PSEUDO CORE INVERTIBILITY IN BANACH *-ALGEBRAS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

HUANYIN CHEN AND MARJAN SHEIBANI*

ABSTRACT. We present new additive results for the pseudo core inverse in a Banach algebra with involution. The necessary and sufficient conditions under which the sum of two pseudo core invertible elements in Banach *-algebra is pseudo core invertible are obtained. As an application, the pseudo core invertibility for block complex matrices is investigated. These extend the main results of pseudo core invertibility of Gao and Chen [Comm. Algebra, 46(2018), 38–50].

1. Introduction

An involution of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is an anti-automorphism whose square is the identity map 1. A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} with involution * is called a Banach *-algebra, e.g., C^* -algebra. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach *-algebra. An element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ has p-core inverse (i.e., pseudo core inverse) if there exist $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$xa^{k+1} = a^k, ax^2 = x, (ax)^* = ax.$$

If such x exists, it is unique, and denote it by $a^{\mathbb{O}}$. An element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ has Drazin inverse provided that there exists $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$xa^{k+1} = a^k, ax^2 = x, ax = xa,$$

where k is the index of a (denoted by i(a)), i.e., the smallest k such that the previous equations are satisfied. Such x is unique if exists, denoted by a^D , and called the Drazin inverse of a. As is well known, a square complex matrix A has group inverse if and only if $rank(A^k) = rank(A^{k+1})$. The p-core invertibility in a Banach *-algebra is attractive. This notion was introduced by Gao and Chen in 2018 (see [6]). This is a natural extension of the core inverse which is the first studied by Baksalary and Trenkler for a complex matrix in 2010

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A09, 16W10.

Key words and phrases. group inverse; pseudo core inverse; additive property; block complex matrix; Banach algebra.

Corresponding author: Marjan Sheibani.

(see [1]). A matrix $A \in C^{n \times n}$ has core inverse A^{\oplus} if and only if $AA^{\oplus} = P_A$ and $\mathcal{R}(A^{\oplus}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, where P_A is the projection on $\mathcal{R}(A)$ (see [1]). Rakic et al. (see [12]) generalized the core inverse of a complex to the case of an element in a ring. An element a is a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} has core inverse if and only if there exist $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$a = axa, xA = aA, Ax = Aa^*.$$

If such x exists, it is unique, and denote it by a^{\oplus} . Recently, many authors have studied core and p-core inverses from many different views, e.g., [4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. An element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ has (1,3) inverse provided that there exists some $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that a = axa and $(ax)^* = ax$. We list several characterizations of p-core inverse.

Theorem 1.1. (see [6, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.5], [14, Theorem 3.1]) Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach *-algebra, and let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $a \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{D}}$.
- (2) $a \in \mathcal{A}^D$ and a^k has (1,3) inverse, where k = i(a).
- (3) There exists $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a^n x a^n = a$ and $a^n R = x R = x^* R$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (4) $a^m \in \mathcal{A}$ has core inverse for some positive integer m.

Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ have p-core inverses. In [6, Theorem 4.4], Gao and Chen proved that a + b has p-core inverse when ab = ba = 0 and $a^*b = 0$. This inspires us to investigate new additive properties for p-core invertibility in a Banach *-algebra.

In Section 2, we are concerned with new additive results for p-core invertible elements in a Banach *-algebra. If ab = ba and $a^*b = ba^*$, we present necessary and sufficient conditions under which $a + b \in \mathcal{A}$ is p-core invertible.

Lex X be a Banach space. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators from X to itself. In Section 3,, we apply our additive results to bounded linear operators and obtain various conditions under which a block-operator matrix has p-core inverse.

Throughout the paper, all Banach *-algebras are complex with an identity. An element $p \in \mathcal{A}$ is a projection if $p^2 = p = p^*$. \mathcal{A}^D , \mathcal{A}^{\bigcirc} and \mathcal{A}^{nil} denote the sets of all Drazin, p-core invertible and nilpotent elements in \mathcal{A} respectively. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}^D$. We use a^{π} to stand for the spectral idempotent of a corresponding to $\{0\}$, i.e., $a^{\pi} = 1 - aa^D$.

2. Key lemmas

To prove the main results, some lemmas are needed. We begin with

Lemma 2.1. ([6, Proposition 4.2])) Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}$. If ab = ba and $a^*b = ba^*$, then $a^{\textcircled{D}}b = ba^{\textcircled{D}}$.

Lemma 2.2. ([6, Theorem 4.3])) Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{D}}$. If ab = ba and $a^*b = ba^*$, then $ab \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{D}}$ and $(ab)^{\mathbb{D}} = a^{\mathbb{D}}b^{\mathbb{D}}$.

Lemma 2.3. ([6, Theorem 4.4])) Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}$. If ab = ba = 0 and $a^*b = 0$, then $a + b \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $a \in A^{\bigcirc}$ and $b \in A$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $(1 a^{\textcircled{D}}a)b = 0$.
- $(2) (1 aa^{\mathbb{O}})b = 0.$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Since $(1-a^{\textcircled{1}}a)b = 0$, we have $b = a^{\textcircled{1}}ab$. Hence, $(1-aa^{\textcircled{1}})b = (1-aa^{\textcircled{1}})a^{\textcircled{1}}ab = 0$.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Since $(1 - aa^{\textcircled{1}})b = 0$, we get $b = aa^{\textcircled{1}}b$. Therefore $(1 - a^{\textcircled{1}}a)b = (1 - a^{\textcircled{1}}a))aa^{\textcircled{1}}b = (1 - a^{\textcircled{1}}a))a^{m+1}a^{D}(a^{m})^{(1,3)} = (a^{m} - a^{\textcircled{1}}a^{m+1})aa^{D}(a^{m})^{(1,3)} = 0$, as desired.

Let $a, p^2 = p \in \mathcal{A}$. Then a has the Pierce decomposition relative to p: $pap + pap^{\pi} + p^{\pi}ap + p^{\pi}ap^{\pi}$. We denote it by a matrix form: $\begin{pmatrix} pap & app^{\pi} \\ p^{\pi}ap & p^{\pi}ap^{\pi} \end{pmatrix}_p$. We now derive

Lemma 2.5.

- (1) Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$. Then $x \in M_2(\mathcal{A})^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $x^{\textcircled{D}} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ if and only if $a, d \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m a^{i-1} a^{\pi} b d^{m-i} = 0$ for some $m \geq i(a)$.
- (2) Let p be a projection and $x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}_p$. Then $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $p^{\pi}x^{\textcircled{D}}p = 0$ if and only if $a \in (p\mathcal{A}p)^{\textcircled{D}}, d \in (p^{\pi}\mathcal{A}p^{\pi})^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a^{i-1}a^{\pi}bd^{m-i} = 0$ for some $m \geq i(a)$.

Proof. (1) \Longrightarrow Since $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{\mathbb{D}}}$, it follows by [6, Theorem 2.5] that $x^m \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{\#}}$, where m = i(x). Then $m \geq i(a)$. In this case, $(x^m)^{\textcircled{\#}} = (x^{\textcircled{\mathbb{D}}})^m$ and $x^{\textcircled{\mathbb{D}}} = x^{m-1}(x^m)^{\textcircled{\#}}$. By hypothesis, we can write $x^{\textcircled{\mathbb{D}}} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$, and so $(x^{\textcircled{\mathbb{D}}})^m = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$. This implies that $(x^m)^{\textcircled{\#}} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$. Obviously, we have $x^m = x^m = x$

 $\begin{pmatrix} a^m & b_m \\ 0 & d^m \end{pmatrix}_p$, where $b_1 = b, b_m = ab_{m-1} + bd^{m-1}$. By induction, we get $b_m = \sum_{i=1}^m a^{i-1}bd^{m-i}$. In light of [13, Theorem 2.5], $a^m, d^m \in \mathcal{A}^{\oplus}$ and $(a^m)^{\pi}b_m = 0$. By virtue of [6, Theorem 2.5], $a, d \in \mathcal{A}^{\oplus}$. Moreover, we have $\sum_{i=1}^m a^{i-1}a^{\pi}bd^{m-i} = 0$. \iff Since $a \in (p\mathcal{A}p)^{\oplus}, d \in (p^{\pi}\mathcal{A}p^{\pi})^{\oplus}$, we easily check that $a^k \in (p\mathcal{A}p)^{\oplus}, d^k \in (p^{\pi}\mathcal{A}p^{\pi})^{\oplus}$, where $k = max\{m, i(b)\}$. Write $x^k = \begin{pmatrix} a^k & b_k \\ 0 & d^k \end{pmatrix}$, where $b_1 = b, b_k = ab_{k-1} + bd^{k-1}$. As in the preceding discussion, $a^{\pi}b_k = 0$. Then $x^k \in \mathcal{A}^{\oplus}$ by [13, Theorem 2.5]. According to [6, Theorem 2.5], we prove that $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\oplus}$. Moreover, we have $(x^{\oplus})^k = (x^k)^{\oplus} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}_p$. Then

$$x^{\bigcirc} = x^{k-1}(x^{\bigcirc})^k = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix},$$

as asserted.

(2) \Longrightarrow Since $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}$, we have $x^m \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{\#}}$, where $m = i(x) \geq i(a)$. Moreover, $(x^m)^{\textcircled{\#}} = (x^{\textcircled{D}})^m$ and $x^{\textcircled{D}} = x^{m-1}(x^m)^{\textcircled{\#}}$. Since $p^\pi x^{\textcircled{D}}p = 0$, we can write $x^{\textcircled{D}} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}_p$, and so $(x^{\textcircled{D}})^m = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}_p$. This implies that $p^\pi(x^{\textcircled{D}})^m p = 0$. Hence $p^\pi(x^m)^{\textcircled{\#}}p = 0$. Write $x^m = \begin{pmatrix} a^m & b_m \\ 0 & d^m \end{pmatrix}_p$, where $b_1 = b, b_m = ab_{m-1} + bd^{m-1}$. Then $b_m = \sum_{i=1}^m a^{i-1}bd^{m-i}$. In light of [13, Theorem 2.5], $a^m, d^m \in p\mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{\#}}p \subseteq (p\mathcal{A}p)^{\textcircled{\#}}$ and $(a^m)^\pi b_m = 0$. In view of [6, Theorem 2.5], $a \in (p\mathcal{A}p)^{\textcircled{D}}$ and $d \in (p^\pi \mathcal{A}p^\pi)^{\textcircled{D}}$. Moreover, we check that $\sum_{i=1}^m a^{i-1}a^\pi bd^{m-i} = 0$. \Longleftrightarrow Since $a, d \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}$, it follows by [6, Theorem 2.5] that $a^k, d^k \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{\#}}$, where $k = max\{m, i(b)\}$. Write $x^k = \begin{pmatrix} a^k & b_k \\ 0 & d^k \end{pmatrix}_p$, where $b_1 = b, b_k = ab_{k-1} + bd^{k-1}$. Then $b_m = \sum_{i=1}^m a^{i-1}bd^{m-i}$. By hypothesis, we have $a^\pi b_m = 0$. As in the preceding discussion, we have $a^\pi b_k = 0$. In light of [13, Theorem 2.5], $x^k \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{\#}}$. According to [6, Theorem 2.5], we get $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}$. Further, $p^\pi(x^k)^{\textcircled{\#}}p = 0$, and

so
$$p^{\pi}(x^{\textcircled{\mathbb{O}}})^k p = 0$$
, Write $(x^{\textcircled{\mathbb{O}}})^k = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}_p$. Then $x^{\textcircled{\mathbb{O}}} = x^{k-1}(x^{\textcircled{\mathbb{O}}})^k = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}_p$. This implies that $p^{\pi}x^{\textcircled{\mathbb{O}}}p = 0$, as asserted.

3. Main Results

This section is devoted to investigate the p-core inverse of the sum of two p-core invertible elements in a Banach *-algebra. We are ready to prove:

Theorem 3.1. Let $a, b \in A^{\mathbb{O}}$. If ab = ba and $a^*b = ba^*$, then the following are equivalent:

(1)
$$a + b \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{O}}$$
 and $a^{\pi}(a + b)^{\mathbb{O}}aa^{\mathbb{O}} = 0$.
(2) $1 + a^{\mathbb{O}}b \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{O}}$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} [1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b]^{i-1}a^{i-1}[1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b]^{\pi}a[aa^{\textcircled{D}} - a^{\textcircled{D}}a](a+b)^{m-i} = 0$$

for some $m \ge i(1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b)$.

Proof. Since ab = ba and $a^*b = ba^*$, it follows by Lemma 2.1 that $a^{\mathbb{O}}b = ba^{\mathbb{O}}$. Let $p = aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}$. Then $p^{\pi}bp = (1 - aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})baa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}} = (1 - aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}b = 0$. Moreover, we have $pbp^{\pi} = aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}b(1 - aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}) = aba^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}(1 - aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}} = 0)$. We compute that

$$p^{\pi}ap = (1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}})aaa^{\textcircled{D}}$$

$$= (1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}})aa^{D}(a^{m})(a^{m})^{(1,3)}$$

$$= (1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}})a^{m+1}(a^{D})^{m}(a^{m})(a^{m})^{(1,3)}$$

$$= (a^{m+1} - aa^{\textcircled{D}}a^{m+1})(a^{D})^{m}(a^{m})(a^{m})^{(1,3)}$$

$$= 0.$$

So we get

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}_p, b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}_p.$$

Hence

$$a+b = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a_1 + b_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & a_4 + b_4 \end{array}\right)_p.$$

(i) Clearly, we check that

$$(1 - a^{\textcircled{D}}a)a^{2}a^{\textcircled{D}} = (1 - a^{\textcircled{D}}a)a^{2}a^{D}a^{m}(a^{m})^{(1,3)}$$

$$= (1 - a^{\textcircled{D}}a)a^{m+2}a^{D}(a^{m})^{(1,3)}$$

$$= (a^{m} - a^{\textcircled{D}}a^{m+1})a^{2}a^{D}(a^{m})^{(1,3)}$$

$$= 0.$$

In view of Lemma 2.4, $(1 - aa^{\bigcirc})a^2a^{\bigcirc} = 0$. Hence

$$a_1 = aa^{\mathbb{O}}aaa^{\mathbb{O}} = a^2a^{\mathbb{O}}.$$

Obviously, $(1 - aa^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}})baa^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}} = b(1 - aa^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}})aa^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}} = 0$. It follows by Lemma 2.4 that $(1 - a^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}}a)baa^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}} = 0$. Hence we have $b_1 = aa^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}}baa^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}} = baa^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}} = aa^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}}baa^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}} = baa^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}} = aa^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}}baa^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}}$, and then

$$a_1 + b_1 = (1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b)a^2a^{\textcircled{D}} \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}.$$

This implies that

$$(a_1 + b_1)^{i-1} = (1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b)^{i-1}(a^2a^{\textcircled{D}})^{i-1} = (1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b)^{i-1}a^ia^{\textcircled{D}}.$$

Furthermore,

$$(a_1 + b_1)^D = (1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b)^D a^{\textcircled{D}}.$$

Thus

$$(a_1 + b_1)^{\pi} = 1 - (1 + a^{\mathbb{O}}b)(1 + a^{\mathbb{O}}b)^{D}aa^{\mathbb{O}}.$$

(ii) Clearly, we have $(1 - aa^{\mathbb{O}})aaa^{\mathbb{O}} = a^2a^{\mathbb{O}} - aa^{\mathbb{O}}aaa^{\mathbb{O}} = 0$. Then

$$a_4 = (1 - aa^{\mathbb{O}})a(1 - aa^{\mathbb{O}}) = (1 - aa^{\mathbb{O}})a.$$

Hence $a_4^{m+1} = (1 - aa^{\bigcirc})a^{m+1} = 0$, and so $a_4 \in \mathcal{A}^{nil}$. Moreover,

$$b_4 = (1 - aa^{\bigcirc})b(1 - aa^{\bigcirc}) = (1 - aa^{\bigcirc})b.$$

Since $bp^{\pi} = p^{\pi}b, b^{*}p^{\pi} = (p^{\pi}b)^{*} = (bp^{\pi})^{*} = p^{\pi}b^{*}$. In light of Lemma 2.2, $b_{4} = p^{\pi}b \in \mathcal{A}^{\bigcirc}$ and $b_{4}^{\bigcirc} = p^{\pi}b^{\bigcirc}$.

$$a_4 + b_4 = (1 - aa^{\bigcirc})(a+b)$$
$$(a_4 + b_4)^{m-i} = (1 - aa^{\bigcirc})(a+b)^{m-i}.$$

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ We have

$$(a+b)^{\mathfrak{D}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \gamma \end{array}\right)_{p}.$$

Then $[p(a+b)p]^{\mathbb{O}} = \alpha$. That is, $(a+b)aa^{\mathbb{O}} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{O}}$.

We observe that

$$1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b = [1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}}] + [aa^{\textcircled{D}} + a^{\textcircled{D}}b]
= [1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}}] + [aa^{\textcircled{D}} + ba^{\textcircled{D}}]
= [1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}}] + [a + b]a^{\textcircled{D}}$$

We easily check that $[(a+b)aa^{\textcircled{\tiny 0}}]a^{\textcircled{\tiny 0}} = a^{\textcircled{\tiny 0}}[(a+b)aa^{\textcircled{\tiny 0}}]$. In view of Lemma 2.2, $(a+b)a^{\textcircled{\tiny 0}} = [(a+b)aa^{\textcircled{\tiny 0}}]a^{\textcircled{\tiny 0}} \in \mathcal{A}^D$. Then

$$[(a+b)aa^{\mathbb{O}}]^m = [(a+b)aa^{\mathbb{O}}]^m y [(a+b)aa^{\mathbb{O}}]^m,$$

$$([(a+b)aa^{\textcircled{D}}]^m y)^* = [(a+b)aa^{\textcircled{D}}]^m y.$$

By induction, we have

$$[(a+b)a^{\textcircled{D}}]^m[a^2a^{\textcircled{D}}]^m = [(a+b)aa^{\textcircled{D}}]^m.$$

We verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(a+b)a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^m[(a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})^my][(a+b)a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^m[a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^m \\ &= [(a+b)aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^my[(a+b)aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^m \\ &= [(a+b)aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^m \\ &= [(a+b)a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^m[a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^m. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $[a^2a^{\mathbb{O}}]^m(a^{\mathbb{O}})^m = aa^{\mathbb{O}}$. Then

$$[(a+b)a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^m[(a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})^my][(a+b)a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^m$$

$$= [(a+b)a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^m,$$

$$[(((a+b)a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})^m(a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})^my)]^*$$

$$= [((a+b)aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})^my]^*$$

$$= ((a+b)aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})^my$$

$$= [(a+b)a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^m(a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})^my.$$

Therefore $[(a+b)a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^m$ has (1,3)-inverse $(a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})^my$. By virtue of [6, Theorem 2.3], $(a+b)a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}} \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}$. Obviously, we have

$$[1 - aa^{\mathbb{O}}][a + b]a^{\mathbb{O}} = [1 - aa^{\mathbb{O}}]^*[a + b]a^{\mathbb{O}} = 0.$$

According to Lemma 2.3, $1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}$. Moreover, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} [a_1 + b_1]^{i-1} (a_1 + b_1)^{\pi} a_2 (a_4 + b_4)^{m-i} = 0$$

for some $m \ge i(a_1 + b_1)$. Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} [1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b]^{i-1}a^{i-1}[1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b]^{\pi}a[aa^{\textcircled{D}} - a^{\textcircled{D}}a](a+b)^{m-i} = 0$$

for some $m \ge i(1 + a^{\mathbb{O}}b)$.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let $x = (1 + a^{\mathbb{O}}b)^{\mathbb{O}}$. Since $(1 + a^{\mathbb{O}}b)aa^{\mathbb{O}} = aa^{\mathbb{O}}(1 + a^{\mathbb{O}}b)$ and $(aa^{\mathbb{O}})^* = aa^{\mathbb{O}}$, we have

$$aa^{\mathbb{O}}(1+a^{\mathbb{O}}b)^* = (1+a^{\mathbb{O}}b)^*aa^{\mathbb{O}}.$$

In light of Lemma 2.1, we get $aa^{\mathbb{O}}x = xaa^{\mathbb{O}}$.

Step 1. It is easy to verify that

$$(a^{2}a^{\mathbb{O}})a^{\mathbb{O}} = aa^{\mathbb{O}} = a^{\mathbb{O}}(a^{2}a^{\mathbb{O}}),$$

$$a^{\mathbb{O}}(a^{2}a^{\mathbb{O}})a^{\mathbb{O}} = a^{\mathbb{O}}(aa^{\mathbb{O}}) = a^{\mathbb{O}},$$

$$(a^{2}a^{\mathbb{O}})a^{\mathbb{O}}(a^{2}a^{\mathbb{O}}) = (aa^{\mathbb{O}})(a^{2}a^{\mathbb{O}}) = a^{2}a^{\mathbb{O}}$$

Thus $a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}} \in \mathcal{A}^D$. In view of Theorem 1.1, $1 + a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}b \in \mathcal{A}^D$. Since $(1 + a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}b)a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}} = (a+b)aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}} = aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}(a+b) = a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}(1+a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}b)$, it follows by [16, Lemma 2] that $(1+a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}b)a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}} \in \mathcal{A}^D$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & & [(a+b)aa^{\textcircled{\tiny \mathbb{O}}}]^{\pi} \\ & = & [(1+a^{\textcircled{\tiny \mathbb{O}}}b)a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny \mathbb{O}}}]^{\pi} \\ & = & 1-(1+a^{\textcircled{\tiny \mathbb{O}}}b)a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny \mathbb{O}}}(1+a^{\textcircled{\tiny \mathbb{O}}}b)^Da^{\textcircled{\tiny \mathbb{O}}} \\ & = & 1-(1+a^{\textcircled{\tiny \mathbb{O}}}b)(1+a^{\textcircled{\tiny \mathbb{O}}}b)^Daa^{\textcircled{\tiny \mathbb{O}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. We check that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(1+a^{\textcircled{0}}b)a^{2}a^{\textcircled{0}}]^{k}[(a^{\textcircled{0}})^{k}x] \\ &= & [(1+a^{\textcircled{0}}b)]^{k}[a^{2}a^{\textcircled{0}}]^{k}[(a^{\textcircled{0}})^{k}x] \\ &= & [(1+a^{\textcircled{0}}b)]^{k}x][aa^{\textcircled{0}}] \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \left([(1+a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}b)a^{2}a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^{k}[(a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})^{k}x]\right)^{*} \\ = & \left[(1+a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}b)]^{k}x\right]^{*}[aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^{*} \\ = & \left[(1+a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}b)]^{k}x\right][aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}] \\ = & \left[(1+a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}b)a^{2}a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}]^{k}[(a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})^{k}x]. \end{array}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{split} & [(1+a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}b)a^2a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}]^k[(a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}})^kx][(1+a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}b)a^2a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}]^k\\ =& [(1+a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}b)]^kx][aa^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}][(1+a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}b)a^2a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}]^k\\ =& [(1+a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}b)]^kx[(1+a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}b]^k[aa^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}][a^2a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}]^k\\ =& [(1+a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}b)]^k[a^2a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}]^k\\ =& [(1+a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}b)a^2a^{\textcircled{\scriptsize 0}}]^k. \end{split}$$

Accordingly, $(a+b)aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}} = (1+a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}b)a^2a^{\textcircled{\tiny D}} \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}$. Step 3. Case 1. $b_4 \in \mathcal{A}^{nil}$. It is easy to verify that $a_4b_4 = (1-aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})ab = (1-aa^{\textcircled{\tiny D}})ba = b_4a_4$. Therefore $a_4+b_4 \in \mathcal{A}^{nil} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{\tiny D}}$. Case 2. $b_4 \notin \mathcal{A}^{nil}$. Let $q = b_4 b_4^{\textcircled{1}}$. Then $p^{\pi}bp = (1 - aa^{\textcircled{1}})baa^{\textcircled{1}} = (1 - aa^{\textcircled{1}})aba^{\textcircled{1}} = 0$. Similarly, $pbp^{\pi} = 0$. Moreover,

$$p^{\pi}ap = (1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}})aaa^{\textcircled{D}}$$

= $(1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}})a(aa^{\textcircled{D}})^{m}$
= $(1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}})a^{m+1}a^{\textcircled{D}}$
= $0.$

So we get

$$a_4 = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_4 \end{pmatrix}_q, b_4 = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ 0 & d_4 \end{pmatrix}_q.$$

Hence $a_4 + b_4 = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 + d_1 & d_2 \\ 0 & c_4 + d_4 \end{pmatrix}_q$. Here $a_1 + b_1 = (a+b)aa^{\textcircled{\tiny 0}}, a_4 + b_4 = p^{\pi}(a+b)p^{\pi} = p^{\pi}(a+b)$.

Step 1. $c_1 \in \mathcal{A}^{nil}, b_1 \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{O}}$. By the preceding discussion, we have $c_1 + d_1 \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{O}}$.

Step 2. Clearly, $(1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}})a(1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}}) = (1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}})a$. Hence, $a_4 \in \mathcal{A}^{nil}$. As $(1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}})b(1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}}) = (1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}})b$, we see that $b_4 \in \mathcal{A}^{nil}$. Moreover, $a_4b_4 = (1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}})ab = (1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}})ba = b_4a_4$. Therefore $a_4 + b_4 \in \mathcal{A}^{nil}$. Step 3.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} (c_1 + d_1)^{i-1} (c_1 + d_1)^{\pi} d_2 (c_4 + d_4)^{m-i}$$

$$= (1 - bb^{\textcircled{D}}) (1 - aa^{\textcircled{D}}) \sum_{i=1}^{m} [1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b]^{i-1} a^{i-1} [1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b]^{\pi}$$

$$a[aa^{\textcircled{D}} - a^{\textcircled{D}}a] (a + b)^{m-i}$$

$$= 0$$

Therefore $a_4 + b_4 \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{O}}$. Moreover, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_1 + b_1)^{i-1} (a_1 + b_1)^{\pi} a_2 (a_4 + b_4)^{m-i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} [(1 + a^{\textcircled{0}}b)^{i-1}a^ia^{\textcircled{0}}][1 - (1 + a^{\textcircled{0}}b)(1 + a^{\textcircled{0}}b)^{\#}aa^{\textcircled{0}}]aa^{\textcircled{0}}a$$

$$(1 - aa^{\textcircled{0}})(a + b)^{m-i}$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{m} [1 + a^{\textcircled{0}}b]^{i-1}a^{i-1}[1 + a^{\textcircled{0}}b]^{\pi}a[aa^{\textcircled{0}} - a^{\textcircled{0}}a](a + b)^{m-i}$$

$$= 0.$$

Therefore $a+b \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}$. Moreover, we have $p^{\pi}(a+b)^{\textcircled{D}}p=0$. In view of Lemma 2.4, $a^{\pi}(a+b)^{\textcircled{D}}aa^{\textcircled{D}}=0$. This completes the proof.

Recall that $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be *-DMP, if there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that a^n has More-Penrose and group inverses and $(a^n)^{\dagger} = (a^n)^{\#}$ (see [3]). We now derive

Corollary 3.2. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be *-DMP, $b \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{D}}$. If ab = ba and $a^*b = ba^*$, then the following are equivalent:

$$(1) a + b \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{D}}.$$

$$(2) 1 + a^{\mathbb{D}}b \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Proof. Since $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is *-DMP, it follows by [8, Theorem 2.10] that $aa^{\bigcirc} = a^{\bigcirc}a$. The result follows by Theorem 3.1.

The preceding conditions ab = ba and $a^*b = ba^*$ are necessary as the following shows.

Example 3.3. Let $A = \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ be the Banach *-algebra of 2×2 complex matrices, with conjugate transpose as the involution. Choose

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then a is *-DMP, $a^{\textcircled{D}} = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $b^{\textcircled{D}} = 0$ and $1 + a^{\textcircled{D}}b = 1 \in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}$. Then $a + b = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \not\in \mathcal{A}^{\textcircled{D}}$. In this case, $ab \neq ba$.

4. Applications

Lex X and Y be Banach spaces. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ the set of all bounded linear operators from X to Y. Let $\mathcal{B}(X)$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators from X to itself. The aim of this section is to explore the the p-core invertibility of a block-operator matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, where $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)^{\textcircled{\mathbb{D}}}, B \in \mathcal{B}(X,Y), C \in \mathcal{B}(Y,X), D \in \mathcal{B}(Y)^{\textcircled{\mathbb{D}}}$ and $BC \in \mathcal{B}(X)^{\textcircled{\mathbb{D}}}, CB \in \mathcal{B}(Y)^{\textcircled{\mathbb{D}}}$. Here, M is a bounded linear operator on $X \oplus Y$. For the detailed formula of $M^{\textcircled{\mathbb{D}}}$, we leave to the readers as they can be derived by the straightforward computation according to our proof.

Theorem 4.1. If $AB = BD, DC = CA, A^*B = BD^*, D^*C = CA^*$ and $A^{\textcircled{O}}BD^{\textcircled{O}}C$ is nilpotent, then M has p-core inverse.

Proof. Write M = P + Q, where

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{array}\right), Q = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

Since A and D have p-core inverses, so has P, and that

$$P^{\mathbb{O}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A^{\mathbb{O}} & 0 \\ 0 & D^{\mathbb{O}} \end{array} \right).$$

By hypothesis, $Q^2 = \begin{pmatrix} BC & 0 \\ 0 & CB \end{pmatrix}$ has p-core inverse. In light of [6, Theorem 2.6], Q has p-core inverse. We easily check that

$$PQ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ DC & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ CA & 0 \end{pmatrix} = QP.$$

Likewise, we verify that $P^*Q = QP^*$. Moreover, we check that

$$I_{X \oplus Y} + P^{\textcircled{D}}Q = \begin{pmatrix} I_X & A^{\textcircled{D}}B \\ D^{\textcircled{D}}C & I_Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $A^{\textcircled{O}}BD^{\textcircled{O}}C$ is nilpotent, we prove that $I_{X \oplus Y} + P^{\textcircled{O}}Q$ is invertible, and so it has p-core inverse. Additionally, $[I_{X \oplus Y} + P^{\textcircled{O}}Q]^{\pi} = 0$. According to Theorem 3.1, M has p-core inverse, as asserted.

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X,Y)$. The conjugate operator of T is an operator $T^* \in \mathcal{B}(Y^*,X^*)$, where Z^* denotes the dual space of the Banach space Z. It is easy to see that if $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)^{\textcircled{D}}$, then $T^* \in \mathcal{B}(X^*)^{\textcircled{D}}$.

Corollary 4.2. If AB = BD, DC = CA, $D^*C = CA^*$, $A^*B = BD^*$ and $BD^{\textcircled{D}}CA^{\textcircled{D}}$ is nilpotent, then M has p-core inverse.

Proof. Obviously, $M^* = \begin{pmatrix} A^* & C^* \\ B^* & D^* \end{pmatrix}$. By hypothesis, we have $A^*C^* = C^*D^*, D^*B^* = B^*A^*, AC^* = C^*D, DB^* = B^*A$ and $(A^*)^{\textcircled{0}}C^*(D^*)^{\textcircled{0}}B^*$ is nilpotent. Applying Theorem 4.1 to the operator M^* , we prove that M^* has p-core inverse. Therefore M has p-core inverse, as desired.

We are now ready to prove:

Theorem 4.3. If AB = BD, DC = CA, $B^*A = DB^*$ and $B(CB)^{\textcircled{D}}DC(BC)^{\textcircled{D}}A$ is nilpotent, then M has p-core inverse.

Proof. Write M = P + Q, where

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{array}\right), Q = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

As is the proof of Theorem 4.1, P and Q have p-core inverses. Moreover, we check that

$$Q^*P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C^* \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C^*D \\ B^*A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & AC^* \\ DB^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C^* \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= PQ^*.$$

Similarly, QP = PQ. Since $(Q^2)^{\textcircled{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} (BC)^{\textcircled{0}} & 0 \\ 0 & (CB)^{\textcircled{0}} \end{pmatrix}$, it follows by [6, Theorem 2.6] that

$$Q^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}} = Q(Q^{2})^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (BC)^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}} & 0 \\ 0 & (CB)^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B(CB)^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}} \\ C(BC)^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further, we verify that

$$I_{X \oplus Y} + Q^{\textcircled{D}}P = I_{X \oplus Y} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B(CB)^{\textcircled{D}} \\ C(BC)^{\textcircled{D}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} I_X & B(CB)^{\textcircled{D}}D \\ C(BC)^{\textcircled{D}}A & I_Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $B(CB)^{\textcircled{O}}DC(BC)^{\textcircled{O}}A$ is nilpotent, we prove that $I_{X \oplus Y} + Q^{\textcircled{O}}P$ is invertible; hence, it has p-core inverse. Additionally, $[I_{X \oplus Y} + Q^{\textcircled{O}}P]^{\pi} = 0$. In light of Theorem 3.1, M has p-core inverse.

Corollary 4.4. If AB = BD, DC = CA, $C^*A = DC^*$ and $A(CB)^{\textcircled{D}}BD(BC)^{\textcircled{D}}C$ is nilpotent is nilpotent, then M has p-core inverse.

Proof. Similarly to Corollary 4.2, it is enough to apply Theorem 4.3 to the operator M^* .

Theorem 4.5. If
$$BC = 0$$
, $CB = 0$, $CA = DC$, $AC^* = C^*D$ and
$$\sum_{i=1}^{i(A)} A^{i-1}A^{\pi}BD^{m-i} = 0,$$

then M has p-core inverse.

Proof. Write M = P + Q, where

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{array}\right), Q = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{array}\right).$$

Clearly, P has p-core inverse. According to Lemma 2.5, Q has p-core inverse. We check that

$$PQ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ CA & CB \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} BC & 0 \\ DC & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= QP;$$

$$P^*Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C^*D \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & AC^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= QP^*.$$

Clearly $P^{\textcircled{0}} = 0$, and so $I_{X \oplus Y} + P^{\textcircled{0}}Q = I_{X \oplus Y}$ has p-core inverse. Therefore M has p-core inverse by Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 4.6. If BC = 0, CB = 0, AB = BD, $A^*B = BD^*$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i(A)} CA^{i-1}A^{\pi} = 0,$$

then M has p-core inverse.

Proof. As in the discussion in Corollary 4.2, we may apply Theorem 4.5 to the operator M^* .

References

- [1] O.M. Baksalary and G. Trenkler, Core inverse of matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **58**(2010), 681–697.
- [2] H. Chen and M. Sheibani, *Theory of Clean Rings and Matrices*, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1142/12959
- [3] H. Chen and H. Zou, On *-DMP inverses in a ring with involution, *Commun. Algebra*, 49(2021), 5006–5016.

- [4] J. Chen; H. Zhu; P. Patricio and Y. Zhang, Characterizations and representations of core and dual core inverses, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 2016, http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2016-045-7.
- [5] X. Chen; J. Chen and Y. Zhou, The pseudo core inverses of differences and products of projections in rings with involution, *Filomat*, **35**(2021), 181–189.
- [6] Y. Gao and J. Chen, Pseudo core inverses in rings with involution, *Comm. Algebra*, **46**(2018), 38–50.
- [7] Y. Gao and J. Chen, The pseudo core inverse of a lower triangular matrix, it Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis.Nat., Ser. A Mat., 113(2019), 423–434.
- [8] Y. Gao; J. Chen and Y. Ke, *-DMP elements in *-semigroups and *-rings, *Filomat*, **32**(2018), 3073-3085.
- [9] Y. Gao; J. Chen; P. Patricio and D. Wang, The pseudo core inverse of a companion matrix, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung., 55(2018), 407–420.
- [10] Y. Ke; L. Wang and J. Chen, The core inverse of a product and 2 × 2 matrices, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 42(2019), 51–66.
- [11] N. Mihajlovic, Group inverse and core inverse in Banach and C^* -algebras, Comm. Algebra, 48(2020), 1803-1818.
- [12] D.S Rakic; N.C. Dincic and D.S. Djordjevic, Group, Moore-Penrose, core and dual core inverse in rings with involution, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **463**(2014), 115–133.
- [13] S. Xu, Core invertibility of triangular matrices over a ring, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 50(2019), 837–47.
- [14] S. Xu; J. Chen and X. Zhang, New characterizations for core inverses in rings with involution, *Front. Math. China*, 2017, DOI: 10.1007/s11464-016-0591-2.
- [15] M. Zhou; J. Chen and D. Wang, The core inverses of linear combinations of two core invertible matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **69**(2021), 702–718.
- [16] G. Zhuang; J. Chen; D.S. Cvetkovic-Ilic and Y. Wei, Additive property of Drazin invertibility of elements in a ring, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **60**(2012), 903–910.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HANGZHOU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, CHINA *Email address*: <huanyinchenhz@163.com>

FARZANEGAN CAMPUS, SEMNAN UNIVERSITY, SEMNAN, IRAN *Email address*: <m.sheibani@semnan.ac.ir>