BI-LIPSCHITZ EMBEDDINGS OF HEISENBERG SUBMANIFOLDS INTO EUCLIDEAN SPACES

VASILEIOS CHOUSIONIS, SEAN LI, VYRON VELLIS, AND SCOTT ZIMMERMAN

ABSTRACT. The Heisenberg group H equipped with a sub-Riemannian metric is one of the most well known examples of a doubling metric space which does not admit a bi-Lipschitz embedding into any Euclidean space. In this paper we investigate which *subsets* of H bi-Lipschitz embed into Euclidean spaces. We show that there exists a universal constant L > 0 such that lines L -bi-Lipschitz embed into \mathbb{R}^3 and planes *L*-bi-Lipschitz embed into \mathbb{R}^4 . Moreover, $C^{1,1}$ 2-manifolds without characteristic points as well as all*C* 1,1 1-manifolds locally *L*-bi-Lipschitz embed into R ⁴ where the constant *L* is again universal. We also consider several examples of compact surfaces with characteristic points and we prove, for example, that Korányi spheres bi-Lipschitz embed into \mathbb{R}^4 with a uniform constant. Finally, we show that there exists a compact, porous subset of H which does not admit a bi-Lipschitz embedding into any Euclidean space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given two metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) , we say that a mapping $f : X \to Y$ is *L-bi-Lipschitz* for $L \geq 1$ if

$$
L^{-1}d_X(a,b) \le d_Y(f(a), f(b)) \le Ld_X(a,b) \quad \text{for any } a, b \in X.
$$

In this case we say that *X bi-Lipschitz embeds in Y* or that *X admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into Y* . For two metric spaces *X* and *Y* , we define the quantity

Lip(*X*, *Y*) := inf{*L* : there exists *L*-bi-Lipschitz $f : X \to Y$ } ∈ [1,∞]

so that *X* admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into *Y* if and only if $Lip(X, Y) < \infty$.

When does a metric space admit a bi-Lipschitz embedding into some (finite-dimensional) Euclidean space? Spaces admitting such embeddings can roughly be thought to live inside a Euclidean space, and this is very important for a comprehensive study of their geometry. The embedding problem has attracted considerable attention over the years due to its applications in theoretical computer science and, more specifically, in graphic imaging and storage and access issues for large data sets [\[KV15,](#page-22-0) [Nao10\]](#page-22-1).

It is well know that a metric space bi-Lipschitz embeds into some Euclidean space only if it is doubling. Recall that a space is *doubling* if every ball of radius *r* can be covered by at most *N* balls of radii *r* /2 for some fixed *N* > 1. Moreover Assouad [\[Ass77,](#page-22-2) [Ass79,](#page-22-3) [Ass83\]](#page-22-4) showed that, if a metric space (X, d) is doubling, then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, the snowflaked space (X, d^{ϵ}) bi-Lipschitz embeds into some Euclidean space. Nevertheless, the doubling condition is not sufficient for the existence of a bi-Lipschitz embedding into a Euclidean space. The Heisenberg group endowed with a sub-Riemannian metric is probably the most well known example of a doubling space which does not admit bi-Lipschitz embedding into any Euclidean space. This follows from a deep theorem of Pansu [\[Pan89\]](#page-22-5) and an observation of Semmes [\[Sem96\]](#page-22-6). In fact, the second author showed that H does not bi-Lipschitz embed in any Hilbert space [\[Li16\]](#page-22-7). We also record that bi-Lipschitz embeddability of

Date: December 20, 2018.

²⁰¹⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30L05; Secondary 53C17.

Key words and phrases. Heisenberg group, bi-Lipschitz embedding, porous sets.

V.C. is supported by the Simons Collaboration grant no. 521845. S.L. is supported by the NSF grant DMS-1600804. V.V. is supported by the NSF grant DMS-1800731.

sub-Riemannian manifolds, and especially of Carnot groups, has been studied by various authors [\[Sem96,](#page-22-6) [Wu15a,](#page-22-8) [RV17,](#page-22-9) [Wu15b,](#page-22-10) [Rom16\]](#page-22-11).

Here, we are concerned with the following question: which subsets of H admit a bi-Lipschitz embedding into some Euclidean space? Clearly, every finite subset of the Heisenberg group admits such an embedding. On the other hand, following the arguments of Pansu and Semmes, it is easy to see that no open subset of the Heisenberg group admits such a bi-Lipschitz embedding. We are particularly interested in the bi-Lipschitz embeddability of submanifolds of H, which have been studied extensively in the past 20 years in connection to geometric measure theory and geometric analysis, see e.g. [\[SC16,](#page-22-12) [CDPT07\]](#page-22-13).

Our first theorem focuses on affine subsets of \mathbb{R}^3 . Here and in what follows, d_K is the Korányi metric defined in Section [2.](#page-2-0)

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a universal L* > 1 *with the following properties.*

- (1) If $\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a line, then $Lip((\ell, d_K), \mathbb{R}^3) \leq L$.
- (2) If $P \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a plane, then $Lip((P, d_K), \mathbb{R}^4) \leq L$.

We note that the Euclidean dimensions in the preceding theorem are sharp. Indeed, the *z*-axis in the Heisenberg group is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to the snowflaked space $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|^{1/2})$ which cannot be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^2.$ On the other hand, the plane { $x=0$ } is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to the product space (R, | \cdot |) \times (R, | \cdot | $^{1/2}$) which can not be embedded in R $^3.$

Using a bi-Lipschitz welding theorem [\[MM16\]](#page-22-14), we obtain the following result as a corollary.

Theorem 1.2. If M is a compact piecewise linear 2-manifold in \mathbb{R}^3 , then there exists N $\in \mathbb{N}$ such that Lip((M, d_K) , \mathbb{R}^N) < ∞ .

It is natural now to ask if Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) holds if "piecewise linear" is replaced by some degree of differentiability. Our next theorem asserts that $any C^{1,1}$ 1-manifold can be locally bi-Lipschitz embedded in \mathbb{R}^4 with a uniform constant. Moreover we prove that the same holds true for $C^{1,1}$ 2-manifolds around non-characteristic points. Recall that a point p on a differentiable manifold in \mathbb{R}^3 is called *characteristic* if the horizontal distribution at that point is the same as the tangent space. Otherwise, the point is called H*-regular*. By well known results of Balogh [\[Bal03\]](#page-22-15), almost all points of a $C^{1,1}$ smooth 2-manifold in \mathbb{R}^3 are H-regular. A 2-manifold is called H-regular if all of its points are H-regular.

Theorem 1.3. *There exists universal L* > 1 *with the following properties.*

- (1) If $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a $C^{1,1}$ 1*-manifold and* $x \in \gamma$, then there exists a neighborhood $U \subset \gamma$ of x such that $Lip((U, d_K), \mathbb{R}^4) \leq L.$
- (2) If $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a $C^{1,1}$ 2-manifold and $x \in M$ is \mathbb{H} -regular, then there exists a neighborhood $U \subset M$ *of x such that* $Lip((U, d_K), \mathbb{R}^4) \leq L$.

The dimension for the second part is sharp. Moreover, the embeddings are only local as there exists a C^{1,1} curveγsuch that (γ, *d*H) does not bi-Lipschitz embed into any Euclidean space (simply take a $C^{1,1}$ curve that contains \mathbb{Z}^3).

The case of 2-manifolds with characteristic points is more complicated, and we only give partial results. In [§5,](#page-12-0) we show that every $C^{1,1}$ surface obtained by revolving a curve around the *z*-axis admits local bi-Lipschitz embeddings into \mathbb{R}^4 . In particular, we show that all Korányi spheres bi-Lipschitz embed in \mathbb{R}^4 with a uniform constant. Such surfaces contain at most 2 characteristic points. In [§5.3](#page-17-0) we show that the surface $z = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}xy$, which contains infinitely many characteristic points, bi-Lipschitz embeds in \mathbb{R}^{19} .

Recall that a subset *E* of a metric space *X* is *porous* if there exists $c \ge 1$ such that, for every $x \in E$ and every $r > 0$, the set $B(x, r) \setminus E$ contains a ball of radius r/c . Following the techniques of Pansu and Semmes and using an Arzelá-Ascoli argument, one can show that a non-porous subset of H admits no

bi-Lipschitz embedding in any Euclidean or Hilbert space. As all the curves and surfaces considered above are porous sets in H, it is natural to ask if all compact porous subsets of H admit a bi-Lipschitz embedding into some Euclidean space. In [§6](#page-19-0) we answer this question negatively.

Theorem 1.4. *There exists a compact porous subset* $X \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ *that does not admit a bi-Lipschitz embedding into* ℓ_2 *.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section [2](#page-2-0) we will introduce the Heisenberg group and we will prove some basic estimates for the Korányi metric which will be used repeatedly in the following. In Section [3](#page-4-0) we will prove Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-1) while in Section [4](#page-8-0) we consider smooth curves and smooth regular surfaces and provide the proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-1-2) In Section [5](#page-12-0) we study several examples of smooth surfaces with characteristic points, and, in particular, we prove that Korányi spheres bi-Lipschitz embed into \mathbb{R}^4 with a uniform constant. Finally in Section [6](#page-19-0) we use Laakso graphs in order to construct a porous compact subset of H which does not admit a bi-Lipschitz embedding into any Euclidean space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Given numbers $x, y \ge 0$ and parameters a_1, \ldots, a_n , we write $x \le a_1, \ldots, a_n$, y if there exists a positive and finite constant *C* depending on at most a_1, \ldots, a_n such that $x \le Cy$. We write $x \approx a_1, \ldots, a_n$ *y* when $x \lesssim_{a_1,...,a_n} y$ and $y \lesssim_{a_1,...,a_n} x$. Similarly, we write $x \lesssim y$ or $x \simeq y$ to denote that the implicit constants are universal.

Recall (see [\[BH04,](#page-22-16) [Wu15a\]](#page-22-8)) that there exists L_Φ > 1 and an embedding Φ : \R \to \R^3 such that

(1) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

 $L_{\Phi}^{-1}|x-y|^{1/2} \leq |\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)| \leq L_{\Phi}|x-y|^{1/2},$

- (2) $\Phi([0,1]) \subset [0,1]^3$ with $\Phi(0) = (1/2, 1/2, 0)$ and $\Phi(1) = (1/2, 1/2, 1)$.
- (3) for all *t* ∈ [0, 1],

dist(
$$
\Phi(t), \partial[0, 1]^3
$$
) $\leq L_{\Phi}^{-1}$ dist($\Phi(t), \{(1/2, 1/2, 0), (1/2, 1/2, 1)\}$).

That is, Φ is a bi-Lipschitz embedding of $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|^{1/2})$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Similarly, there exists $\phi : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ such that, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^1$,

(2.1)
$$
L_{\Phi}^{-1}|x-y|^{1/2} \leq |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq L_{\Phi}|x-y|^{1/2}.
$$

2.1. **Heisenberg group.** The *Heisenberg group* is the sub-Riemannian manifold (\mathbb{R}^3 , *H*, *g*) where *H* is the *horizontal distribution* generated by the vector fields

$$
X = \partial_x - \frac{1}{2}y\partial_z \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \partial_y + \frac{1}{2}x\partial_z
$$

and with *g* given by

$$
g(aX + bY, cX + dY) = ac + bd.
$$

The Heisenberg group can also be thought as a group $\mathbb{H} = (\mathbb{R}^3, \cdot)$ with the group law

$$
(x, y, z) \cdot (x', y', z') = (x + x', y + y', z + z' + \frac{1}{2}(xy' - x'y))
$$

.

An absolutely continuous curve $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t))$ with $t \in [0, T]$ is *horizontal* if $\gamma'(t) \in H_{\gamma(t)}$ for almost all $t \in [0, T]$. That is,

$$
z'(t) + \frac{1}{2}x'(t)y(t) - \frac{1}{2}x(t)y'(t) = 0 \quad \text{for almost all } t \in [0, T].
$$

The *Carnot-Carathéodory* metric on H is defined by

$$
d_{cc}(p,q) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_0^1 \sqrt{g(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))} dt
$$

where the infimum is taken over all horizontal curves $\gamma:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\gamma(0)=p$ and $\gamma(1)=q.$

The following example describes the horizontal curves on planes.

Example 2.1. In vertical planes $y = bx + c$, the horizontal curves are exactly the lines

$$
\{(t, bt+c, -\frac{1}{2}ct + C_1): t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \qquad C_1 \in \mathbb{R}
$$

while the vertical lines $\{(0, 0, t + C_2) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to the snowflake $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|^{1/2}).$

On the other hand, in the plane $z = ax + by + c$, the horizontal curves are exactly the lines which project to

$$
\{(-2b, 2a) + ts : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \qquad s \in \mathbb{S}^1
$$

,

in the *x y*-plane, while the curves which project to the concentric circles

$$
\{(-2b, 2a) + ts : s \in \mathbb{S}^1\}, \quad t > 0
$$

are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to re-scaled copies of the snowflake ($\mathbb{S}^1, |\cdot|^{1/2}$).

Given points $p = (x, y, z)$ and $q = (x', y', z')$ in \mathbb{H} , define the *Korányi metric*

$$
d_K(p,q) = \left(\left((x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 \right)^2 + 16(z - z' + \frac{1}{2}(xy' - x'y))^2 \right)^{1/4}
$$

It is well known that d_K is a metric, and it is also bi-Lipschitz equivalent to d_{cc} in the sense that

$$
C^{-1}d_K(p,q) \le d_{cc}(p,q) \le Cd_K(p,q) \quad \text{for all } p,q \in \mathbb{H}
$$

for some constant $C \ge 1$; see [\[CDPT07\]](#page-22-13). More often, we consider the following distance $d_{\mathbb{H}}$ (which is not a true metric since there is a sub-additive constant present in the triangle inequality):

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(p,q) = |x - x'| + |y - y'| + |z - z' + \frac{1}{2}(xy' - x'y)|^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Though d_{H} is not a metric, it is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to d_K in the above sense. Thus, it suffices to construct bi-Lipschitz embeddings of sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ endowed with $d_{\mathbb{H}}.$ This provides a bi-Lipschitz embedding of the set *A* when considered as a subset of the metric space (H , d_K). The distance d_H is related to the Euclidean metric in the following way.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $R > 0$ and $w, w' \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\max\{|w|, |w'|\} \le R$. Then

$$
\min\{R^{1/2}, R^{-1}\}|w-w'|\lesssim d_{\mathbb{H}}(w,w')\lesssim \max\{1, R^{1/2}\}|w-w'|^{1/2}.
$$

Proof. Note that, if $w = (x, y, z)$ and $w' = (x', y', z')$, then

(2.2)
$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w') = |x - x'| + |y - y'| + |z - z' + \frac{1}{2}y'(x - x') - \frac{1}{2}x'(y - y')|^{1/2}.
$$

Therefore, by [\(2.2\)](#page-3-0) and the triangle inequality, we have

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w') \le |z - z'|^{1/2} + |x - x'|^{1/2} (|y'|^{1/2} + |x - x'|^{1/2}) + |y - y'|^{1/2} (|x'|^{1/2} + |y - y'|^{1/2})
$$

$$
\lesssim (1 + R^{1/2}) |w - w'|^{1/2}.
$$

If max $\{|x - x'|, |y - y'| \} \le (2R)^{-1} |z - z'|$, then by [\(2.2\)](#page-3-0) $d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w') \geq (|z - z'| - \frac{1}{2}R|x - x'| - \frac{1}{2}R|y - y'|)^{1/2} \gtrsim |z - z'|^{1/2}$ \gtrsim min{1, $R^{1/2}$ }|*w* – *w'*|^{1/2} $\geq \min\{R^{-1/2}, R^{1/2}\}\,w - w'$.

If max $\{|x - x'|, |y - y'|\}$ > $(2R)^{-1}|z - z'|$, then by [\(2.2\)](#page-3-0)

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w') \ge |x - x'| + |y - y'| \gtrsim \min\{1, R^{-1}\} |w - w'|.
$$

The proof completes by noting that $\min\{1, R^{-1}, R^{1/2}, R^{-1/2}\} = \min\{R^{-1}, R^{1/2}\}.$

The length of a horizontal curve between two points can be estimated by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* w : $[t_1, t_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ *be a horizontal curve with* $w(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t))$ *. Then,*

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w(t_1),w(t_2)) \lesssim (||x'(t)||_{\infty} + ||y'(t)||_{\infty}) |t_1 - t_2|.
$$

Proof. We have from the absolute continuity of *x* and *y* that

$$
|x(t_1)-x(t_2)|+|y(t_1)-y(t_2)|=\left|\int_{t_1}^{t_2}x'(s)\,ds\right|+\left|\int_{t_1}^{t_2}y'(s)\,ds\right|\leq \left(\|x'(t)\|_{\infty}+\|y'(t)\|_{\infty}\right)|t_1-t_2|.
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{split}\n\left| z(t_1) - z(t_2) + \frac{1}{2}x(t_1)y(t_2) - \frac{1}{2}x(t_2)y(t_1) \right|^{1/2} \\
&= 2^{-1/2} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[x'(t)y(t) - x(t)y'(t) \right] dt + x(t_1)y(t_2) - x(t_2)y(t_1) \right|^{1/2} \\
&= 2^{-1/2} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[x'(t)y(t) - x(t)y'(t) \right] dt - y(t_2)(x(t_2) - x(t_1)) + x(t_2)(y(t_2) - y(t_1)) \right|^{1/2} \\
&= 2^{-1/2} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[x'(t)(y(t) - y(t_2)) dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} y'(t)(x(t) - x(t_2)) dt \right]^{1/2} \right|^{1/2} \\
&\leq \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} |x'(t)| |y(t) - y(t_2)| dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |y'(t)| |x(t) - x(t_2)| dt \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \| x'(t) \|_{\infty}^{1/2} \| y'(t) \|_{\infty}^{1/2} |t_1 - t_2|. \n\end{split}
$$

The proof completes by noting that $||x'(t)||_{\infty}^{1/2}||y'(t)||_{\infty}^{1/2} \lesssim ||x'(t)||_{\infty} + ||y'(t)||_{\infty}$.

Given a $C^{1,1}$ 2-manifold $M\!\subset\!\mathbb{R}^3$ and a point $p\in M$, we denote by T_pM (resp. H_p) the tangent plane of *M* (resp. the horizontal distribution) at *p*.

Definition 2.1. Let M be a $C^{1,1}$ 2-manifold. A point $p \in M$ is *characteristic* if $T_pM = H_p$. A point $p \in M$ is *regular* if it is not characteristic.

In the example of vertical planes, all points are regular. In the example of the plane $z = 0$, all points are regular except for the origin which is characteristic.

Theorem 2.4. [\[Bal03,](#page-22-15) Theorem 1.2] *Let* $\mathcal{C}(M)$ *be the set of characteristic points of a 2-manifold M in* \mathbb{R}^3 .

(1) If *M* is $C^{1,1}$ *then* $\mathcal{C}(M)$ *has (Euclidean) Hausdorff dimension less than* 2*.*

(2) If M is C^2 then $\mathscr{C}(M)$ has (Euclidean) Hausdorff dimension less or equal to 1.

3. LINES, PLANES AND PL COMPLEXES

In [§3.1](#page-4-1) we show the first part of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) and in [§3.2](#page-6-0) the second part. In [§3.3](#page-7-0) we show Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0)

3.1. **Lines in the Heisenberg group.** Here we show the first part of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) which we restate in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. There exists universal L > 1 such that, for any straight line $\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, the space $(\ell, d_{\mathbb{H}})$ L-bi-Lipschitz embeds into \mathbb{R}^3 .

Proof. Suppose that ℓ is given by the formula

$$
w(t) = (a_1 t + c_1, a_2 t + c_2, a_3 t + c_3), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

with $|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + |a_3|^2 = 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $|a_2| \le |a_1|$. Moreover, since $d_{\mathbb{H}}$ is invariant under vertical translations, we may assume that $c_3 = 0$. Consider the following cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. Define the map $F: (\ell, d_\mathbb{H}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ given by $F(w(t)) = \Phi(t)$. Since $|a_3| = 1$, we have for any $\tau, \tau' \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w(\tau), w(\tau')) = |\tau - \tau'|^{1/2}
$$
 and $|F(w(\tau)) - F(w(\tau'))| = |\Phi(\tau) - \Phi(\tau')|$.

Thus *F* is L_{Φ} -bi-Lipschitz where L_{Φ} is the bi-Lipschitz constant of Φ .

Case 2. Suppose that $2a_3+c_2a_1-c_1a_2=0$. That is, the line is a horizontal curve. Define $F:(\ell,d_{\mathbb{H}}) \to$ \mathbb{R} by $F(w(t)) = (|a_1| + |a_2|)t$. Let $\tau, \tau' \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w(\tau), w(\tau')) = (|a_1| + |a_2|)|\tau - \tau'| = |F(w(\tau)) - F(w(\tau'))|,
$$

so *F* is in fact an isometry.

Case 3. Suppose that none of the above cases applies. Set

$$
\kappa = |a_1| + |a_2| \neq 0
$$
, $\lambda = |a_3 + \frac{1}{2}(c_2a_1 - c_1a_2)|^{1/2} \neq 0$, and $\mu = \frac{\kappa^2}{\lambda^2}$,

and define $F: (\ell, d_{\mathbb{H}}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ by

$$
F(w(t)) = \frac{\kappa}{\mu} \Phi\big(\mu t - \big[\mu t\big]\big) + \frac{\kappa}{\mu} \big(0, 0, \big[\mu t\big]\big)
$$

where [*a*] denotes the integer part of *a*.

Let τ , $\tau' \in \mathbb{R}$, $w = w(\tau)$ and $w' = w(\tau')$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\tau' > \tau$. We have that

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w,w') = \kappa |\tau - \tau'| + \lambda |\tau - \tau'|^{1/2}.
$$

We now divide Case 3 into three sub-cases.

Case 3.1. Suppose that there exist at least two integers contained in the interval ($\mu\tau$, $\mu\tau'$). Let

$$
m = \min \mathbb{Z} \cap (\mu \tau, \mu \tau')
$$
 and $n = \max \mathbb{Z} \cap (\mu \tau, \mu \tau').$

Then, $|\tau - \tau'| \ge \mu^{-1}$ which yields $\lambda \le \kappa |\tau - \tau'|^{1/2}$, and thus

$$
\kappa|\tau-\tau'| \leq d_{\mathbb{H}}(w,w') \leq 2\kappa|\tau-\tau'|.
$$

On the other hand, since $|\mu\tau - \mu\tau'| > 1$,

$$
\frac{\mu}{\kappa} |F(w) - F(w')| \le |\Phi(\mu \tau' - n) - \Phi(\mu \tau - (m - 1))| + (n - m + 1) \n\lesssim |\mu \tau - \mu \tau'|^{1/2} + (n - m)^{1/2} + 1 + (n - m) + 1 \n\lesssim |\mu \tau - \mu \tau'| = \mu |\tau - \tau'|.
$$

Notice that the third component of Φ lies between 0 and 1. Thus we have

$$
\frac{\mu}{\kappa} |F(w) - F(w')| \ge (n - m + 1) - |\Phi(\mu \tau' - n) - \Phi(\mu \tau - (m - 1))|
$$

$$
\ge (n - m) \ge |\mu \tau - \mu \tau'| = \mu |\tau - \tau'|
$$

 $\sin \csc 3(n-m) \ge (n-m) + 2 > |\mu \tau - \mu \tau'|$. Hence, in this case, $|F(w) - F(w')| \simeq \kappa |\tau - \tau'| \simeq d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w')$.

Case 3.2. Suppose that there exists no integer in ($\mu\tau$, $\mu\tau'$). Then, $|\tau-\tau'| < \mu^{-1}$ which yields

$$
\lambda |\tau - \tau'|^{1/2} \le d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w') \le 2\lambda |\tau - \tau'|^{1/2}.
$$

Moreover, $[\mu \tau] = [\mu \tau']$, so

$$
|F(w)-F(w')|=\frac{\kappa}{\mu}\left|\Phi\left(\mu\tau-\left[\mu\tau\right]\right)-\Phi\left(\mu\tau'-\left[\mu\tau'\right]\right)\right|\simeq\frac{\kappa}{\mu}\left|\mu\tau-\mu\tau'\right|^{1/2}=\lambda|\tau-\tau'|^{1/2}.
$$

Case 3.3. Suppose that there exists exactly one integer *n* in ($\mu\tau$, $\mu\tau'$). Then, $|\tau' - \tau| < 2\lambda^2/\kappa^2$ which yields

$$
\lambda |\tau-\tau'|^{1/2}\leq d_{\mathbb{H}}(w,w')< (1+\sqrt{2})\lambda |\tau-\tau'|^{1/2}.
$$

Set $\tau'' = n/\mu \in (\tau, \tau')$ and $w'' = w(\tau'')$. Before estimating $|F(w) - F(w')|$, we make two observations. Firstly, by (3) of the definition of Φ , the assumptions of this case, and the choice of τ'' , it is easy to see that

$$
|F(w) - F(w')| \simeq |F(w) - F(w'')| + |F(w') - F(w'')|.
$$

Also, $t \mapsto \Phi(\mu t - [\mu t])$ is periodic with period $1/\mu$; in particular,

$$
|F(w(t+1/\mu)) - F(w(s+1/\mu))| = |F(w(t)) - F(w(s))|.
$$

Combining these two observations, we have

$$
|F(w) - F(w')| \approx |F(w) - F(w'')| + |F(w') - F(w'')|
$$

\n
$$
= \left| F\left(w\left(\tau - \frac{n-1}{\mu}\right)\right) - F\left(w\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)\right) \right| + \left| F\left(w\left(\tau' - \frac{n}{\mu}\right)\right) - F(w(0)) \right|
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\kappa}{\mu} \left| \Phi\left(\mu\tau - (n-1)\right) - \Phi(1) \right| + \frac{\kappa}{\mu} \left| \Phi\left(\mu\tau' - n\right) - \Phi(0) \right|
$$

\n
$$
\approx \frac{\kappa}{\mu} \left(\left| n - \mu\tau \right|^{1/2} + \left| \mu\tau' - n \right|^{1/2} \right)
$$

\n
$$
\approx \lambda |\tau - \tau'|^{1/2}.
$$

3.2. **Planes in the Heisenberg group.** We now show the second part of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-1) which we restate in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *There exists a universal L* > 1 *such that, for any plane P in* R 3 *, there exists an L-bi-*Lipschitz embedding of $(P,d_\mathbb{H})$ into \mathbb{R}^4 .

For the proof of Proposition [3.2](#page-6-1) we distinguish two cases.

3.2.1. *Planes parallel to z-axis.* Suppose that

$$
P = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y = bx + c\}
$$

for some $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $|b| < 1$. Otherwise we consider the plane $P' = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x = b^{-1}y + b^{-1}c\}$ and the proof is similar. Define the map $f : P \to \mathbb{R}^4$ with

$$
f(x, bx + c, z) = (x, \Phi(z - \frac{c}{2}x)).
$$

Then, if $w = (x, bx + c, z)$ and $w' = (x', bx' + c, z')$ we have

$$
|f(w) - f(w')| \lesssim |x - x'| + |\Phi(z - \frac{c}{2}x) - \Phi(z' - \frac{c}{2}x')|
$$

\n
$$
\leq |x - x'| + b|x - x'| + L_{\Phi} |(z - z') - \frac{c}{2}(x - x')|^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

\n
$$
= L_{\Phi} d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w').
$$

Similarly we obtain

$$
|f(w) - f(w')| \ge (L_{\Phi}|b| + L_{\Phi})^{-1} d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w') \ge (2L_{\Phi})^{-1} d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w').
$$

3.2.2. *Planes not parallel to z-axis.* Suppose that

$$
P = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z = ax + by + c\}
$$

for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Define first $P_0 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z = 0\}$ and $g : P_0 \to P$ with

$$
g(x, y, 0) = (x - 2b, y + 2a, a(x - 2b) + b(y + 2a) + c).
$$

Note that *g* is an isometry with respect to $d_{\mathbb{H}}$. Indeed, if $w = (x, y, 0)$ and $w' = (x', y', 0)$ then

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(g(w),g(w'))=|x-x'|+|y-y'|+|\tfrac{1}{2}(x'y-xy')|^{1/2}=d_{\mathbb{H}}(w,w').
$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove Proposition [3.2](#page-6-1) for the plane *P*0.

Given a point $w \in \mathbb{R}^2$ there exist $t \ge 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $w = ts$; if $w \ne (0, 0)$, then $t > 0$ and s are unique. Define now the embedding $F: P_0 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ by $F(ts, 0) = (t \phi(s), t)$ where ϕ is defined as in [\(2.1\)](#page-2-1). The following two simple lemmas imply that *F* is bi-Lipschitz.

Lemma 3.3. *For each i* ∈ {1, 2} *let* s_i ∈ \mathbb{S}^1 , t_i ≥ 0 *and* w_i = ($s_i t_i$, 0) ∈ \mathbb{R}^3 . *Then*,

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w_1, w_2) \simeq |t_1 - t_2| + (t_1 \wedge t_2)|s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}.
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $t_1 \le t_2$. For $i = 1, 2$ write $s_i = (\cos(\theta_i), \sin(\theta_i))$ for some $\theta_i \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then,

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w_1, w_2) \simeq |s_1 t_1 - s_2 t_2| + (t_1 t_2)^{1/2} |\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)|^{1/2}
$$

$$
\simeq (t_2 - t_1) + t_1 |s_1 - s_2| + (t_1 t_2)^{1/2} |\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)|^{1/2}.
$$

If *t*₂ ≥ 2*t*₁, then *t*₁ ≤ (*t*₂ − *t*₁), so (*t*₁*t*₂)^{1/2} \lesssim (*t*₂ − *t*₁) and the claim holds.

If $t_2 < 2t_1$ and $(\theta_1 - \theta_2) > \pi/2$, then $|s_1 - s_2| \approx 1$, $t_1 \approx t_2$ and the claim holds.

If $t_2 < 2t_1$ and $(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \le \pi/2$, then $|s_1 - s_2| \approx \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$, $t_1 \approx t_2$, and $|s_1 - s_2| \lesssim |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}$, and so the claim holds. \Box

Lemma 3.4. *If* $t_1, t_2 ≥ 0$ *and* $s_1, s_2 ∈ \mathbb{S}^1$ *then*

$$
|(t_1\phi(s_1), t_1) - (t_2\phi(s_2), t_2)| \simeq |t_1 - t_2| + (t_1 \wedge t_2)|s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}
$$

Proof. Indeed,

$$
|(t_1\phi(s_1), t_1) - (t_2\phi(s_2), t_2)| \approx |t_1 - t_2| + |t_1\phi(s_1) - t_2\phi(s_2)|
$$

$$
\approx |t_1 - t_2| + (t_1 \wedge t_2)|\phi(s_1) - \phi(s_2)|
$$

$$
\approx |t_1 - t_2| + (t_1 \wedge t_2)|s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}.
$$

3.3. **Simplicial complexes.** Recall that a 0-simplex is a point of \mathbb{R}^3 , a 1-simplex $E \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the convex hull of two distinct points and a 2-simplex $E\subset\mathbb{R}^3$ is the convex hull of three distinct points in \mathbb{R}^3 that do not lie on the same line. The boundary of a *k*-simplex consists of *j*-faces (*j* ≤ *k* − 1) which are *j*-simplices.

A (compact) simplicial 2-complex K ⊂ \R^3 is a finite union of simplices $S_1\cup\cdots\cup S_k$ with the following properties

- (1) each S_i is a k_i -simplex for some $k_1 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$;
- (2) for each $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, either $S_i \cap S_j$ is empty, or $S_i \cap S_j$ is a common edge of S_i and S_j , or $S_i = S_j$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a finite union $K = D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_k$ where each D_i is a simplicial 2*-complex. Then, there exists* $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *and a bi-Lipschitz embedding of* $(K, d_{\mathbb{H}})$ *into* \mathbb{R}^N *.*

For the proof of Theorem [3.5](#page-7-1) we use the following bi-Lipschitz welding theorem.

Lemma 3.6 ([\[MM16,](#page-22-14) Theorem 1.1]). *Let X be a metric space and let* $X_1, X_2 \subset X$ *be closed subsets such that* $X = X_1 ∪ X_2$. If X_1 *bi-Lipschitz embeds in* \mathbb{R}^n *and* X_2 *bi-Lipschitz embeds in* \mathbb{R}^m *then* X *bi-Lipschitz* $embeds$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+m+1} .

We now show Theorem [3.5.](#page-7-1)

Proof of Theorem [3.5.](#page-7-1) By Lemma [3.6](#page-7-2) we only need to show that a simplicial 2-complex admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into some \mathbb{R}^N . Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a simplicial 2-complex. Then, $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i$ where each S_i is a k_i -simplex for some $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. By Proposition [3.2,](#page-6-1) each (S_i, d_H) *L*-bi-Lipschitz embeds into R 4 for some universal *L* > 1. Applying Lemma [3.6](#page-7-2) *n* times we obtain a bi-Lipschitz embedding of $(K, d_{\mathbb{H}})$ into \mathbb{R}^{5n-1} .

4. SMOOTH CURVES AND H-REGULAR SURFACES

In [§4.1](#page-8-1) we show the first part of Theorem [1.3,](#page-1-2) and in [§4.2](#page-9-0) we prove the second part. For a set A \subset $\mathbb{R}^3,$ we will say that $(A,d_\mathbb{H})$ *locally L*-bi-Lipschitz embeds in \mathbb{R}^N for some $L>1$ if, for any $p\in A$, there is a neighborhood U of p such that ($U \cap A$, $d_{\mathbb{H}}$) L -bi-Lipschitz embeds in $\mathbb{R}^N.$

4.1. **Smooth curves.** For the rest of [§4.1,](#page-8-1) we denote by *I* a non-degenerate interval of R. We prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *There is a universal constant L* > 1 *such that, for any C*^{1,1} *curve w* : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 *with unit* speed, $(w(I), d_\mathbb{H})$ locally L-bi-Lipschitz embeds into \mathbb{R}^4 .

The idea of the proof is to decompose the curve $\gamma = w(I)$ into two types of sub-arcs and embed each one in $\mathbb{R}^4.$ In the following lemma we consider sub-arcs of γ that are "vertical" enough.

Lemma 4.2. Let $w : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a $C^{1,1}$ smooth curve with unit speed such that $|2z'(t) + x'(t)y(t)$ $y'(t)x(t) \geq 1$ *for all t* $\in [0, T]$ *. Then,* $(w([0, T]), d_H)$ *locally L-bi-Lipschitz embeds into* \mathbb{R}^3 *for some universal* $L > 1$ *.*

Proof. Let $M > 0$ be such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$
1 \le |2z'(t) + x'(t)y(t) - x(t)y'(t)| \le M.
$$

By compactness of $[0, T]$ and uniform continuity of $w'(t)$, there exists $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that if $t_0, \ldots, t_5 \in$ [0, *T*] are such that $|t_i - t_j| \leq \epsilon$, then

(4.1)
$$
\frac{1}{2} \le \frac{|2z'(t_1) + x'(t_2)y(t_3) - x(t_4)y'(t_5)|}{|2z'(t_0) + x'(t_0)y(t_0) - x(t_0)y'(t_0)|} \le 2.
$$

We claim that, for any t , $t' \in [0, T]$ with $|t-t'| < \epsilon$, there is an *L*-bi-Lipschitz map between $(w([t, t'])$, $d_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $([t, t'], \lambda] \cdot |^{1/2})$ for some constant $\lambda \ge 1$ and a universal constant $L > 1$. Assuming the claim, we see that $(w([0,T]),d_{\mathbb{H}})$ locally L -bi-Lipschitz embeds into \mathbb{R}^3 for some universal L .

To prove the claim, fix $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in [0, T]$ such that $0 < \tau_2 - \tau_1 \leq \epsilon$. Fix also $t_0 \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$ and set

$$
\lambda = |2z'(t_0) + x'(t_0)y(t_0) - x(t_0)y'(t_0)|^{1/2} \in [1, M^{1/2}].
$$

By the Mean Value Theorem, [\(4.1\)](#page-8-2), and the fact that $\epsilon < 1 \le \lambda$, if $t_1, t_2 \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$, there exist $c_1, \ldots, c_5 \in$ (t_1, t_2) such that

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w(t_1), w(t_2))
$$

= $|x(t_1) - x(t_2)| + |y(t_1) - y(t_2)| + |z(t_1) - z(t_2) + \frac{1}{2}(x(t_1)y(t_2) - x(t_2)y(t_1))|^{1/2}$
= $(|x'(c_1)| + |y'(c_2)|)|t_1 - t_2| + |z'(c_3) + \frac{1}{2}x'(c_4)y(t_2) - \frac{1}{2}x(t_2)y'(c_5)||t_1 - t_2|^{1/2}$
 $\approx |z'(t_0) + \frac{1}{2}x'(t_0)y(t_0) - \frac{1}{2}x(t_0)y'(t_0)||t_1 - t_2|^{1/2}$
= $\lambda |t_1 - t_2|^{1/2}$.

Hence, $(w([\tau_1, \tau_2]), d_{\mathbb{H}})$ is *L*-bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $([\tau_1, \tau_2], \lambda | \cdot |^{1/2})$ and the claim follows.

Proof of Proposition [4.1.](#page-8-3) Fix $t_1, t_2 \in I$ with $t_1 < t_2$. We show that the space $(w([t_1, t_2]), d_{\mathbb{H}})$ locally bi-Lipschitz embeds in $\mathbb{R}^4.$

Let $M > 1$ be such that

$$
\max\{|x(t)|, |y(t)|\} \le M \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_1, t_2].
$$

Let $\epsilon_0 = (4M)^{-2}$. Note that if $|x'(t)|^2 + |y'(t)|^2 \le \epsilon_0$, then, since *w* has unit speed in \mathbb{R}^3 , we have

(4.2)
\n
$$
|2z'(t) + x'(t)y(t) - x(t)y'(t)| \ge 2|z'(t)| - |x'(t)||y(t)| - |x(t)||y'(t)|
$$
\n
$$
\ge 2|z'(t)| - 2M\sqrt{\epsilon_0}
$$
\n
$$
= 2\sqrt{1 - |x'(t)|^2 - |y'(t)|^2} - 2M\sqrt{\epsilon_0}
$$
\n
$$
\ge 2\sqrt{1 - \epsilon_0} - 2M\sqrt{\epsilon_0}
$$
\n
$$
\ge 1.
$$

By uniform continuity of $w'|_{[t_1,t_2]}$ and compactness of $[t_1,t_2]$, there exist finitely many closed intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_k \subset [t_1, t_2]$ such that

- (1) $\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} I_j = [t_1, t_2],$
- (2) for each $j \in \{1, ..., k\}$, either $|x'(t)|^2 + |y'(t)|^2 \le \epsilon_0$ for all $t \in I_j$ or $|x'(t)|^2 + |y'(t)|^2 \ge \epsilon_0/2$ for all $t \in I_j$.

If $|x'(t)|^2 + |y'(t)|^2 \le \epsilon_0$ for all $t \in I_j$, then [\(4.2\)](#page-9-1) and Lemma [4.2](#page-8-4) imply that $(w(I_j), d_H)$ locally bi-Lipschitz embeds in \mathbb{R}^3 .

If $|x'(t)|^2 + |y'(t)|^2 \ge \epsilon_0/2$ for all $t \in I_j$, then the projection of $w(I_j)$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ is a $C^{1,1}$ smooth curve which can be re-parameterized so that $|x'(t)|^2 + |y'(t)|^2 = 1$. The surface $(w(I_j) \times \mathbb{R}, d_{\mathbb{H}})$ is $C^{1,1}$ and consists only of H-regular points. Hence, we will see in Proposition [4.3](#page-9-2) that $(w(I_i) \times \mathbb{R}, d_{\mathbb{H}})$ locally bi-Lipschitz embeds in \mathbb{R}^4 with a universal constant. In particular, this means that $(w(I_j), d_\mathbb{H})$ locally bi-Lipschitz embeds in \mathbb{R}^4 .

4.2. H**-regular surfaces.** Here we show the second part of Theorem [1.3](#page-1-2) which we restate in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *There exists a universal constant L* > 1 *satisfying the following. Let M* \subset \mathbb{R}^3 *be a* $C^{1,1}$ 2-manifold in \R^3 and let p_0 be an $\Bbb H$ -regular point of M. Then there exist a neighborhood U ⊂ \R^3 of p_0 and an L-bi-Lipschitz embedding of (U \cap M, $d_{\mathbb{H}}$) in \mathbb{R}^4 .

The proof of this proposition is comprised by the following two lemmas. In Lemma [4.4,](#page-9-3) we show that M can be foliated near p_0 by horizontal curves with C^1 dependence on parameters. In Lemma [4.5,](#page-10-0) we construct a local embedding of any surface which possesses such a foliation. In fact, Lemma [4.5](#page-10-0) will be used in several other arguments throughout the rest of this paper when a foliation by horizontal curves can be constructed.

Lemma 4.4. *There exists a universal constant L'* > 1 *satisfying the following. Let M be a C*^{1,1} 2*-manifold* \int *in* \mathbb{R}^3 *and let* p_0 *be an* \mathbb{H} -regular point of M. Then there exist $\epsilon > 0$ *and an L'-bi-Lipschitz map* G: $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]^2$ → $(M, |\cdot|)$ *such that*

- (1) $G(0,0) = p_0$
- (2) *for all v* \in $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ *, the curve u* \rightarrow *G*(*u*, *v*) *is a horizontal curve.*

Proof. In a neighborhood of $p_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$, the manifold *M* can be written as the level set $H(x, y, z) =$ 0 for some $C^{1,1}$ function H with $|\nabla H(x_0,y_0,z_0)|\,{>}\,0.$ Without loss of generality, we assume that

 $|H_z(x_0, y_0, z_0)| \ge \max\{|H_x(x_0, y_0, z_0)|, |H_y(x_0, y_0, z_0)|\}.$

The other two cases are similar and are left to the reader.

Then, in a sufficiently small neighborhood U of p_0 we can write

$$
M \cap U = \{(x, y, F(x, y)) : (x, y) \in V\}
$$

for some open $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ where *F* is a $C^{1,1}$ function with $F(x_0, y_0) = z_0$ and

$$
\sup_{(x,y)\in V}\{|F_x(x,y)|,|F_y(x,y)|\}\leq 2.
$$

Since *p*₀ is H-regular, either *x*₀ − 2*F*_{*y*}(*x*₀, *y*₀) ≠ 0 or *y*₀ + 2*F*_{*x*}(*x*₀, *y*₀) ≠ 0. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_0 - 2F_\gamma(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ and that

(4.3)
$$
|y_0 + 2F_x(x_0, y_0)| \le |x_0 - 2F_y(x_0, y_0)|.
$$

There exists a neighborhood $V_1 \subset V$ of (x_0, y_0) such that $x - 2F_v \neq 0$ in V_1 . Thus the horizontal curves in a neighborhood of p_0 in *M* are given by the ODE

$$
\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y + 2F_x}{x - 2F_y}.
$$

By standard existence and uniqueness theorems (e.g. [\[CL55,](#page-22-17) Theorem 1.2] and [\[CL55,](#page-22-17) Theorem 2.2]), there is some $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that, for each $\nu \in [-\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1]$, there exists a unique solution $y = g_{\nu}(x)$ of [\(4.4\)](#page-10-1) defined on $[x_0 - \epsilon_1, x_0 + \epsilon_1]$ such that $g_\nu(x_0) = y_0 + \nu$. Moreover, the function

$$
f: [-\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1]^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2
$$
 with $f(u, v) := (x_0 + u, g_v(x_0 + u))$

is *C* 1 [\[CL55,](#page-22-17) Theorem 7.1]. By existence and uniqueness of solutions, there is a neighborhood of (x_0, y_0) in which the graphs of g_v do not intersect, and every point in this neighborhood is contained in the graph of some g_ν . Moreover, the derivative of f at (0,0) satisfies

$$
Df(0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ \frac{d}{du}g_0(x_0+u)|_{u=0} & \frac{d}{dv}g_v(x_0)|_{v=0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ \frac{y_0+2F_x(x_0,y_0)}{x_0-2F_y(x_0,y_0)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Thus, for some $\epsilon_2 > 0$, *G* is a C^1 diffeomorphism in $[-\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2]^2$, and, by [\(4.3\)](#page-10-2), there exists a universal constant $L_1 > 1$ such that

(4.5)
$$
L_1^{-1}|(u,v) - (u',v')| \le |f(u,v) - f(u',v')| \le L_1|(u,v) - (u',v')|
$$

for all $(u, v), (u', v') \in [-\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2]^2$.

Define now $G: [-\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2]^2 \to M$ by $G(u, v) = (f(u, v), F(f(u, v)))$ which has been defined so that the curves $u \mapsto G(u, v)$ are horizontal curves contained in *M* for any $v \in [-\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2]$. Moreover

$$
L_1^{-1} |(u, v) - (u', v')| \le |G(u, v) - G(u', v')|
$$

\n
$$
\lesssim (L_1 + ||F_x||_{\infty} + ||F_y||_{\infty}) |(u, v) - (u', v')|
$$

\n
$$
\le (L_1 + 2) |(u, v) - (u', v')|,
$$

and hence *G* is *L'*-bi-Lipschitz for some universal *L* $\sqrt{2}$ > 1.

Lemma 4.5. *There exists a universal constant L* > 1 *satisfying the following. Fix M* ⊂ R 3 *. Suppose there* $\text{exists } \epsilon > 0, L' > 1, \text{ and an } L' \text{-} \text{bi-Lipschitz map } G : [-\epsilon, \epsilon]^2 \to M \text{ such that}$

(1) $G(0,0) = p_0$

(2) *for all* $v \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ *, the curve* $u \mapsto G(u, v)$ *is a horizontal curve.*

Write G = (*g*1, *g*2, *g*3)*, and set*

$$
\kappa := |2\partial_v g_3(0,0) + g_2(0,0)\partial_v g_1(0,0) - g_1(0,0)\partial_v g_2(0,0)|
$$

$$
\lambda := |\partial_u g_1(0,0)| + |\partial_u g_2(0,0)|.
$$

Define the map

$$
\Psi: (G([-\epsilon,\epsilon]^2) \cap M, d_{\mathbb{H}}) \to \mathbb{R}^4 \quad with \quad \Psi(G(u,v)) = (\lambda u, \kappa^{1/2} \Phi(v)).
$$

Then there is a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ *of* p_0 *such that* Ψ : ($U \cap M$, $d_{\mathbb{H}}$) $\to \mathbb{R}^4$ *is L-bi-Lipschitz.*

Note that, while L may be found independent of the bi-Lipschitz constant L' , the size of the neighborhood U certainly depends on the value of L' .

Proof. We first note that $\lambda > 0$ since the curve $\gamma_1(u) = G(u, 0)$ is horizontal. Moreover, since G is L'bi-Lipschitz, *λ* is bounded from above by a constant *C* depending only on *L* 0 . On the other hand, the curve $\gamma_2(v) = G(0, v)$ intersects γ_1 transversely at p_0 and hence cannot be horizontal. Thus, $\kappa > 0$. Fix $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]^2$ and set

$$
p_1 = G(u_1, v_1), \quad p_2 = G(u_2, v_2), \quad p_3 = G(u_1, v_2).
$$

That is, p_2 and p_3 lie along the same horizontal curve $u \mapsto G(u, v_2)$.

Choosing ϵ possibly smaller, we have for some $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(p_1, p_3) = |g_1(u_1, v_1) - g_1(u_1, v_2)| + |g_2(u_1, v_1) - g_2(u_1, v_2)|
$$

+
$$
|g_3(u_1, v_1) - g_3(u_1, v_2) + \frac{1}{2}g_1(u_1, v_1)g_2(u_1, v_2) - \frac{1}{2}g_2(u_1, v_1)g_1(u_1, v_2)|^{1/2}
$$

=
$$
(|\partial_v g_1(u_1, c_1)| + |\partial_v g_1(u_2, c_2)|)|v_1 - v_2|
$$

+
$$
|\partial_v g_3(u_1, c_3) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_v g_1(u_1, c_1)g_2(u_1, v_2) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_v g_2(u_1, c_2)g_1(u_1, v_2)|^{1/2}|v_1 - v_2|^{1/2}
$$

$$
\lesssim \lambda |u_1 - u_2| + \kappa^{1/2}|v_1 - v_2|^{1/2}.
$$

Additionally, by Lemma [2.3](#page-4-2) we have

(4.6)
$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(p_2,p_3) \lesssim (\|\partial_u g_1\|_{\infty} + \|\partial_u g_2\|_{\infty}) |u_1 - u_2| \lesssim \lambda |u_1 - u_2|
$$

assuming that ϵ is sufficiently small. By the triangle inequality,

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(p_1, p_2) \lesssim \lambda |u_1 - u_2| + \kappa^{1/2} |v_1 - v_2|^{1/2} \simeq |\Psi(p_1) - \Psi(p_2)|.
$$

We now show that $d_H(p_1, p_2) \gtrsim |\Psi(p_1) - \Psi(p_2)|$. By [\(4.6\)](#page-11-0), there exists a universal constant C_0 such that

$$
(4.7) \qquad \left| g_3(u_1, v_2) - g_3(u_2, v_2) + \frac{1}{2} g_1(u_1, v_2) g_2(u_2, v_2) - \frac{1}{2} g_2(u_1, v_2) g_1(u_2, v_2) \right|^{1/2} \le C_0 \lambda |u_1 - u_2|.
$$

Consider the following two cases

Case 1. Suppose that $C_0 \lambda |u_1 - u_2| \ge (\kappa/16)^{1/2} |v_1 - v_2|^{1/2}$.

$$
|\Psi(p_1)-\Psi(p_2)|\simeq \lambda |u_1-u_2|+\kappa^{1/2}|v_1-v_2|^{1/2}\lesssim \lambda |u_1-u_2|\lesssim d_{\mathbb{H}}(p_1,p_2).
$$

Case 2. Suppose that $C_0 \lambda |u_1 - u_2| < (\kappa/16)^{1/2} |v_1 - v_2|^{1/2}$. Then,

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(p_1, p_2) \ge \lambda |u_1 - u_2| + |g_3(u_1, v_1) - g_3(u_2, v_2) + \frac{1}{2} g_1(u_1, v_1) g_2(u_2, v_2) - \frac{1}{2} g_2(u_1, v_1) g_1(u_2, v_2)|^{1/2}.
$$

Now,

$$
g_3(u_1, v_1) - g_3(u_2, v_2) + \frac{1}{2}g_1(u_1, v_1)g_2(u_2, v_2) - \frac{1}{2}g_2(u_1, v_1)g_1(u_2, v_2)
$$

= $g_3(u_1, v_1) - g_3(u_1, v_2) + \frac{1}{2}g_2(u_2, v_2)(g_1(u_1, v_1) - g_1(u_1, v_2))$
 $- \frac{1}{2}g_1(u_2, v_2)(g_2(u_1, v_1) - g_2(u_1, v_2))$
+ $g_3(u_1, v_2) - g_3(u_2, v_2) + \frac{1}{2}g_1(u_1, v_2)g_2(u_2, v_2) - \frac{1}{2}g_2(u_1, v_2)g_1(u_2, v_2)$

Again assuming that ϵ is sufficiently small,

$$
\begin{aligned} & \left| g_3(u_1, v_1) - g_3(u_1, v_2) + \frac{1}{2} g_2(u_2, v_2)(g_1(u_1, v_1) - g_1(u_1, v_2)) \right| \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} g_1(u_2, v_2)(g_2(u_1, v_1) - g_2(u_1, v_2)) \right| \\ &= \left| \partial_v g_3(u_1, c_3) + \frac{1}{2} g_2(u_2, v_2) \partial_v g_1(u_1, c_1) - \frac{1}{2} g_1(u_2, v_2) \partial_v g_2(u_1, c_2) \right| |v_1 - v_2| \\ &\ge \kappa/2|v_1 - v_2|. \end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by [\(4.7\)](#page-11-1)

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(p_1, p_2) \gtrsim \lambda |u_1 - u_2| + (\kappa/2)^{1/2} |v_1 - v_2|^{1/2} - C_0 \lambda |u_1 - u_2|
$$

$$
\gtrsim \lambda |u_1 - u_2| + \kappa^{1/2} |v_1 - v_2|^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\simeq |\Psi(p_1) - \Psi(p_2)|.
$$

Therefore, Ψ is bi-Lipschitz in a neighborhood of p_0 .

4.3. **The smooth torus.** Although the set of characteristic points of a given smooth manifold is very small, it rarely is empty. By the Hairy-Ball Theorem and the classification of 2-manifolds, if a compact differentiable manifold *M* has no characteristic points, then it must be homeomorphic to the torus $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1.$ On the other hand, a topological torus could be $\mathbb{H}\textrm{-}$ regular. Given numbers $0 < r < R,$ define the torus

$$
\mathbb{T}_{r,R} = \{ (x, y, z) : (R - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})^2 + z^2 = r^2 \}.
$$

We claim that $\mathbb{T}_{r,R}$ has no characteristic points. Recall first that both the horizontal distribution and the tangent space of $\mathbb{T}_{r,R}$ are invariant under rotations with respect to the *z*-axis in the following sense. If P_w (resp. \hat{P}_w) is the affine space containing $w \in \mathbb{T}_{r,R}$ and generated by $T_w \mathbb{T}_{r,R}$ (resp. generated by *H*^w) and if *ζ* : ℝ³ → ℝ³ is a rotation with respect to *z*-axis, then *ζ*(*P*^w) = *P*_{*ζ*(*w*)} and *ζ*(*P*^w) = $\hat{P}_{\zeta(w)}$. To show the claim, suppose that a point $w \in \mathbb{T}_{r,R}$ is characteristic and write $w = (r_0, \theta_0, z_0)$ in cylindrical coordinates. By the rotation invariance of the tangent space and the horizontal distribution, every point of the circle $S = \{(r_0, \theta, z_0) : \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}\$ is characteristic. Therefore, *S* is horizontal. However, by [§3.2,](#page-6-0) (*S*, $d_{\mathbb{H}}$) is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to (S $^{1},$ | \cdot | $^{1/2}$), which is a contradiction.

Let *V* be a smooth unitary vector field on $\mathbb{T}_{r,R}$ such that $V(w) \in T_w \mathbb{T}_{r,R} \cap H_w$ for all $w \in \mathbb{T}_{r,R}$. By the Frobenius Theorem, *V* is integrable and $T_{r,R}$ is foliated by the (closed) integral curves of *V* which are obtained by rotating one of them with respect to the *z*-axis. Specifically, there exists a diffeomorphism $G: \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{T}_{r,R}$ such that $s \mapsto G(s,\eta)$ is a horizontal curve for each $\eta \in \mathbb{S}^1$.

We now claim that (T $_{r,R},d_\mathbb{H}$) bi-Lipschitz embeds in $\R^4.$ Indeed, say τ is an identification of \R^2/\Z^2 with $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and define $\Psi : \mathbb{T}_{r,R} \to \mathbb{R}^4$ so that

$$
\Psi(G(\tau(u, v))) = (u, \Phi(v)) \quad \text{for all } (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2.
$$

According to Lemma [4.5,](#page-10-0) there is a constant $L > 1$ such that, for any $p \in \mathbb{T}_{r,R}$, there exists a neighborhood U_p of p in $\mathbb{T}_{r,R}$ wherein $\Psi|_{(U_p,d_{\mathbb{H}})}$ is *L*-bi-Lipschitz. By the compactness of $\mathbb{T}_{r,R}$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that Ψ is bi-Lipschitz on all compact sets of $\mathbb{T}_{r,R}$ with (Euclidean) diameter at most ϵ . On the other hand, by Lemma [2.2,](#page-3-1) for all $w, w' \in T_{r,R}$ with $|w-w'| \geq \epsilon$ we have

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w') \simeq_{\epsilon} 1 \simeq_{\epsilon} |\Psi(w) - \Psi(w')|.
$$

Therefore, Ψ is bi-Lipschitz.

5. SOME SMOOTH SURFACES WITH CHARACTERISTIC POINTS

Here we examine the bi-Lipschitz embeddability of some special classes of smooth surfaces that contain characteristic points.

5.1. **Surfaces obtained by revolution about the** *z***-axis.** Let F : [0, ∞) \to R be a $C^{1,1}$ function. Let Σ be the surface of revolution generated by *F*:

$$
\Sigma = \{ (w, F(|w|)) : w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \}.
$$

Below we denote by **0** the origins (0, 0) and (0, 0, 0), depending on the context.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that* F is $C^{1,1}$ *with* $F'(0) = 0$ *, and set*

$$
M:=\max\left\{1,\limsup_{t\downarrow 0}\frac{|F'(t)|}{t}\right\}.
$$

There exist $\epsilon > 0$, $L = L(M) > 1$, and a neighborhood $U \subset \Sigma$ of $(0, 0, F(0))$ such that $(U, d_{\mathbb{H}})$ *L-bi-Lipschitz* embeds in \mathbb{R}^4 .

Before proving this theorem, we observe an application of this embedding. Combined with Lemma [3.6](#page-7-2) and the H-regularity of $\Sigma \setminus \{(0, 0, F(0))\}$, Theorem [5.1](#page-13-0) yields the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose that F is* $C^{1,1}$ *with F'*(0) = 0*. Any compact subset of* (Σ , $d_{\mathbb{H}}$) *bi-Lipschitz embeds* in some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. We first show that all points of $\Sigma \setminus \{0, 0, F(0)\}$ are regular points. Indeed, suppose that $(x, y) \neq 0$ (0, 0) is a characteristic point of Σ. Then,

$$
y = -2x \frac{F'(|(x, y)|)}{|(x, y)|}
$$
 and $x = 2y \frac{F'(|(x, y)|)}{|(x, y)|}$.

If $x = 0$ or $y = 0$, then both must be equal to 0, and this is a contradiction. However, if $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$, then $y/x = x/y$ which implies that $x^2 + y^2 = 0$, and this is again a contradiction. Thus the only characteristic point of Σ is $(0, 0, F(0))$.

Let *S* be a compact subset of Σ. By Theorem [5.1,](#page-13-0) Proposition [4.3,](#page-9-2) and the compactness of *S*, there exist open sets D_1, \ldots, D_k such that each D_i bi-Lipschitz embeds into \mathbb{R}^4 and $S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i$. By Lemma [3.6,](#page-7-2) we obtain a bi-Lipschitz embedding of $\bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i$ (and thus of *S*) into \mathbb{R}^{5k-1} . — Процессиональные продаже на продаже на продаже в собстановки в собстановки в собстановки в собстановки и п
В собстановки в собстановк

We now prove Theorem [5.1.](#page-13-0) The idea of the proof is as follows. In Lemma [5.3,](#page-13-1) we construct a bi-Lipschitz homeomorpism of a disc centered at the origin in \mathbb{R}^2 such that any radial segment in the disc is mapped to a curve which is the projection of a horizontal curve in Σ . Since Σ is a surface of revolution, rotations of this horizontal curve foliate the surface. We then complete the proof of Theorem [5.1](#page-13-0) by re-parameterizing Σ in terms of the horizontal curve (which embeds into R) and the snowflaked unit circle (which embeds into \mathbb{R}^3).

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $F : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ *be as in Theorem [5.1.](#page-13-0) There exist* $T > 0$, $L = L(M) > 1$, and an L-bi-*Lipschitz map* G : $B^2(0,T) \to B^2(0,T)$ *with G*(0) = 0*, such that for each s* $\in \mathbb{S}^1$ *, the curve*

 $t \mapsto (G(ts), F(|G(ts)|))$

is horizontal. Moreover, $|G(st)| = |G(s't)|$ *for any s, s'* $\in \mathbb{S}^1$ *and t* $\in [0, T)$ *.*

Proof. For each $t \ge 0$ consider the point $w_t = (t, 0, F(t))$. Then

$$
T_{w_t} \Sigma \cap H_{w_t} = \left\{ \left(x, 2 \frac{F'(t)}{t} (x-t), F(t) + F'(t) (x-t) \right) : x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.
$$

Consider now the vector field $V : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ given by

$$
V(ts) = s + 2(F'(t)/t)\hat{s}
$$

where $t > 0$, $s, \hat{s} \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and \hat{s} is the rotation of s by an angle of $\pi/2$. Note that V has been defined in such a way that, when any integral curve *γ* of *V* is lifted to a curve on Σ, this lifted curve is horizontal. That is, the curve $t \mapsto (\gamma(t), F(\gamma(t)))$ is a horizontal curve.

Fix *T* > 0 such that $|F'(t)|/t \le 2M$ for every $t \in (0, T)$. That is,

$$
|V(p)| = \sqrt{1 + \left(2\frac{F'(|p|)}{|p|}\right)^2} \in [1, 5M] \quad \text{for all } p \in B^2(\mathbf{0}, T) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.
$$

Then, for all $p \in B^2(\mathbf{0}, T) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ we have

(5.1)
$$
V(p) \cdot p = |p| \ge (5M)^{-1} |p||V(p)|.
$$

From [\(5.1\)](#page-14-0) we have that if γ is an integral curve of *V*, then

$$
(|\gamma|^2)' = \gamma' \cdot \gamma = |\gamma| = (|\gamma|^2)^{1/2}
$$

which implies that $(|\gamma|)' = 1/2$. Therefore, given $0 < t_1 \le t_2$ in the domain of γ ,

(5.2)
$$
|\gamma(t_2)| - |\gamma(t_1)| = \frac{1}{2}(t_2 - t_1).
$$

We construct a particular integral curve in *B*(0,*T*) as follows. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let S_i be the circle ∂B^2 (0,2^{1−*i*} *T*), and let A_i be the closed annulus A (0;2^{−*i*} *T*,2^{1−*i*} *T*). By [\(5.2\)](#page-14-1), every integral curve of *V* | A_i is a C^1 curve that joins S_i with S_{i+1} defined on an interval of length $2^{1-i}T$.

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\tilde{\gamma}^{(i)}$ be the integral curve of $V|_{A_i}$ joining S_{i+1} to the point $(2^{1-i}T, 0)$ defined on the interval $[2^{1-i}T, 2^{2-i}T]$. The vector field *V* is defined in such a way that rotating $\tilde{\gamma}^{(i)}$ produces all integral curves of $V|_{A_i}.$ Appropriately rotating each $\tilde{\gamma}^{(i)},$ we obtain a curve γ_0 that joins **0** with the point (*T*, 0) such that $\tilde{\gamma}|_{A_i}$ is an integral curve of $V|_{A_i}$. Note that, by a limiting argument, [\(5.2\)](#page-14-1) also holds if one or both of the t_i is zero. Hence the domain of γ_0 is [0,2*T*].

For each $s\in\mathbb{S}^1$, let $\gamma_s(t):[0,2T]\to\mathbb{R}^2$ be the curve obtained by rotating γ_0 in such a way that $\gamma_s(2T) = Ts$ \in ∂B^2 (**0**, T). By the rotation invariance of V , the integral curves of V are exactly the curves ${γ_s(t): s ∈ \mathbb{S}^1}$ which join **0** with $\partial B^2(\mathbf{0}, T)$. As discussed above, the lift of each $γ_s$ to Σ is a horizontal curve since γ' $S'_s(t) = V(\gamma_s(t)).$

Define now $G: B^2(0,T) \to B^2(0,T)$ with $G(ts) = \gamma_s(2t)$. To show that G is bi-Lipschitz, fix $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le T$. By the triangle inequality, the Mean Value Theorem, and [\(5.2\)](#page-14-1), for some $c \in$ $[2t_1, 2t_2]$,

$$
|G(t_1s_1) - G(t_2s_2)| \le |G(t_2s_1) - G(t_2s_2)| + |G(t_1s_1) - G(t_2s_1)|
$$

= $|\gamma_0(2t_1)||s_1 - s_2| + |\gamma_{s_1}(2t_1) - \gamma_{s_1}(2t_2)|$
= $t_1|s_1 - s_2| + |\gamma'_{s_1}(c)||t_1 - t_2|$
 $\le t_1|s_1 - s_2| + 5M|t_1 - t_2|$
 $\approx_M |t_1s_1 - t_2s_2|.$

For the other inequality we consider two cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $10M|t_1 - t_2| \ge t_1|s_1 - s_2|$. By [\(5.2\)](#page-14-1),

$$
|G(t_1s_1)-G(t_2s_2)|\geq |G(t_2s_2)|-|G(t_1s_1)|=|\gamma_0(2t_2)|-|\gamma_0(2t_1)|=|t_1-t_2|\gtrsim_M |s_1t_1-s_2t_2|.
$$

Case 2. Suppose that $10M|t_1 - t_2| < t_1|s_1 - s_2|$. By [\(5.2\)](#page-14-1) and the Mean Value Theorem, for some $c \in [2t_1, 2t_2],$

$$
|G(t_1s_1) - G(t_2s_2)| \ge |G(t_2s_1) - G(t_2s_2)| - |G(t_2s_1) - G(t_1s_1)|
$$

= $|\gamma_0(2t_2)||s_1 - s_2| - |\gamma'_{s_1}(c)||t_1 - t_2|$
 $\ge t_1|s_1 - s_2| - 5M|t_1 - t_2|$
 $\ge \frac{1}{2}t_1|s_1 - s_2|$
 $\ge M|s_1t_1 - s_2t_2|$.

We can now prove Theorem [5.1.](#page-13-0)

Proof of Theorem [5.1.](#page-13-0) For a point $w \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we denote by $\pi(w)$ the projection of w on { $z = 0$ }. Since $d_{\mathbb{H}}$ is invariant under vertical translations, we may assume that $F(0) = 0$. Define

$$
\Sigma_0 = \{w \in \Sigma : \pi(w) \in B^2(\mathbf{0}, T)\}\
$$

and choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B^3(\mathbf{0}, \epsilon) \cap \Sigma \subset \Sigma_0$. Define now the homeomorphism

$$
\Psi
$$
: { $z = 0$ } \cap B^3 (0, T) $\to \Sigma_0$ as $\Psi(st, 0) = (G(st), F(|G(st)|))$

where $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and $t \in [0, T]$. We show that, if *T* is chosen small enough, then the map Ψ is *L*-bi-Lipschitz for some $L = L(M) > 1$.

Fix $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1$, $0 < t_1 \le t_2 \le T$ and points $w_1 = (s_1t_1, 0)$ and $w_2 = (s_2t_2, 0)$. By Lemma [3.3](#page-7-3) it suffices to show that

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(\Psi(w_1), \Psi(w_2)) \simeq_M (t_2 - t_1) + t_1 |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}.
$$

For the rest of the proof denote $w'_1 = \Psi(w_1)$ and $w'_2 = \Psi(w_2)$. We write $G(st) = (x(st), y(st))$. Recall that $G(st) = G(s' t)$ lie on the same circle centered at the origin. Therefore, since $d_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $G(st)$ are both invariant under rotations, we may assume that $x(s_1 t_1) = x(s_2 t_1)$. Then

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w'_1, w'_2) \approx |G(s_1 t_1) - G(s_2 t_2)|
$$

+
$$
\Big| F(|G(s_1 t_1)|) - F(|G(s_2 t_2)|) + \frac{1}{2}x(s_1 t_1)y(s_2 t_2) - \frac{1}{2}x(s_2 t_2)y(s_1 t_1) \Big|^{1/2}
$$

(5.3)

$$
\approx |t_1 - t_2| + t_1|s_1 - s_2|
$$

+
$$
\Big| F(|G(s_2 t_1)|) - F(|G(s_2 t_2)|) + \frac{1}{2}x(s_2 t_1)y(s_2 t_2) - \frac{1}{2}x(s_2 t_2)y(s_2 t_1)
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{2}x(s_2 t_2)(y(s_2 t_1) - y(s_1 t_1)) \Big|^{1/2}.
$$

Since the points $(G(s_2t_1), F(|G(s_2t_1)|))$ and $(G(s_2t_2), F(|G(s_2t_2)|))$ lie on the horizontal curve $t \rightarrow$ $(G(s_2 t), F(|G(s_2 t)|))$, Lemma [2.3](#page-4-2) gives a constant $C_1 = C_1(M) > 0$ such that

$$
|F(|G(s_2 t_1)|) - F(|G(s_2 t_2)|) + \frac{1}{2}x(s_2 t_1)y(s_2 t_2) - \frac{1}{2}x(s_2 t_2)y(s_2 t_1)|^{1/2} \lesssim ||\partial_t G(s_2 t)||_{\infty} |t_1 - t_2|
$$

= $||V(st)||_{\infty} |t_1 - t_2|$
(5.4) $\leq C_1 |t_1 - t_2|$

Set $\epsilon = \min\{2^{-3/2}, (2C_1)^{-1}, (12L^2)^{-1}\}$ where *L* is the bi-Lipschitz constant of *G*. (Note that ϵ depends only on *M*.) Also, we may choose $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small so that $|x(s_2 t_1)| > |y(s_2 t_1)|$ whenever $|s_1$ s_2 < *δ*. Indeed, we assumed earlier that $x(s_1 t_1) = x(s_2 t_1)$ so that the points $G(s_1 t_1)$ and $G(s_2 t_1)$ are reflections of one another across the *x*-axis. Since *G* is $L(M)$ -bi-Lipschitz, such a δ can be chosen depending only on *M*. Therefore, $|x(s_2 t_1)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|G(s_2 t_1)|$ when $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta$. We consider the following cases.

Case 1: $|s_1 - s_2| \ge \delta$. By Lemma [3.3,](#page-7-3) $d_{\mathbb{H}}(w_1, w_2) \simeq_{\delta} t_2$. On the other hand,

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w'_1, w'_2) \ge |G(s_1 t_1) - G(s_2 t_2)| \gtrsim_M |s_1 t_1 - s_2 t_2| \approx |t_1 - t_2| + t_1 |s_1 - s_2| \approx_{\delta} t_2.
$$

Moreover, there exist $0 < \xi_1 < |G(s_1 t_1)|$ and $0 < \xi_2 < |G(s_2 t_2)|$ such that

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w'_1, w'_2) \le d_{\mathbb{H}}(w'_1, 0) + d_{\mathbb{H}}(w'_2, 0)
$$

= |G(s₁t₁)| + |G(s₂t₂)| + |F(|G(s₁t₁)|) – F(0)|^{1/2} + |F(|G(s₂t₂)|) – F(0)|^{1/2}

$$
\lesssim_M (t_1 + t_2) + |F'(\xi_1)|^{1/2} |G(s_1t_1)|^{1/2} + |F'(\xi_2)|^{1/2} |G(s_2t_2)|^{1/2}.
$$

Assuming now that *T* is sufficiently small we have

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w'_1, w'_2) \lesssim_M t_2 + M^{1/2}(|\xi_1|^{1/2}|G(s_1t_1)|^{1/2} + |\xi_2|^{1/2}|G(s_2t_2)|^{1/2})
$$

\n
$$
\lesssim_M t_2 + (|G(s_1t_1)| + |G(s_2t_2)|)
$$

\n
$$
\lesssim_M t_2.
$$

Therefore, $d_{\mathbb{H}}(w'_1)$ $'_{1}, w'_{2}$ v'_{2}) ≃_{*M*, δ} *t*₂ ≃_{*M*, δ} |*w*₁ − *w*₂|.

Case 2: $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta$ and $|t_1 - t_2| \ge \epsilon t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}$. Note that $|x(s_2t_2)(y(s_2t_1)-y(s_1t_1))|^{1/2} \lesssim_M |x(s_2t_2)|^{1/2} t_1^{1/2} |s_1-s_2|^{1/2} \lesssim_M t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1-s_2|^{1/2} \lesssim_M |t_1-t_2|.$ Hence by [\(5.3\)](#page-15-0), [\(5.4\)](#page-15-1), and Lemma [3.3](#page-7-3)

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w'_1, w'_2) \lesssim_M |t_1-t_2|+t_1|s_1-s_2| \lesssim |t_1-t_2|+t_1|s_1-s_2|^{1/2} \lesssim d_{\mathbb{H}}(w_1, w_2).
$$

Moreover,

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w_1, w_2) \lesssim |t_1 - t_2| + t_1 |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2} \leq |t_1 - t_2| + t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2} \lesssim_M |t_1 - t_2| \lesssim_M d_{\mathbb{H}}(w_1', w_2').
$$

\nCase 3: $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta$ and $|t_1 - t_2| < \epsilon t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}$. By (5.3), (5.4), and the choice of ϵ ,
\n
$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w_1', w_2') \lesssim_M |t_1 - t_2| + t_1 |s_1 - s_2| + |x(s_2 t_2)|^{1/2} |y(s_2 t_1) - y(s_1 t_1)|^{1/2} + |t_1 - t_2|
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim |t_1 - t_2| + t_1 |s_1 - s_2| + |x(s_1 t_2)|^{1/2} |y(s_2 t_1) - y(s_1 t_1)|^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
+ |x(s_2 t_2) - x(s_1 t_2)|^{1/2} |y(s_2 t_1) - y(s_1 t_1)|^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim_M |t_1 - t_2| + t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2| + t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2} + t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim |t_1 - t_2| + t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}
$$

while

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w_1, w_2) \gtrsim |t_1 - t_2| + t_1 |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}
$$

= |t_1 - t_2| + t_2 |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2} - (t_2 - t_1) |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}
> |t_1 - t_2| + t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2} - \sqrt{2\epsilon} t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}
\ge |t_1 - t_2| + t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}.

For the other direction, note that, $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta$ gives $|x(s_2 t_1)| \ge \frac{1}{2}|G(s_2 t_1)|$. Thus,

$$
|x(s_2t_2)| \ge |x(s_2t_1)| - |x(s_2t_2) - x(s_2t_1)| \ge \frac{1}{2}|G(s_2t_1)| - |G(s_2t_2) - G(s_2t_1)|
$$

\n
$$
\ge \frac{1}{2}|G(s_2t_2)| - \frac{3}{2}|G(s_2t_2) - G(s_2t_1)|
$$

\n
$$
\ge \frac{1}{2L}t_2 - \frac{3L}{2}|t_1 - t_2|
$$

\n
$$
> \frac{1}{4L}t_2
$$

since $\epsilon \leq 1/(12L^2)$. Therefore,

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w'_1, w'_2) \gtrsim_M |t_1 - t_2| + \frac{1}{2}|x(s_2 t_2)|^{1/2}|y(s_2 t_1) - y(s_1 t_1)|^{1/2} - C_1|t_1 - t_2|
$$

\n
$$
\gtrsim_M |t_1 - t_2| + t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2} - C_1|t_1 - t_2|
$$

\n
$$
> |t_1 - t_2| + t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2} - C_1 \epsilon t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\ge |t_1 - t_2| + t_1^{1/2} t_2^{1/2} |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\ge |t_1 - t_2| + t_1 |s_1 - s_2|^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\gtrsim d_{\mathbb{H}}(w_1, w_2)
$$

and the proof is complete. \Box

5.2. **Korányi spheres.** Following the ideas in [§5.1,](#page-12-1) we show directly that the Korányi sphere

$$
\mathbb{S}_K = \{p \in \mathbb{H} : d_K(p, 0) = 1\}
$$

admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into \mathbb{R}^4 . (Note that this is the sphere in the metric d_K rather than $d_{\mathbb{H}}$.) Since the metric is left invariant on \mathbb{H} and commutes with dilations, this shows that any Korányi sphere admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into \mathbb{R}^4 .

 ${\bf Proposition \ 5.4.}$ *There exists a bi-Lipschitz embedding of* (S $_K$, $d_{\mathbb{H}}$) *into* \mathbb{R}^4 .

Since the proof is similar to that of Theorem [5.1,](#page-13-0) we only sketch the steps and leave the details to the reader.

Proof. Given $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and $t \in [-1, 1]$, we define

$$
\ell_s(t) := (s(1-|t|), t) \in \mathbb{R}^3
$$
 and $\mathscr{C} = \{\ell_s(t): t \in [-1, 1], s \in \mathbb{S}^1\}.$

The arc $\ell_s([-1, 1])$ is the *longitude of € in the direction of s* with $\ell_s(-1)$ (resp. $\ell_s(1)$) being the south pole $\mathscr{S} = (0,0,-1)$ (resp. north pole $\mathscr{N} = (0,0,1)$) for all $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Given $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, define the sets

$$
\mathcal{N}(\epsilon) = \{ \ell_s(t) : s \in \mathbb{S}^1, 1 - \epsilon \le t \le 1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}(\epsilon) = \{ \ell_s(t) : s \in \mathbb{S}^1, -1 \le t \le -1 + \epsilon \}.
$$

Define also the two poles of \mathbb{S}_K , $\mathcal{N}^* = (0,0,1/4)$ and $\mathcal{S}^* = (0,0,-1/4)$.

Working as in Lemma [5.3,](#page-13-1) we can show that there exists a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $G: \mathscr{C} \rightarrow$ \mathcal{S}_K such that $G(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}^*$, $G(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N}^*$ and every longitude $\ell_s([-1,1])$ is mapped to a horizontal curve. In fact every curve $t \mapsto G(\ell_s(t))$ is an integral curve of the vector field

$$
V(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4(x^2 + y^2) + (x^2 + y^2)^4}} \left(-8xz - 2y(x^2 + y^2), -8yz + 2x(x^2 + y^2), (x^2 + y^2)^2 \right)
$$

which lies in the intersection of $T_p \mathcal{S}_K$ and H_p at any $p = (x, y, z) \in \mathcal{S}_K$.

Define now $\Psi: (\mathbb{S}_K, d_\mathbb{H}) \to \mathbb{R}^4$ by

$$
\Psi(G(s(1-|t|), t) = (t, (1-|t|)\phi(s))
$$

where ϕ is the bi-Lipschitz embedding of (S¹,|·|^{1/2}) into \mathbb{R}^3 . By [§3.2.2](#page-6-2) and Theorem [5.1,](#page-13-0) we know that Ψ is bi-Lipschitz on a neighborhood of $\mathcal N$ and on a neighborhood of $\mathcal S$. Moreover, for all $w \in$ $\mathbb{S}_K\setminus\{\mathcal{N}^*,\mathcal{S}^*\}$, we can use the result of Lemma [4.5](#page-10-0) to see that there exists a neighborhood of *w* on \mathbb{S}_K on which Ψ is bi-Lipschitz with a universal constant. By the compactness of \mathcal{S}_K , there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and *L* > 1 such that Ψ is *L*-bi-Lipschitz on each $B(p,\epsilon) \cap \mathbb{S}_K$ with $p \in \mathbb{S}_K$. On the other hand, by Lemma [2.2,](#page-3-1) if $w, w' \in \mathcal{S}_K$ with $|w - w'| \ge \epsilon$, then

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w') \simeq_{\varepsilon} 1 \simeq_{\varepsilon} |\Psi(w) - \Psi(w')|.
$$

We may follow very similar arguments to prove the following result.

 ${\bf Proposition \ 5.5.}$ $\,$ *There exists a bi-Lipschitz embedding of* (S 2 , $d_{\mathbb{H}}$) $\,$ $\,$ int or $\mathbb{R}^4.$

As with Proposition [5.4,](#page-17-1) one needs to construct a bi-Lipschitz mapping G : $\mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ such that every longitude $\ell_s([-1, 1])$ is mapped to a horizontal curve. Here, the vector field *V* is defined as

$$
V(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5(x^2 + y^2)}} (xz + 2y, yz - 2x, -y^2 - x^2).
$$

The rest of the proof follows in the same way as above.

5.3. **The surface** $z = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}xy$. The manifolds considered in [§5.1](#page-12-1) and in [§5.2](#page-16-0) are manifolds that contain at most two characteristic points. Now we consider the surface $z = xy/2$ which has infinitely many characteristic points, namely all points along the *x*-axis. Solving the ODE for *d x*/*d y* in [\(4.4\)](#page-10-1), we obtain the horizontal curves

$$
\{(c, t, \frac{1}{2}ct) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \text{ for } c \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \{(t, 0, 0) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}.
$$

On the other hand, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the curve

$$
\{(t, c, ct/2) : t \in [a, a+c]\}
$$

is a snowflake; that is, it is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to a rescaled copy of $\Phi([0,1])$ where $\Phi:\R\to\R^3$ is the $\frac{1}{2}$ -snowflaking map from [§2.](#page-2-0) The construction of the following embedding resembles a general construction by Seo [\[Seo11\]](#page-22-18).

Proposition 5.6. *The metric space* ({ $z = \frac{1}{2}$) $\frac{1}{2}$ xy}, $d_{\mathbb{H}}$) bi-Lipschitz embeds in \mathbb{R}^{19} .

Proof. For each $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$
Q_{n,m}^{\pm} = \{(x, \pm y, \pm \frac{1}{2}xy) : m2^{-n} \le x \le (m+1)2^{-n}, 2^{-n} \le y \le 2^{1-n}\}
$$

Firstly, we show that $(Q_{0,0}^+,d_\mathbb{H})$ bi-Lipschitz embeds in $[1,2]\times[0,1]^3$ ⊂ \mathbb{R}^4 . Indeed, consider the map

$$
g:(Q_{0,0}^+,d_{\mathbb{H}}) \to \mathbb{R}^4
$$
 with $g(x, y, \frac{1}{2}xy) = (y, \Phi(x)).$

Then, for $w = (x, y, \frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}xy$) $\in Q_{0,0}^{+}$ and $w' = (x', y', \frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}$ *x' y'*) ∈ $Q_{0,0}^{+}$, we have

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w') = |x - x'| + |y - y'| + 2^{-1/2}(y + y')^{1/2}|x - x'|^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\approx |y - y'| + |x - x'|^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\approx |g(w) - g(w')|.
$$

Secondly, we remark that the maps $\zeta_{n,m}^\pm$: $(Q_{0,0}^+,d_\mathbb{H}) \to (Q_{n,m}^\pm,d_\mathbb{H})$ given by

$$
\zeta_{n,m}^{\pm}(x, y, \frac{1}{2}xy) = (2^{-n}(x+m), \pm 2^{-n}y, \pm \frac{1}{2}4^{-n}(x+m)y)
$$

are 2⁻ⁿ-similarities i.e. $d_{\mathbb{H}}(w,w') = 2^{-n} d_{\mathbb{H}}(\zeta^{\pm}_{n,m}(w),\zeta^{\pm}_{n,m}(w')).$ Let also $\sigma^{\pm}_{n,m} : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ be the similarities given by

$$
\sigma_{n,m}^{\pm}(x,y,z,t) = (\pm 2^{-n}x,2^{-n}(y+m),2^{-n}z,2^{-n}t).
$$

Thirdly, we decompose ($\{z=\frac{1}{2}\}$ $\frac{1}{2}xy\}$, $d_{\mathbb{H}}$) into 4 pieces, we embed each piece bi-Lipschitz into \mathbb{R}^4 , and we glue these 4 embeddings via Lemma [3.6.](#page-7-2) Define the following four families of squares

Fix an $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and define $G_i : (\{y = z = 0\} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{Q}_i, d_{\mathbb{H}}) \to \mathbb{R}^4$ by

$$
G_i|_{Q_{n,m}^\pm} = \sigma_{n,m}^\pm \circ g \circ (\zeta_{n,m}^\pm)^{-1} |_{Q_{n,m}^\pm} \quad \text{and} \quad G_i(x,0,0) = (0,x,0,0).
$$

By the first two steps, for each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_i$, the map $G_i|_Q$ is bi-Lipschitz with bi-Lipschitz constant independent of the size of Q . It remains to show that each G_i is bi-Lipschitz. Assuming this is true, $(\{z=\frac{1}{2}\})$ $\frac{1}{2}xy$ }, $d_{\mathbb{H}}$) bi-Lipschitz embeds into \mathbb{R}^{19} by Lemma [3.6.](#page-7-2)

Fix $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}_i$ with $Q \neq Q'$ and fix $w = (x, y, \frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}$ *xy*) ∈ *Q* and *w'* = (*x'*, *y'*, $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ *x' y'*) ∈ *Q'*. (The case that one of them is on the *x*-axis follows by a limit argument). Recall that

$$
d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w') \simeq |x - x'| + |y - y'| + |y + y'|^{1/2} |x - x'|^{1/2}
$$

.

We claim that $d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, w') \simeq |x - x'| + |y - y'|$. To see that, consider the following two cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $Q = Q_{n,m}^+$ and $Q' = Q_{n,m'}^+$ for $m \neq m'$. Then,

$$
|y + y'| \lesssim 2^{-n} \le |m - m'| 2^{-n} \lesssim |x - x'|.
$$

Hence, $|y + y'|^{1/2}|x - x'|^{1/2} \lesssim |x - x'|$. The same conclusion holds if $Q = Q_{n,m}^-$ and $Q' = Q_{n,m'}^-$.

Case 2. Suppose that Case 1 does not hold. Then $Q = Q_{n,m}^{\pm}$ and $Q' = Q_n^{\pm}$ $\frac{1}{n'}$, *m*^{*t*} for *n* \neq *n*^{*t*}, and so $|y - y'| \approx |y + y'|$. The claim follows.

With similar reasoning, we can show that, for any $Q, Q' \in \mathscr{Q}_i$ with $Q \neq Q'$ and for any $w = (x, y, \frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}$ *xy*) ∈ *Q* and $w' = (x', y', \frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}x'y'$) $\in Q'$, we have

(5.5)
$$
|G_i(w) - G_i(w')| \approx |x - x'| + |y - y'|.
$$

Indeed, notice that $(g\circ (\zeta_{n,m}^\pm)^{-1})(Q)\subset [1,2]\times [0,1]^3$ for any $Q=Q_{n,m}^\pm\in \mathscr{Q}_i$, and thus

$$
G_i(Q) \subset [\pm 2^{-n}, \pm 2^{1-n}] \times [m2^{-n}, (m+1)2^{-n}] \times [0, 2^{-n}] \times [0, 2^{-n}].
$$

According to the definition of \mathscr{Q}_i and arguments as above, then, [\(5.5\)](#page-18-0) holds for any $Q, Q' \in \mathscr{Q}_i$ with $Q \neq Q'$. That concludes the proof of Proposition [5.6.](#page-18-1)

6. NONEMBEDABBILITY OF HEISENBERG POROUS SETS

The focus of this section is the proof of Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-2) For the rest of [§6,](#page-19-0) we set π : $\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{R}^2$ to be the 1-Lipschitz abelianization map. Given $\alpha > 0$, we define a α -cone in $\mathbb H$ as the set

(6.1)
$$
C_{\alpha} := \{(x, y, z) : |z| \le \alpha (x^2 + y^2)\}.
$$

It is easily seen that C_α is dilation and rotation invariant and $C_\alpha \subseteq C_\beta$ when $\alpha \leq \beta$. In [§6.1](#page-19-1) we define the set *X* and explain why there is no bi-Lipschitz embedding of *X* in ℓ^2 , and in [§6.2](#page-20-0) we show that *X* is indeed porous.

6.1. **Laakso graphs.** Let ${G_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denote the sequence of Laakso graphs defined in Section 2.2 of [\[Li16\]](#page-22-7) and let $f_n: G_n \to \mathbb{H}$ denote the embedding defined starting from the bottom of p. 1625 (there it was just denoted *f* by abuse of notation). (Note in particular that each *Gⁿ* consists only of the vertices in the graph and does not include the edges.) Recall that f_n is specified with a sequence of angles $\theta_j = (\sqrt{M+j} \log(M+j))^{-1}$ for some *M* sufficiently large to be fixed. Theorem 1.2 of [\[Li16\]](#page-22-7) showed that f_n on G_n has distortion $O((\log |G_n|)^{1/4}\sqrt{\log \log |G_n|})$.

FIGURE 1. The construction of ${G_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

bi-Lipschitz embed into Markov *p*-convex metric spaces when $p < 4$. This is explicitly done in the .
.. ں
in oronary 1.4 or [L116] (annough c
ce Hilbert space is Markov 2-con
z distortion. bi-Lipschitz distortion. ex¹ we get that $f_n(G_n)$ do not embed \ln to -, ur
'₂ wit 2 , there). Since Hilbert space is Markov 2-convex, we get that $f_n(G_n)$ do not embed into ℓ_2 with uniform by Lincolnity distortion One of the main goals of [\[Li16\]](#page-22-7) was to use f_n to show that the image $f_n(G_n)$ cannot uniformly proof of Corollary 1.4 of [\[Li16\]](#page-22-7) (although one needs to remove the intermediary $H(\mathbb{Z})$ that appears

allows us to define a limiting compact object G_{∞} also of diameter 1. It is not hard to show that G_{∞} We now require that the diameter of each G_n is 1. Thus, the length of edges in G_n become 6^{−*n*}. This contains each *Gⁿ* isometrically.

 n anis each G_n isometricany.
One also has that G_{∞} is self-similar and is composed as a union of 10 scaled copies of G_{∞} . Call these level 1 copies. We use H_1 to denote any one of these copies, and they are all isometric. (Note that *H*₁ could refer to any level 1 copy of *G*_∞.) Each of these in turn are composed of 10 scaled copies
of *G* a Call these level 2 copies, and use *H*₂ to denote each of these copies. We can continue and of *G*∞. Call these level 2 copies, and use *H*² to denote each of these copies. We can continue and identify level *n* copies for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ each denoted H_n . If a copy H_i does not contain a terminal point of some *Hi*−¹ we say it is forked. Note that each *Hⁱ* contains 8 forked and 2 non-forked copies of *Hi*+1.

 $H_{i,k}$ could refer to any one of many isometric objects. Each H_i contains a unique scaled copy of G_k that is maximal in diameter. We call this $H_{i,k}$. Again,

We can define a Lipschitz map $f: G_{\infty} \to \mathbb{H}$ so that the restriction of f on each embedded copy of G_n in G_∞ is a re-scaled (and possibly translated and rotated) copy of $f_n(G_n)$. Thus, $f(G_\infty)$ is compact and cannot embed into ℓ_2 as it contains copies of $f_n(G_n)$. Define $X = f(G_\infty)$.

6.2. **Proof of Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-2)** From the discussion above, Theorem [1.4](#page-2-2) immediately follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *The set X* = $f(G_{\infty})$ *is porous in* H *.*

For the rest of [§6.2,](#page-20-0) given a subset $A \subset G_\infty$, we let $\tilde{A} := \pi(f(A)) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and if $x \in G_\infty$, set $\tilde{x} := \pi(f(x))$. We require two lemmas from [\[Li16\]](#page-22-7). The first one follows from Lemma 2.6 of [\[Li16\]](#page-22-7).

Lemma 6.2. *For M sufficiently large, if s, t are terminal points of a level n copy* H_n *of* G_∞ *, then* $\frac{6^{-n}}{2}$ $\frac{-n}{2} \leq$ $|\pi(f(s)) - \pi(f(t))| \leq d_{\mathbb{H}}(f(s), f(t)).$

The second is Lemma 2.7 of [\[Li16\]](#page-22-7).

 ${\bf Lemma\,6.3.}$ *For any i, the set* $\tilde H_i$ *is contained in the closed convex hull of the corresponding* $\tilde H_{i,1}.$

Remark 6.4. Let *C* and *C'* be convex hulls of $\tilde{H}_{i+1,1}$ for copies of H_{i+1} in H_i that are in parallel and intersect at a fork point *a*. Lemma [6.3](#page-20-1) says that there exists a cone based at *a* of aperture at $\theta_i - \frac{\theta_{i+1}}{2}$ $\frac{i+1}{2} \geq$ *θi* $\frac{\partial i}{2}$ between *C* and *C'*.

Corollary 6.5. *For any H_n*, we have $\frac{6^{-n}}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ ≤ diam_{*d*H}</sub> $f(H_n)$ ≤ 6^{-*n*}.

Proof. The upper bound comes from 1-Lipschitzness of *f* . The lower bound comes from Lemma [6.2.](#page-20-2) \Box

Proposition 6.6. *There exists M* > 0 *sufficiently large so that the following holds. Let* H_i ⊃ H_{i+1} ⊃ ... ⊃ *H*_k be a nested sequence of sub-graphs so that H_{i+1} is forked in H_i . Let $S \subseteq \{i+2,...,k\}$ be the indices j \tilde{f} *so that H_j* is forked in H_{j−1}. Suppose there exists another forked copy H $'_{i+1}$ \subset H_i that is in parallel with H_{i+1} so that there are points $x \in H_k$ and $y \in H'_{i+1}$ for which $6^{-k} \le |\tilde{x}-\tilde{y}| \le 6^{-k+1}$. Then $\sum_{j \in S} \theta_j \le 1/100$.

Proof. We suppose for contradiction that $\sum_{j\in S}\theta_j > \frac{1}{100}$. In particular, *S* is nonempty so let $\ell = \min S$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be the points of $\tilde{H}_{i,1} \subset \tilde{H}_i$ with degree at least 3. Then the distance from \tilde{x} to a, b, c is at least $6^{-\ell}/2$ by Lemma [6.2.](#page-20-2) By Remark [6.4,](#page-20-3) the distance from *x* to any point in \tilde{H}'_{i+1} (which is in parallel with H_{i+1}) is at least $6^{-\ell}\theta_i/8$ and so $6^{-k+1} \ge 6^{-\ell}\theta_i/8$ by the assumption of the lemma. This tells us that $k \le \ell + 3 + \log \frac{1}{\theta_i}$ and so $|S| \le 3 + \log \frac{1}{\theta_i} \le \frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{100}\sqrt{i+M}$ for large enough *M*. Thus,

$$
\sum_{j \in S} \theta_j \le \sum_{j=1}^{\sqrt{i+M}/100} \theta_{i+1+j} \le \sum_{j=1}^{\sqrt{i+M}/100} \frac{1}{\log(M+i+j+1)\sqrt{M+i+j+1}} < \frac{1}{100},
$$

which is a contradiction. \Box

We also choose *M* sufficiently large so that $100\theta_1 < \frac{1}{100}$.

Fix some *x* ∈ *X* and choose some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $y \in G_{\infty}$ be any point so that $f(y) = x$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists some H_i that contains y . We call this subset Y_i . If y is a terminal point of some H_i , then the choice of Y_i may not be unique. In this case, choose Y_i arbitrarily while ensuring that, at each step, $Y_{i+1} \subset Y_i$. We let s_i and t_i denote the source and sink of Y_i .

Let $(u, v) \in \mathbb{S}^1$ be a 90° clockwise rotation of the direction from \tilde{s}_k to \tilde{t}_k . Let

$$
x' = f(s_k) \cdot (u6^{-k+10}, v6^{-k+10}, 0)
$$

and define the ball $B = B(x', 6^{-k})$. Our goal is to prove that $B \cap X = \emptyset$. It suffices to show that $B \cap X$ $(f(Y_i)\setminus f(Y_{i+1})) = \emptyset$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k \leq i+5$, then it is obvious that this intersection is empty by a simple triangle inequality argument and the fact that $f(Y_i) \subseteq B(f(s_k), 6^{-k+5})$.

We may now suppose $i < k-5$. Let $S \subseteq \{i+2,...,k\}$ be the indices j so that Y_j is forked in Y_{j-1} . Let $P:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$ denote the orthogonal projection onto the linear subspace spanned by \tilde{s}_i and \tilde{t}_i with the orientation that $P(\tilde{s}_i) < P(\tilde{t}_i)$.

 ${\bf Lemma 6.7.}$ *Suppose at least 100 of* $\{Y_j\}_{j=i+2}^k$ *are forked. Then*

(6.2)
$$
P(\pi(B)) \subseteq [P(\tilde{s}_{i+1}) + 10 \cdot 6^{-k}, P(\tilde{t}_{i+1}) - 10 \cdot 6^{-k}].
$$

Proof. Let $\ell \in \{i + 2, ..., k\}$ be the smallest integer so that Y_ℓ is forked. Thus, in Y_ℓ , there exists a nonforked $H_{\ell+1}$ separating Y_k from s_ℓ and t_ℓ (since at least one Y_j is forked for $j \in \{\ell+2,\ldots,k\}$). As ℓ was minimal in $\{i+2,...,k\}$, $P(\tilde{s}_{\ell}) \ge P(\tilde{s}_{i+1})$ and we get that $P(\tilde{s}_k) - P(\tilde{s}_{\ell}) > 6^{-\ell}/2$. As $k \ge \ell + 99$ and since the group multiplication acts in the first two coordinates simply as addition, this proves the lemma (arguing similarly for the right hand side of the interval).

Lemma 6.8. *If B intersects* $f(Y_i) \setminus f(Y_{i+1})$ *, then* Y_{i+1} *must be forked and B must intersect some other* $f(H_{i+1})$ *where* H_{i+1} *is in parallel with* Y_{i+1} *.*

Proof. Since $B \cap f(Y_i) \neq \emptyset$, the ball *B* intersects some $f(H_{i+1})$ in $f(Y_i)$. We denote this H_{i+1} as Y'_{i+1} . Let θ denote the angle between the line segments connecting the terminals of \tilde{Y}_i and \tilde{Y}_k . First suppose that $\theta \le 1/100$. Then an elementary geometric argument in \mathbb{R}^2 using Lemma [6.3](#page-20-1) shows that $\pi(B)$ cannot intersect any \tilde{H}_{i+1} where H_{i+1} is in series with Y_{i+1} . Thus Y'_{i+1} must be in parallel with Y_{i+1} , and this proves the lemma in this case.

Now suppose $\theta > 1/100$. Then as $100\theta_1 \le 1/100$, we must have that at least 100 of $\{Y_j\}_{j=i+2}^k$ are forked. The lemma then follows from the previous lemma. Indeed, $P(\pi(B)) \cap P(\tilde{Y}'_{i+1}) \neq \emptyset$, and so Y'_{i+1} cannot be in series with Y_{i+1} .

Recall that C_1 is the 1-cone defined in (6.1) .

Lemma 6.9. $6B \subseteq f(s_k) \cdot C_1$.

Proof. We may suppose $f(s_k) = (0, 0, 0)$. Let $p \in B(0, 6^{-k+1})$. Then $(u6^{-k+10}, v6^{-k+10}, 0) \cdot p$ has a *z*coordinate of absolute value at most $6^{-2k+2} + 6^{-k+10} \cdot 6^{-k+1} \le 6^{-2k+12}$. On the other hand, the *x* and *y* coordinates have absolute value at least 6−*k*+⁹ . This easily proves the lemma (with drastic overestimation). \Box

We now show Proposition [6.1.](#page-20-4)

Proof of Proposition [6.1.](#page-20-4) Suppose *B* intersects $f(Y_i) \setminus f(Y_{i+1})$. Let Y'_{i+1} denote the H_{i+1} that is in parallel with *Y*_{*i*+1} such that $B \cap f(Y'_{i+1})$ is nonempty (from Lemma [6.8\)](#page-21-0). Let $p \in B \cap f(Y'_{i})$ *i*). In a similar way, for *j* ∈ {*i* + 2, ..., *k*}, let *Y*[']_{*i*} \int_{i}^{t} denote the H_j that contain *p* with Y'_j y' ⊆ Y'_{j-1} and s'_{j} $\frac{1}{k}$, $\frac{1}{k}$ \tilde{k} , \tilde{s}'_k $\tilde{t}_k^{\prime}, \tilde{t}_k^{\prime}$ $\frac{b}{k}$ be defined similarly. Note that the inflated ball 6*B* must intersect \tilde{s}^{\prime}_i k . Let *S*^{*l*} be the indices *j* of {*i* + 2, ..., *k*} where Y_i' *j* is forked in *Y'*_{*j*−1}. By Proposition [6.6,](#page-20-5) we have that both $\sum_{j \in S} θ_j$ and $\sum_{j \in S'} θ_j$ are less than 1/100.

Take a geodesic path from s_k to s'_k \mathcal{H}_k' . This is pushed into $\mathbb H$ via f to a piecewise affine horizontal path $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$ so that $p_1 = f(s_k)$ and $p_n = f(s)$ \int_{k}). As max $\{\sum_{j\in S} \theta_j, \sum_{j\in S'} \theta_j\} \leq 1/100$ and the line from $\pi(p_1)$ to $\pi(p_n)$ is almost orthogonal to the line between the terminals of \tilde{Y}_i , the closed piecewise affine path $\pi(p_1)\cdots\pi(p_n)\pi(p_1)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 does not self intersect. It follows from Remark [6.4](#page-20-3) that this path encloses an isosceles triangle of angle $\theta_i - \frac{\theta_{i+1}}{2}$ $\frac{a_{i+1}}{2}$ and base at least $\frac{|\pi(p_n)-\pi(p_1)|}{2}$ which has area

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{|\pi(p_1)-\pi(p_n)|}{2}\frac{|\pi(p_1)-\pi(p_n)|}{2\tan\left(\theta_i-\frac{\theta_{i+1}}{2}\right)}\geq \frac{1}{16\theta_i}|\pi(p_1)-\pi(p_n)|^2.
$$

Thus, we see that $p_n \notin p_1 \cdot C_{1/16\theta_{i-1}}$. As θ_i is decreasing, this means $p_n \notin p_1 \cdot C_{1/16\theta_1}$. However, from Lemma [6.9](#page-21-1) we have that $p_n \in p_1 \cdot C_1$. This is a contradiction, which means *B* does not intersect $f(Y_i) \setminus f(Y_{i+1})$. This proves that $X = f(G_{\infty})$ is porous in H.

REFERENCES

- [Ass77] Patrice Assouad. *Espaces métriques, plongements, facteurs*. U.E.R. Mathématique, Université Paris XI, Orsay, 1977. Thèse de doctorat, Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay, No. 223-7769.
- [Ass79] Patrice Assouad. étude d'une dimension métrique liée à la possibilité de plongements dans **R** *n* . *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 288(15):A731–A734, 1979.
- [Ass83] Patrice Assouad. Plongements lipschitziens dans **R** *n* . *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 111(4):429–448, 1983.
- [Bal03] Zoltán M. Balogh. Size of characteristic sets and functions with prescribed gradient. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 564:63–83, 2003.
- [BH04] Mario Bonk and Juha Heinonen. Smooth quasiregular mappings with branching. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (100):153–170, 2004.
- [CDPT07] Luca Capogna, Donatella Danielli, Scott D. Pauls, and Jeremy T. Tyson. *An introduction to the Heisenberg group and the sub-Riemannian isoperimetric problem*, volume 259 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [CL55] Earl A. Coddington and Norman Levinson. *Theory of ordinary differential equations*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1955.
- [KV15] Subhash A. Khot and Nisheeth K. Vishnoi. The unique games conjecture, integrability gap for cut problems and embeddability of negative-type metrics into ℓ_1 . *J. ACM*, 62(1):Art. 8, 39, 2015.
- [Li16] S. Li. Markov convexity and nonembeddability of the heisenberg group. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 66(4):1615–1651, 2016.
- [MM16] Konstantin Makarychev and Yury Makarychev. Union of Euclidean metric spaces is Euclidean. *Discrete Anal.*, pages Paper No. 14, 14, 2016.
- [Nao10] Assaf Naor. *L*1 embeddings of the Heisenberg group and fast estimation of graph isoperimetry. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume III*, pages 1549–1575. Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010.
- [Pan89] Pierre Pansu. Métriques de Carnot-Carathéodory et quasiisométries des espaces symétriques de rang un. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 129(1):1–60, 1989.
- [Rom16] Matthew Romney. Conformal Grushin spaces. *Conform. Geom. Dyn.*, 20:97–115, 2016.
- [RV17] Matthew Romney and Vyron Vellis. Bi-Lipschitz embedding of the generalized Grushin plane into Euclidean spaces. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 24(4):1177–1203, 2017.
- [SC16] Francesco Serra Cassano. Some topics of geometric measure theory in Carnot groups. In *Geometry, analysis and dynamics on sub-Riemannian manifolds. Vol. 1*, EMS Ser. Lect. Math., pages 1–121. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2016.
- [Sem96] Stephen Semmes. On the nonexistence of bi-Lipschitz parameterizations and geometric problems about *A*∞ weights. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 12(2):337–410, 1996.
- [Seo11] Jeehyeon Seo. A characterization of bi-Lipschitz embeddable metric spaces in terms of local bi-Lipschitz embeddability. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 18(6):1179–1202, 2011.
- [Wu15a] Jang-Mei Wu. Bilipschitz embedding of Grushin plane in R 3 . *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 14(2):633–644, 2015.
- [Wu15b] Jang-Mei Wu. Geometry of Grushin spaces. *Illinois J. Math.*, 59(1):21–41, 2015.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CT 06269-1009 *E-mail address*: vasileios.chousionis@uconn.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CT 06269-1009 *E-mail address*: sean.li@uconn.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CT 06269-1009 *E-mail address*: vyron.vellis@uconn.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CT 06269-1009 *E-mail address*: scott.zimmerman@uconn.edu