

# Minimax theorems in a fully non-convex setting

*Dedicated to Professor Wataru Takahashi, with esteem and friendship, on his 75th birthday*

BIAGIO RICCERI

**Abstract.** In this paper, we establish two minimax theorems for functions  $f : X \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , where  $I$  is a real interval, without assuming that  $f(x, \cdot)$  is quasi-concave. Also, some related applications are presented.

**Keywords.** Minimax theorem; Connectedness; Real interval; Global extremum.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 49J35; 49K35; 49K27; 90C47.

The most known minimax theorem ([7]) ensures the occurrence of the equality

$$\sup_Y \inf_X f = \inf_X \sup_Y f$$

for a function  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  under the following assumptions:  $X, Y$  are convex sets in Hausdorff topological vector spaces, one of them is compact,  $f$  is lower semicontinuous and quasi-convex in  $X$ , and upper semicontinuous and quasi-concave in  $Y$ .

In the past years, we provided some contributions to the subject where, keeping the assumption of quasi-concavity on  $f(x, \cdot)$ , we proposed alternative hypotheses on  $f(\cdot, y)$ . Precisely, in [2], we assumed the inf-connectedness of  $f(\cdot, y)$  and, the same time, that  $Y$  is a real interval, while, in [5], we assumed the inf-compactness and uniqueness of the global minimum of  $f(\cdot, y)$ .

In the present paper, we offer a new contribution where the hypothesis that  $f(x, \cdot)$  is quasi-concave is no longer assumed.

Let  $T$  be a topological space. A function  $g : T \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty[$  is said to be relatively inf-compact if, for each  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ , there exists a compact set  $K \subseteq T$  such that  $g^{-1}(-\infty, r] \subseteq K$ . Moreover,  $g$  is said to be inf-connected if, for each  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ , the set  $g^{-1}(-\infty, r]$  is connected. For the basic notions on multifunctions, we refer to [1].

Our main results are as follows:

**THEOREM 1.** - *Let  $X$  be a topological space, let  $I$  be a real interval and let  $f : X \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a continuous function such that, for each  $\lambda \in I$ , the set of all global minima of the function  $f(\cdot, \lambda)$  is connected. Moreover, assume that there exists a non-decreasing sequence of compact intervals,  $\{I_n\}$ , with  $I = \cup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} I_n$ , such that, for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (a<sub>1</sub>) *the function  $\inf_{\lambda \in I_n} f(\cdot, \lambda)$  is relatively inf-compact ;*
- (b<sub>1</sub>) *for each  $x \in X$ , the set of all global maxima of the restriction of the function  $f(x, \cdot)$  to  $I_n$  is connected.*

*Then, one has*

$$\sup_Y \inf_X f = \inf_X \sup_Y f .$$

**THEOREM 2.** - *Let  $X$  be a topological space, let  $I$  be a compact real interval and let  $f : X \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be an upper semicontinuous function such that  $f(\cdot, \lambda)$  is continuous for all  $\lambda \in I$ . Assume that:*

- (a<sub>2</sub>) *there exists a set  $D \subseteq I$ , dense in  $I$ , such that the function  $f(\cdot, \lambda)$  is inf-connected for all  $\lambda \in D$  ;*
- (b<sub>2</sub>) *for each  $x \in X$ , the set of all global maxima of the function  $f(x, \cdot)$  is connected.*

*Then, one has*

$$\sup_Y \inf_X f = \inf_X \sup_Y f .$$

REMARK 1. - We want to remark that, in both Theorems 1 and 2, it is essential that  $I$  be a real interval. To see this, consider the following example. Take

$$X = I = \{(t, s) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : t^2 + s^2 = 1\}$$

and define  $f : X \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$f(t, s, u, v) = tu + sv$$

for all  $(t, s), (u, v) \in X$ . Clearly,  $f$  is continuous,  $f(\cdot, \cdot, u, v)$  is inf-connected and has a unique global minimum, and  $f(t, s, \cdot, \cdot)$  has a unique global maximum. However, we have

$$\sup_X \inf_I f = -1 < 1 = \inf_X \sup_I f .$$

The common key tool in our proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 is provided by the following general principle:

THEOREM A ([2], Theorem 2.2). - Let  $X$  be a topological space, let  $I$  be a compact real interval and let  $S \subseteq X \times I$  be a connected set whose projection on  $I$  is the whole of  $I$ .

Then, for every upper semicontinuous multifunction  $\Phi : X \rightarrow 2^I$ , with non-empty, closed and connected values, the graph of  $\Phi$  intersects  $S$ .

Another known proposition which is used in the proof of Theorem 1 is as follows:

PROPOSITION A ([5], Proposition 2.1). - Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $Y$  a non-empty set,  $y_0 \in Y$  and  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  a function such that  $f(\cdot, y)$  is lower semicontinuous for all  $y \in Y$  and relatively inf-compact for  $y = y_0$ . Assume also that there is a non-decreasing sequence of sets  $\{Y_n\}$ , with  $Y = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} Y_n$ , such that

$$\sup_{Y_n} \inf_X f = \inf_X \sup_{Y_n} f$$

for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Then, one has

$$\sup_Y \inf_X f = \inf_X \sup_Y f .$$

A further result which is used in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 is provided by the following proposition which, in the given generality, is new:

PROPOSITION 1. - Let  $X, Y$  be two topological spaces and let  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a lower semicontinuous function such that  $f(x, \cdot)$  is continuous for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, assume that, for each  $y \in Y$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $y$  such that the function  $\inf_{v \in V} f(\cdot, v)$  is relatively inf-compact. For each  $y \in Y$ , set

$$F(y) = \left\{ u \in X : f(u, y) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x, y) \right\} .$$

Then, the multifunction  $F$  is upper semicontinuous.

PROOF. Let  $C \subseteq X$  be a closed set. We have to prove that  $F^-(C)$  is closed. So, let  $\{y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in D}$  be a net in  $F^-(C)$  converging to some  $\tilde{y} \in Y$ . For each  $\alpha \in D$ , pick  $u_\alpha \in F(y_\alpha) \cap C$ . By assumption, there is a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $\tilde{y}$  such that the function  $\inf_{v \in V} f(\cdot, v)$  is relatively inf-compact. Since the function  $\inf_{x \in X} f(x, \cdot)$  is upper semicontinuous, we can assume that it is bounded above on  $V$ . Fix  $\rho > \sup_V \inf_X f$ . Then, there is a compact set  $K \subseteq X$  such that

$$\left\{ x \in X : \inf_{v \in V} f(x, v) < \rho \right\} \subseteq K .$$

But

$$\left\{ x \in X : \inf_{v \in V} f(x, v) < \rho \right\} = \bigcup_{v \in V} \{x \in X : f(x, v) < \rho\}$$

and so

$$\bigcup_{v \in V} \{x \in X : f(x, v) < \rho\} \subseteq K . \quad (1)$$

Let  $\alpha_1 \in D$  be such that  $y_\alpha \in V$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$ . Consequently, by (1),  $u_\alpha \in K$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$ . By compactness, the net  $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in D}$  has a cluster point  $\tilde{u} \in K$ . Clearly,  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{y})$  is a cluster point in  $X \times Y$  of the net  $\{(u_\alpha, y_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in D}$ . We claim that

$$f(\tilde{u}, \tilde{y}) \leq \limsup_{\alpha} f(u_\alpha, y_\alpha) .$$

Arguing by contradiction, assume the contrary and fix  $r$  so that

$$\limsup_{\alpha} f(u_\alpha, y_\alpha) < r < f(\tilde{u}, \tilde{y}) .$$

Then, there would be  $\alpha_2 \in D$  such that

$$f(u_\alpha, y_\alpha) < r$$

for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_2$ . On the other hand, since, by assumption, the set  $f^{-1}(]r, +\infty[)$  is open, there would be  $\alpha_3 \geq \alpha_2$  such that

$$r < f(u_{\alpha_3}, y_{\alpha_3})$$

which gives a contradiction. Now, fix  $x \in X$ . Then, since  $u_\alpha \in F(y_\alpha)$ , we have

$$f(\tilde{u}, \tilde{y}) \leq \limsup_{\alpha} f(u_\alpha, y_\alpha) \leq \lim_{\alpha} f(x, y_\alpha) = f(x, \tilde{y}) .$$

That is,  $\tilde{u} \in F(\tilde{y})$ . Since  $C$  is closed,  $\tilde{u} \in C$ . Hence,  $\tilde{y} \in F^-(C)$  and this ends the proof.  $\triangle$

We now can prove Theorems 1 and 2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Fix  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let us prove that

$$\sup_{I_n} \inf_X f = \inf_X \sup_{I_n} f . \quad (2)$$

Consider the multifunction  $F : I_n \rightarrow 2^X$  defined by

$$F(\lambda) = \left\{ u \in X : f(u, \lambda) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x, \lambda) \right\}$$

for all  $\lambda \in I_n$ . Thanks to Proposition 1,  $F$  is upper semicontinuous and, by assumption, its values are non-empty, compact and connected. As a consequence, by Theorem 7.4.4 of [1], the graph of  $F$  is connected. Let  $S$  denote the graph of the inverse of  $F$ . So,  $S$  is connected as it is homeomorphic to the graph of  $F$ . Now, consider the multifunction  $\Phi : X \rightarrow 2^{I_n}$  defined by

$$\Phi(x) = \left\{ \mu \in I_n : f(x, \mu) = \sup_{\lambda \in I_n} f(x, \lambda) \right\}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . By Proposition 1 again, the multifunction  $\Phi$  is upper semicontinuous and, by assumption, its values are non-empty, closed and connected. After noticing that the projection of  $S$  on  $I_n$  is the whole of  $I_n$ , we can apply Theorem A. Therefore, there exists  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\lambda}) \in S$  such that  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \Phi(\tilde{x})$ . That is

$$f(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\lambda}) = \inf_{x \in X} f(x, \tilde{\lambda}) = \sup_{\lambda \in I_n} f(\tilde{x}, \lambda) . \quad (3)$$

Clearly, (2) follows from (3). Now, the conclusion is a direct consequence of Proposition A.  $\triangle$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Arguing by contradiction, assume the contrary and fix a constant  $r$  so that

$$\sup_I \inf_X f < r < \inf_X \sup_I f .$$

Let  $G : I \rightarrow 2^X$  be the multifunction defined by

$$G(\lambda) = \{x \in X : f(x, \lambda) < r\}$$

for all  $\lambda \in I$ . Notice that  $G(\lambda)$  is non-empty for all  $\lambda \in I$  and connected for all  $\lambda \in D$ . Moreover, the graph of  $G$  is open in  $X \times I$  and so  $G$  is lower semicontinuous. Then, by Proposition 5.7 of [3], the graph of  $G$  is connected and so the graph of the inverse of  $G$ , say  $S$ , is connected too. Consider the multifunction  $\Phi : X \rightarrow 2^I$  defined by

$$\Phi(x) = \left\{ \mu \in I : f(x, \mu) = \sup_{\lambda \in I} f(x, \lambda) \right\}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Notice that  $\Phi(x)$  is non-empty, closed and connected, in view of  $(b_2)$ . By Proposition 1, the multifunction  $\Phi$  is upper semicontinuous. Now, we can apply Theorem A. So, there exists  $(\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda}) \in S$  such that  $\hat{\lambda} \in \Phi(\hat{x})$ . This implies that

$$f(\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda}) < r < \inf_X \sup_I f \leq \sup_{\lambda \in I} f(\hat{x}, \lambda) = f(\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda})$$

which is absurd. △

Here is an application of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 3.** - *Let  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a real inner product space, let  $K \subset H$  be a compact and convex set, with  $0 \notin K$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow K$  be a continuous function, where*

$$X = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}} \lambda K .$$

*Assume that there are two numbers  $\alpha, c$ , with*

$$\inf_{x \in X} \|f(x)\| < c < \|f(0)\| ,$$

*such that:*

- (a)  $\{x \in X : \langle x, f(x) \rangle = \alpha\} \subset \{x \in X : \|f(x)\| < c\}$  ;
- (b)  $\{x \in X : c^2 \langle x, f(x) \rangle = \alpha \|f(x)\|^2\} \subset \{x \in X : \|f(x)\| \geq c\}$  .

*Then, there exists  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbf{R}$  such that the set*

$$\{x \in X : x = \tilde{\lambda} f(x)\}$$

*is disconnected.*

**PROOF.** Consider the function  $\varphi : X \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = \|x - \lambda f(x)\|^2 - c^2 \lambda^2 + 2\alpha \lambda$$

for all  $(x, \lambda) \in X \times \mathbf{R}$ . Notice that

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = \|x\|^2 + (\|f(x)\|^2 - c^2) \lambda^2 - 2(\langle x, f(x) \rangle - \alpha) \lambda . \quad (4)$$

Further, observe that, when  $\|f(x)\| \geq c$ , in view of (a), we have

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}} \varphi(x, \lambda) = +\infty \quad (5)$$

as well as

$$\varphi(x, -\lambda) \neq \varphi(x, \lambda) \quad (6)$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$ . When  $\|f(x)\| \geq c$  again, the function  $\varphi(x, \cdot)$  is convex and so, by (6), for each  $\lambda > 0$ , its restriction to  $[-\lambda, \lambda]$  it has a unique global maximum. Clearly,  $\varphi(x, \cdot)$  has the same uniqueness property also

when  $\|f(x)\| < c$ . Now, observe that, for each  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ , the function  $\lambda f$  has a fixed point in  $X$ , in view of the Schauder theorem. Hence, we have

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}} \inf_{x \in X} \varphi(x, \lambda) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}} (-c^2 \lambda^2 + 2\alpha \lambda) = \frac{\alpha^2}{c^2}. \quad (7)$$

We claim that

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{c^2} < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}} \varphi(x, \lambda). \quad (8)$$

First, observe that, since  $0 \notin K$ , every closed and bounded subset of  $X$  is compact. This easily implies that, for each  $\mu > 0$ , the function  $x \rightarrow \inf_{|\lambda| \leq \mu} \varphi(x, \lambda)$  is relatively inf-compact. Consequently, the sublevel sets of the function  $x \rightarrow \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}} \varphi(x, \lambda)$  (which is finite if  $\|f(x)\| < c$ ) are compact. Therefore, there exists  $\tilde{x} \in X$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}} \varphi(\tilde{x}, \lambda) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}} \varphi(x, \lambda). \quad (9)$$

So, by (5), one has  $\|f(\tilde{x})\| < c$ . Clearly, we also have

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}} \varphi(\tilde{x}, \lambda) = \|\tilde{x}\|^2 + \frac{|\langle \tilde{x}, f(\tilde{x}) \rangle - \alpha|^2}{c^2 - \|f(\tilde{x})\|^2}. \quad (10)$$

Let us prove that

$$\|\tilde{x}\|^2 + \frac{|\langle \tilde{x}, f(\tilde{x}) \rangle - \alpha|^2}{c^2 - \|f(\tilde{x})\|^2} > \frac{\alpha^2}{c^2}. \quad (11)$$

After some manipulations, one realizes that (11) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{c^2 - \|f(\tilde{x})\|^2} \left( 2\alpha \langle \tilde{x}, f(\tilde{x}) \rangle - |\langle \tilde{x}, f(\tilde{x}) \rangle|^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{c^2} \|f(\tilde{x})\|^2 \right) < \|\tilde{x}\|^2. \quad (12)$$

Now, for each  $y \in X \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , set

$$I(y, t) = \{x \in H : \langle x, y \rangle = t\}.$$

Consider the inequality

$$\frac{1}{c^2 - \|y\|^2} \left( 2\alpha t - t^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{c^2} \|y\|^2 \right) < \frac{t^2}{\|y\|^2}. \quad (13)$$

After some manipulations, one realizes that (13) is equivalent to

$$(\alpha \|y\|^2 - tc^2)^2 > 0.$$

So, (13) is satisfied if and only if

$$\alpha \|y\|^2 \neq tc^2. \quad (14)$$

Observe that

$$\frac{|t|}{\|y\|} = \text{dist}(0, I(y, t)) \leq \text{dist}(0, I(y, t) \cap X). \quad (15)$$

Therefore, if (14) is satisfied, for each  $x \in I(y, t) \cap X$ , in view of (13) and (15), we have

$$\frac{1}{c^2 - \|y\|^2} \left( 2\alpha \langle x, y \rangle - |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{c^2} \|y\|^2 \right) < \|x\|^2. \quad (16)$$

At this point, taking into account that  $c^2 \langle \tilde{x}, f(\tilde{x}) \rangle \neq \alpha \|f(\tilde{x})\|^2$  (by (b)), we draw (12) from (16) since  $\tilde{x} \in I(f(\tilde{x}), \langle \tilde{x}, f(\tilde{x}) \rangle)$ . Summarizing: taking  $I = \mathbf{R}$  and  $I_n = [-n, n]$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ), the continuous function  $\varphi$  satisfies (a<sub>1</sub>) and (b<sub>1</sub>) of Theorem 1, but, in view of (7) – (11), it does not satisfy the conclusion of

that theorem. As a consequence, there exists  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbf{R}$  such that the set of all global minima of  $\varphi(\cdot, \tilde{\lambda})$  is disconnected. But such a set agrees with the set of all solutions of the equation  $x = \tilde{\lambda}f(x)$ , and the proof is complete.  $\triangle$

REMARK 2. - We do not know whether Theorem 3 is still true when  $0 \in K$  and (b) is (necessarily) changed in

$$\{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0, c^2 \langle x, f(x) \rangle = \alpha \|f(x)\|^2\} \subset \{x \in X : \|f(x)\| \geq c\} .$$

However, the proof of Theorem 3 shows that the following is true:

THEOREM 4. - Let  $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a finite-dimensional real Hilbert space and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous function with bounded range. Assume that there are two numbers  $\alpha, c$ , with

$$\inf_{x \in X} \|f(x)\| < c < \|f(0)\| ,$$

such that:

- (a')  $\{x \in X : \langle x, f(x) \rangle = \alpha\} \subset \{x \in X : \|f(x)\| < c\}$  ;  
(b')  $\{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0, c^2 \langle x, f(x) \rangle = \alpha \|f(x)\|^2\} \subset \{x \in X : \|f(x)\| \geq c\}$  .

Then, there exists  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbf{R}$  such that the set

$$\{x \in X : x = \tilde{\lambda}f(x)\}$$

is disconnected.

Finally, we present two applications of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 5. - Let  $X$  be a Banach space, let  $\varphi \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a Lipschitzian functional whose Lipschitz constant is equal to  $\|\varphi\|_{X^*}$ . Moreover, let  $[a, b]$  be a compact real interval,  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  a convex (resp. concave) and continuous function, with  $\text{int}(\gamma^{-1}(\{-1, 1\})) = \emptyset$ , and  $c \in \mathbf{R}$ . Assume that

$$\gamma(a)\psi(x) + ca \neq \gamma(b)\psi(x) + cb$$

for all  $x \in X$  such that  $\psi(x) > 0$  (resp.  $\psi(x) < 0$ ).

Then (with the convention  $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$ ), one has

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \gamma^{-1}(\{-1, 1\})} \inf_{x \in X} (\varphi(x) + \gamma(\lambda)\psi(x) + c\lambda) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in [a, b]} (\varphi(x) + \gamma(\lambda)\psi(x) + c\lambda) .$$

PROOF. Consider the continuous function  $f : X \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$f(x, \lambda) = \varphi(x) + \gamma(\lambda)\psi(x) + c\lambda$$

for all  $(x, \lambda) \in X \times [a, b]$ . By Theorem 2 of [4], for each  $\lambda \in \gamma^{-1}([-1, 1])$ , the function  $f(\cdot, \lambda)$  is inf-connected and unbounded below. Also, notice that  $\gamma^{-1}([-1, 1])$ , by assumption, is dense in  $[a, b]$ . Now fix  $x \in X$ . If  $\psi(x) > 0$  (resp.  $\psi(x) < 0$ ) the function  $f(x, \cdot)$  is convex and, by assumption,  $f(x, a) \neq f(x, b)$ . As a consequence, the unique global maximum of this function is either  $a$  or  $b$ . If  $\psi(x) \leq 0$ , the function is concave and so, obviously, the set of all its global maxima is connected. Now, the conclusion follows directly from Theorem 2.  $\triangle$

Let  $(T, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space,  $E$  a real Banach space and  $p \geq 1$ .

As usual,  $L^p(T, E)$  denotes the space of all (equivalence classes of) strongly  $\mu$ -measurable functions  $u : T \rightarrow E$  such that  $\int_T \|u(t)\|^p d\mu < +\infty$ , equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^p(T, E)} = \left( \int_T \|u(t)\|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .$$

A set  $D \subseteq L^p(T, E)$  is said to be decomposable if, for every  $u, v \in D$  and every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , the function

$$t \rightarrow \chi_A(t)u(t) + (1 - \chi_A(t))v(t)$$

belongs to  $D$ , where  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of  $A$ .

A real-valued function on  $T \times E$  is said to be a Carathéodory function if it is measurable in  $T$  and continuous in  $E$ .

**THEOREM 6.** - *Let  $(T, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite non-atomic measure space,  $E$  a real Banach space,  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ ,  $X \subseteq L^p(T, E)$  a decomposable set,  $[a, b]$  a compact real interval,  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  a convex (resp. concave) and continuous function. Moreover, let  $\varphi, \psi, \omega : T \times E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be three Carathéodory functions such that, for some  $M \in L^1(T)$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{R}$ , one has*

$$\max\{|\varphi(t, x)|, |\psi(t, x)|, |\omega(t, x)|\} \leq M(t) + k\|x\|^p$$

for all  $(t, x) \in T \times E$  and

$$\gamma(a) \int_T \psi(t, u(t))d\mu + a \int_T \omega(t, u(t))d\mu \neq \gamma(b) \int_T \psi(t, u(t))d\mu + b \int_T \omega(t, u(t))d\mu$$

for all  $u \in X$  such that  $\int_T \psi(t, u(t))d\mu > 0$  (resp.  $\int_T \psi(t, u(t))d\mu < 0$ ).

Then, one has

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [a, b]} \inf_{u \in X} \left( \int_T (\varphi(t, u(t)) + \gamma(\lambda)\psi(t, u(t))) + \lambda\omega(t, u(t))d\mu \right) =$$

$$\inf_{u \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in [a, b]} \left( \int_T (\varphi(t, u(t)) + \gamma(\lambda)\psi(t, u(t))) + \lambda\omega(t, u(t))d\mu \right) .$$

**PROOF.** The proof goes on exactly as that of Theorem 5. So, one considers the function  $f : X \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$f(u, \lambda) = \int_T (\varphi(t, u(t)) + \gamma(\lambda)\psi(t, u(t))) + \lambda\omega(t, u(t))d\mu$$

for all  $(u, \lambda) \in X \times [a, b]$ , and realizes that it satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2. In particular, for each  $\lambda \in [a, b]$ , the inf-connectedness of the function  $f(\cdot, \lambda)$  is due to [6], Théorème 7.  $\triangle$

**Acknowledgement.** The author has been supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) and by the Università degli Studi di Catania, "Piano della Ricerca 2016/2018 Linea di intervento 2".

## References

- [1] E. KLEIN and A. C. THOMPSON, *Theory of correspondences*, John Wiley & Sons, 1984.
- [2] B. RICCERI, *Some topological mini-max theorems via an alternative principle for multifunctions*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **60** (1993), 367-377.
- [3] B. RICCERI, *Nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, in "Handbook of Nonconvex Analysis and Applications" D. Y. Gao and D. Motreanu eds., 543-595, International Press, 2010.
- [4] B. RICCERI, *On the infimum of certain functionals*, in "Essays in Mathematics and its Applications - In Honor of Vladimir Arnold", Th. M. Rassias and P. M. Pardalos eds., 361-367, Springer, 2016.

- [5] B. RICCERI, *On a minimax theorem: an improvement, a new proof and an overview of its applications*, *Minimax Theory Appl.*, **2** (2017), 99-152.
- [6] J. SAINT RAYMOND, *Connexité des sous-niveaux des fonctionnelles intégrales*, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **44** (1995), 162-168.
- [7] M. SION, *On general minimax theorems*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **8** (1958), 171-176.

Department of Mathematics and Informatics  
University of Catania  
Viale A. Doria 6  
95125 Catania, Italy  
*e-mail address:* ricceri@dmi.unict.it