminology from dynamical systems on real manifolds, let us say that a T -invariant unit Borel measure μ on K^2 is a *physical measure* with respect to T if there exists a set $G \subseteq K^2$ of positive Haar measure such that every point in G has μ -equidistributed forward orbit.

Theorem 2. *There exists a subset $G \subseteq \mathcal{B}_T$ of full Haar measure in \mathcal{B}_T such that, for all $(x, y) \in G$, the forward orbit $\{T^n(x, y)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is μ_T -equidistributed. In particular, μ_T is a physical measure.*

Theorem 2 suggests that μ_T is a non-Archimedean analogue of the SRB measures (Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen) in smooth dynamics [11]. We point out that there are plenty of points in \mathcal{B}_T whose forward orbits are not μ_T -equidistributed; for example, Theorem 1 implies that T -periodic points are dense in \mathcal{A}_T , and any of the uncountably many points in the stable manifold associated to such a point cannot have a μ_T -equidistributed forward orbit. See § 4 for more details on these assertions.

Finally, a close analysis of the proof of Theorem 1, along with a regularity property of the measure μ_T , allow us give a precise calculation of the Hausdorff dimension of the attractor \mathcal{A}_T .

Theorem 3. *The attractor \mathcal{A}_T has Hausdorff dimension*

$$\dim \mathcal{A}_T = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \log_q(1/|a|)}.$$

In particular, we point out that $\log_q(1/|a|) > 0$ because of the assumption (2), and therefore $1 < \dim \mathcal{A}_T < 2$.

It is interesting to note that one of our preliminary results, Lemma 7, implies that T maps R^2 bijectively onto a disjoint union of q thin neighborhoods of graphs of functions $g : R \rightarrow R$ in R^2 . Iterating this lemma, we see that \mathcal{A}_T is reminiscent of the well-known Smale-Williams solenoid attractor $\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} T^n(X)$ associated to a map $T : X \rightarrow X$ on a solid torus X in \mathbb{R}^3 which embeds X injectively into itself and wraps around itself $q \geq 2$ times ([8] § I.9).

We thank Rob Benedetto for helpful suggestions leading to simplifications of the proofs of the results of § 3.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Recall that the absolute value on K is normalized so that $|\pi| = 1/q$, and therefore $|K^\times| = q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the value group of K . We define the non-Archimedean norm $\|\cdot\|$ on K^2 by setting $\|(x_0, y_0)\| = \max(|x_0|, |y_0|)$, and for each $r \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} D_r(x_0) &= \{x \in K \mid |x - x_0| \leq r\} \\ B_r(x_0, y_0) &= \{(x, y) \in K^2 \mid \|(x, y) - (x_0, y_0)\| \leq r\}, \end{aligned}$$

the disc in K centered at x_0 of radius r , and ball in K^2 centered at (x_0, y_0) of radius r , respectively.

Let $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a function. We say f is C -Lipschitz for some $C > 0$ if $|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| \leq C|t_1 - t_2|$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in R$. Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} H(f) &= \{(t, f(t)) \in R^2 \mid t \in R\} \\ V(f) &= \{(f(t), t) \in R^2 \mid t \in R\} \end{aligned}$$

the *horizontal curve* and *vertical curve* in R^2 associated to f , and for each $0 < \delta \leq 1$, define δ -neighborhoods of $H(f)$ and $V(f)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} H_\delta(f) &= \{(t, f(t) + \theta) \in R^2 \mid t \in R, |\theta| \leq \delta\} \\ V_\delta(f) &= \{(f(t) + \theta, t) \in R^2 \mid t \in R, |\theta| \leq \delta\} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. *Let $f, g : R \rightarrow R$ be $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz functions and let $0 < \delta \leq 1$ with $\delta \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}$.*

- (a) *If $r \leq \delta$ and $(x_0, y_0) \in H_\delta(f)$, then $B_r(x_0, y_0) \subseteq H_\delta(f)$; similarly for $V_\delta(f)$.*
- (b) *$H_\delta(f)$ is a union of $1/\delta$ balls of radius δ ; similarly for $V_\delta(f)$.*
- (c) *$V(f) \cap H(g)$ contains exactly one point.*
- (d) *$V_\delta(f) \cap H_\delta(g)$ is a ball of radius δ .*

Proof. (a) Since $(x_0, y_0) \in H_\delta(f)$, we have $|f(x_0) - y_0| \leq \delta$. Given $(x_1, y_1) \in B_r(x_0, y_0)$, we have $|x_1 - x_0| \leq r$ and $|y_1 - y_0| \leq r$, so

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_1) - y_1| &= |f(x_1) - f(x_0) + f(x_0) - y_0 + y_0 - y_1| \\ &\leq \max(|f(x_1) - f(x_0)|, |f(x_0) - y_0|, |y_0 - y_1|) \\ &\leq \max((1/q)|x_1 - x_0|, |f(x_0) - y_0|, |y_0 - y_1|) \\ &= \max(r, \delta) = \delta \end{aligned}$$

and thus $(x_1, y_1) \in H_\delta(f)$.

(b) Writing $\delta = 1/q^r$, partition R into q^r discs $D_\delta(x_j)$ with centers x_1, \dots, x_{q^r} and radius δ . We will show that

$$H_\delta(f) = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq q^r} B_\delta(x_j, f(x_j)).$$

That each $B_\delta(x_j, f(x_j)) \subseteq H_\delta(f)$ follows from part (a). Given a point $(x_0, y_0) \in H_\delta(f)$, we have $|y_0 - f(x_0)| \leq \delta$. Since the $D_\delta(x_j)$ partition R , we have $x_0 \in D_\delta(x_{j_0})$ for some

$1 \leq j_0 \leq q^r$. Thus $|x_0 - x_{j_0}| \leq \delta$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |y_0 - f(x_{j_0})| &= |y_0 - f(x_0) + f(x_0) - f(x_{j_0})| \\ &\leq \max(|y_0 - f(x_0)|, |f(x_0) - f(x_{j_0})|) \\ &\leq \max(|y_0 - f(x_0)|, (1/q)|x_0 - x_{j_0}|) \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

verifying that $(x_0, y_0) \in B_\delta(x_{j_0}, f(x_{j_0}))$.

(c) This follows as in [1] Lemma 23. Briefly, the maps $f \circ g : R \rightarrow R$ and $g \circ f : R \rightarrow R$ are contractions and therefore have unique fixed points x_0 and y_0 in R , respectively. Thus $y_0 = g(x_0)$ and $x_0 = f(y_0)$, and $V(f) \cap H(g) = \{(x_0, y_0)\}$.

(d) By part (c), $V(f) \cap H(g) = \{(x_0, y_0)\}$, and thus $f(y_0) = x_0$ and $g(x_0) = y_0$. We will show that $V_\delta(f) \cap H_\delta(g) = B_\delta(x_0, y_0)$. That $B_\delta(x_0, y_0) \subseteq V_\delta(f) \cap H_\delta(g)$ follows from part (a). Conversely, if $(x, y) \in V_\delta(f) \cap H_\delta(g)$, then we have $|f(y) - x| \leq \delta$ and $|g(x) - y| \leq \delta$, and so

$$|x_0 - x| = |f(y_0) - f(y) + f(y) - x| \leq \max((1/q)|y_0 - y|, \delta)$$

and

$$|y_0 - y| = |g(x_0) - g(x) + g(x) - y| \leq \max((1/q)|x_0 - x|, \delta)$$

In particular,

$$|x_0 - x| \leq \max((1/q)^2|x_0 - x|, (1/q)\delta, \delta) = \delta.$$

The evaluation of this maximum follows from the fact that the alternatives lead to the absurdities $|x_0 - x| \leq (1/q)^2|x_0 - x|$ and $(1/q)\delta > \delta$. By a symmetrical argument, we also have $|y_0 - y| \leq \delta$ and we have checked that $V_\delta(f) \cap H_\delta(g) \subseteq B_\delta(x_0, y_0)$. \square

3. THE TOPOLOGICAL CONJUGACY TO THE SHIFT MAP

In this section we prove Theorem 1. Our approach follows a close parallel with the proof of Theorem 28 of Allen-DeMark-Petsche [1], and in particular, Lemmas 6, 7, 8, and 9 are suitably modified versions of Lemmas 24, 25, 26, and 27 of [1]. However, in the current paper the condition $T(R^2) \subseteq R^2$ gives \mathcal{A}_T the structure of an attractor and leads to an asymmetry in the forward and backward dynamics of T that is not present in Theorem 28 of [1].

Given $b \in K$ satisfying $|b| = q$, it is useful to define the function

$$(6) \quad \phi : K \rightarrow K \quad \phi(t) = b(t^q - t).$$

We may then simplify the expressions for T and T^{-1} as

$$(7) \quad T(x, y) = (ay + \phi(x), x) \quad T^{-1}(x, y) = (y, \frac{1}{a}(x - \phi(y))).$$

Lemma 5. *We have $\phi(R) \subseteq R$, and in particular $T(R^2) \subseteq R^2$. If $t_1, t_2 \in R$ satisfy $|t_1 - t_2| \leq 1/q$, then $|\phi(t_1) - \phi(t_2)| = q|t_1 - t_2|$.*

Proof. Since the multiplicative group \mathbb{F}^\times has order $q - 1$, we have the congruence $t^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$ for all $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ in R , and hence $t^q \equiv t \pmod{\pi}$ for all $t \in R$. It follows that $|t^q - t| \leq 1/q$, and hence $|\phi(t)| = |b(t^q - t)| \leq 1$ for all $t \in R$, verifying that $\phi(R) \subseteq R$.

Since $\mathbb{F} = R/\pi R$ has order q , we have

$$(8) \quad q \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}.$$

Given $t_1, t_2 \in R$ with $|t_1 - t_2| \leq 1/q$ we have $t_1 \equiv t_2 \pmod{\pi}$ and therefore

$$t_1^{q-1} + t_1^{q-2}t_2 + t_1^{q-3}t_2^2 + \cdots + t_2^{q-1} \equiv qt_1^{q-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi},$$

and we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(t_1) - \phi(t_2)| &= |b||t_1^q - t_2^q - (t_1 - t_2)| \\ &= q|t_1^{q-1} + t_1^{q-2}t_2 + t_1^{q-3}t_2^2 + \cdots + t_2^{q-1} - 1||t_1 - t_2| \\ &= q|t_1 - t_2|. \end{aligned}$$

□

In a slight abuse of notation, we use \mathbb{F} to denote both the residue field $R/\pi R$ as well as a complete set of coset representatives in R for this quotient. Since $|\pi| = 1/q$, the congruence classes modulo π in R are the same as discs of radius $1/q$ in R , and so for each $s \in \mathbb{F}$ the disc $D_{1/q}(s) = \{x \in R \mid |x - s| \leq 1/q\}$ does not depend on the choice of coset representative.

The following lemma states that the inverse image under T of a δ -neighborhood of a vertical curve meets R^2 at a union of thinner neighborhoods of vertical curves. Then, Lemma 7 gives an analogous result but for the T -image of neighborhoods of horizontal curves.

Lemma 6. *Let $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz function and let $0 < \delta \leq 1$. Then for each $s \in \mathbb{F}$ there exists a $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz function $f^s : R \rightarrow R$ such that $f^s(t) \in D_{1/q}(s)$ for all $t \in R$ and*

$$(9) \quad T^{-1}(V_\delta(f)) \cap R^2 = \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{F}} V_{(1/q)\delta}(f^s).$$

Proof. Fix $t \in R$ and $s \in \mathbb{F}$, and define a $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz function

$$\begin{aligned} F_t^s &: D_{1/q}(s) \rightarrow D_{1/q}(s) \\ F_t^s(x) &= x + \frac{at + \phi(x) - f(x)}{b}. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in D_{1/q}(s)$, then $|F_t^s(x) - s| = |x - s + \frac{at + \phi(x) - f(x)}{b}| \leq 1/q$, verifying that $F_t^s(D_{1/q}(s)) \subseteq D_{1/q}(s)$. To check the Lipschitz condition, note that for distinct $x_1, x_2 \in D_{1/q}(s)$, using (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b} \frac{\phi(x_1) - \phi(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} &= \frac{(x_1^q - x_1) - (x_2^q - x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} \\ &= x_1^{q-1} + x_1^{q-2}x_2 + \cdots + x_2^{q-1} - 1 \\ &\equiv qs^{q-1} - 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{\pi R} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore since $|b| = q$ we have

$$(10) \quad \left| b + \frac{\phi(x_1) - \phi(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} \right| \leq 1.$$

We conclude, using the Lipschitz assumption on f , that

$$\left| \frac{F_t^s(x_1) - F_t^s(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} \right| = \frac{1}{|b|} \left| b + \frac{\phi(x_1) - \phi(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} - \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|b|} = \frac{1}{q},$$

and thus F_t^s is $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz.

Since F_t^s is contracting, it has a unique fixed point in $D_{1/q}(s)$; call this point $f^s(t)$. We have constructed the function $f^s : R \rightarrow D_{1/q}(s)$, and this function satisfies

$$(11) \quad at + \phi(f^s(t)) - f(f^s(t)) = 0.$$

It is straightforward to check that f^s is Lipschitz using Lemma 5 and the Lipschitz assumption on f .

Elementary calculations using (11) show that for each $s \in \mathbb{F}$, we have

$$(12) \quad \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid x \in D_{1/q}(s), |ay + \phi(x) - f(x)| \leq \delta\} = V_{(1/q)\delta}(f^s),$$

and it follows from (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}(V_\delta(f)) \cap R^2 &= \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid T(x, y) \in V_\delta(f)\} \\ &= \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid |ay + \phi(x) - f(x)| \leq \delta\} \\ &= \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{F}} \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid x \in D_{1/q}(s), |ay + \phi(x) - f(x)| \leq \delta\} \\ &= \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{F}} V_{(1/q)\delta}(f^s). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 7. *Let $g : R \rightarrow R$ be a $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz function and let $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$. Then for each $s \in \mathbb{F}$ there exists a $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz function $g^s : R \rightarrow R$ such that $g^s(t) \in D_{1/q}(s)$ for all $t \in R$ and*

$$T(H_\epsilon(g)) = \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{F}} H_{(|a|/q)\epsilon}(g^s).$$

Proof. Fix $t \in R$ and $s \in \mathbb{F}$, and define a $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz function

$$\begin{aligned} G_t^s &: D_{1/q}(s) \rightarrow D_{1/q}(s) \\ G_t^s(y) &= y - \frac{t - \phi(y) - ag(y)}{b}. \end{aligned}$$

That $G_t^s(D_{1/q}(s)) \subseteq D_{1/q}(s)$ and that G_t^s is $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz follows from arguments similar to those in the proof of Lemma 6. Since G_t^s is contracting, it has a unique fixed point in $D_{1/q}(s)$; call this point $g^s(t)$. We have constructed the function $g^s : R \rightarrow D_{1/q}(s)$, and it satisfies

$$(13) \quad t - \phi(g^s(t)) - ag(g^s(t)) = 0.$$

It is straightforward to check that g^s is Lipschitz using Lemma 5 and the Lipschitz assumption on g .

Elementary calculations using (13) show that for each $s \in \mathbb{F}$, we have

$$(14) \quad \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid y \in D_{1/q}(s), |x - \phi(y) - ag(y)| \leq |a|\epsilon\} = H_{(|a|/q)\epsilon}(g^s).$$

Using (14) and the fact that $T(H_\epsilon(g)) \subseteq T(R^2) \subseteq R^2$ we conclude the desired identity

$$\begin{aligned} T(H_\epsilon(g)) &= \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid T^{-1}(x, y) \in H_\epsilon(g)\} \\ &= \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid |x - \phi(y) - ag(y)| \leq |a|\epsilon\} \\ &= \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{F}} \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid y \in D_{1/q}(s), |x - \phi(y) - ag(y)| \leq |a|\epsilon\} \\ &= \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{F}} H_{(|a|/q)\epsilon}(g^s). \end{aligned}$$

□

Observe that the collection of q sets

$$(15) \quad \{D_{1/q}(s) \times R \mid s \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

forms a partition of R^2 . Since $T(R^2) \subseteq R^2$, the forward T -orbit of each point of R^2 follows a trajectory through the q sets in the partition (15). The following lemma shows that all possible trajectories occur, and the set of points with a given trajectory is a vertical curve in R^2 . Then, Lemma 9 gives an analogous statement for backward orbits of points in \mathcal{A}_T in terms of horizontal curves.

Lemma 8. *There exists a family of $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz functions $f^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)} : R \rightarrow R$, indexed by the set of all sequences $(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)$, where each $s_k \in \mathbb{F}$, such that*

$$(16) \quad V(f^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)}) = \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid T^k(x, y) \in D_{1/q}(s_k) \times R \text{ for all } k \geq 0\}.$$

Moreover,

$$(17) \quad R^2 = \bigcup_{s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots \in \mathbb{F}} V(f^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)}).$$

Proof. We first construct a family of $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz functions $f_n^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)} : R \rightarrow R$, indexed by sequences $(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)$ in \mathbb{F} and integers $n \geq 0$. When $n = 0$, we define $f_0^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)} \equiv s_0$, and thus in this case

$$(18) \quad V_{1/q}(f_0^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)}) = D_{1/q}(s_0) \times R.$$

To ease notation, set $\delta_n = 1/q^{n+1}$. Fix $n \geq 0$ and assume that the functions $f_n^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)} : R \rightarrow R$ have been constructed for all sequences $(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)$ in \mathbb{F} . Given a sequence $(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)$, we apply Lemma 6 with $f = f_n^{(s_1 s_2 s_3 \dots)}$ and $\delta = \delta_n$. We obtain $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz functions $f^s : R \rightarrow D_{1/q}(s)$, and we define $f_{n+1}^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)} = f^{s_0}$. We then have, for each fixed choice of s_1, s_2, \dots in \mathbb{F} , the identity

$$(19) \quad T^{-1}(V_{\delta_n}(f_n^{(s_1 s_2 s_3 \dots)})) \cap R^2 = \bigcup_{s_0 \in \mathbb{F}} V_{\delta_{n+1}}(f_{n+1}^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)}).$$

An induction argument using (18) and (19) shows that for each sequence $(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)$, we have

$$(20) \quad V_{\delta_n}(f_n^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)}) = \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid T^k(x, y) \in D_{1/q}(s_k) \times R \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

In other words, (20) says that $V_{\delta_n}(f_n^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)})$ is the set of points in R^2 whose partial T -orbit $\{T^k(x, y)\}_{k=0}^n$ follows a particular trajectory through the q disjoint sets $D_{1/q}(s) \times R$ for $s \in \mathbb{F}$.

From (20) it is clear that

$$V_{\delta_{n+1}}(f_{n+1}^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)}) \subseteq V_{\delta_n}(f_n^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)}),$$

from which it follows that the limit $f^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)}(t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)}(t)$ exists, and a standard argument shows that a limit of $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz functions is $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz.

We conclude using (20) that $V(f^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)}) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} V_{\delta_n}(f_n^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)})$, obtaining (16).

Since $T(R^2) \subseteq R^2$, it follows that every point in R^2 has some forward trajectory through the q sets in the partition $\{D_{1/q}(s) \times R \mid s \in \mathbb{F}\}$ of R^2 , and (17) follows immediately. \square

Lemma 9. *There exists a family of $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz functions $g^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})} : R \rightarrow R$, indexed by the set of all sequences $(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})$, where each $s_k \in \mathbb{F}$, such that*

$$(21) \quad H(g^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})}) = \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid T^{k+1}(x, y) \in R \times D_{1/q}(s_k) \text{ for all } k \leq -1\}.$$

Moreover,

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{A}_T = \bigcup_{\dots, s_{-3}, s_{-2}, s_{-1} \in \mathbb{F}} H(g^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})}).$$

Proof. We first construct a family of $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz functions $g_n^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})} : R \rightarrow R$, indexed by the set of sequences $(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})$ in \mathbb{F} and integers $n \geq 0$. When $n = 0$, we define $g_0^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})} \equiv s_{-1}$, and thus in this case

$$(23) \quad H_{1/q}(g_0^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})}) = R \times D_{1/q}(s_{-1}).$$

To ease notation, set $\epsilon_n = |a|^n/q^{n+1}$. Fix $n \geq 0$ and assume that the functions $g_n^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})} : R \rightarrow R$ have been constructed for all sequences $(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})$ in \mathbb{F} . Given a sequence $(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})$, we apply Lemma 7 with $g = g_n^{(\dots s_{-4} s_{-3} s_{-2})}$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon_n$, and we obtain $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz functions $g^s : R \rightarrow D_{1/q}(s)$. Setting $g_{n+1}^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})} = g^{s_{-1}}$, for each fixed choice of $\dots, s_{-4}, s_{-3}, s_{-2}$ in \mathbb{F} we have

$$(24) \quad T(H_{\epsilon_n}(g_n^{(\dots s_{-4} s_{-3} s_{-2})})) = \bigcup_{s_{-1} \in \mathbb{F}} H_{\epsilon_{n+1}}(g_{n+1}^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})}).$$

An induction argument using (23) and (24) shows that for a sequence $(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})$, we have

$$(25) \quad H_{\epsilon_n}(g_n^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})}) = \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid T^{k+1}(x, y) \in R \times D_{1/q}(s_k) \text{ for all } -n-1 \leq k \leq -1\},$$

from which it follows that $H_{\epsilon_{n+1}}(g_{n+1}^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})}) \subseteq H_{\epsilon_n}(g_n^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})})$. The limit

$$g^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g_n^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})}(t)$$

exists and is $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz, and since $H(g^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})}) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} H_{\epsilon_n}(g_n^{(\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1})})$ we obtain (21).

Since $\mathcal{A}_T = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} T^n(R^2)$ is the set of all points in R^2 whose entire (backward) orbit is contained in R^2 , and since each such point must have some backward trajectory through the q sets in the partition $\{R \times D_{1/q}(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{F}\}$ of R^2 , we obtain (22). \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Fix a bisequence $\mathbf{s} = (\dots s_{-2}s_{-1}.s_0s_1s_2\dots) \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then the functions $f^{(s_0s_1s_2\dots)} : R \rightarrow R$ and $g^{(\dots s_{-3}s_{-2}s_{-1})} : R \rightarrow R$ constructed in Lemmas 8 and 9 are $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz. It follows from Lemma 4 (c) that the curves $H(g^{(\dots s_{-3}s_{-2}s_{-1})})$ and $V(f^{(s_0s_1s_2\dots)})$ intersect at a single point in R^2 . Denoting this point of intersection by $\omega(\mathbf{s})$, we obtain a function $\omega : \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$.

Given a point $(x, y) \in \mathcal{A}_T$, it follows from the fact that $T(R^2) \subseteq R^2$ and (3) that every point in its T -orbit is contained in R^2 ; hence every point in its orbit is contained in one of the q sets $R \times D_{1/q}(s)$ for $s \in \mathbb{F}$, and similarly every point in its orbit is contained in one of the q sets $D_{1/q}(s) \times R$ for $s \in \mathbb{F}$. Define $\mathbf{s} = (s_k) \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by $T^k(x, y) \in D_{1/q}(s_k) \times R$ for $k \geq 0$, and $T^{k+1}(x, y) \in R \times D_{1/q}(s_k)$ for $k \leq -1$. The function $(x, y) \mapsto \mathbf{s}$ defines an inverse $\omega^{-1} : \mathcal{A}_T \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by Lemma 8 and Lemma 9, and so ω is bijective.

That ω and ω^{-1} are continuous follows as in [1] Theorem 28. To summarize this argument, recall that the cylinder sets

$$\Sigma_{t_{-N}, \dots, t_N} = \{\mathbf{s} = (s_k) \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid s_k = t_k \text{ for all } |k| \leq N\}.$$

form a neighborhood base for the topology on $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. It follows from (20) and (25) that $\omega(\Sigma_{t_{-N}, \dots, t_N})$ is $V_\delta(f) \cap H_\epsilon(g)$ for certain functions $f, g : R \rightarrow R$ and certain radii $\delta, \epsilon > 0$. As $V_\delta(f)$ and $H_\epsilon(g)$ are topologically open, this shows that ω^{-1} is continuous. It is a standard exercise in basic topology to show that a continuous bijection of compact sets has a continuous inverse, and thus ω is a homeomorphism.

To see that $\omega \circ \sigma = T \circ \omega$, fix $\mathbf{s} = (s_k) \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let $\mathbf{t} = (t_k) = \sigma(\mathbf{s})$; thus $t_k = s_{k+1}$. By Lemma 8 and Lemma 9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^k(\omega(\mathbf{s})) &\in D_{1/q}(s_k) \times R && \text{for all } k \geq 0 \\ T^{k+1}(\omega(\mathbf{s})) &\in R \times D_{1/q}(s_k) && \text{for all } k \leq -1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} T^k(T(\omega(\mathbf{s}))) &\in D_{1/q}(t_k) \times R && \text{for all } k \geq 0 \\ T^{k+1}(T(\omega(\mathbf{s}))) &\in R \times D_{1/q}(t_k) && \text{for all } k \leq -2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear from (1) and the fact that $T(R^2) \subseteq R^2$ that $T(D_{1/q}(s) \times R) \subseteq R \times D_{1/q}(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{F}$, and therefore

$$T(\omega(\mathbf{s})) \in T(D_{1/q}(s_0) \times R) \subseteq R \times D_{1/q}(s_0) = R \times D_{1/q}(t_{-1}).$$

We conclude that

$$T(\omega(\mathbf{s})) \in H(g^{(\dots t_{-3}t_{-2}t_{-1})}) \cap V(f^{(t_0t_1t_2\dots)})$$

and therefore using Lemma 8 and Lemma 9 we find that $T(\omega(\mathbf{s})) = \omega(\mathbf{t})$; in other words, $T(\omega(\mathbf{s})) = \omega(\sigma(\mathbf{s}))$. \square

4. THE BERNOULLI MEASURE AND EQUIDISTRIBUTION OF FORWARD ORBITS

4.1. **Symbolic dynamics and the Bernoulli measure on $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.** Recall that $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the set of bisequences

$$(s_k) = (\dots s_{-3}s_{-2}s_{-1}.s_0s_1s_2s_3\dots)$$

where each $s_k \in \mathbb{F}$. The *cylinder sets*

$$(26) \quad \{(s_k) \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid s_k = t_k \text{ for all } |k| \leq M\} \quad (M \geq 0, t_{-M}, \dots, t_M \in \mathbb{F})$$

are a base of open sets for a compact topology on $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and the shift map $\sigma : \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined in (5) is a homeomorphism.

Let μ_σ be the σ -invariant uniform Bernoulli measure on the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Thus $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ has μ_σ -measure 1, and μ_σ assigns measure $1/q^{2M+1}$ to each cylinder set described in (26). More generally, given any Borel subset $E \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ we have $\mu_\sigma(E) = \inf \sum_j \mu_\sigma(U_j)$, the infimum over all countable covers of E by cylinder sets U_j of the form (26).

Now let $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ be the sub- σ -algebra of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ consisting of those Borel subsets $E \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the property that

$$(\dots s_{-3}s_{-2}s_{-1}.s_0s_1s_2s_3\dots) \in E \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\dots s'_{-3}s'_{-2}s'_{-1}.s_0s_1s_2s_3\dots) \in E$$

for any choice of $\dots, s'_{-3}, s'_{-2}, s'_{-1} \in \mathbb{F}$. In other words, $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ consists of those Borel subsets of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ which are closed under changing any of the negatively indexed terms of its elements.

Lemma 10. *Let $E \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ have μ_σ -measure zero. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, E is covered by a countable collection of cylinder sets of the form*

$$(27) \quad \{(s_k) \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid s_k = t_k \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq M\} \quad (M \geq 0, t_0, \dots, t_M \in \mathbb{F})$$

in $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}})$, the sum of whose μ_σ -measures is $\leq \epsilon$.

Proof. Letting $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ denote the space of sequences $(s_0s_1s_2\dots)$ with each $s_k \in \mathbb{F}$, define a forgetful map $P : \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ by $P(\dots s_{-3}s_{-2}s_{-1}.s_0s_1s_2s_3\dots) = (s_0s_1s_2s_3\dots)$. The map $E \mapsto P(E)$ is a measure-preserving bijection from $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ to the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}})$ of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$. Thus the statement of the lemma follows at once from the definition of the uniform Bernoulli measure defined on the Borel σ -algebra of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$. \square

4.2. **The equidistribution of forward orbits.** Define μ_T to be unit Borel measure on K^2 obtained as the pushforward of the Bernoulli measure μ_σ via the homeomorphism $\omega : \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$ described in Theorem 1. In other words, $\mu_T(A) = \mu_\sigma(\omega^{-1}(A))$ for all Borel subsets A of K^2 . The measure μ_T is supported on \mathcal{A}_T , and it is T -invariant by Theorem 1 and the fact that μ_σ is σ -invariant.

We say a point $(x, y) \in K^2$ is μ_T -generic if its forward orbit $\{T^n(x, y)\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$ is μ_T -equidistributed, in the sense that for all continuous functions $F : K^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(28) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F(T^n(x, y)) = \int F d\mu_T.$$

Lemma 11. μ_T -almost all points in \mathcal{A}_T are μ_T -generic.

Proof. Let $\{B_j\}$ be the (countable) collection of all balls in R^2 . Let A be the space of all finite real linear combinations of the characteristic functions χ_{B_j} of these balls. As $\chi_{B_j}\chi_{B_{j'}} = \chi_{B_j \cap B_{j'}}$ and $B_j \cap B_{j'}$ is either the empty set or itself a ball, the space A is a point-separating subalgebra of the space $\mathcal{C}(R^2)$ of all continuous real-valued functions on R^2 , and therefore A is dense in $\mathcal{C}(R^2)$ by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

By the topological conjugacy $\omega : \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$ and the fact that the shift map on $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is ergodic ([9] Thm 1.12) with respect to μ_σ , we know that the map $T : \mathcal{A}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$ is ergodic with respect to μ_T . It follows from the Birkhoff ergodic theorem ([9] Thm 1.14) that for each ball B_j in R^2 , the limit (28) holds for $F = \chi_{B_j}$ and for all (x, y) in a set $S_j \subseteq \mathcal{A}_T$ of full μ_T -measure in \mathcal{A}_T . Thus $S = \bigcap_j S_j$ has full μ_T -measure in \mathcal{A}_T and (28) holds for all $F = \chi_{B_j}$ and for all $(x, y) \in S$. That (28) holds for all continuous $F : K^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and all points $(x, y) \in S$ follows from a standard argument approximating F uniformly in R^2 by a real linear combination of the χ_{B_j} , which we omit. \square

In order to deduce Theorem 2 from Lemma 11, we introduce a certain collection of subsets of R^2 which play a role analogous to the stable manifolds in smooth dynamics. Recall from the proof of Theorem 1 that, because $T(R^2) \subseteq R^2$, the forward orbit of each point in R^2 traverses some trajectory through the q sets in the partition $\{D_{1/q}(s) \times R \mid s \in \mathbb{F}\}$ of R^2 . Thus each point $(x, y) \in R^2$ determines a sequence $(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)$ with $s_k \in \mathbb{F}$ by

$$(29) \quad T^k(x, y) \in D_{1/q}(s_k) \times R \text{ for all } k \geq 0.$$

Define an equivalence relation on R^2 in which two points $(x, y), (x', y') \in R^2$ are equivalent if and only if (in an obvious notation) $s_k = s'_k$ for all $k \geq 0$. Denote by $W_{x,y}$ the equivalence class of the point $(x, y) \in R^2$. From Lemma 8 we recall that if the point $(x, y) \in R^2$ and the sequence $(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)$ are related by (29), we have

$$W_{x,y} = V(f^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)}).$$

In other words, $W_{x,y}$ may also be described as the vertical curve associated to the $(1/q)$ -Lipschitz function $f^{(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)} : R \rightarrow R$ constructed in Lemma 8.

The following lemma states that each equivalence class $W_{x,y}$ consists either entirely of μ_T -generic points or entirely of non- μ_T -generic points. The proof is based on the principle that any two points in $W_{x,y}$ have asymptotically the same forward orbit.

Lemma 12. *If $(x, y) \in R^2$ is μ_T -generic, then every point in $W_{x,y}$ is μ_T -generic.*

Proof. Assume that $(x, y) \in R^2$ is μ_T -generic and let $(x', y') \in W_{x,y}$. We will show that

$$(30) \quad \|T^n(x, y) - T^n(x', y')\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By hypothesis, there exists a sequence $(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)$ in \mathbb{F} such that both $T^k(x, y)$ and $T^k(x', y')$ are in $D_{1/q}(s_k) \times R$ for all $k \geq 0$. Fixing $n \geq 1$, we deduce that both $T^k(T^n(x, y))$ and $T^k(T^n(x', y'))$ are in $D_{1/q}(t_k) \times R$ for all $k \geq -n$, where $t_k = s_{k+n}$. Thus whenever $k \geq -n$, we have

$$T^{k+1}(T^n(x, y)) \in T(D_{1/q}(t_k) \times R) \subseteq R \times D_{1/q}(t_k)$$

and likewise for $T^{k+1}(T^n(x', y'))$. We deduce using (20) and (25) that both $T^n(x, y)$ and $T^n(x', y')$ are elements of

$$V(f^{(t_0 t_1 t_2 \dots)}) \cap H_{\epsilon_{n-1}}(g_{n-1}^{(\dots t_{-3} t_{-2} t_{-1})}),$$

which by Lemma 4 **(d)** is contained in a ball of radius ϵ_{n-1} . As $\epsilon_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$, we conclude (30).

Given a continuous function $F : K^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, it must be uniformly continuous on R^2 by compactness, and so a standard argument shows that (30) implies

$$(31) \quad |F(T^n(x, y)) - F(T^n(x', y'))| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F(T^n(x', y')) - \int F d\mu_T \right| &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |F(T^n(x', y')) - F(T^n(x, y))| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F(T^n(x, y)) - \int F d\mu_T \right| \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F(T^n(x', y')) - \int F d\mu_T \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty,$$

completing the proof that (x', y') is μ_T -generic. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let λ denote Haar measure on the Borel σ -algebra of K^2 , normalized so that $\lambda(R^2) = 1$. We first show that the set

$$E = \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid (x, y) \text{ is not } \mu_T\text{-generic}\}$$

has Haar measure zero. By Lemma 11, $E \cap \mathcal{A}_T$ has μ_T -measure zero, and by Lemma 12, $E \cap \mathcal{A}_T$ is the image under $\omega : \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$ of a set in the sub- σ -algebra $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ of the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}})$. Fixing $\epsilon > 0$, it follows from Lemma 10 that there exists a countable collection $\{U_j\}$ of subsets of \mathcal{A}_T satisfying the following properties:

- $E \cap \mathcal{A}_T \subseteq \cup_j U_j$
- each U_j is the image under $\omega : \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$ of a cylinder set of the form (27)
- $\sum_j \mu_T(U_j) \leq \epsilon$.

Note that for every $(x, y) \in R^2$, the set $W_{x,y}$ contains (uncountably many) points of \mathcal{A}_T . Indeed, if the sequence $(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)$ is defined by (29), then we may select $\dots, s_{-3}, s_{-2}, s_{-1}$ in \mathbb{F} arbitrarily and take $(x', y') = \omega((\dots s_{-3} s_{-2} s_{-1} s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots)) \in \mathcal{A}_T \cap W_{x,y}$. Using Lemma 12 along with the facts that each $W_{x,y}$ meets \mathcal{A}_T and that

the U_j cover $E \cap \mathcal{A}_T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (32) \quad E &= \bigcup_{(x,y) \in E} W_{x,y} \\
 &= \bigcup_{(x,y) \in E \cap \mathcal{A}_T} W_{x,y} \\
 &\subseteq \bigcup_j \bigcup_{(x,y) \in U_j} W_{x,y}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each j , the set U_j is the image under ω of a cylinder set of the form (27), and using (20) we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bigcup_{(x,y) \in U_j} W_{x,y} &= \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid T^k(x, y) \in D_{1/q}(t_k) \times R \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq M\} \\
 &= V_{\delta_M}(f^{(t_0 t_1 t_2 \dots)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that by Lemma 4 **(b)**, $V_{\delta_M}(f^{(t_0 t_1 t_2 \dots)})$ is a union of $1/\delta_M$ balls of radius δ_M , and so its Haar measure is $(1/\delta_M)\delta_M^2 = \delta_M = 1/q^{M+1} = \mu_T(U_j)$. We have shown that

$$\lambda\left(\bigcup_{(x,y) \in U_j} W_{x,y}\right) = \mu_T(U_j)$$

and we obtain

$$\lambda(E) \leq \sum_j \lambda\left(\bigcup_{(x,y) \in U_j} W_{x,y}\right) = \sum_j \mu_T(U_j) \leq \epsilon.$$

As $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude that E has Haar measure zero.

Using the fact that any two points in the same orbit have (eventually) the same forward orbit, it is not hard to see that if a point $(x, y) \in K^2$ is μ_T -generic, then every point in its orbit $\{T^n(x, y)\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is μ_T -generic. Also, a straightforward argument using the fact that polynomial maps are Lipschitz on bounded sets implies that if $E \subseteq K^2$ has Haar measure zero, then so does $T^{-1}(E)$. We conclude from these facts and (4) that

$$\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_T \mid (x, y) \text{ is not } \mu_T\text{-generic}\} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} T^{-n}(E)$$

has Haar measure zero. □

5. THE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF \mathcal{A}_T

We follow the notation and definitions in [6] §11.2-11.3 for our discussion of Hausdorff dimension. Given a subset $A \subseteq K^2$, let

$$\text{diam}(A) = \sup\{\|(x, y) - (x', y')\| \mid (x, y), (x', y') \in A\}$$

denote its diameter, and note that by the strong triangle inequality, the diameter of a ball in K^2 is the same as its radius.

For parameters $p \geq 0$ and $\delta \in q^{\mathbb{Z}}$, set

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,\delta}(A) = \inf \sum_j \text{diam}(B_j)^p,$$

the infimum over all countable covers $\{B_j\}$ of A with $\text{diam}(B_j) \leq \delta$. The p -dimensional Hausdorff outer measure of A is defined by

$$(33) \quad \mathcal{H}_p(A) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_{p,\delta}(A).$$

The Hausdorff dimension of A is the unique nonnegative real number $\dim A$ satisfying

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_p(A) &= 0 \text{ for all } p > \dim A, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{H}_p(A) &= +\infty \text{ for all } p < \dim A. \end{aligned}$$

For the existence of the limit (33) and of such a unique real number satisfying (34), see [6] §11.2.

Lemma 13. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\mu_T(A) \leq C \cdot \text{diam}(A)^\alpha$ for all Borel measurable subsets A of K^2 , where $\alpha = 1 + 1/(1 + \log_q(1/|a|))$.*

Proof. If $\mu_T(A) = 0$, there is nothing to prove, so assume $\mu_T(A) > 0$. Since μ_T is supported on \mathcal{A}_T , there exists a point $(x_0, y_0) \in A \cap \mathcal{A}_T$. Letting $r = \text{diam}(A)$, we have $A \subseteq B_r(x_0, y_0)$, and using Theorem 1, we have $\omega(\mathbf{t}) = (x_0, y_0)$ for some $\mathbf{t} = (t_k) \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

In the notation of Lemmas 8 and 9, we let n and m be the positive integers for which $\delta_{n+1} < r \leq \delta_n$ and $\epsilon_{m+1} < r \leq \epsilon_m$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0, y_0) &= \omega(\mathbf{t}) \in V(f^{(t_0 t_1 t_2 \dots)}) \cap H(g^{(\dots t_{-3} t_{-2} t_{-1})}) \\ &\subseteq V_{\delta_n}(f_n^{(t_0 t_1 t_2 \dots)}) \cap H_{\epsilon_m}(g_m^{(\dots t_{-3} t_{-2} t_{-1})}) \end{aligned}$$

and so using Lemma 4 (a) we have

$$B_r(x_0, y_0) \subseteq V_{\delta_n}(f_n^{(t_0 t_1 t_2 \dots)}) \cap H_{\epsilon_m}(g_m^{(\dots t_{-3} t_{-2} t_{-1})}).$$

If a point $\mathbf{s} = (s_k) \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies $\omega(\mathbf{s}) \in V_{\delta_n}(f_n^{(t_0 t_1 t_2 \dots)}) \cap H_{\epsilon_m}(g_m^{(\dots t_{-3} t_{-2} t_{-1})})$, then (20), (25), and Theorem 1 imply that $s_k = t_k$ for all $-(m+1) \leq k \leq n$. It follows from the definition of μ_T as the pushforward of μ_σ that the μ_T -measure of $V_{\delta_n}(f_n^{(t_0 t_1 t_2 \dots)}) \cap H_{\epsilon_m}(g_m^{(\dots t_{-3} t_{-2} t_{-1})})$ is bounded above by $1/q^{m+n+2}$.

Recall that $r > \delta_{n+1} = 1/q^{n+2}$ and $r > \epsilon_{m+1} = |a|^{m+1}/q^{m+2} > (|a|/q)^{m+2}$. We therefore have $-(m+2) < \frac{\log_q r}{1 + \log_q(1/|a|)}$, and so

$$1/q^{m+2} < r^{\frac{1}{1 + \log_q(1/|a|)}}.$$

We conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_T(A) &\leq \mu_T(B_r(x_0, y_0)) \\ &\leq \mu_T(V_{\delta_n}(f_n^{(t_0 t_1 t_2 \dots)}) \cap H_{\epsilon_m}(g_m^{(\dots t_{-3} t_{-2} t_{-1})})) \\ &\leq 1/q^{m+n+2} \\ &< q^2 r^{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \log_q(1/|a|)}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\alpha = 1 + 1/(1 + \log_q(1/|a|))$. The following standard argument (the mass distribution principle) uses Lemma 13 to show that the Hausdorff dimension of \mathcal{A}_T is at least α . Assuming that $\{B_j\}$ is a countable cover of \mathcal{A}_T , we may assume without loss of generality that each B_j is closed since $\text{diam}(B_j) = \text{diam}(\overline{B_j})$. We have

$$1 = \mu_T(\mathcal{A}_T) \leq \mu_T(\cup_j B_j) \leq \sum_j \mu_T(B_j) \leq C \sum_j \text{diam}(B_j)^\alpha$$

and therefore $\mathcal{H}_\alpha(A) \geq 1/C > 0$. We conclude that $\dim \mathcal{A}_T \geq \alpha$.

To prove the opposite inequality, let $n \geq 1$ and set $\epsilon_n = |a|^n/q^{n+1}$. Recall from the proof of Lemma 9 that \mathcal{A}_T is covered by q^n sets of the form $H_{\epsilon_n}(g)$. By Lemma 4 (b), each set $H_{\epsilon_n}(g)$ is covered by $1/\epsilon_n$ balls of radius ϵ_n . Thus \mathcal{A}_T is covered by q^n/ϵ_n balls of radius ϵ_n , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \epsilon_n}(\mathcal{A}_T) &\leq (q^n/\epsilon_n)\epsilon_n^\alpha \\ &= q^n(|a|^n/q^{n+1})^{\alpha-1} \\ &= q^{n+(\alpha-1)(n \log_q |a| - n - 1)} \\ &= q^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ we find $\mathcal{H}_\alpha(\mathcal{A}_T) \leq q^{1-\alpha} < +\infty$ and hence $\dim \mathcal{A}_T \leq \alpha$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. ALLEN, D. DEMARK, AND C. PETSCHÉ, *Non-Archimedean Hénon maps, attractors, and horseshoes*, Res. Number Theory, 4 (2018), pp. Art. 5, 30.
- [2] R. BENEDETTO, J.-Y. BRIEND, AND H. PERDRY, *Dynamique des polynômes quadratiques sur les corps locaux*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux, 19 (2007), pp. 325–336.
- [3] M. BENEDICKS AND L. CARLESON, *The dynamics of the Hénon map*, Ann. of Math. (2), 133 (1991), pp. 73–169.
- [4] R. DEVANEY, *An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems*, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA, 2nd ed., 1989.
- [5] R. DEVANEY AND Z. NITECKI, *Shift automorphisms in the Hénon mapping*, Comm. Math. Phys., 67 (1979), pp. 137–146.
- [6] G. B. FOLLAND, *Real analysis*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, second ed., 1999. Modern techniques and their applications, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [7] M. HÉNON, *A two-dimensional mapping with a strange attractor*, Comm. Math. Phys., 50 (1976), pp. 69–77.
- [8] S. SMALE, *Differentiable dynamical systems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73 (1967), pp. 747–817.
- [9] P. WALTERS, *An introduction to ergodic theory*, vol. 79 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [10] C. F. WOODCOCK AND N. P. SMART, *p-adic chaos and random number generation*, Experiment. Math., 7 (1998), pp. 333–342.
- [11] L.-S. YOUNG, *What are SRB measures, and which dynamical systems have them?*, J. Statist. Phys., 108 (2002), pp. 733–754.

CLAYTON PETSCHÉ; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS; OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY; CORVALLIS OR 97331 U.S.A.

E-mail address: petschec@math.oregonstate.edu