# NONCOMMUTATIVE COUNTERPARTS OF CELEBRATED CONJECTURES

# GONÇALO TABUADA

ABSTRACT. In this survey, written for the proceedings of the conference K-theory in algebra, analysis and topology, Buenos Aires, Argentina (satellite event of the ICM 2018), we give a rigorous overview of the noncommutative counterparts of some celebrated conjectures of Grothendieck, Voevodsky, Beilinson, Weil, Tate, Parshin, Kimura, Schur, and others.

# Contents

Introduction		1
1.	Celebrated conjectures	1
2.	Noncommutative counterparts	5
3.	Applications to commutative geometry	10
4.	Applications to noncommutative geometry	17
References		21

# INTRODUCTION

Some celebrated conjectures of Grothendieck, Voevodsky, Beilinson, Weil, Tate, Parshin, Kimura, Schur, and others, were recently extended from the realm of algebraic geometry to the broad noncommutative setting of differential graded (=dg) categories. This noncommutative viewpoint led to a proof of these celebrated conjectures in several new cases. Moreover, it enabled a proof of the noncommutative counterparts of the celebrated conjectures in many interesting cases. The purpose of this survey, written for a broad mathematical audience, is to give a rigorous overview of these recent developments.

Notations. Given a perfect base field k of characteristic p > 0, we will write W(k) for its ring of p-typical Witt vectors and  $K := W(k)_{1/p}$  for the fraction field of W(k). For example, when  $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ , we have  $W(k) = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

# 1. Celebrated conjectures

In this section, we briefly recall some celebrated conjectures of Grothendieck, Voevodsky, Beilinson, Weil, Tate, Parshin, and Kimura (concerning smooth proper schemes), as well as a conjecture of Schur (concerning smooth schemes).

Date: January 16, 2019.

The author is very grateful to Guillermo Cortiñas for the invitation to participate in the conference *K*-theory in algebra, analysis and topology, Buenos Aires, Argentina. The author was supported by a NSF CAREER Award.

1.1. Grothendieck standard conjecture of type C<sup>+</sup>. Let k be a perfect base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and X a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d. When p = 0, we will write  $H^*_{dR}(X)$  for the de Rham cohomology of X. In the same vein, when p > 0, we will write  $H^*_{crys}(X) := H^*_{crys}(X/W(k)) \otimes_{W(k)} K$  for the crystalline cohomology of X. Given an integer  $0 \le i \le 2d$ , consider the associated  $i^{\text{th}}$  Künneth projector  $\pi^i \colon H^*_{dR}(X) \to H^*_{dR}(X)$ , resp.  $\pi^i \colon H^*_{crys}(X) \to H^*_{crys}(X)$ , in de Rham cohomology, resp. in crystalline cohomology. In the sixties, Grothendieck [17] (see also [30, 31]) conjectured the following:

Conjecture C<sup>+</sup>(X): The even Künneth projector  $\pi^+ := \sum_{i \text{ even}} \pi^i$  is algebraic<sup>1</sup>.

This conjecture is also usually called the "sign conjecture". It holds when  $d \leq 2$ , when X is an abelian variety (see Kleiman [31]), and also when k is a finite field (see Katz-Messing [26]). Besides these cases (and some other cases scattered in the literature), it remains wide open.

*Remark* 1.1. Given smooth proper k-schemes X and Y, we have the implication of conjectures  $C^+(X) + C^+(Y) \Rightarrow C^+(X \times Y)$ .

1.2. Grothendieck standard conjecture of type D. Let k be a perfect base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and X a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d. Consider the graded Q-vector space  $\mathcal{Z}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}/\sim \text{hom}}$  of algebraic cycles on X up to homological equivalence (when p = 0, resp. p > 0, we make use of de Rham cohomology, resp. crystalline cohomology). Consider also the graded Q-vector space  $\mathcal{Z}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}/\sim \text{num}}$  of algebraic cycles on X up to numerical equivalence. In the sixties, Grothendieck [17] (see also [30, 31]) conjectured the following:

Conjecture D(X): The equality  $\mathcal{Z}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}/\sim \text{hom}} = \mathcal{Z}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}/\sim \text{num}}$  holds.

This conjecture holds when  $d \leq 2$ , when  $d \leq 4$  and p = 0 (see Lieberman [39]), and also when X is an abelian variety and p = 0 (see Lieberman [39]). Besides these cases (and some other cases scattered in the literature), it remains wide open.

1.3. Voevodsky nilpotence conjecture. Let k be a base field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$  and X a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d. Following Voevodsky [64], consider the graded  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{Z}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\sim nil}$  of algebraic cycles on X up to nilpotence equivalence. In the nineties, Voevodsky [64] conjectured the following:

Conjecture V(X): The equality  $\mathcal{Z}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}/\sim nil} = \mathcal{Z}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}/\sim num}$  holds.

This conjecture holds when  $d \leq 2$  (see Voevodsky [64] and Voisin [65]), and also when X is an abelian threefold and p = 0 (see Kahn-Sebastian [25]). Besides these cases (and some other cases scattered in the literature), it remains wide open.

*Remark* 1.2. Every algebraic cycle which is nilpotently trivial is also homologically trivial. Hence, we have the implication of conjectures  $V(X) \Rightarrow D(X)$ .

1.4. Beilinson conjecture. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic p and X a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d. Consider the graded  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{Z}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\sim rat}$  of algebraic cycles on X up to rational equivalence. In the eighties, Beilinson [4] conjectured the following:

Conjecture B(X): The equality  $\mathcal{Z}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\operatorname{rat}} = \mathcal{Z}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\operatorname{num}}$  holds.

This conjecture holds when  $d \leq 1$ , and also when X is an abelian variety and  $d \leq 3$  (see Kahn [24]). Besides these cases (and some other cases scattered in the literature), it remains wide open.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If  $\pi^+$  is algebraic, then the odd Künneth projector  $\pi^- := \sum_{i \text{ odd}} \pi^i$  is also algebraic.

*Remark* 1.3. Every algebraic cycle which is rationally trivial is also nilpotently trivial. Hence, in the case where k is a finite field, we have  $B(X) \Rightarrow V(X)$ .

1.5. Weil conjecture. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic p and X a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d. Recall that the zeta function of X is defined as the formal power series  $Z(X;t) := \exp(\sum_{n\geq 1} \#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})\frac{t^n}{n}) \in \mathbb{Q}\llbracket t \rrbracket$ , where  $\exp(t) := \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{t^n}{n!}$ . In the same vein, given an integer  $0 \leq i \leq 2d$ , consider the formal power series  $Z_i(X;t) := \det(\operatorname{id} - t\operatorname{Fr}^i|H_{\operatorname{crys}}^i(X))^{-1} \in K\llbracket t \rrbracket$ , where  $\operatorname{Fr}$  stands for the Frobenius endomorphism of X and  $\operatorname{Fr}^i$  for the induced automorphism of  $H_{\operatorname{crys}}^i(X)$ . Thanks to the Lefschetz trace formula established by Grothendieck and Berthelot (consult [6]), we have the following weight decomposition:

(1.4) 
$$Z(X;t) = \frac{Z_0(X;t)Z_2(X;t)\cdots Z_{2d}(X;t)}{Z_1(X;t)Z_3(X;t)\cdots Z_{2d-1}(X;t)} \in K[\![t]\!].$$

In the late forties, Weil [66] conjectured the following<sup>2</sup>:

Conjecture W(X): The eigenvalues of the automorphism  $\operatorname{Fr}^{i}$ , with  $0 \leq i \leq 2d$ , are algebraic numbers and all their complex conjugates have absolute value  $q^{\frac{i}{2}}$ .

In the particular case of curves, this famous conjecture follows from Weil's pioneering work [67]. Later, in the seventies, it was proved in full generality by Deligne<sup>3</sup> [12]. In contrast with Weil's proof, which uses solely the classical intersection theory of divisors on surfaces, Deligne's proof makes use of several involved tools such as the theory of monodromy of Lefschetz pencils. The Weil conjecture has numerous applications. For example, when combined with the weight decomposition (1.4), it implies that the polynomials det(id  $-t \operatorname{Fr}^i | H^i_{\operatorname{crys}}(X)$ ) have integer coefficients.

Recall that the Hasse-Weil zeta function of X is defined as the (convergent) infinite product  $\zeta(X;s) := \prod_{x \in X^0} (1 - (q^{\deg(x)})^{-s})^{-1}$ , with  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > d$ , where  $X^0$  stands for the set of closed points of X and  $\deg(x)$  for the degree of the finite field extension  $\kappa(x)/\mathbb{F}_q$ . In the same vein, given an integer  $0 \le i \le 2d$ , consider the function  $\zeta_i(X;s) := \det(\operatorname{id} - q^{-s} \operatorname{Fr}^i | H^i_{\operatorname{crys}}(X))^{-1}$ . It follows from the Weil conjecture that  $\zeta(X;s) = Z(X;q^{-s})$ , with  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > d$ , and that  $\zeta_i(X;s) = Z_i(X;q^{-s})$ , with  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{i}{2}$ . Thanks to (1.4), we hence obtain the weight decomposition:

(1.5) 
$$\zeta(X;s) = \frac{\zeta_0(X;s)\zeta_2(X;s)\cdots\zeta_{2d}(X;s)}{\zeta_1(X;s)\zeta_3(X;s)\cdots\zeta_{2d-1}(X;s)} \quad \text{Re}(s) > d.$$

Note that (1.5) implies automatically that the Hasse-Weil zeta function  $\zeta(X;s)$  of X admits a (unique) meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane.

Remark 1.6 (Analogue of the Riemann hypothesis). The above conjecture W(X) is usually called the "analogue of the Riemann hypothesis" because it implies that if  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is a pole of  $\zeta_i(X; s)$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{i}{2}$ . Consequently, if  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is a pole, resp. zero, of  $\zeta(X; s)$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$ , resp.  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \in \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \ldots, \frac{2d-1}{2}\}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The above conjecture W(X) is a modern formulation of Weil's original conjecture; in the late forties crystalline cohomology was not yet developed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Deligne worked with étale cohomology instead. However, as explained by Katz-Messing in [26], Deligne's results hold similarly in crystalline cohomology. More recently, Kedlaya [27] gave an alternative proof of the Weil conjecture which uses solely p-adic techniques.

1.6. Tate conjecture. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic p and X a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d. Given a prime number  $l \neq p$ , consider the associated  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ -linear cycle class map with values in l-adic cohomology:

(1.7) 
$$\mathcal{Z}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}_l}/_{\operatorname{crat}} \longrightarrow H^{2*}_{l\operatorname{-adic}}(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(*))^{\operatorname{Gal}(k/k)}$$

In the sixties, Tate [60] conjectured the following:

Conjecture  $T^{l}(X)$ : The cycle class map (1.7) is surjective.

This conjecture holds when  $d \leq 1$ , when X is an abelian variety and  $d \leq 3$ , and also when X is a K3-surface; consult Totaro's survey [62]. Besides these cases (and some other cases scattered in the literature), it remains wide open.

1.7. *p*-version of the Tate conjecture. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic *p* and *X* a smooth proper *k*-scheme of dimension *d*. Consider the associated *K*-linear cycle class map with values in crystalline cohomology (see §1.5):

(1.8) 
$$\mathcal{Z}^*(X)_K/_{\sim \mathrm{rat}} \longrightarrow H^{2*}_{\mathrm{crvs}}(X)(*)^{\mathrm{Fr}^{2*}}$$

Following Milne [43], the Tate conjecture admits the following p-version:

Conjecture  $T^p(X)$ : The cycle class map (1.8) is surjective.

This conjecture is equivalent to  $T^{l}(X)$  (for every  $l \neq p$ ) when  $d \leq 3$ . Hence, it also holds in the cases mentioned in §1.6. Besides these cases (and some other cases scattered in the literature), it remains wide open.

Remark 1.9. The *p*-version of the Tate conjecture can be alternatively formulated as follows: the  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear cycle class map  $\mathcal{Z}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}/_{\sim \mathrm{rat}} \to H^{2*}_{\mathrm{crys}}(X)(*)^{\mathrm{Fr}_p^{2*}}$ , where  $\mathrm{Fr}_p^{2*}$  stands for the crystalline Frobenius, is surjective.

1.8. Strong form of the Tate conjecture. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic p and X a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d. Recall from §1.5 that the Hasse-Weil zeta function of X is defined as the (convergent) infinite product  $\zeta(X;s) := \prod_{x \in X^0} (1 - (q^{\deg(x)})^{-s})^{-1}$ , with  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > d$ . Moreover, as mentioned in *loc. cit.*,  $\zeta(X;s)$  admits a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane. In the sixties, Tate [60] also conjectured the following:

Conjecture ST(X): The order  $\operatorname{ord}_{s=j}\zeta(X;s)$  of the Hasse-Weil zeta function  $\zeta(X;s)$  at the pole s = j, with  $0 \leq j \leq d$ , is equal to  $-\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{Z}^{j}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}/\operatorname{cnum}}$ .

*Remark* 1.10. As proved by Tate in [59], resp. by Milne in [43], we have the equivalence of conjectures  $ST(X) \Leftrightarrow B(X) + T^{l}(X)$ , resp.  $ST(X) \Leftrightarrow B(X) + T^{p}(X)$ .

Thanks to Remark 1.10, the conjecture ST(X) holds when  $d \leq 1$ , and also when X is an abelian variety and  $d \leq 3$ . Besides these cases (and some other cases scattered in the literature), it remains wide open.

1.9. **Parshin conjecture.** Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic p and X a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d. Consider the associated algebraic K-theory groups  $K_n(X), n \ge 0$ . In the eighties, Parshin conjectured the following:

Conjecture P(X): The groups  $K_n(X)$ , with  $n \ge 1$ , are torsion.

This conjecture holds when  $d \leq 1$  (see Quillen [16] and Harder [21]). Besides these cases (and some other cases scattered in the literature), it remains wide open. *Remark* 1.11. As proved by Geisser in [15], we have the implication of conjectures  $B(X) + ST(X) \Rightarrow P(X)$ . This implies, in particular, that the conjecture P(X) also holds when X is an abelian variety and  $d \leq 3$ . 1.10. Kimura-finiteness conjecture. Let k be a base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$ and X a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d. Consider the category of Chow motives  $\operatorname{Chow}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  introduced by Manin in [40]. By construction, this category is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear, idempotent complete, symmetric monoidal, and comes equipped with a symmetric monoidal functor  $\mathfrak{h}(-)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ : SmProp $(k)^{\operatorname{op}} \to \operatorname{Chow}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  defined on smooth proper k-schemes. A decade ago, Kimura [29] conjectured the following:

Conjecture K(X): The Chow motive  $\mathfrak{h}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is Kimura-finite<sup>4</sup>.

This conjecture holds when  $d \leq 1$  and also when X is an abelian variety (see Kimura [29] and Shermenev [46]). Besides these cases<sup>5</sup> (and some other cases scattered in the literature), it remains wide open.

1.11. Schur-finiteness conjecture. Let k be a perfect base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and X a smooth k-scheme of dimension d. Consider the triangulated category of geometric mixed motives  $\mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  introduced by Voevodsky in [63]. By construction, this category is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear, idempotent complete, symmetric monoidal, and comes equipped with a symmetric monoidal functor  $M(-)_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathrm{Sm}(k) \to \mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  defined on smooth k-schemes. Moreover, as proved in *loc. cit.*, the classical category of Chow motives  $\mathrm{Chow}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  may be embedded fully-faithfully into  $\mathrm{DM}_{\mathrm{gm}}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . An important conjecture in the theory of mixed motives is the following:

Conjecture S(X): The mixed motive  $M(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is Schur-finite<sup>6</sup>.

This conjecture holds when  $d \leq 1$  (see Guletskii [19] and Mazza [42]) and also when X is an abelian variety (see Kimura [29] and Shermenev [46]). Besides these cases (and some other cases scattered in the literature), it remains wide open.

Remark 1.12. It is well-known that Kimura-finiteness implies Schur-finiteness. However, the converse does *not* holds. For example, O'Sullivan constructed a certain smooth surface X whose mixed motive  $M(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is Schur-finite but *not* Kimura-finite; consult [42]. An important open problem is the classification of all the Kimura-finite mixed motives and the computation of the corresponding Kimura-dimensions.

# 2. Noncommutative counterparts

In this section we describe the noncommutative counterparts of the celebrated conjectures of §1. We will assume some basic familiarity with the language of differential graded (=dg) categories; consult Keller's survey [28]. In particular, we will use freely the notion of *smooth proper* dg category in the sense of Kontsevich [32, 33, 34, 35, 36]. Examples include the finite-dimensional algebras of finite global

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$  be a Q-linear, idempotent complete, symmetric monoidal category. Following Kimura [29], recall that an object  $a \in \mathcal{C}$  is called *even-dimensional*, resp. *odd-dimensional*, if  $\wedge^n(a) \simeq 0$ , resp.  $\operatorname{Sym}^n(a) \simeq 0$ , for some  $n \gg 0$ . The biggest integer  $\operatorname{kim}_+(a)$ , resp.  $\operatorname{kim}_-(a)$ , for which  $\wedge^{\operatorname{kim}_+(a)}(a) \not\simeq 0$ , resp.  $\operatorname{Sym}^{\operatorname{kim}_-(a)}(a) \not\simeq 0$ , is called the *even Kimura-dimension*, resp. *odd Kimura-dimension*, of *a*. Recall also that an object  $a \in \mathcal{C}$  is called *Kimura-finite* if  $a \simeq a_+ \oplus a_-$ , with  $a_+$  even-dimensional and  $a_-$  odd-dimensional. The integer  $\operatorname{kim}_+(a) := \operatorname{kim}_+(a_+) + \operatorname{kim}_-(a_-)$ is called the *Kimura-dimension* of *a*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>In the particular case where  $k = \overline{k}$ , p = 0, and X is a surface with  $p_g(X) = 0$ , Guletskii and Pedrini proved in [20] that the conjecture K(X) is equivalent to a celebrated conjecture of Bloch [7] concerning the vanishing of the Albanese kernel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear, idempotent complete, symmetric monoidal category. Following Deligne [11], every partition  $\lambda$  of an integer  $n \geq 1$  gives naturally rise to a Schur-functor  $S_{\lambda} \colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ . For example, when  $\lambda = (1, \ldots, 1)$ , resp.  $\lambda = (n)$ , we have  $S_{(1,\ldots,1)}(a) = \wedge^{n}(a)$ , resp.  $S_{(1)}(a) = \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(a)$ . An object  $a \in \mathcal{C}$  is called Schur-finite if  $S_{\lambda}(a) \simeq 0$  for some partition  $\lambda$ .

dimension A (over a perfect base field) as well as the dg categories of perfect complexes  $\operatorname{perf}_{\operatorname{dg}}(X)$  associated to smooth proper schemes X (or, more generally, to smooth proper algebraic stacks  $\mathcal{X}$ ). In addition, we will make essential use of the recent theory of noncommutative motives; consult the book [51] and the survey [47].

2.1. Noncommutative Grothendieck standard conjecture of type C<sup>+</sup>. Let k be a perfect base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  a smooth proper k-linear dg category. In what follows, we will write  $\operatorname{dgcat}_{\operatorname{sp}}(k)$  for the category of (essentially small) k-linear dg categories. Recall from [41, §9] that, when p = 0, periodic cyclic homology gives rise to a symmetric monoidal functor

(2.1) 
$$HP_{\pm}(-): \operatorname{dgcat}_{\operatorname{sp}}(k) \longrightarrow \operatorname{vect}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(k)$$

with values in the category of finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded k-vector spaces. In the same vein, recall from [57, §2] that, when p > 0, topological periodic cyclic homology<sup>7</sup> gives rise to a symmetric monoidal functor

(2.2) 
$$TP_{\pm}(-)_{1/p} \colon \operatorname{dgcat}_{\operatorname{sp}}(k) \longrightarrow \operatorname{vect}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(K)$$

with values in the category of finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded K-vector spaces.

Remark 2.3 (Relation with de Rham cohomology and crystalline cohomology). The above functor (2.1), resp. (2.2), may be understood as the noncommutative counterpart of de Rham cohomology, resp. crystalline cohomology. Concretely, given a smooth proper k-scheme X, we have the following natural isomorphisms of finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded vector spaces:

(2.4) 
$$HP_{\pm}(\operatorname{perf}_{\operatorname{dg}}(X)) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{i \text{ even}} H^{i}_{\operatorname{dR}}(X), \bigoplus_{i \text{ odd}} H^{i}_{\operatorname{dR}}(X)\right)$$

(2.5) 
$$TP_{\pm}(\operatorname{perf}_{\operatorname{dg}}(X))_{1/p} \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{i \text{ even}} H^{i}_{\operatorname{crys}}(X), \bigoplus_{i \text{ odd}} H^{i}_{\operatorname{crys}}(X)\right).$$

On the one hand, (2.4) follows from the classical Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg theorem; see Feigin-Tsygan [14]. On the other hand, (2.5) follows from the recent work of Scholze on integral *p*-adic Hodge theory; consult [13][56, Thm. 5.2].

Recall from [51, §4.1] the definition of the category of noncommutative Chow motives  $\operatorname{NChow}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . By construction, this category is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear, idempotent complete, symmetric monoidal, and comes equipped with a symmetric monoidal functor  $U(-)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ : dgcat<sub>sp</sub> $(k) \to \operatorname{NChow}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Moreover, we have a natural isomorphism

(2.6) 
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{NChow}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}}(U(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}, U(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}) \simeq K_0(\mathcal{D}_c(\mathcal{A}))_{\mathbb{Q}} =: K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  stands for the derived category of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_c(\mathcal{A})$  for its full triangulated subcategory of compact objects. As proved in [41, Thm. 9.2] when p = 0, resp. in [57, Thm. 2.3] when p > 0, the above functor (2.1), resp. (2.2), descends to the category of noncommutative Chow motives.

Consider the even Künneth projector

 $\pi_+: HP_{\pm}(\mathcal{A}) \to HP_{\pm}(\mathcal{A}) \qquad \text{resp. } \pi_+: TP_{\pm}(\mathcal{A})_{1/p} \to TP_{\pm}(\mathcal{A})_{1/p}$ 

in periodic cyclic homology, resp. in topological periodic cyclic homology. This projector is *algebraic* if there exists an endomorphism  $\underline{\pi}_+: U(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}} \to U(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Topological periodic cyclic homology is defined as the Tate cohomology of the circle group action on topological Hochschild homology; consult Hesselholt [22] and Nikolaus-Scholze [45].

 $HP_{\pm}(\underline{\pi}_{+}) = \pi_{+}$ , resp.  $TP_{\pm}(\underline{\pi}_{+})_{1/p} = \pi_{+}$ . Under these definitions, the Grothendieck standard conjecture of type C<sup>+</sup> admits the following noncommutative counterpart: Conjecture C<sup>+</sup><sub>nc</sub>( $\mathcal{A}$ ): The even Künneth projector  $\pi_{+}$  is algebraic<sup>8</sup>.

*Remark* 2.7. Similarly to Remark 1.1, given smooth proper k-linear dg categories  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have the implication of conjectures  $C_{nc}^+(\mathcal{A}) + C_{nc}^+(\mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow C_{nc}^+(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ .

The next result relates this conjecture with Grothendieck's original conjecture:

**Theorem 2.8.** ([49, Thm. 1.1] and [56, Thm. 1.1]) Given a smooth proper k-scheme X, we have the equivalence of conjectures  $C^+(X) \Leftrightarrow C^+_{nc}(perf_{dg}(X))$ .

2.2. Noncommutative Grothendieck standard conjecture of type D. Let k be a perfect base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  a smooth proper k-linear dg category. Note that by combining the above isomorphism (2.6) with the functor (2.1), resp. (2.2), we obtain an induced  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear homomorphism:

(2.9) 
$$K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow HP_+(\mathcal{A}) \quad \text{resp. } K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow TP_+(\mathcal{A})_{1/p}.$$

The homomorphism (2.9) may be understood as the noncommutative counterpart of the cycle class map. In what follows, we will write  $K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\sim \text{hom}}$  for the quotient of  $K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  by the kernel of (2.9). Consider also the Euler bilinear pairing:

$$\chi \colon K_0(\mathcal{A}) \times K_0(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \qquad ([M], [N]) \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n \dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_c(\mathcal{A})}(M, N[n]).$$

This pairing is not symmetric neither skew-symmetric. Nevertheless, as proved in [51, Prop. 4.24], the left and right kernels of  $\chi$  agree. In what follows, we will write  $K_0(\mathcal{A})/_{\sim \text{num}}$  for the quotient of  $K_0(\mathcal{A})$  by the kernel of  $\chi$  and  $K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\sim \text{num}}$  for the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space<sup>9</sup>  $K_0(\mathcal{A})/_{\sim \text{num}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ . Under these definitions, the Grothendieck standard conjecture of type D admits the following noncommutative counterpart:

Conjecture  $D_{nc}(\mathcal{A})$ : The equality  $K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\sim \text{hom}} = K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\sim \text{num}}$  holds.

The next result relates this conjecture with Grothendieck's original conjecture:

**Theorem 2.10.** ([49, Thm. 1.1] and [56, Thm. 1.1]) Given a smooth proper k-scheme X, we have the equivalence of conjectures  $D(X) \Leftrightarrow D_{nc}(perf_{dg}(X))$ .

2.3. Noncommutative Voevodsky nilpotence conjecture. Let k be a base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  a smooth proper k-linear dg category. Similarly to Voevodsky's definition of the nilpotence equivalence relation, an element  $\alpha$  of the Grothendieck group  $K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is called *nilpotently trivial* if there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that the associated element  $\alpha^{\otimes n}$  of the Grothendieck group  $K_0(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is equal to zero. In what follows, we will write  $K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\sim nil}$  for the quotient of  $K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  by the nilpotently trivial elements. Under these definitions, the Voevodsky nilpotence conjecture admits the following noncommutative counterpart:

Conjecture  $V_{nc}(\mathcal{A})$ : The equality  $K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\sim nil} = K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\sim num}$  holds.

Remark 2.11. The image of a nilpotently trivial element  $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  under the above  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear homomorphism (2.9) is equal to zero. Consequently, similarly to Remark 1.2, we have the implication of conjectures  $V_{nc}(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow D_{nc}(\mathcal{A})$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>If  $\pi_+$  is algebraic, then the odd Künneth projector  $\pi_-$  is also algebraic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>As proved in [57, Thm. 5.1],  $K_0(\mathcal{A})/_{\sim \text{num}}$  is a finitely generated free abelian group. Consequently,  $K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\sim \text{num}}$  is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space.

The next result relates this conjecture with Voevodsky's original conjecture:

**Theorem 2.12.** ([5, Thm. 1.1]) Given a smooth proper k-scheme X, we have the equivalence of conjectures  $V(X) \Leftrightarrow V_{nc}(perf_{dg}(X))$ .

2.4. Noncommutative Beilinson conjecture. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic p and  $\mathcal{A}$  a smooth proper k-linear dg category. The Beilinson conjecture admits the following noncommutative counterpart:

Conjecture  $B_{nc}(\mathcal{A})$ : The equality  $K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\sim num}$  holds.

8

*Remark* 2.13. Similarly to Remark 1.3, note that in the case where k is a finite field, we have the implication of conjectures  $B_{nc}(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow V_{nc}(\mathcal{A})$ .

The next result relates this conjecture with Beilinson's original conjecture:

**Theorem 2.14.** ([54, Thm. 1.3]) Given a smooth proper k-scheme X, we have the equivalence of conjectures  $B(X) \Leftrightarrow B_{nc}(perf_{dg}(X))$ .

2.5. Noncommutative Weil conjecture. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic p and  $\mathcal{A}$  a smooth proper k-linear dg category. As explained in [53, §6], the topological periodic cyclic homology group  $TP_0(\mathcal{A})_{1/p}$ , resp.  $TP_1(\mathcal{A})_{1/p}$ , comes equipped with an automorphism  $\mathbb{F}_0$ , resp.  $\mathbb{F}_1$ , called the "cyclotomic Frobenius"<sup>10</sup>. Hence, we define the *even/odd zeta function of*  $\mathcal{A}$  as the formal power series:

$$Z_{\text{even}}(\mathcal{A}; t) := \det(\operatorname{id} - t \operatorname{F}_0 | TP_0(\mathcal{A})_{1/p})^{-1} \in K[\![t]\!]$$
  
$$Z_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{A}; t) := \det(\operatorname{id} - t \operatorname{F}_1 | TP_1(\mathcal{A})_{1/p})^{-1} \in K[\![t]\!].$$

Under these definitions, Weil's conjecture admits the noncommutative counterpart:

Conjecture  $W_{nc}(\mathcal{A})$ : The eigenvalues of the automorphism  $F_0$ , resp.  $F_1$ , are algebraic numbers and all their complex conjugates have absolute value 1, resp.  $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

In contrast with the commutative world, the cyclotomic Frobenius is not induced from an endomorphism<sup>11</sup> of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Consequently, in contrast with the commutative world, it is not known if the polynomials det(id  $-t \operatorname{F}_0|TP_0(\mathcal{A})_{1/p})$  and det(id  $-t \operatorname{F}_1|TP_1(\mathcal{A})_{1/p})$  have integer coefficients (or rational coefficients). Nevertheless, after choosing an embedding  $\iota: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we define the *even/odd Hasse-Weil zeta function of*  $\mathcal{A}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\text{even}}(\mathcal{A};s) &:= \det(\text{id} - q^{-s}(\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes_{K,\iota} \mathbb{C}) \,|\, TP_0(\mathcal{A})_{1/p} \otimes_{K,\iota} \mathbb{C})^{-1} \\ \zeta_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{A};s) &:= \det(\text{id} - q^{-s}(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{K,\iota} \mathbb{C}) \,|\, TP_1(\mathcal{A})_{1/p} \otimes_{K,\iota} \mathbb{C})^{-1} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.15 (Analogue of the noncommutative Riemann hypothesis). Similarly to Remark 1.6, the conjecture  $W_{nc}(\mathcal{A})$  may be called the "analogue of the noncommutative Riemann hypothesis" because it implies that if  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is a pole of  $\zeta_{\text{even}}(\mathcal{A}; s)$ , resp.  $\zeta_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{A}; s)$ , then Re(z) = 0, resp.  $\text{Re}(z) = \frac{1}{2}$  (independently of the chosen  $\iota$ ).

The next result relates the above conjecture with Weil's original conjecture:

**Theorem 2.16.** ([53, Thm. 1.5]) Given a smooth proper k-scheme X, we have the equivalence of conjectures  $W(X) \Leftrightarrow W_{nc}(perf_{dg}(X))$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>The cyclotomic Frobenius is not compatible with the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded structure of  $TP_*(\mathcal{A})_{1/p}$ . Instead, we have canonical isomorphisms  $F_n \simeq q \cdot F_{n+2}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Note that in the particular case where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a k-algebra A the Frobenius map  $a \mapsto a^q$  is a k-algebra endomorphism if and only if A is commutative.

2.6. Noncommutative Tate conjecture. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic p and  $\mathcal{A}$  a smooth proper k-linear dg category. Given a prime number  $l \neq p$ , consider the following abelian groups

(2.17) 
$$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(l^{\infty}), \pi_{-1}(L_{KU}(K(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{k} \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}})))) \quad n \geq 1.$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}(l^{\infty})$  stands for the Prüfer *l*-group<sup>12</sup>,  $K(\mathcal{A} \otimes_k \mathbb{F}_{q^n})$  for the algebraic *K*-theory spectrum of the dg category  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_k \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , and  $L_{KU}(-)$  for the Bousfield localization functor with respect to topological complex *K*-theory *KU*. Under these notations, the Tate conjecture admits the following noncommutative counterpart:

Conjecture  $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{nc}}^{l}(\mathcal{A})\colon$  The abelian groups (2.17) are trivial.

*Remark* 2.18. Note that the conjecture  $T_{nc}^{l}(\mathcal{A})$  holds, for example, whenever the abelian groups  $\pi_{-1}(L_{KU}(K(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{k} \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}))), n \geq 1$ , are finitely generated.

The next result, obtained by leveraging the pioneering work of Thomason [61], relates this conjecture with Tate's original conjecture:

**Theorem 2.19.** ([54, Thm. 1.3]) Given a smooth proper k-scheme X, we have the equivalence of conjectures  $T^{l}(X) \Leftrightarrow T^{l}_{nc}(perf_{dg}(X))$ .

2.7. Noncommutative *p*-version of the Tate conjecture. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic *p* and  $\mathcal{A}$  a smooth proper *k*-linear dg category. Recall from §2.5 that the *K*-vector space  $TP_0(\mathcal{A})_{1/p}$  comes equipped with an automorphism  $\mathbb{F}_0$  called the "cyclotomic Frobenius". Moreover, as explained in [54, §3], the right-hand side of (2.9) gives rise to a *K*-linear homomorphism:

(2.20) 
$$K_0(\mathcal{A})_K \longrightarrow TP_0(\mathcal{A})_{1/p}^{\mathbf{F}_0}$$

Under these notations, the *p*-version of the Tate conjecture admits the following noncommutative counterpart:

Conjecture  $T^p_{nc}(\mathcal{A})$ : The homomorphism (2.20) is surjective.

The next result relates this conjecture with the original conjecture:

**Theorem 2.21.** ([54, Thm. 1.3]) Given a smooth proper k-scheme X, we have the equivalence of conjectures  $T^p(X) \Leftrightarrow T^p_{nc}(perf_{dg}(X))$ .

2.8. Noncommutative strong form of the Tate conjecture. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic p and  $\mathcal{A}$  a smooth proper k-linear dg category. Recall from §2.5 the definition of the even Hasse-Weil zeta function  $\zeta_{\text{even}}(\mathcal{A}; s)$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Under these notations, the strong of the Tate conjecture admits the following noncommutative counterpart:

Conjecture  $\operatorname{ST}_{\operatorname{nc}}(\mathcal{A})$ : The order  $\operatorname{ord}_{s=0}\zeta_{\operatorname{even}}(\mathcal{A};s)$  of the even Hasse-Weil zeta function  $\zeta_{\operatorname{even}}(\mathcal{A};s)$  at the pole s=0 is equal to  $-\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\operatorname{num}}$ .

Remark 2.22 (Alternative formulation). By definition of the even Hasse-Weil zeta function of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the integer  $-\operatorname{ord}_{s=0}\zeta_{\operatorname{even}}(\mathcal{A};s)$  agrees with the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $q^0 = 1$  of the automorphism  $F_0 \otimes_{K,\iota} \mathbb{C}$  (or, equivalently, of  $F_0$ ). Hence, the conjecture  $\operatorname{ST}_{\operatorname{nc}}(\mathcal{A})$  may be alternatively formulated as follows: the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 of  $F_0$  agrees with  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_0(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}/_{\sim\operatorname{num}}$ . This shows, in particular, that the integer  $\operatorname{ord}_{s=0}\zeta_{\operatorname{even}}(\mathcal{A};s)$  is independent of the embedding  $\iota \colon K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  used in the definition of  $\zeta_{\operatorname{even}}(\mathcal{A};s)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>The functor Hom( $\mathbb{Z}(l^{\infty}), -)$  agrees with the classical *l*-adic Tate module functor.

*Remark* 2.23. Similarly to Remark 1.10, as proved in [53, Thm. 9.3], we have the equivalence of conjectures  $ST_{nc}(\mathcal{A}) \Leftrightarrow B_{nc}(\mathcal{A}) + T_{nc}^{p}(\mathcal{A})$ .

The next result relates this conjecture with Tate's original conjecture:

**Theorem 2.24.** ([53, Thm. 1.17]) Given a smooth proper k-scheme X, we have the equivalence of conjectures  $ST(X) \Leftrightarrow ST_{nc}(perf_{dg}(X))$ .

2.9. Noncommutative Parshin conjecture. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic p and  $\mathcal{A}$  a smooth proper k-linear dg category. The Parshin conjecture admits the following noncommutative counterpart:

Conjecture  $P_{nc}(\mathcal{A})$ : The groups  $K_n(\mathcal{A})$ , with  $n \geq 1$ , are torsion.

The next result relates this conjecture with Parshin's original conjecture:

**Theorem 2.25.** ([54, Thm. 1.3]) Given a smooth proper k-scheme X, we have the equivalence of conjectures  $P(X) \Leftrightarrow P_{nc}(perf_{dg}(X))$ .

2.10. Noncommutative Kimura-finiteness conjecture. Let k be a base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  a smooth proper k-linear dg category. Recall from §2.1 that, by construction, the category of noncommutative Chow motives  $\operatorname{NChow}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear, idempotent complete and symmetric monoidal. Hence, the Kimura-finiteness conjecture admits the following noncommutative counterpart:

Conjecture  $K_{nc}(\mathcal{A})$ : The noncommutative Chow motive  $U(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is Kimura-finite. The next result relates this conjecture with Kimura's original conjecture:

**Theorem 2.26.** ([52, Thm. 2.1]) Given a smooth proper k-scheme X, we have the implication of conjectures  $K(X) \Rightarrow K_{nc}(perf_{dg}(X))$ .

2.11. Noncommutative Schur-finiteness conjecture. Let k be a perfect base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  a smooth k-linear dg category. Recall from [51, §8-§9] the definition of the triangulated category of noncommutative mixed motives  $\mathrm{NMot}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  (denoted by  $\mathrm{Nmot}_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  in *loc. cit.*). By construction, this category is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear, idempotent complete, symmetric monoidal, and comes equipped with a symmetric monoidal functor  $\mathrm{U}(-)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ :  $\mathrm{dgcat}_{\mathrm{s}}(k) \to \mathrm{NMot}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  defined on smooth dg categories. Under these notations, the Schur-finiteness conjecture admits the following noncommutative counterpart:

Conjecture  $S_{nc}(\mathcal{A})$ : The noncommutative mixed motive  $U(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is Schur-finite. The next result relates this conjecture with Schur's original conjecture:

**Theorem 2.27.** ([51, Prop. 9.17]) Given a smooth k-scheme X, we have the equivalence of conjectures  $S(X) \Leftrightarrow S_{nc}(perf_{d\sigma}(X))$ .

# 3. Applications to commutative geometry

Morally speaking, the theorems of  $\S2$  show that the celebrated conjectures of Grothendieck, Voevodsky, Beilinson, Weil, Tate, Parshin, and Schur, belong not only to the realm of algebraic geometry but also to the broad setting of dg categories. This noncommutative viewpoint, where one studies a scheme via its dg category of perfect complexes, led to a proof<sup>13</sup> of these celebrated conjectures in several new cases. In this section, we describe some of these new cases.

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$ In what concerns the Weil conjecture (and the Grothendieck standard conjecture of type C<sup>+</sup> over a finite field), the noncommutative viewpoint led to an alternative proof of this celebrated conjecture in several new cases, which avoids all the involved tools used by Deligne.

Notation 3.1. In order to simplify the exposition, we will often use the letter C to denote one of the celebrated conjectures  $\{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, K, S\}$ .

3.1. Derived invariance. Let k be a base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$ . Note that the theorems of §2 imply automatically the following result:

**Corollary 3.2.** Let X and Y be two smooth proper k-schemes (in the case of conjecture S we assume solely that X and Y are smooth) with (Fourier-Mukai) equivalent categories of perfect complexes perf(X) and perf(Y). Under these assumptions, we have the following equivalences of conjectures:

 $C(X) \Leftrightarrow C(Y)$  with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}.$ 

Roughly speaking, Corollary 3.2 shows that the celebrated conjectures of §1 are invariant under derived equivalence. This flexibility is very useful and is often used in the proofs of some theorems below.

3.2. Quadric fibrations. Let k be a perfect base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$ , B a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d (in the case of conjecture S we assume solely that B is smooth), and  $q: Q \to B$  a flat quadric fibration of relative dimension  $d_q$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Assume that all the fibers of q are quadrics of corank  $\leq 1$  and that the locus  $Z \hookrightarrow B$  of critical values of q is smooth.

(i) When  $d_q$  is even, we have the following equivalences of conjectures

 $C(B) + C(\widetilde{B}) \Leftrightarrow C(Q) \quad with \quad C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l \ (l \neq 2), T^p, ST, P, S\},\$ 

where  $\widetilde{B}$  stands for the discriminant twofold cover of B (ramified over Z).

(ii) When  $d_q$  is odd,  $p \neq 2$ ,  $d \leq 1$ , and k is algebraically closed or a finite field, we have the following equivalences of conjectures:

 $C(B) + C(Z) \Leftrightarrow C(Q) \quad with \quad C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}.$ 

(iii) When  $d_q$  is odd and  $p \neq 2$ , we have the following implication of conjectures

$${S(U_j)} + {S(Z_j)} \Rightarrow S(Q)$$

where  $U_j$  is an affine open subscheme of B and  $\widetilde{Z}_j$  is a certain Galois twofold cover of  $Z_j := Z \cap U_j$  induced by the restriction of q to  $Z_j$ .

Roughly speaking, Theorem 3.3 relates the celebrated conjectures for the total space Q with the celebrated conjectures for the base B. Items (i)-(ii) were proved in [5, Thm. 1.2][55, Thm. 1.1(i)] in the case of the conjectures V and S. The proof of the other cases is similar. Item (iii) was proved in [55, Thm. 1.1(ii)].

Corollary 3.4 (Low-dimensional bases). Let Q be as in Theorem 3.3.

(i) When  $d_q$  is even and  $d \leq 1$ , the following conjectures hold:

C(Q) with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l \ (l \neq 2), T^p, ST, P, S\}$ .

Moreover,  $C^+(Q)$  holds when  $d \leq 2$ , D(Q) holds when  $d \leq 2$  or when  $d \leq 4$ and p = 0, and V(Q) holds when  $d \leq 2$ .

(ii) When  $d_q$  is odd,  $p \neq 2$ ,  $d \leq 1$ , and k is algebraically closed or a finite field, the following conjectures hold:

C(Q) with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}$ .

(iii) When  $d_q$  is odd,  $p \neq 2$ , and  $d \leq 1$ , the conjecture S(Q) holds. Moreover, when  $d \leq 2$ , we have the implication of conjectures  $S(B) \Rightarrow S(Q)$ .

Remark 3.5 (Kimura-finiteness conjecture). Assume that B is a smooth k-curve.

- (i) When  $d_q$  is even, it follows from Corollary 3.4(i) that the mixed motive  $M(Q)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is Schur-finite. As proved in [50, Thm. 1.1(i)],  $M(Q)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is moreover Kimura-finite and  $\dim(M(Q)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = d_q \cdot \dim(M(B)_{\mathbb{Q}}) + \dim(M(\widetilde{B})_{\mathbb{Q}})$ .
- (ii) When d<sub>q</sub> is odd, p ≠ 2, and k is algebraically closed or a finite field, it follows from Corollary 3.4(ii) that the mixed motive M(Q)<sub>Q</sub> is Schur-finite. As proved in [50, Thm. 1.1(ii)], the mixed motive M(Q)<sub>Q</sub> is moreover Kimura-finite and kim(M(Q)<sub>Q</sub>) = (d<sub>q</sub> + 1) · kim(M(B)<sub>Q</sub>) + #Z.

Remark 3.6 (Bass-finiteness conjecture). Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field and X a smooth k-scheme of finite type. In the seventies, Bass [3] conjectured that the algebraic K-theory groups  $K_n(X), n \ge 0$ , are finitely generated. In the same vein, we can consider the mod 2-torsion Bass-finiteness, where  $K_n(X)$  is replaced by  $K_n(X)_{1/2}$ . As proved in [55, Thm. 1.10], the above Theorem 3.3 (items (i)-(iii)) holds similarly for the mod 2-torsion Bass-finiteness conjecture.

3.3. Intersections of quadrics. Let k be a perfect base field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$  and X a smooth complete intersection of m quadric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . The linear span of these quadric hypersurfaces give rise to a flat quadric fibration  $q: Q \to \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$  of relative dimension n-1.

**Theorem 3.7.** Assume that all the fibers of q are quadrics of corank  $\leq 1$  and that the locus  $Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$  of critical values of q is smooth. Under these assumptions, we have the following equivalences/implications of conjectures:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{C}(Q) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X) & 2m \le n-1\\ \mathcal{C}(Q) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X) & 2m > n-1 \end{cases} \text{ with } \mathcal{C} \in \{\mathcal{C}^+, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{T}^l, \mathcal{T}^p, \mathcal{ST}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S}\}. \end{cases}$$

Intuitively speaking, Theorem 3.7 shows that in order to solve the celebrated conjectures for intersections of quadrics, it suffices to solve the celebrated conjectures from quadric fibrations (and vice-versa). This result was proved in [55, Thm. 1.5] in the case of the conjecture S. The proof of the other cases is similar.

**Corollary 3.8** (Intersections of up-to-five quadrics). Let X be as in Theorem 3.7. (i) When n is odd and  $m \leq 2$ , the following conjectures hold:

C(X) with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l \ (l \neq 2), T^p, ST, P, S\}$ .

Moreover,  $C^+(X)$  holds when  $m \leq 3$ , D(X) holds when  $m \leq 3$  or when  $m \leq 5$ and p = 0, and V(X) holds when  $m \leq 3$ .

(ii) When n is even,  $p \neq 2$ ,  $m \leq 2$ , and k is algebraically closed or a finite field, the following conjectures hold:

$$C(X)$$
 with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}$ .

(iii) When n is even,  $p \neq 2$ , and  $m \leq 3$ , the conjecture S(X) holds.

3.4. Families of sextic du Val del Pezzo surfaces. Let k be a perfect base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$ , B a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d (in the case of conjecture S we assume solely that B is smooth), and  $f: X \to B$  a family of sextic du Val del Pezzo surfaces, i.e., a flat morphism such that for every geometric point  $b \in B$  the associated fiber  $X_b$  is a sextic du Val del Pezzo surface<sup>14</sup>. Following

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Recall that a *sextic du Val del Pezzo surface* is a projective surface S with at worst du Val singularities and whose ample anticanonical class  $K_S$  is such that  $K_S^2 = 6$ .

Kuznetsov [38, §5], let  $\mathcal{M}_2$ , resp.  $\mathcal{M}_3$ , be the relative moduli stack of semistable sheaves on fibers of X over B with Hilbert polynomial  $h_2(t) := (3t+2)(t+1)$ , resp.  $h_3(t) := (3t+3)(t+1)$ , and  $Z_2$ , resp.  $Z_3$ , the coarse moduli space of  $\mathcal{M}_2$ , resp.  $\mathcal{M}_3$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** Assume that  $p \neq 2, 3$  and that X is smooth. Under these assumptions, we have the following equivalences of conjectures:

 $C(B) + C(Z_2) + C(Z_3) \Leftrightarrow C(X)$  with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}$ .

Roughly speaking, Theorem 3.9 relates the celebrated conjectures for the total space X with the celebrated conjectures for the base B. Theorem 3.9 was proved in [55, Thm. 1.7] in the case of the conjecture S. The proof of the other cases is similar.

**Corollary 3.10** (Low-dimensional bases). Let X be as in Theorem 3.9. When  $d \leq 1$ , the following conjectures hold:

$$C(X)$$
 with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}$ .

Moreover,  $C^+(X)$  holds when  $d \leq 2$ , D(X) holds when  $d \leq 2$  or when  $d \leq 4$  and p = 0, and V(X) holds when  $d \leq 2$ .

3.5. Linear sections of Grassmannians. Let k be a base field of characteristic p = 0, W a k-vector space of dimension 6 or 7,  $X := \operatorname{Gr}(2, W)$  the Grassmannian variety of 2-dimensional subspaces equipped with the Plücker embedding  $\operatorname{Gr}(2, W) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2(W))$ , and Y the Pfaffian variety  $\operatorname{Pf}(4, W^*) \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2(W^*))$ . Given a linear subspace  $L \subset \wedge^2(W^*)$ , consider the associated linear sections

$$X_L := X \times_{\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2(W))} \mathbb{P}(L^{\perp}) \qquad Y_L := Y \times_{\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2(W^*))} \mathbb{P}(L),$$

where  $L^{\perp}$  stands for the kernel of the induced homomorphism  $\wedge^2(W) \twoheadrightarrow L^*$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** Assume that  $X_L$  and  $Y_L$  are smooth<sup>15</sup>, and that  $\operatorname{codim}(X_L) = \dim(L)$  and  $\operatorname{codim}(Y_L) = \dim(L^{\perp})$ . Under these assumptions (which hold for a generic choice of L), we have the following equivalences of conjectures:

 $C(X_L) \Leftrightarrow C(Y_L) \quad with \quad C \in \{C^+, D, V, S\}.$ 

Intuitively speaking, Theorem 3.11 shows that in order to solve the celebrated conjectures for the linear section  $X_L$ , it suffices to solve the celebrated conjectures for the linear section  $Y_L$  (and vice-versa). Theorem 3.11 was proved in [5, Thm. 1.7] in the case of the conjecture V. The proof of the other cases is similar.

When  $\dim(W) = 6$ , we have  $\dim(X_L) = 8 - \dim(L)$  and  $\dim(Y_L) = \dim(L) - 2$ . Moreover, in the case where  $\dim(L) = 5$ , resp.  $\dim(L) = 6$ ,  $X_L$  is a Fano threefold, resp. K3-surface, and  $Y_L$  is a cubic threefold, resp. cubic fourfold.

When  $\dim(W) = 7$ , we have  $\dim(X_L) = 10 - \dim(L)$  and  $\dim(Y_L) = \dim(L) - 4$ . Moreover, in the case where  $\dim(L) = 5$ , resp.  $\dim(L) = 6$ ,  $X_L$  is a Fano fivefold, resp. Fano fourfold, and  $Y_L$  is a curve of degree 42, resp. surface of degree 42. Furthermore, in the case where  $\dim(L) = 7$ ,  $X_L$  and  $Y_L$  are derived equivalent Calabi-Yau threefolds. In this particular latter case, Theorem 3.11 follows then from the above Corollary 3.2.

Corollary 3.12 (Low-dimensional sections). Let  $X_L$  be as in Theorem 3.11.

(i) When dim(W) = 6 and dim(L) ≤ 3, the following conjectures C(X<sub>L</sub>), with C ∈ {C<sup>+</sup>, D, V, S}, hold. Moreover, C<sup>+</sup>(X<sub>L</sub>) holds when dim(L) ≤ 4, D(X<sub>L</sub>) holds when dim(L) ≤ 6, and V(X<sub>L</sub>) holds when dim(L) ≤ 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>The linear section  $X_L$  is smooth if and only if the linear section  $Y_L$  is smooth.

(ii) When dim(W) = 7 and dim(L)  $\leq 5$ , the following conjectures C(X<sub>L</sub>), with C  $\in \{C^+, D, V, S\}$ , hold. Moreover, C<sup>+</sup>(X<sub>L</sub>) holds when dim(L)  $\leq 6$ , D(X<sub>L</sub>) holds when dim(L)  $\leq 8$ , and V(X<sub>L</sub>) holds when dim(L)  $\leq 6$ .

Remark 3.13 (Kimura-finiteness conjecture). Let  $X_L$  be as in Theorem 3.11. When  $\dim(W) = 6$  and  $\dim(L) \leq 3$  or when  $\dim(W) = 7$  and  $\dim(L) \leq 5$ , it follows from Corollary 3.12 that the Chow motive  $\mathfrak{h}(X_L)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is Schur-finite. As proved in [48, Thm. 1.10], the Chow motive  $\mathfrak{h}(X_L)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is moreover Kimura-finite.

3.6. Linear sections of Lagrangian Grassmannians. Let k be a base field of characteristic p = 0, W a k-vector space of dimension 6 equipped with a symplectic form  $\omega$ , and  $X := \operatorname{LGr}(3, W)$  the associated Lagrangian Grassmannian of 3-dimensional subspaces. The natural representation of the symplectic group  $\operatorname{Sp}(\omega)$ on  $\wedge^3(W)$  decomposes into a direct sum  $W \oplus V$ . Moreover, the classical Plücker embedding  $\operatorname{Gr}(3, W) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^3(W))$  restricts to an embedding  $\operatorname{LGr}(3, W) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ of the Lagrangian Grassmannian. Consider also the classical projective dual variety  $\operatorname{LGr}(3, 6)^{\vee} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ . This is a quartic hypersurface which is singular along a closed subvariety Z of dimension 9. Let us denote by Y the open dense subset  $\operatorname{LGr}(3, 6)^{\vee} \setminus Z$ . Given a linear subspace  $L \subseteq V^*$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(L) \cap Z = \emptyset$ , consider the associated smooth linear sections  $X_L := X \times_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \mathbb{P}(L^{\perp})$  and  $Y_L := Y \times_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)} \mathbb{P}(L)$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** Assume that  $\operatorname{codim}(X_L) = \dim(L)$  and  $\operatorname{codim}(Y_L) = \dim(L^{\perp})$ . Under these assumption (which hold for a generic choice of L), we have the following equivalences of conjectures:

 $C(X_L) \Leftrightarrow C(Y_L) \quad with \quad C \in \{C^+, D, V, S\}.$ 

Intuitively speaking, Theorem 3.14 shows that in order to solve the celebrated conjectures for the linear section  $X_L$ , it suffices to solve the celebrated conjectures for the linear section  $Y_L$  (and vice-versa). Theorem 3.14 was proved in [48, Thm. 1.5] in the case of the conjecture S. The proof of the other cases is similar.

We have  $\dim(X_L) = 6 - \dim(L)$  and  $\dim(Y_L) = \dim(L) - 2$ . Moreover, in the case where  $\dim(L) = 3$ , resp.  $\dim(L) = 4$ ,  $X_L$  is a Fano threefold, resp. K3-surface of degree 16, and  $Y_L$  is a plane quartic, resp. K3-surface of degree 4.

**Corollary 3.15** (Low-dimensional sections). Let  $X_L$  be as in Theorem 3.14. When  $\dim(L) \leq 3$ , the following conjectures  $C(X_L)$ , with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, S\}$ , hold. Moreover,  $C^+(X_L)$  holds when  $\dim(L) \leq 4$ ,  $D(X_L)$  holds when  $\dim(L) \leq 6$ , and  $V(X_L)$  holds when  $\dim(L) \leq 4$ .

Remark 3.16 (Kimura-finiteness conjecture). Let  $X_L$  be as in Theorem 3.14. When  $\dim(L) \leq 3$ , it follows from Corollary 3.15 that the Chow motive  $\mathfrak{h}(X_L)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is Schurfinite. As proved in [48, Thm. 1.10],  $\mathfrak{h}(X_L)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is moreover Kimura-finite.

3.7. Linear sections of spinor varieties. Let k be a base field of characteristic p = 0, W a k-vector space of dimension 10 equipped with a nondegenerate quadratic form  $q \in \text{Sym}^2(W^*)$ , and  $X := \text{OGr}_+(5, W)$  and  $Y := \text{OGr}_-(5, W)$  the connected components of the orthogonal Grassmannian of 5-dimensional subspaces. These are called the *spinor varieties*. By construction, we have a canonical embedding  $\text{OGr}_+(5, W) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ , where V stands for the corresponding half-spinor representation of the spin-group Spin(W). In the same vein, making use of the isomorphism  $\mathbb{P}(V) \simeq \mathbb{P}(V^*)$  induced by the nondegenerate quadratic form q, we have the embedding  $\text{OGr}_-(5, W) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ . Given a linear subspace  $L \subseteq V^*$ , consider the associated linear sections  $X_L := X \times_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \mathbb{P}(L^{\perp})$  and  $Y_L := Y \times_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)} \mathbb{P}(L)$ .

**Theorem 3.17.** Assume that  $X_L$  and  $Y_L$  are smooth, and that  $\operatorname{codim}(X_L) = \dim(L)$  and  $\operatorname{codim}(Y_L) = \dim(L^{\perp})$ . Under these assumptions (which hold for a generic choice of L), we have the following equivalences of conjectures:

$$C(X_L) \Leftrightarrow C(Y_L) \quad with \quad C \in \{C^+, D, V, S\}.$$

Intuitively speaking, Theorem 3.14 shows that in order to solve the celebrated conjectures for the linear section  $X_L$ , it suffices to solve the celebrated conjectures for the linear section  $Y_L$  (and vice-versa). Theorem 3.17 was proved in [48, Thm. 1.6] in the case of the conjecture S. The proof of the other cases is similar.

We have  $\dim(X_L) = 10 - \dim(L)$  and  $\dim(Y_L) = \dim(L) - 6$ . Moreover, in the case where  $\dim(L) = 7$ ,  $X_L$  is a Fano threefold and  $Y_L$  is a curve of genus 7. Furthermore, in the case where  $\dim(L) = 8$ ,  $X_L$  and  $Y_L$  are derived equivalent K3-surfaces of degree 12. In this particular latter case, Theorem 3.17 follows then from the above Corollary 3.2.

**Corollary 3.18** (Low-dimensional sections). Let  $X_L$  be as in Theorem 3.17. When  $\dim(L) \leq 7$ , the following conjectures  $C(X_L)$ , with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, S\}$ , hold. Moreover,  $C^+(X_L)$  holds when  $\dim(L) \leq 8$ ,  $D(X_L)$  holds when  $\dim(L) \leq 10$ , and  $V(X_L)$  holds when  $\dim(L) \leq 8$ .

Remark 3.19 (Kimura-finiteness conjecture). Let  $X_L$  be as in Theorem 3.17. When  $\dim(L) \leq 7$ , it follows from Corollary 3.18 that the Chow motive  $\mathfrak{h}(X_L)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is Schurfinite. As proved in [48, Thm. 1.10],  $\mathfrak{h}(X_L)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is moreover Kimura-finite.

3.8. Linear sections of determinantal varieties. Let k be a perfect base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$ ,  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  two finite-dimensional k-vector spaces of dimensions  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ , respectively,  $V := U_1 \otimes U_2$ , and  $0 < r < d_1$  an integer. Consider the determinantal variety  $\mathcal{Z}_{d_1,d_2}^r \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$  defined as the locus of those matrices  $U_2 \to U_1^*$  with rank  $\le r$ ; recall that this condition can be described as the vanishing of the (r+1)-minors of the matrix of indeterminantes:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,d_2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{d_1,1} & \cdots & x_{d_1,d_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

*Example* 3.20 (Segre varieties). In the particular case where r = 1, the determinantal varieties reduce to the classical Segre varieties. Concretely,  $\mathcal{Z}_{d_1,d_2}^1$  reduces to the image of Segre homomorphism  $\mathbb{P}(U_1) \times \mathbb{P}(U_2) \to \mathbb{P}(V)$ . For example,  $\mathcal{Z}_{2,2}^1$  is the classical quadric hypersurface:

$$\{ [x_{1,1}: x_{1,2}: x_{2,1}: x_{2,2}] \mid \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \} \subset \mathbb{P}^3.$$

In contrast with the Segre varieties, the determinantal varieties  $Z_{d_1,d_2}^r$ , with  $r \geq 2$ , are not smooth. The singular locus of  $Z_{d_1,d_2}^r$  consists of those matrices  $U_2 \to U_1^*$  with rank < r, *i.e.* it agrees with the closed subvariety  $Z_{d_1,d_2}^{r-1}$ . Nevertheless, it is well-known that  $Z_{d_1,d_2}^r$  admits a canonical Springer resolution of singularities  $X := \mathcal{X}_{d_1,d_2}^r \to \mathcal{Z}_{d_1,d_2}^r$ . Dually, consider the variety  $\mathcal{W}_{d_1,d_2}^r \subset \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ , defined as the locus of those matrices  $U_2^* \to U_1$  with corank  $\geq r$ , and the associated canonical Springer resolution of singularities  $Y := \mathcal{Y}_{d_1,d_2}^r \to \mathcal{W}_{d_1,d_2}^r$ . Given a linear subspace  $L \subseteq V^*$ , consider the associated linear sections  $X_L := X \times_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \mathbb{P}(L^{\perp})$  and  $Y_L := Y \times_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)} \mathbb{P}(L)$ . Note that whenever  $\mathbb{P}(L^{\perp})$  does not intersects the singular

locus of  $\mathcal{Z}_{d_1,d_2}^r$ , we have  $X_L = \mathbb{P}(L^{\perp}) \cap \mathcal{Z}_{d_1,d_2}^r$ , i.e.,  $X_L$  is a linear section of the determinantal variety  $\mathcal{Z}_{d_1,d_2}^r$ .

**Theorem 3.21.** Assume that  $X_L$  and  $Y_L$  are smooth, and that  $\operatorname{codim}(X_L) = \dim(L)$  and  $\operatorname{codim}(Y_L) = \dim(L^{\perp})$ . Under these assumptions (which hold for a generic choice of L), we have the following equivalences of conjectures:

 $C(X_L) \Leftrightarrow C(Y_L) \quad with \quad C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}.$ 

Intuitively speaking, Theorem 3.14 shows that in order to solve the celebrated conjectures for the linear section  $X_L$ , it suffices to solve the celebrated conjectures for the linear section  $Y_L$  (and vice-versa). Theorem 3.21 was proved in [56, Cor. 2.4], resp. in [54, Cor. 1.7], in the case of the conjectures C<sup>+</sup> and D, resp. in the case of the conjectures B, T<sup>l</sup>, T<sup>p</sup>, and P. The proof of the other cases is similar.

By construction, we have the following equalities:

 $\dim(X_L) = r(d_1 + d_2 - r) - 1 - \dim(L) \quad \dim(Y_L) = r(d_1 - d_2 - r) - 1 + \dim(L)$ . Moreover, in the case where  $\dim(L) = d_2r$ , the linear sections  $X_L$  and  $Y_L$  are derived equivalent Calabi-Yau varieties. In this particular latter case, Theorem 3.21 follows then from the above Corollary 3.2.

**Corollary 3.22** (High-dimensional sections). Let  $X_L$  be as in Theorem 3.21. When  $\dim(L) \leq 2 - r(d_1 - d_2 - r)$ , the following conjectures hold:

(3.23) 
$$C(X_L)$$
 with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}$ .

Moreover,  $C^+(X_L)$  holds when  $\dim(L) \leq 3 - r(d_1 - d_2 - r)$ ,  $D(X_L)$  holds when  $\dim(L) \leq 3 - r(d_1 - d_2 - r)$  or when  $\dim(L) \leq 5 - r(d_1 - d_2 - r)$  and p = 0, and  $V(X_L)$  holds when  $\dim(L) \leq 3 - r(d_1 - d_2 - r)$ .

*Example* 3.24 (Segre varieties). Let r = 1. Thanks to Corollary 3.22, when dim $(L) = 3 - d_1 + d_2$ , the following conjectures hold:

 $C(X_L)$  with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}$ .

In all these cases,  $X_L$  is a smooth linear section of the Segree variety  $\mathcal{Z}_{d_1,d_2}^1$ . Moreover,  $X_L$  is Fano if and only if dim $(L) < d_1$ . Furthermore, dim $(X_L) = 2d_1 - 5$ . Therefore, by letting  $d_1 \to \infty$  and by keeping dim(L) fixed, we obtain infinitely many examples of smooth proper k-schemes  $X_L$ , of arbitrary high dimension, satisfying the celebrated conjectures of §1. Note that in the particular case of the Weil conjecture (and in the case of the Grothendieck standard conjecture of type C<sup>+</sup> over a finite field) this proof avoids all the technical tools used by Deligne.

Example 3.25 (Rational normal scrolls). Let r = 1,  $d_1 = 4$  and  $d_2 = 2$ . In this particular case, the Segre variety  $Z_{4,2}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^7$  agrees with the rational normal 4-fold scroll  $S_{1,1,1,1}$ . Choose a linear subspace  $L \subseteq V^*$  of dimension 1 such that the hyperplane  $\mathbb{P}(L^{\perp}) \subset \mathbb{P}^7$  does not contains any 3-plane of the rulling of  $S_{1,1,1,1}$ ; this condition holds for a generic choice of L. In this case, the linear section  $X_L$  agrees with the 3-fold scroll  $S_{1,1,2}$ . Hence, thanks to Example 3.24, we conclude that the following conjectures hold:

 $C(S_{1,1,2})$  with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}$ .

*Example* 3.26 (Square matrices). Let  $d_1 = d_2$ . Thanks to Corollary 3.22, when  $\dim(L) = 2 + r^2$ , the following conjectures hold:

$$C(X_L)$$
 with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}$ .

In all these cases, we have  $\dim(X_L) = 2r(d_1 - r) - 3$ . Therefore, by letting  $d_1 \to \infty$ and by keeping  $\dim(L)$  fixed, we obtain infinitely many new examples of smooth proper k-schemes  $X_L$ , of arbitrary high dimension, satisfying the celebrated conjectures of §1. Similarly to Example 3.24, note that in the particular case of the Weil conjecture (and in the case of the Grothendieck standard conjecture of type C<sup>+</sup> over a finite field) this proof avoids all the technical tools used by Deligne.

Remark 3.27 (Kimura-finiteness conjecture). Let  $X_L$  be as in Theorem 3.21. When  $\dim(L) = 2 - r(d_1 - d_2 - r)$ , it follows from Corollary 3.22 that the Chow motive  $\mathfrak{h}(X_L)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is Schur-finite. A similar proof shows that  $\mathfrak{h}(X_L)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is Kimura-finite.

### 4. Applications to noncommutative geometry

The theorems of §2 enabled also a proof of the noncommutative counterparts of the celebrated conjectures of Grothendieck, Voevodsky, Beilinson, Weil, Tate, Kimura, and Schur, in many interesting cases. In this section, we describe some of these interesting cases. Similarly to §3, we will often use the letter C to denote one of the celebrated conjectures {C<sup>+</sup>, D, V, B, W, T<sup>l</sup>, T<sup>p</sup>, ST, P, K, S}. Moreover, given a smooth (proper) algebraic stack  $\mathcal{X}$ , we will write  $C_{nc}(\mathcal{X})$  instead of  $C_{nc}(perf_{dg}(\mathcal{X}))$ .

4.1. Finite-dimensional algebras of finite global dimension. Let k be a perfect base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and A a finite-dimensional k-algebra of finite global dimension. Examples include path algebras of finite quivers without oriented cycles as well as their quotients by admissible ideals.

**Theorem 4.1.** The following conjectures hold:

 $C_{nc}(A)$  with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^p, ST, P, K, S\}$ .

Moreover, when  $k = \overline{k}$ , the conjecture  $T^l_{nc}(A)$  also holds.

Theorem 4.1 was proved in [53, Thm. 3.1] in the case of the conjectures W and ST. The proof of the other cases is similar.

4.2. Semi-orthogonal decompositions. Let k be a base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  smooth proper k-linear dg categories inducing a semi-orthogonal decomposition  $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathcal{A}) = \langle \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathcal{B}), \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathcal{C}) \rangle$  in the sense of Bondal-Orlov [8].

**Theorem 4.2.** We have the following equivalences of conjectures

 $C_{nc}(\mathcal{B}) + C_{nc}(\mathcal{C}) \Leftrightarrow C_{nc}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ with } C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, K, S\}.$ 

Intuitively speaking, Theorem 4.2 shows that the noncommutative counterparts of the celebrated conjectures are additive with respect to semi-orthogonal decompositions. Theorem 4.2 was proved in [53, Thm. 3.2] in the case of the conjectures W and ST. The proof of the other cases is similar.

4.3. Calabi-Yau dg categories associated to hypersurfaces. Let k be a base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  a smooth hypersurface of degree deg $(X) \le n + 1$ . Following Kuznetsov [37], we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition:

$$\operatorname{perf}(X) = \langle \mathcal{T}(X), \mathcal{O}_X, \dots, \mathcal{O}_X(n - \operatorname{deg}(X)) \rangle$$

Moreover, the associated k-linear dg category  $\mathcal{T}_{dg}(X)$ , defined as the dg enhancement of  $\mathcal{T}(X)$  induced from  $\operatorname{perf}_{dg}(X)$ , is a smooth proper Calabi-Yau dg category<sup>16</sup> of fractional dimension  $\frac{(n+1)(\operatorname{deg}(X)-2)}{\operatorname{deg}(X)}$ . By combining Theorem 4.2 with the Theorems of §2, we hence obtain the following result:

Corollary 4.3. We have the equivalences of conjectures

$$C(X) \Leftrightarrow C_{nc}(\mathcal{T}_{dg}(X)) \quad with \quad C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}$$

as well as the implication  $K(X) \Rightarrow K_{nc}(\mathcal{T}_{dg}(X)).$ 

Roughly speaking, Corollary 4.3 shows that in what concerns the celebrated conjectures, there is no difference between the hypersurface X and the associated Calabi-Yau dg category  $\mathcal{T}_{dg}(X)$ .

4.4. Root stacks. Let k be a base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$ , X a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d (in the case of conjecture S we assume solely that X is smooth),  $\mathcal{L}$  a line bundle on  $X, \varsigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$  a global section, and  $n \ge 1$  an integer. Following Cadman [9, §2.2], the associated *root stack* is defined as the fiber-product

where  $\theta_n$  stands for the morphism induced by the  $n^{\text{th}}$  power map on  $\mathbb{A}^1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . As proved by Ishii-Ueda in [23, Thm. 1.6], whenever the zero locus  $Z \hookrightarrow X$  of  $\varsigma$  is smooth, we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\operatorname{perf}(\mathcal{X}) = \left\langle \operatorname{perf}(Z)_{n-1}, \dots, \operatorname{perf}(Z)_1, f^*(\operatorname{perf}(X)) \right\rangle$$

where all the categories  $perf(Z)_j$  are (Fourier-Mukai) equivalent to perf(Z). Hence, by combining Theorem 4.2 with the Theorems of §2, we obtain the following results:

**Corollary 4.4.** Assume that the zero locus  $Z \hookrightarrow X$  of the global section  $\varsigma$  is smooth. Under this assumption, we have the equivalences of conjectures

$$C(X) + C(Z) \Leftrightarrow C_{nc}(\mathcal{X}) \quad with \quad C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, S\}$$

as well as the implication  $K(X) + K(Z) \Rightarrow K_{nc}(\mathcal{X})$ .

**Corollary 4.5** (Low-dimensional root stacks). Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be as in Corollary 4.4. When  $d \leq 1$ , the following conjectures hold:

$$C_{nc}(\mathcal{X})$$
 with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, K, S\}$ .

Moreover,  $C_{nc}^+(\mathcal{X})$  holds when  $d \leq 2$ ,  $D_{nc}(\mathcal{X})$  holds when  $d \leq 2$  or when  $d \leq 4$  and p = 0, and  $V_{nc}(\mathcal{X})$  holds when  $d \leq 2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>In the particular case where n = 5 and  $\deg(X) = 3$ , the dg categories  $\mathcal{T}_{dg}(X)$  obtained in this way are usually called "noncommutative K3-surfaces" because they share many of the key properties of the dg categories of perfect complexes of the classical K3-surfaces.

4.5. Global orbifolds. Let k be a base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$ , G a finite group of order n, X a smooth proper k-scheme of dimension d equipped with a G-action (in the case of conjecture S we assume solely that X is smooth), and  $\mathcal{X} := [X/G]$  the associated global orbifold.

**Theorem 4.6.** Assume that  $p \nmid n$  and that k contains the  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity. Under these assumptions, we have the following implications of conjectures

$$\sum_{\sigma \subseteq G} \mathcal{C}(X^{\sigma}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{nc}}(\mathcal{X}) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{C} \in \{\mathcal{C}^+, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{T}^l \ (l \nmid n), \mathcal{T}^p, \mathcal{ST}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{S}\},\$$

where  $\sigma$  is a cyclic subgroup of G.

Intuitively speaking, Theorem 4.6 shows that in order to solve the noncommutative counterparts of the celebrated conjectures for the global orbifold  $\mathcal{X}$ , it suffices to solve the celebrated conjectures for the underlying scheme X. Theorem 4.6 was proved in [58, Thm. 9.2][56, Thm. 3.1], resp. in [54, Thm. 1.16], in the case of the conjectures C<sup>+</sup>, D, and V, resp. in the case of the conjectures B, T<sup>l</sup> ( $l \nmid n$ ), T<sup>p</sup>, and P. The proof of the other cases is similar.

**Corollary 4.7** (Low-dimensional global orbifolds). Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be as in Theorem 4.6. When  $d \leq 1$ , the following conjectures hold:

 $C_{nc}(\mathcal{X})$  with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l \ (l \nmid n), T^p, ST, P, K, S\}$ .

Moreover,  $C_{nc}^+$  holds when  $d \leq 2$ ,  $D_{nc}(\mathcal{X})$  holds when  $d \leq 2$  or when  $d \leq 4$  and p = 0,  $V_{nc}(\mathcal{X})$  holds when  $d \leq 2$  or when X is an abelian 3-fold and p = 0, and  $T_{nc}^l(\mathcal{X})$  (with  $l \nmid n$ ) and  $T_{nc}^p(\mathcal{X})$  hold when X is a K3-surface.

**Corollary 4.8** (Abelian *G*-varieties). Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be as in Theorem 4.6. When X is an abelian variety and G acts by group homomorphisms<sup>17</sup>, the conjectures  $C_{nc}(\mathcal{X})$ , with  $C \in \{C^+, D, W, K, S\}$ , hold. Moreover, when  $d \leq 3$ , the conjectures  $C_{nc}(\mathcal{X})$ , with  $C \in \{B, T^l (l \nmid n), T^p, ST, P\}$ , also hold.

4.6. Twisted global orbifolds. Let k, G, X (of dimension d), and  $\mathcal{X} := [X/G]$ , be as in §4.5. In this subsection we consider the case where the global orbifold  $\mathcal{X}$  is equipped with a sheaf of Azumaya algebras  $\mathcal{F}$  of rank r. In other words,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a G-equivariant sheaf of Azumaya algebras of rank r over X. Similarly to the dg category perf<sub>dg</sub>( $\mathcal{X}$ ), we can also consider the dg category perf<sub>dg</sub>( $\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{F}$ ) of perfect complexes of  $\mathcal{F}$ -modules. In what follows, we will write  $C_{nc}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{F})$  instead of  $C_{nc}(perf_{dg}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{F}))$ . The next result is the "twisted" version of the Theorem 4.6:

**Theorem 4.9.** Assume that  $p \nmid nr$  and that k contains the n<sup>th</sup> roots of unity. Under these assumptions, we have the following implications of conjectures

$$\sum_{\sigma \subseteq G} \mathcal{C}(Y_{\sigma}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{nc}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{F}) \quad with \quad \mathcal{C} \in \{\mathcal{C}^+, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{T}^l \ (l \nmid nr), \mathcal{T}^p, \mathcal{ST}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{S}\},\$$

where  $\sigma$  is a cyclic subgroup of G and  $Y_{\sigma}$  is a certain  $\sigma^{\vee}$ -Galois cover of  $X^{\sigma}$  induced by the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $X^{\sigma}$ .

Intuitively speaking, Theorem 4.9 shows that in order to solve the noncommutative counterparts of the celebrated conjectures for the twisted global orbifold  $(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{F})$ , it suffices to solve the celebrated conjectures for certain Galois covers of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>For example, in the case where  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ , we can consider the canonical involution  $a \mapsto -a$ .

the underlying scheme X. Theorem 4.9 was proved in [54, Thm. 1.23] in the case of the conjectures B,  $T^l (l \nmid nr)$ ,  $T^p$ , and P. The proof of the other cases is similar.

**Corollary 4.10** (Low-dimensional twisted global orbifolds). Let  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be as in Theorem 4.9. When  $d \leq 1$ , the following conjectures hold:

$$C_{nc}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{F})$$
 with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l \ (l \nmid nr), T^p, ST, P, K, S\}$ .

Moreover,  $C_{nc}^+(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{F})$  holds when  $d \leq 2$ ,  $D_{nc}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{F})$  holds when  $d \leq 2$  or when  $d \leq 4$ and p = 0, and  $V_{nc}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{F})$  holds when  $d \leq 2$ .

4.7. Intersections of bilinear divisors. Let k be a base field of characteristic  $p \ge 0$  and V a finite-dimensional k-vector space. Consider the canonical action of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  on  $\mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V)$  and the associated global orbifold  $\mathcal{X} := [(\mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V))/(\mathbb{Z}/2)].$  Note that by construction we have the following morphism:

$$f: \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Sym}^2(V)) \qquad ([v_1], [v_2]) \mapsto [v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_2 \otimes v_1].$$

Given a linear subspace  $L \subset \text{Sym}^2(V^*)$  of dimension  $\leq 3$  when  $\dim(V)$  is even, resp. of dimension  $\leq 6$  when  $\dim(V)$  is odd, consider the associated linear section  $\mathcal{X}_L := f^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(L^{\perp}))$ . Note that such a linear section corresponds to the intersection of  $\dim(L)$  bilinear divisors in  $\mathcal{X}$  parametrized by L.

**Theorem 4.11.** Assume that  $\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{X}_L) = \dim(L)$ . Under this assumption (which holds for a generic choice of L), we have the following implications of conjectures

$$C(Y) \Rightarrow C_{nc}(\mathcal{X}_L) \quad with \quad C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, K, S\}$$

where Y is a certain double cover of  $\mathbb{P}(L)$  induced by f.

Intuitively speaking, Theorem 4.11 shows that in order to solve the noncommutative counterparts of the celebrated conjectures for intersections of bilinear divisors, it suffices to solve the celebrated conjectures for a certain double cover of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}(L)$ . Theorem 4.11 was proved in [49, Thm. 1.13] in the case of the conjectures C<sup>+</sup> and D. The proof of the other cases is similar.

**Corollary 4.12** (Intersections of up-to-five bilinear divisors). Let  $\mathcal{X}_L$  be as in Theorem 4.11. When dim $(L) \leq 2$ , the following conjectures hold:

 $C_{nc}(\mathcal{X}_L)$  with  $C \in \{C^+, D, V, B, W, T^l, T^p, ST, P, K, S\}$ .

Moreover,  $C_{nc}(\mathcal{X}_L)$  holds when  $\dim(L) \leq 3$ ,  $D_{nc}(\mathcal{X}_L)$  holds when  $\dim(L) \leq 3$  or when  $\dim(L) \leq 5$  and p = 0, and  $V_{nc}(\mathcal{X}_L)$  holds when  $\dim(L) \leq 3$ .

4.8. Moishezon manifolds associated to quartic double solids. Let  $k = \mathbb{C}$  be the field of complex numbers and  $X \to \mathbb{P}^2$  one of the quartic double solids introduced by Artin-Mumford in [2]. These are examples of unirational, but not rational, conic bundles. Thanks to the work of Cossec [10], these conic bundles can be alternatively described as those singular double coverings  $X \to \mathbb{P}^3$  which are ramified over a quartic symmetroid Z. On the one hand, we can consider the Enriques surface  $S_Z$  obtained as the quotient of a natural involution (acting without fixed points) on the blow-up of Z. On the other hand, we can consider a small resolution of singularities  $\mathcal{X} \to X$ . Such a resolution is not an algebraic variety, but rather a Moishezon manifold<sup>18</sup>.

 $<sup>^{18}</sup>$ Recall that a *Moishezon manifold*  $\mathcal{X}$  is a compact complex manifold whose field of meromorphic functions on each component has transcendence degree equal to the dimension of the

**Theorem 4.13.** We have the equivalences of conjectures

$$C(S_Z) \Leftrightarrow C_{nc}(\mathcal{X}) \quad with \quad C \in \{C^+, D, V, S\}$$

as well as the implication  $K(S_Z) \Rightarrow K_{nc}(\mathcal{X})$ .

Roughly speaking, Theorem 4.13 shows that in what concerns the celebrated conjectures, there is no difference between Enriques surfaces and Moishezon manifolds. Theorem 4.13 was proved in [5, Thm. 1.14] in the case of the conjecture V. The proof of the other cases is similar.

# **Corollary 4.14.** The conjectures $C_{nc}(\mathcal{X})$ , with $C \in \{C^+, D, V\}$ , hold.

### References

- M. Artin, Algebraization of formal moduli, II. Existence of modification. Ann. of Math. 91(2) (1970), 88–135.
- [2] M. Artin and D. Mumford, Some elementary examples of unirational varieties which are not rational. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 25 (1972), 75–95.
- [3] H. Bass, Some problems in classical algebraic K-theory. Algebraic K-theory, II: "Classical" algebraic K-theory and connections with arithmetic (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), pp. 3–73. LNM 342, 1973.
- [4] A. Beilinson, *Height pairing between algebraic cycles*. K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986), 1–25, Lecture Notes in Math., **1289**, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [5] M. Bernardara, M. Marcolli, and G. Tabuada Some remarks concerning Voevodsky's nilpotence conjecture. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 738 (2018), 299–312.
- [6] P. Berthelot, Cohomologie cristalline des schémas de caractéristique p > 0. Lecture Notes in Math. 407, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [7] S. Bloch, Lectures on algebraic cycles. Duke University Mathematics Series, IV. Duke University, Mathematics Department, Durham, N.C., 1980.
- [8] A. Bondal and D. Orlov, Semiorthogonal decomposition for algebraic varieties. Available at arXiv:alg-geom/9506012.
- C. Cadman, Using stacks to impose tangency conditions on curves. Amer. J. Math. 129 (2007), no. 2, 405–427.
- [10] F. Cossec, Reye congruences. Trans. AMS, Vol. 280 no. 2 (1983), p. 737-751.
- [11] P. Deligne, *Catégories tensorielles*. Mosc. Math. J. 2 (2002), no. 2, 227–248. Dedicated to Yuri I. Manin on the occasion of his 65th birthday.
- [12] \_\_\_\_\_, La conjecture de Weil I. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 43 (1974), 273–307.
- [13] E. Elmanto, Topological periodic cyclic homology of smooth  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras. Talk at the Arbeitsgemeinschaft 2018. Available at https://www.mfo.de/occasion/1814/www\_view.
- [14] B. Feigin and B. Tsygan, Additive K-theory, K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986). Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1289, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 67–209.
- [15] T. Geisser, Tate's conjecture, algebraic cycles and rational K-theory in characteristic p. K-Theory 13 (1998), no. 2, 109–122.
- [16] D. Grayson, Finite generation of K-groups of a curve over a finite field (after Daniel Quillen). Algebraic K-theory, Part I (Oberwolfach, 1980), pp. 69–90, LNM 966, 1982.
- [17] A. Grothendieck, Standard conjectures on algebraic cycles. 1969 Algebraic Geometry (Internat. Colloq., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1968) pp. 193–199. Oxford Univ. Press, London.
- [18] \_\_\_\_\_, Formule de Lefschetz et rationalité des fonctions L. Séminaire Bourbaki **279** (1965).
- [19] V. Guletskii, Finite-dimensional objects in distinguished triangles. J. Number Theory 119 (2006), no. 1, 99–127.
- [20] V. Guletskii and C. Pedrini, Finite-dimensional motives and the conjectures of Beilinson and Murre. Special issue in honor of Hyman Bass on his seventieth birthday. Part III. K-Theory 30 (2003), no. 3, 243–263.

connected component. As proved by Moishezon in [44],  $\mathcal{X}$  is a smooth projective  $\mathbb{C}$ -scheme if and only if it admits a Kähler metric. In the remaining cases, as proved by Artin in [1],  $\mathcal{X}$  is a proper algebraic space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- [21] G. Harder, Die Kohomologie S-arithmetischer Gruppen über Funktionenkörpern. Invent. Math. 42 (1977), 135–175.
- [22] L. Hesselholt, Topological periodic cyclic homology and the Hasse-Weil zeta function. Contemporary Mathematics 708 (2018), 157–180.
- [23] A. Ishii and K. Ueda, The special McKay correspondence and exceptional collections. Tohoku Math. J. (2) 67(4) (2015), 585–609.
- [24] B. Kahn, Équivalences rationnelle et numérique sur certaines variétés de type abélien sur un corps fini. Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 36 (2003), 977–2002.
- [25] B. Kahn and R. Sebastian, Smash-nilpotent cycles on abelian 3-folds. Math. Res. Lett. 16 (2009), no. 6, 1007–1010.
- [26] N. Katz and W. Messing, Some consequences of the Riemann hypothesis for varieties over finite fields. Invent. Math. 23 (1974), 73–77.
- [27] K. Kedlaya, Fourier transforms and p-adic "Weil II". Compos. Math. 142 (2006), no. 6, 1426–1450.
- [28] B. Keller, On differential graded categories. International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006, pp. 151–190.
- [29] S.-I. Kimura, Chow groups are finite dimensional, in some sense. Math. Ann. 331 (2005), no. 1, 173–201.
- [30] S. L. Kleiman, The standard conjectures. Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), 3–20, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 55, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [31] \_\_\_\_\_, Algebraic cycles and the Weil conjectures. Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, 359–386, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [32] Maxim Kontsevich, Mixed noncommutative motives. Talk at the Workshop on Homological Mirror Symmetry, Miami, 2010. Available at www-math.mit.edu/auroux/frg/miami10-notes.
- [33] \_\_\_\_\_, Notes on motives in finite characteristic. Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 270, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009, pp. 213–247.
- [34] \_\_\_\_\_, Hodge structures in non-commutative geometry. XI Solomon Lefschetz Memorial Lecture series. Contemp. Math., 462, Non-commutative geometry in mathematics and physics, 1–21, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [35] \_\_\_\_\_, Categorification, NC Motives, Geometric Langlands, and Lattice Models. Talk at the Geometric Langlands Seminar, University of Chicago, 2006. Notes available at the webpage https://www.ma.utexas.edu/users/benzvi/notes.html.
- [36] \_\_\_\_\_, Noncommutative motives. Talk at the IAS on the occasion of the 61<sup>st</sup> birthday of Pierre Deligne (2005). Available at http://video.ias.edu/Geometry-and-Arithmetic.
- [37] A. Kuznetsov, Calabi-Yau and fractional Calabi-Yau categories. Available at arXiv:1509.07657. To appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.
- [38] A. Kuznetsov, Derived categories of families of sextic del Pezzo surfaces. Available at arXiv:1708.00522.
- [39] D. Lieberman, Numerical and homological equivalence of algebraic cycles on Hodge manifolds. Amer. J. Math. 90, 366–374, 1968.
- [40] Y. Manin, Correspondences, motifs and monoidal transformations. Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 77 (119) (1968), 475–507.
- [41] M. Marcolli and G. Tabuada, Noncommutative numerical motives, Tannakian structures, and motivic Galois groups. Journal of the European Mathematical Society 18 (2016), 623–655.
- [42] C. Mazza, Schur functors and motives. K-Theory 33 (2004), no. 2, 89–106.
- [43] J. Milne, The Tate conjecture over finite fields. AIM talk. Available at Milne's webpage http://www.jmilne.org/math/articles/2007e.pdf.
- [44] B. G. Moishezon, On n-dimensional compact varieties with n algebraically independent meromorphic functions, I, II and III. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 30: 133–174.
- [45] T. Nikolaus and P. Scholze, On topological cyclic homology. Available at arXiv:1707.01799. To appear in Acta Math.
- [46] A. Shermenev, The motive of an abelian variety. Funct. Anal. 8 (1974), 47–53.
- [47] G. Tabuada, Recent developments on noncommutative motives. New directions in homotopy theory, 143–173, Contemp. Math., 707, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.
- [48] \_\_\_\_\_, A note on the Schur-finiteness of linear sections. Mathematical Research Letters, 25 (2018), no. 1, 237–253.

- [49] \_\_\_\_\_, A note on Grothendieck's standard conjectures of type C<sup>+</sup> and D. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 146 (2018), no. 4, 1389–1399.
- [50] \_\_\_\_\_, Kimura-finiteness of quadric fibrations over smooth curves. Presented by Christophe Soulé. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 355 (2017), no. 6, 628–632.
- [51] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Noncommutative motives. With a preface by Yuri I. Manin. University Lecture Series
  63. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [52] \_\_\_\_\_, Chow motives versus noncommutative motives. J. Noncommut. Geom. 7 (2013), no. 3, 767–786.
- [53] \_\_\_\_\_, Noncommutative Weil conjecture. Available at arXiv:1808.00950.
- [54] \_\_\_\_\_, HPD-invariance of the Tate, Beilinson and Parshin conjectures. Available at arXiv:1712.05397.
- [55] \_\_\_\_\_, Schur-finiteness (and Bass-finiteness) conjecture for quadric fibrations and for families of sextic du Val del Pezzo surfaces. Available at arXiv:1708.05382.
- [56] \_\_\_\_\_, On Grothendieck's standard conjectures of type C<sup>+</sup> and D in positive characteristic. Available at arXiv:1710.04644.
- [57] \_\_\_\_\_, Noncommutative motives in positive characteristic and their applications. Available at arXiv:1707.04248. To appear in Advances in Mathematics.
- [58] G. Tabuada and M. Van den Bergh, Additive invariants of orbifolds. Geom. Topol. 22 (2018), no. 5, 3003–3048.
- [59] J. Tate, Conjectures on algebraic cycles in l-adic cohomology. Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), 71–83, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 55, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [60] \_\_\_\_\_, Algebraic cycles and poles of zeta functions. Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry (Proc. Conf. Purdue Univ., 1963) pp. 93–110. Harper & Row, New York 1965.
- [61] R. Thomason, A finiteness condition equivalent to the Tate conjecture over F<sub>q</sub>. Algebraic K-theory and algebraic number theory (Honolulu, HI, 1987), 385–392, Contemp. Math., 83, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
- [62] B. Totaro, Recent progress on the Tate conjecture. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (2017), 575–590.
- [63] V. Voevodsky, Triangulated categories of motives over a field. Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 143, Princeton Univ. Press, 2000, pp. 188–238.
- [64] \_\_\_\_\_, A nilpotence theorem for cycles algebraically equivalent to zero. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 1995 (1995), no. 4, 187–198.
- [65] C. Voisin, Remarks on zero-cycles of self-products of varieties. in: Moduli of vector bundles (Sanda 1994, Kyoto 1994), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 179, Dekker, New York (1996), 265–285.
- [66] A. Weil, Variétés abéliennes et courbes algébriques. Hermann, Paris (1948).
- [67] \_\_\_\_\_, Sur les courbes algébriques et les variétés qui s'en déduisent. Actualités Sci. Ind., no. 1041 = Publ. Inst. Math. Univ. Strasbourg 7 (1945). Hermann et Cie., Paris, 1948.

GONÇALO TABUADA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, USA *E-mail address*: tabuada@math.mit.edu *URL*: http://math.mit.edu/~tabuada