# SOME PROPERTIES OF THE CLASS $\mathcal U$

# MILUTIN OBRADOVIĆ AND NIKOLA TUNESKI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the class  $\mathcal{U}$  of functions that are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ , normalized such that f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0 and satisfy

$$\left| \left[ \frac{z}{f(z)} \right]^2 f'(z) - 1 \right| < 1 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

For functions in the class  $\mathcal{U}$  we give sharp estimate of the second and the third Hankel determinant, its relationship with the class of  $\alpha$ -convex functions, as well as certain starlike properties.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the family of all analytic functions in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and satisfying the normalization f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1. Let  $\mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the subclasses of  $\mathcal{A}$  which are starlike and convex in  $\mathbb{D}$ , respectively, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}^{\star} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] > 0, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left[ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] > 0, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

Geometrical characterisation of convexity is the usual one, while for the starlikeness we have that  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , if, and only if,  $f(\mathbb{D})$  is a starlike region, i.e.,

$$z \in f(\mathbb{D})$$
  $\Rightarrow$   $tz \in f(\mathbb{D})$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

The linear combination of the expressions involved in the analytical representations of starlikeness and convexity brings us to the classes of  $\alpha$ -convex functions introduced in 1969 by Mocanu ([3]) and consisting of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

(1) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1-\alpha)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left[ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] \right\} > 0, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where  $\frac{f(z)f'(z)}{z} \neq 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Those classes he denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ .

Further, let  $\mathcal{U}$  denote the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying the condition

$$|\mathbf{U}_f(z)| < 1$$
  $(z \in \mathbb{D}),$ 

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C50, 30C55.

Key words and phrases. analytic, class  $\mathcal{U}$ , starlike,  $\alpha$ -convex, Hankel determinant.

where the operator  $U_f$  is defined by

$$U_f(z) := \left[\frac{z}{f(z)}\right]^2 f'(z) - 1.$$

All this classes consist of univalent functions and more details on them can be found in [1, 10].

The class of starlike functions is very large and in the theory of univalent functions it is significant if a class doesn't entirely lie inside  $\mathcal{S}^{\star}$ . One such case is the class of functions with bounded turning consisting of functions f from  $\mathcal{A}$  that satisfy  $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Another example is the class  $\mathcal{U}$  defined above and first treated in [5] (see also [6, 7, 10]). Namely, the function  $-\ln(1-z)$  is convex, thus starlike, but not in  $\mathcal{U}$  because  $\operatorname{U}_f(0.99) = 3.621 \ldots > 1$ , while the function f defined by  $\frac{z}{f(z)} = 1 - \frac{3}{2}z + \frac{1}{2}z^3 = (1-z)^2\left(1 + \frac{z}{2}\right)$  is in  $\mathcal{U}$  and such that  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = -\frac{2\left(z^2 + z + 1\right)}{z^2 + z - 2} = -\frac{1}{5} + \frac{3i}{5}$  for z = i. This rear property is the main reason why the class  $\mathcal{U}$  attracts huge attention in the past decades.

In this paper we give sharp estimates of the second and the third Hankel determinant over the class  $\mathcal{U}$  and study its relation with the class of  $\alpha$ -convex and starlike functions.

# 2. Main results

In the first theorem we give the sharp estimates of the Hankel determinants of second and third order for the class  $\mathcal{U}$ . We first give the definition of the Hankel determinant, whose elements are the coefficients of a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then the qth Hankel determinant of f is defined for  $q \geq 1$ , and  $n \geq 1$  by

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \dots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \dots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Thus, the second and the third Hankel determinants are, respectively,

(3) 
$$H_2(2) = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2, H_3(1) = a_3 (a_2 a_4 - a_3^2) - a_4 (a_4 - a_2 a_3) + a_5 (a_3 - a_2^2).$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$  Then we have the sharp estimates:

$$|H_2(2)| \le 1$$
 and  $|H_3(1)| \le \frac{1}{4}$ .

*Proof.* In [5] the following characterization for functions f in the class in  $\mathcal{U}$  was given:

(4) 
$$\frac{z}{f(z)} = 1 - a_2 z - z \int_0^z \frac{\omega(t)}{t^2} dt,$$

where function  $\omega$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\omega(0) = \omega'(0) = 0$  and  $|\omega(z)| < 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

If we put  $\omega_1(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\omega(t)}{t^2} dt$ , then we easily obtain that  $|\omega_1(z)| \leq |z| < 1$  and  $|\omega_1'(z)| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . If  $\omega_1(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$ , then  $\omega_1'(z) = c_1 + 2c_2 z + 3c_3 z^2 + \cdots$  and  $|\omega_1'(z)| \leq 1$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , gives (see relation (13) in the paper of Prokhorov and Szynal [8]):

(5) 
$$|c_1| \le 1$$
,  $|2c_2| \le 1 - |c_1|^2$  and  $|3c_3(1 - |c_1|^2) + 4\overline{c_1}c_2^2| \le (1 - |c_1|^2)^2 - 4|c_2|^2$ .

Also, from (4) we have

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{1 - (a_2 z + c_1 z^2 + c_2 z^3 + \cdots)}$$
  
=  $z + a_2 z^2 + (c_1 + a_2^2) z^3 + (c_2 + 2a_2 c_1 + a_2^3) z^4$   
+  $(c_3 + 2a_2 c_2 + c_1^2 + 3a_2^2 c_1 + a_2^4) z^5 \cdots$ 

From the last relation we have

(6) 
$$a_3 = c_1 + a_2^2$$
,  $a_4 = c_2 + 2a_2c_1 + a_2^3$ ,  $a_5 = c_3 + 2a_2c_2 + c_1^2 + 3a_2^2c_1 + a_2^4$ .

We may suppose that  $c_1 \geq 0$ , since from (6) we have  $c_1 = a_3 - a_2^2$  and  $a_3$  and  $a_2^2$  have the same turn under rotation. In that sense, from (5) we obtain

(7) 
$$0 \le c_1 \le 1$$
,  $|c_2| \le \frac{1}{2} (1 - c_1^2)$  and  $|c_3| \le \frac{1}{3} \left( 1 - c_1^2 - \frac{4|c_2|^2}{1 + c_1} \right)$ .

If we use (3), (6) and (7), then

$$|H_2(2)| = |c_2 a_2 - c_1^2| \le |c_2| \cdot |a_2| + c_1^2 \le \frac{1}{2} (1 - c_1^2) |a_2| + c_1^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot |a_2| + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot |a_2|\right) c_1^2 \le 1.$$

The functions  $k(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$  and  $f_1(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$  show that the estimate is the best possible.

Similarly, after some calculations we also have

$$|H_3(1)| = |c_1c_3 - c_2^2| \le c_1|c_3| + |c_2|^2$$

$$\le \frac{1}{3}c_1\left(1 - c_1^2 - \frac{4|c_2|^2}{1 + c_1}\right) + |c_2|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{3}\left(c_1 - c_1^3 + \frac{3 - c_1}{1 + c_1}|c_2|^2\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3}\left(c_1 - c_1^3 + \frac{3 - c_1}{1 + c_1} \cdot \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - c_1^2\right)^2\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{12}\left(3 - 2c_1^2 - c_1^4\right) \le \frac{3}{12} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

The function  $f_2(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^3/2}$  shows that the result is the best possible.

In the rest of the paper be consider some starlikeness problems for the class  $\mathcal{U}$  and its connection with the class of  $\alpha$ -convex functions.

First, let recall the classical results about the relation between the starlike functions and  $\alpha$ -convex functions.

# Theorem 2.

- (a)  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\star}$  for every real  $\alpha$  ([4]);
- (b) for  $0 \le \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \le 1$  we have  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\beta}$  and for  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \subset \mathcal{M}_{1} = \mathcal{K}$  ([9, 4]).

As an anlogue of the above theorem we have

**Theorem 3.** For the classes  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$  the next results are valid.

- (a)  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \subset \mathcal{U}$  for  $\alpha \leq -1$ ;
- (b)  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$  is not a subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  for any  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

Proof.

(a) Let  $p(z) = U_f(z)$ . Then p is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and p(0) = p'(0) = 0. From here we have that  $\left[\frac{z}{f(z)}\right]^2 f'(z) = p(z) + 1$  and, after some calculations that

$$2\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right] = 1 - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + 1}.$$

The relation (1) is equivalent to

(8) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1+\alpha)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \left[1 - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)+1}\right] \right\} > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We want to prove that |p(z)| < 1,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . If not, then according to the Clunie-Jack Lemma ([2]) there exists a  $z_0$ ,  $|z_0| < 1$ , such that  $p(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ 

and  $z_0p'(z_0)=kp(z_0)=ke^{i\theta}, k\geq 2$ . For such  $z_0$ , from (8) we have that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{ (1+\alpha) \frac{z_0 f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} - \alpha \left[ 1 - \frac{k e^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} + 1} \right] \right\}$$
$$= (1+\alpha) \operatorname{Re}\left[ \frac{z_0 f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} \right] + \alpha \frac{k-2}{2} \le 0$$

since  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$  (by Theorem 2) and  $\alpha \leq -1$ . That is the contradiction to (1). It means that  $|p(z)| = |\operatorname{U}_f(z)| < 1, z \in \mathbb{D}$ , i.e.  $f \in \mathcal{U}$ .

(b) To prove this part, by using Theorem 2(b), it is enough to find a function  $g \in \mathcal{K}$  such that g not belong to the class  $\mathcal{U}$ . Really, the function  $g(z) = -\ln(1-z)$  is convex but not in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Open problem**. It remains an open problem to study the relationship between classes  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  when  $-1 < \alpha < 0$  and  $\alpha > 1$ .

In the next theorem we consider starlikeness of the function

(9) 
$$g(z) = \frac{z/f(z) - 1}{-a_2}$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $a_2 = \frac{f''(0)}{2} \neq 0$ , i.e., its second coefficient doesn't vanish.

Namely, we have

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then, for the function g defined by (9) we have:

- (a) |g'(z) 1| < 1 for  $|z| < |a_2|/2$ ;
- (b)  $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$  in the disc  $|z| < |a_2|/2$  and even more

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1$$
  $(|z| < |a_2|/2);$ 

(c)  $g \in \mathcal{U}$  in the disc  $|z| < |a_2|/2$  if  $0 < |a_2| \le 1$ .

The results are best possible.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $a_2 \neq 0$ . Then, by using (4), we have that

$$\frac{z}{f(z)} = 1 - a_2 z - z\omega_1(z),$$

where  $\omega_1$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $|\omega_1(z)| \leq |z|$  and  $|\omega_1'(z)| \leq 1$ . The appropriate function g from (9) has the form

$$g(z) = z + \frac{1}{a_2} z \omega_1(z).$$

From here  $|g'(z) - 1| = \frac{1}{|a_2|} |\omega_1(z) + z\omega_1'(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < |a_2|/2$ .

By using previous representation, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{z\omega_1'(z)}{a_2 + \omega_1(z)} \right| \le \frac{|z|}{|a_2| - |z|} < 1$$

if  $|z| < |a_2|/2$ . It means that the function g is starlike in the disk  $|z| < |a_2|/2$ .

If we consider function  $f_b$  defined by

(10) 
$$\frac{z}{f_b(z)} = 1 + bz + z^2, \qquad 0 < b \le 2,$$

then  $f_b \in \mathcal{U}$  and

$$g_b(z) = \frac{\frac{z}{f_b(z)} - 1}{b} = z + \frac{1}{b}z^2.$$

For this function we easily have that for |z| < b/2:

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zg_b'(z)}{g_b(z)} \ge \frac{1 - \frac{2}{b}|z|}{1 - \frac{1}{b}|z|} > 0.$$

On the other hand side, since  $g'_b(-b/2) = 0$ , the function  $g_b$  is not univalent in a bigger disc, which implies that our result is best possible.

Also, by using (9) and the next estimation for the function  $\omega_1$ :

$$|z\omega_1'(z) - \omega_1(z)| \le \frac{r^2 - |\omega_1(z)|^2}{1 - r^2}$$

(where |z| = r and  $|\omega_1(z)| \le r$ ), after some calculation we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{U}_g(z)| &= \left| \frac{\frac{1}{a_2} (z\omega_1'(z) - \omega_1(z)) - \frac{1}{a_2^2} \omega_1^2(z)}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{a_2} \omega_1(z)\right)^2} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|a_2| |z\omega_1'(z) - \omega_1(z)| + |\omega_1(z)|^2}{(|a_2| - |\omega_1(z)|)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{|a_2| \frac{r^2 - |\omega_1(z)|^2}{1 - r^2} + |\omega_1(z)|^2}{(|a_2| - |\omega_1(z)|)^2} \\ &=: \frac{1}{1 - r^2} \varphi(t), \end{aligned}$$

where we put

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{(1 - r^2 - |a_2|)t^2 + |a_2|r^2}{(|a_2| - t)^2}$$

and  $|\omega_1(z)| = t$ ,  $0 \le t \le r$ . Since

$$\varphi'(t) = \frac{2|a_2|}{(|a_2| - t)^3} \left( (1 - r^2 - |a_2|)t + r^2 \right) = \frac{2|a_2|}{(|a_2| - t)^3} \left( (1 - |a_2|)t + (1 - t)r^2 \right) \ge 0,$$

because  $0 < |a_2| \le 1$  and  $0 \le t < 1$ . It means that the function  $\varphi$  is an increasing function and that

$$\varphi(t) \le \varphi(r) = \frac{(1-r^2)r^2}{(|a_2|-r)^2}.$$

Finally we have that

$$|\mathbf{U}_g(z)| \le \frac{r^2}{(|a_2| - r)^2} < 1,$$

since  $|z| < |a_2|/2$ . That is implies the second statement of the theorem.

As for sharpness, we can also consider the function  $f_b$  defined by (10) with  $0 < b \le 1$ . For  $|z| < \frac{b}{2}$  we have

$$|\mathcal{U}_{g_b}(z)| \le \frac{\frac{1}{b^2}|z|^2}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{b}|z|\right)^2} < 1,$$

which implies that  $g_b$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{U}$  in the disc |z| < b/2.

We believe that part (b) of the previous theorem is valid for all  $0 < |a_2| \le 2$ . In that sense we have the next

**Conjecture 1.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then the function g defined by the expression (9) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{U}$  in the disc  $|z| < |a_2|/2$ . The result is the best possible.

#### References

- 1. Goodman A.W., Univalent functions Vol. I, Mariner Publishing Co., Inc., Tampa, FL, 1983.
- 2. Jack I.S., Functions starlike and convex of order α, J. London Math. Soc., (2) 3, 469-474, 1971.
- 3. Mocanu P.T., Une proprit de convexit gnralise dans la thorie de la reprsentation conforme. (French), *Mathematica (Cluj)* 11 (34) 1969 127–133.
- 4. Miller S.S., Mocanu P., Reade M.O., All  $\alpha$ -convex functions are univalent and starlike, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 37 (1973), 553–554.
- 5. Obradović, M.; Pascu, N. N.; Radomir, I. A class of univalent functions, *Math. Japon.*, 44 (1996), no. 3, 565–568.
- 6. Obradović M., Ponnusamy S., New criteria and distortion theorems for univalent functions, Complex Variables Theory Appl., 44 (3) (2001), 173–191.
- 7. Obradović M., Ponnusamy S., On the class  $\mathcal{U}$ , Proc. 21st Annual Conference of the Jammu Math. Soc. and a National Seminar on Analysis and its Application, 11-26, 2011.
- 8. Prokhorov D.V., Szynal J., Inverse coefficients for  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -convex functions, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A, 35 (1981), 125–143 (1984).
- 9. Sakaguchi K., A note on p-valent functions, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 14 1962 312–321.
- 10. Thomas D.K., Tuneski N., Vasudevarao A., *Univalent functions. A primer*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 69. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2018.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE, BULEVAR KRALJA ALEKSANDRA 73, 11000, BELGRADE, SERBIA

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: obrad@grf.bg.ac.rs}$ 

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Karpoš II b.b., 1000 Skopje, Republic of Macedonia.

E-mail address: nikola.tuneski@mf.edu.mk