# **THE PERFECTOID COMMUTANT OF LUBIN-TATE POWER SERIES**

*by*

Laurent Berger

*Abstract.* **— Let LT** be a Lubin-Tate formal group attached to a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . By a theorem of Lubin-Sarkis, an invertible characteristic *p* power series that commutes with the elements  $Aut(LT)$  is itself in  $Aut(LT)$ . We extend this result to perfectoid power series, by lifting such a power series to characteristic zero and using the theory of locally analytic vectors in certain rings of *p*-adic periods. This allows us to recover the field of norms of the Lubin-Tate extension from its completed perfection.

# **Introduction**

Let F be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and residue field k. Let  $q = \text{Card}(k)$  and let  $\pi$  be a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . Let LT be the Lubin-Tate formal  $\mathcal{O}_F$ module attached to  $\pi$ . Let  $F_{\infty} = F(\mathrm{LT}[\pi^{\infty}])$  denote the extension of F generated by the torsion points of LT, and let  $\Gamma_F = \text{Gal}(F_{\infty}/F)$ . The Lubin-Tate character  $\chi_{\pi}$  gives rise to an isomorphism  $\chi_{\pi} : \Gamma_F \to \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$ .

The field of norms ([**[Win83](#page-5-0)**])  $\mathbf{E}_F$  of the extension  $F_{\infty}/F$  is a local field of characteristic *p*, endowed with an action of  $\Gamma_F$ , that can be explicitly described as follows. We choose a coordinate *T* on LT, so that for each  $a \in \mathcal{O}_F$  we get a power series  $[a](T) \in \mathcal{O}_F[T]$ . We then have  $\mathbf{E}_F = k(\mathbf{Y})$ , on which  $\Gamma_F$  acts via the formula  $\gamma(f(Y)) = f([\chi_{\pi}(\gamma)](Y)).$ In *p*-adic Hodge theory, we consider the field  $\mathbf{E}_F$ , which is the *Y*-adic completion of the maximal purely inseparable extension  $\cup_{n\geq 0} \mathbf{E}_F^{q^{-n}}$  of  $\mathbf{E}_F$  inside an algebraic closure. The action of  $\Gamma_F$  extends to the field  $\mathbf{E}_F$ . If  $f \in \mathbf{E}_F$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$ , we still have  $\gamma(f(Y))$  =  $f([\chi_{\pi}(\gamma)](Y))$ . The question that motivated this paper is the following.

**<sup>2020</sup>** *Mathematics Subject Classification***. —** 11S; 12J; 13J.

*Key words and phrases***. —** Lubin-Tate group; field of norms; *p*-adic period; locally analytic vector; *p*-adic dynamical system; perfectoid field.

#### **2** LAURENT BERGER

 $\boldsymbol{Question.}$  — *Can we recover*  $\mathbf{E}_F$  *from the data of the valued field*  $\mathbf{E}_F$  *endowed with the action of*  $\Gamma_F$ ?

If  $a \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$ , then  $u(Y) = [a](Y)$  is an element of  $\mathbf{E}_F$  of valuation 1 that satisfies the functional equation  $u \circ [g](Y) = [g] \circ u(Y)$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$ . Conversely, we prove the following theorem, which answers the question, as it allows us to find a uniformizer of  $\mathbf{E}_F$  from the data of the valued field  $\mathbf{E}_F$  endowed with the action of  $\Gamma_F$ .

*<i>Theorem A.* — If  $u \in \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_F$  is such that  $\text{val}_Y(u) = 1$  and  $u \circ [g] = [g] \circ u$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$ , *then there exists*  $a \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$  *such that*  $u(Y) = [a](Y)$ *.* 

In particular,  $\mathbf{E}_F = k(u)$  for any *u* as in theorem A. The main difficulty in the proof of theorem A is to prove that if *u* is as in the statement of theorem A, then there exists  $n \geq 0$ such that  $u \in \mathbf{E}_F^{q^{-n}}$  $F_F^q$ . If  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\pi = p$ , namely in the cyclotomic situation, this follows from the main result of [**[BR22](#page-5-1)**]. However, a crucial ingredient in that paper does not generalize to  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ . In order to go beyond the cyclotomic case, we instead use a result of Colmez ([[Col02](#page-5-2)]) to lift *u* to an element  $\hat{u}$  of a ring  $\tilde{A}^+_F$  (the Witt vectors over the ring of integers of  $\mathbf{\tilde{E}}_F$ , as well as a completion of  $\cup_{n\geq 0}\varphi_q^{-n}(\mathcal{O}_F[\hat{Y}])$ , where  $\varphi_q(\hat{Y}) = [\pi](\hat{Y})$ ), that will satisfy a similar functional equation. In particular,  $\hat{u}$  is a locally analytic element of a suitable ring of *p*-adic periods. By previous results of the author ([**[Ber16](#page-5-3)**]),  $\hat{u}$  belongs to  $\varphi_q^{-n}(\mathcal{O}_F[\![\hat{Y}]\!])$  for a certain *n*. This allows us to prove that there exists  $n \geqslant 0$  such that  $u \in \mathbf{E}_F^{q^{-n}}$  $\int_{F}^{q^{-n}}$ . By replacing *u* with  $u^{p^k}$  for a well chosen *k*, we are led to the study of elements of  $Y \cdot k[[Y]]$  under composition. We prove that *u* is invertible for composition, and to conclude we use a theorem of Lubin-Sarkis ([**[LS07](#page-5-4)**]) saying that if an invertible series commutes with a nontorsion element of Aut(LT), then that series is itself in Aut(LT). We finish this paper with an explanation of why the "Tate traces" on  $\mathbf{E}_F$  used in  $[\mathbf{BR22}]$  $[\mathbf{BR22}]$  $[\mathbf{BR22}]$ don't exist if  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ .

## **1. Locally analytic vectors**

We use the notation that was introduced in the introduction. In order to apply lemma 9.3 of [**[Col02](#page-5-2)**], we assume that the coordinate *T* on LT is chosen such that  $[\pi](T)$  is a monic polynomial of degree *q* (for example, we could ask that  $[\pi](T) = T^q + \pi T$ ).

Let  $F_0 = \mathbf{Q}_p^{\text{unr}} \cap F$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_F^+$  denote the ring of integers of  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_F$  and let  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_F^+ = \mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_0}}$  $W(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_F^+)$  be the  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -Witt vectors over  $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_F^+$ .

*Proposition 1.1.* — *If*  $u \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_F^+$  *is such that*  $\gamma(u) = [\chi_{\pi}(\gamma)](u)$  *for all*  $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$ *, then u has a lift*  $\hat{u} \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_F^+$  *such that*  $\gamma(\hat{u}) = [\chi_\pi(\gamma)] \circ \hat{u}$  *for all*  $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$ *.* 

*Proof.* — By lemma 9.3 of [**[Col02](#page-5-2)**], there is a unique lift  $\hat{u} \in \tilde{A}_F^+$  of *u* such that  $\varphi_q(\hat{u}) =$  $[\pi](\hat{u})$  (in ibid., this element is denoted by  $\{u\}$ ). If  $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$ , then both  $\gamma(\hat{u})$  and  $[\chi_{\pi}(\gamma)](\hat{u})$ are lifts of *u* that are compatible with Frobenius as above. By unicity, they are equal.  $\Box$ 

Let  $\log_{LT}(T)$  and  $\exp_{LT}(T)$  be the logarithm and exponential series for LT. Write  $\exp_{LT}(T) = \sum_{n\geqslant 1} e_n T^n$  and  $\exp_{LT}(T)^j = \sum_{n\geqslant j} e_{j,n} T^n$  for  $j \geqslant 1$ .

*Lemma 1.2.* — *We have*  $\text{val}_{\pi}(e_{j,n}) \geqslant -n/(q-1)$  *for all*  $j, n \geqslant 1$ *.* 

*Proof.* — Fix  $\varpi \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  such that  $\text{val}_{\pi}(\varpi) = 1/(q-1)$  and let  $K = F(\varpi)$ . Recall that  $\log_{LT}(T) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} [\pi^n](T) / \pi^n$ . If  $z \in \mathbb{C}_p$  and  $\text{val}_{\pi}(z) \geq 1/(q-1)$ , then  $\text{val}_{\pi}([\pi](z)) \geq$  $\text{val}_{\pi}(z) + 1$ . This implies that  $1/\varpi \cdot \log_{LT}(\varpi T) \in T + T^2 \mathcal{O}_K[[T]]$ . Its composition inverse  $1/\varpi \cdot \exp_{LT}(\varpi T)$  therefore also belongs to  $T + T^2 \mathcal{O}_K[[T]]$ . This implies the claim for  $j = 1$ . The claim for  $j \geq 1$  follows easily.  $\Box$ 

We use a number of rings of *p*-adic periods in the Lubin-Tate setting, whose construction and properties were recalled in §3 of [**[Ber16](#page-5-3)**]. Proposition 1.1 gives us an element  $\hat{Y} \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_F^+$  (denoted by *u* in ibid.). Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_F^+ = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_F^+[1/\pi]$ . Given an interval  $I = [r; s] \subset [0; +\infty[$ , a valuation  $V(\cdot, I)$  on  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_F^+[1/\hat{Y}]$  is constructed in ibid., as well as various completions of that ring. We use  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_F^I$ , the completion of  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_F^+[1/\hat{Y}]$  for  $V(\cdot, I)$ and  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{rig},F}^{\dagger,r} = \varprojlim_{s \geq r} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_F^{\lceil r;s \rceil}$ . Inside  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{rig},F}^{\dagger,r}$ , there is the ring  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{rig},F}^{\dagger,r}$  of power series  $f(\hat{Y})$  with coefficients in  $F$ , where  $f(T)$  converges on a certain annulus depending on  $r$ .

*Lemma 1.3*. — *If s* > 0*, then* **B** †*,s* rig*,F* ∩ **A**˜ <sup>+</sup> *<sup>F</sup>* = **A**<sup>+</sup> *F .*

*Proof.* — Take  $f(\hat{Y}) \in \mathbf{B}^{\dagger,s}_{\text{rig},F}, t \geqslant s$  and let  $I = [s; t]$ . We have  $V(f, I) \geqslant 0$ , so that f is bounded by 1 on the corresponding annulus. This is true for all *t*, so that  $f \in \mathbf{B}_F^{\dagger,s}$  $\mathfrak{f}^{s}_{F}$ . We now have  $f \in \mathbf{B}_F^{\dagger,s} \cap \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_F^+ = \mathbf{A}_F^+$ .  $\Box$ 

Let *W* be a Banach space with a continuous action of  $\Gamma_F$ . The notion of locally analytic vector was introduced in [**[ST03](#page-5-5)**]. Recall (see for instance §2 of [**[Ber16](#page-5-3)**]; the definition given there is easily seen to be equivalent to the following one) that an element  $w \in W$ is locally *F*-analytic if there exists a sequence  $\{w_k\}_{k\geq 0}$  of *W* such that  $w_k \to 0$ , and an integer  $n \ge 1$  such that for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$  such that  $\chi_{\pi}(\gamma) = 1 + p^n c(\gamma)$  with  $c(\gamma) \in \mathcal{O}_F$ , we have  $\gamma(w) = \sum_{k \geq 0} c(\gamma)^k w_k$ . If  $W = \varprojlim_i W_i$  is a Fréchet representation of  $\Gamma_F$ , we say that  $w \in W$  is pro-*F*-analytic if its image in  $W_i$  is locally *F*-analytic for all *i*.

*Proposition 1.4.* — *If*  $r \ge 0$  *and*  $x \in \tilde{A}^+_F$  *is such that*  $val_Y(\overline{x}) > 0$  *and*  $\gamma(x) =$  $[\chi_{\pi}(\gamma)](x)$  *for all*  $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$ *, then x is a pro-F*-analytic element of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{rig},F}^{^{\dagger,r}}$ .

#### **4** LAURENT BERGER

*Proof.* — We prove that for all  $s \geq r$ , *x* is a locally *F*-analytic vector of  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_F^{[r;s]}$ . The proposition then follows, since  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig},F}^{\dagger,r} = \varprojlim_{s \geq r} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{F}^{[r;s]}$  as Fréchet spaces.

Let  $S(X,Y) = \sum_{i,j} s_{i,j} X^i Y^j \in \mathcal{O}_F[[X,Y]]$  be the power series that gives the addition in LT. We have  $\log_{LT}(x) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_F^{[r;s]}$ . Take  $n \geq 1$  such that  $V(p^{n-1} \log_{LT}(x), [r;s]) > 0$ . We have  $[a](T) = \exp_{LT}(a \log_{LT}(T))$ , so that  $[1 + p^n c](T) = S(T, \exp_{LT}(p^n c \log_{LT}(T)))$ . If  $\chi_{\pi}(\gamma) = 1 + p^{n}c(\gamma)$ , then

$$
\gamma(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} c(\gamma)^k \sum_{j \leq k} p^{nk} e_{j,k} \log_{LT}(x)^k \sum_{i \geq 0} s_{i,j} x^i
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{k \geq 0} c(\gamma)^k \sum_{j \leq k} p^k e_{j,k} \cdot (p^{n-1} \log_{LT}(x))^k \cdot \sum_{i \geq 0} s_{i,j} x^i.
$$

We have  $p^k e_{j,k} \in \mathcal{O}_F$  by lemma 1.2,  $V(p^{n-1} \log_{LT}(x), [r; s]) > 0$  by hypothesis,  $s_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and  $V(x,[r;s]) > 0$ . This implies the claim.  $\Box$ 

*Proposition 1.5.* — *If*  $r > 0$  *and*  $x \in \tilde{A}_F^+$  *is a pro-F*-*analytic element of*  $\tilde{B}_{\text{rig},F}^{\dagger,r}$ *, then there exists*  $n \geqslant 0$  *such that*  $x \in \varphi_q^{-n}(\mathbf{A}_F^+)$ *.* 

*Proof.* — By item (3) of theorem 4.4 of [[Ber16](#page-5-3)] (applied with  $K = F$ ), there exists  $n \geq 0$  and  $s > 0$  such that  $x \in \varphi_q^{-n}(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^{\dagger,s})$ . The proposition now follows from lemma 1.3 applied to  $\varphi_q^n(x)$ .  $\Box$ 

# **2. Composition of power series**

Recall that a power series  $f(Y) \in k[[Y]]$  is separable if  $f'(Y) \neq 0$ . If  $f(Y) \in Y \cdot k[[Y]]$ , we say that *f* is invertible if  $f'(0) \in k^{\times}$ , which is equivalent to *f* being invertible for composition (denoted by  $\circ$ ). We say that  $w(Y) \in Y \cdot k[[Y]]$  is nontorsion if  $w^{\circ n}(Y) \neq Y$ for all  $n \geq 1$ . If  $w(Y) = \sum_{i \geq 0} w_i Y^i \in k[[Y]]$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $w^{(m)}(Y) = \sum_{i \geq 0} w_i^{p^m} Y^i$ . Note that  $(w \circ v)^{(m)} = w^{(m)} \circ v^{(m)}$ .

*Proposition 2.1.* — Let  $w(Y) \in Y + Y^2 \cdot k[Y]$  be an invertible nontorsion series, and *let*  $f(Y) \in Y \cdot k[[Y]]$  *be a separable power series. If*  $w^{(m)} \circ f = f \circ w$ *, then f is invertible.* 

*Proof*. — This is a slight generalization of lemma 6.2 of [**[Lub94](#page-5-6)**]. Write

$$
f(Y) = fnYn + O(Yn+1)
$$
  
\n
$$
f'(Y) = gkYk + O(Yk+1)
$$
  
\n
$$
w(Y) = Y + wrYr + O(Yr+1),
$$

with  $f_n, g_k, w_r \neq 0$ . Since *w* is nontorsion, we can replace *w* by  $w^{\circ p^{\ell}}$  for  $\ell \gg 0$  and assume that  $r \geq k + 1$ . We have

$$
w^{(m)} \circ f = f(Y) + w_r^{(m)} f(Y)^r + O(Y^{n(r+1)})
$$
  
=  $f(Y) + w_r^{(m)} f_n^r Y^{nr} + O(Y^{nr+1}).$ 

If  $k = 0$ , then  $n = 1$  and we are done, so assume that  $k \geq 1$ . We have

$$
f \circ w = f(Y + w_r Y^r + O(Y^{r+1}))
$$
  
=  $f(Y) + w_r Y^r f'(Y) + O(Y^{2r})$   
=  $f(Y) + w_r g_k Y^{r+k} + O(Y^{r+k+1}).$ 

This implies that  $nr = r + k$ , hence  $(n - 1)r = k$ , which is impossible if  $r > k$  unless  $n = 1$ . Hence  $n = 1$  and f is invertible.  $\Box$ 

We now prove theorem A. Take  $u \in \mathbf{E}_F$  such that  $\text{val}_Y(u) = 1$  and  $u \circ [g] = [g] \circ u$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$ . By proposition 1.1, *u* has a lift  $\hat{u} \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_F^+$  such that  $\gamma(\hat{u}) = [\chi_{\pi}(\gamma)] \circ \hat{u}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$ . By proposition 1.4,  $\hat{u}$  is a pro-*F*-analytic element of  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig},F}^{\dagger,r}$ . By proposition 1.5, there exists  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\hat{u} \in \varphi_q^{-n}(\mathbf{A}_F^+)$ . This implies that  $u \in \varphi_q^{-n}(\mathbf{E}_F^+)$ . Hence there is an  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $f(Y) = u(Y)^{p^m}$  belongs to  $Y \cdot k[[Y]]$  and is separable. Note that  $\text{val}_Y(f) = p^m$ . Take  $g \in 1 + \pi \mathcal{O}_F$  such that *g* is nontorsion, and let  $w(Y) = [g](Y)$  so that  $u \circ w = w \circ u$ . We have  $f \circ w = w^{(m)} \circ f$ . By proposition 2.1,  $f$  is invertible. This implies that  $val_Y(f) = 1$ , so that  $m = 0$  and  $u$  itself is invertible. Since  $u \circ [g] = [g] \circ u$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$ , theorem 6 of [[LS07](#page-5-4)] implies that  $u \in Aut(LT)$ . Hence there exists  $a \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$ such that  $u(Y) = [a](Y)$ .

## **3. Tate traces in the Lubin-Tate setting**

If  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\pi = p$  (namely in the cyclotomic situation) the fact that, in the proof of theorem A, there exists  $n \geq 0$  such that  $u \in \varphi_q^{-n}(\mathbf{E}_F^+)$  follows from the main result of [**[BR22](#page-5-1)**]. We now explain why the methods of ibid don't extend to the Lubin-Tate case. More precisely, we prove that there is no  $\Gamma_F$ -equivariant *k*-linear projector  $\mathbf{E}_F \to \mathbf{E}_F$ if  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ . Choose a coordinate *T* on LT such that  $\log_{LT}(T) = \sum_{n\geqslant 0} T^{q^n}/\pi^n$ , so that  $\log'_{LT}(T) \equiv 1 \mod \pi$ . Let  $\partial = 1/\log'_{LT}(T) \cdot d/dT$  be the invariant derivative on LT.

*Lemma 3.1.* — *We have*  $d\gamma(Y)/dY \equiv \chi_{\pi}(\gamma)$  *in*  $\mathbf{E}_F$  *for all*  $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$ *.* 

*Proof.* — Since  $\log_{LT}' \equiv 1 \mod \pi$ , we have  $\partial = d/dY$  in  $\mathbf{E}_F$ . Applying  $\partial \circ \gamma = \chi_\pi(\gamma) \gamma \circ \partial$ to *Y* , we get the claim. $\Box$  *Lemma 3.2.* **–** *If* $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$ *is nontorsion, then* $\mathbf{E}_F^{\gamma=1} = k$ *.* 

*Proposition 3.3.* **—** *If* $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ *, there is no* $\Gamma_F$ **-equivariant map**  $R : \mathbf{E}_F \to \mathbf{E}_F$  **such** *that*  $R(\varphi_q(f)) = f$  *for all*  $f \in \mathbf{E}_F$ *.* 

*Proof.* — Suppose that such a map exists, and take  $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$  nontorsion and such that  $\chi_{\pi}(\gamma) \equiv 1 \mod \pi$ . We first show that if  $f \in \mathbf{E}_F$  is such that  $(1 - \gamma)f \in \varphi_q(\mathbf{E}_F)$ , then  $f \in \varphi_q(\mathbf{E}_F)$ . Write  $f = f_0 + \varphi_q(R(f))$  where  $f_0 = f - \varphi_q(R(f))$ , so that  $R(f_0) = 0$  and  $(1 - \gamma)f_0 = \varphi_q(g) \in \varphi_q(\mathbf{E}_F)$ . Applying *R*, we get  $0 = (1 - \gamma)R(f_0) = g$ . Hence  $g = 0$  so that  $(1 - \gamma)f_0 = 0$ . Since  $\mathbf{E}_F^{\gamma=1} = k$  by lemma 3.2, this implies  $f_0 \in k$ , so that  $f \in \varphi_q(\mathbf{E}_F)$ .

However, lemma 3.1 and the fact that  $\chi_{\pi}(\gamma) \equiv 1 \mod \pi$  imply that  $\gamma(Y) = Y + f_{\gamma}(Y^p)$ for some  $f_{\gamma} \in \mathbf{E}_F$ , so that  $\gamma(Y^{q/p}) = Y^{q/p} + \varphi_q(g_{\gamma})$ . Hence  $(1 - \gamma)(Y^{q/p}) \in \varphi_q(\mathbf{E}_F)$  even though  $Y^{q/p}$  does not belong to  $\varphi_q(\mathbf{E}_F)$ . Therefore, no such map R can exist.  $\Box$ 

*Corollary* 3.4. — If  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ , there is no  $\Gamma_F$ -equivariant *k*-linear projector  $\varphi_q^{-1}(\mathbf{E}_F) \to$  $\mathbf{E}_F$ . A fortiori, there is no  $\Gamma_F$ -equivariant *k*-linear projector  $\mathbf{E}_F \to \mathbf{E}_F$ .

*Proof.* — Given such a projector *T*, we could define *R* as in prop 3.3 by  $R = T \circ \varphi_q^{-1}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** I thank Juan Esteban Rodríguez Camargo for asking me the question that motivated both this paper and [**[BR22](#page-5-1)**].

## **References**

- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[Ber16] L. BERGER – "Multivariable  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules and locally analytic vectors", *Duke Math. J.* **165** (2016), no. 18, p. 3567–3595.
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[BR22] L. Berger & S. Rozensztajn – "Decompletion of cyclotomic perfectoid fields in positive characteristic", preprint, 2022.
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[Col02] P. Colmez – "Espaces de Banach de dimension finie", *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **1** (2002), no. 3, p. 331–439.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[LS07] J. Lubin & G. Sarkis – "Extrinsic properties of automorphism groups of formal groups", *J. Algebra* **315** (2007), no. 2, p. 874–884.
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[Lub94] J. Lubin – "Nonarchimedean dynamical systems", *Compositio Math.* **94** (1994), no. 3, p. 321–346.
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[ST03] P. SCHNEIDER & J. TEITELBAUM – "Algebras of  $p$ -adic distributions and admissible representations", *Invent. Math.* **153** (2003), no. 1, p. 145–196.
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[Win83] J.-P. Wintenberger – "Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies de corps locaux; applications", *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **16** (1983), no. 1, p. 59–89.

*February 7, 2022*

Laurent Berger, UMPA de l'ENS de Lyon, UMR 5669 du CNRS

*E-mail :* laurent.berger@ens-lyon.fr • *Url :* perso.ens-lyon.fr/laurent.berger/