Sincov's and other functional equations and negative interest rates

Gergely Kiss* and Jens Schwaiger

Abstract

Investigating the future value F(K, s, t) of a capital K invested at date S at date t the "natural" condition $F(K, s, t) \ge K$ has lost its naturality because of the strange fact of negative interest rates. This leads to the task of describing the possible solutions of the multiplicative SINCOV equation f(s, u) = f(s, t)f(t, u) for $s \le t \le u$ where f(s, t) = 0 may happen. In this paper we solve this task and discuss connections to the theory of investments.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 39B52, 91B74.

Keywords. Sincov equation, business mathematics.

1 Introduction and motivation

A rather well known and elegant application of the theory of functional equation is given by the deduction of the formula of theoretical interest compounding. As a starting point some "reasonable" conditions for the *future value* function

$$F: [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$$

are given:

$$F(K + L, t) = F(K, t) + F(L, t), \quad K, L, t \ge 0$$
(1)

$$F(F(K,t),s) = F(K,t+s), \quad K,s,t \ge 0 \text{ and}$$
(2)

$$F(K,t) \ge K, \quad K,t \ge 0. \tag{3}$$

^{*}The author was supported by a Premium Postdoctoral Fellowship of the Hungarian Academy of Science, and by Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office grants K-124749 and K-K142993.

Theorem 1. Let $F:[0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be given. Then (1), (2) and (3) are satisfied iff there is some $q \ge 1$ such that

$$F(K,t) = Kq^t, \quad K,t \ge 0.$$
(4)

The proof can be found in [AJ1966], [EW1978] and in [SJ1988].

Note that (3) together with (1) implies that $F(K,t) = K \cdot f(t)$, since (1) says that $F(\cdot,t)$ is additive and (3) that this function is bounded from below on $[0,\infty)$ (see [AJ1966]). (2) implies f(t+s) = f(t)f(s) for all $s,t \ge 0$ and (3) that $f(t) \ge 1$ for all t. This means that $g := \ln \circ f$ is additive and ≥ 0 on $[0,\infty)$ and therefore there is some $r \ge 0$ such that $\ln(f(t)) = rt$ for all t. So $q := \exp(g(1)) = \exp(r) \ge 1$ and $f(t) = q^t$ for all t.

2 Theoretical rule of interest compounding with negative interest rates allowed

At least for the last decade it has became common in economics to admit interest rates being zero or even negative. This clearly contradicts (3). So one could ask for a substitute for Theorem 1 which allows for the new situation.

Note that

$$F(K,t) \ge cK, \quad K,t \ge 0 \text{ for some } c > 0$$
 (5)

instead of (3) does not help. Of course a result as in the theorem would result with some q > 0. But taking t large enough shows that (5) is only possible when $q \ge 1$. One possibility to characterize the theoretical rule of interest compounding if negative interest rates are admissible could be the following result.

Theorem 2. A function $F:[0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$F(K + L, t) = F(K, t) + F(L, t), \quad K, L, t \ge 0$$
(6)

$$F(F(K,t),s) = F(K,t+s), \quad K,s,t \ge 0$$
(7)

- $F(\cdot,t)$ is monotonic for all t and (8)
- $F(K,\cdot)$ is monotonic for all K. (9)

iff there is some $q \ge 0$ such that

$$F(K,t) = Kq^t, \quad K,t \ge 0, \tag{10}$$

where for q = 0 both the cases $q^0 = 1$ and $q^0 = 0$ are possible.

Proof. Obviously F with (10) satisfies all the conditions (6) - (9).

Let on the other hand F satisfy these conditions. Since $F(\cdot, t)$ is additive and monotonic it is bounded from one side on some interval which implies (see [AJ1966]) that $F(K,t) = K \cdot f(t)$ with f(t) = F(1,t). Condition (7) implies with K = 1 that

$$f(t)f(s) = f(s+t), \quad s,t \ge 0$$

To solve this we follow [AJ1966] and assume that $f(t_0) = 0$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Then $f(t) = f(t_0 + (t - t_0)) = f(t_0)f(t - t_0) = 0$ for all $t \ge t_0$. Since moreover $0 = f(t_0) = f\left(\frac{t_0}{n}\right)^n$, t_0 may be chosen arbitrarily close to 0. So f(t) = 0 for all t > 0. $f(0) = f(0 + 0) = f(0)^2$ implies $f(0) \in \{0, 1\}$ and therefore $f(t) = 0^t$ for all t with $0^0 \in \{0, 1\}$. In the remaining case f(t) must be different from 0 for all t > 0. By $f(t) = f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^2$ the value f(t) must even be > 0. Note also that f(0) = 1 since f(t) = f(t+0) = f(t)f(0). Moreover f is monotonic. So using the remarks following Theorem 1 there is some q > 0 such that $f(t) = q^t$ for all t.

3 Future value formulas depending on the interval of investment

In [SJ1988] a situation is discussed where for $\Delta := \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} := \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid s \leq t\}$ the value of the function $F: [0, \infty) \times \Delta \to [0, \infty)$ at (K, s, t) denotes the future value of the capital K at time t when invested at time s. Theorem 2 in [SJ1988] reads as follows.

Theorem 3. The function $F:[0,\infty) \times \Delta \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ satisfies the conditions

$$F(K + L, s, t) = F(K, s, t) + F(L, s, t), \quad K, L \ge 0, (s, t) \in \Delta$$
(11)

$$F(F(K, s, t), t, u) = F(K, s, u), \quad K \ge 0, (s, t), (t, u) \in \Delta \text{ and}$$
(12)

$$F(K, s, t) \ge K, \quad K \ge 0, (s, t) \in \Delta, \tag{13}$$

iff there is some non decreasing function $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R} \to (0,\infty)$ such that

$$F(K,s,t) = K rac{arphi(t)}{arphi(s)}, \quad K \ge 0, (s,t) \in \Delta.$$
 (14)

Remark 1. (14) is the result of solving the multiplicative SINCOV equation f(s,t)f(t,u) = f(s,u). Moreover, choosing some fixed t_0 , the function φ is given by

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} f(t_0, t) &, \text{ if } t \ge t_0 \\ \frac{1}{f(t, t_0)} &, \text{ if } t < t_0. \end{cases}$$
(15)

Now we want to investigate the situation when (13) is weakened in order to take care of (generalized) negative interest rates also in the situation when intervals of investments themselves are considered rather than only the length of them.

Theorem 4. The function $F:[0,\infty) \times \Delta \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ satisfies the conditions (11) and (12) and

$$F(\cdot, s, t)$$
 is monotonic on some interval for all $(s, t) \in \Delta$, (16)

iff there is some solution $f: \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ of the Sincov equation

$$f(s,t)f(t,u) = f(s,u), \quad (s,t), (t,u) \in \Delta$$

$$(17)$$

such that

$$F(K, s, t) = Kf(s, t), \quad K \ge 0, (s, t) \in \Delta.$$
(18)

Proof. (11) and (16) implies that F has the form (18) with f(s,t) = F(1,s,t). Accordingly (12) results in (17).

On the other hand (17) and (18) imply (11), (12) and (16).

Remark 2. The rest of our considerations is devoted to the solution of (17) in the slightly generalized situation that the function

$$f: \Delta_J \to \mathbb{R} \tag{19}$$

is defined on $\Delta = \Delta_J := \{(s,t) \in J^2 \mid s \leq t\}$ for some non-trivial interval J, has \mathbb{R} as the codomain and solves (17). A special case has been considered in [BFM2019]. The problem in its general form was posed by DETLEF GRONAU as Problem 2.1 in [Grillhof2022].

From now on we assume that $f: \Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Sincov equation (17) and we use $\Delta^{\circ} := \{(x, y) \in \Delta \mid x < y\}$. And we proceed with some lemmata.

Lemma 1. Assume that $(x, y) \in \Delta^{\circ}$ and that $f(x, y) \neq 0$. Then

$$I_{(x,y)} := \bigcup_{\substack{x' \le x < y \le y', \\ (x',y') \in \Delta^{\circ}, f(x',y') \neq 0}} [x',y']$$
(20)

is an interval, and

 $f(u, v) \neq 0, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \Delta \text{ satisfying } u, v \in I_{(x,y)}.$

Moreover, f(u, v) = 1, if u = v.

Proof. Of course $I_{(x,y)}$ is an interval since it is the union of a set of intervals with non empty intersection. Note also that

$$0 \neq f(x',y') = f(x',u)f(u,v)f(v,y') \qquad (x' \le u \le v \le y')$$

implies $f(u, v) \neq 0$.

Now let $u, v \in I_{(x,y)}$, $u \leq v$ and $x_0 \leq u \leq y_0$, $x_1 \leq v \leq y_1$ where $(x_i, y_i) \in \Delta^\circ$, $x_i \leq x < y \leq y_i$ and $f(x_i, y_i) \neq 0$ for i = 0, 1. Put $x_2 := \min(x_0, x_1), y_2 := \max(y_0, y_1)$. Then $x_2 \leq u \leq v \leq y_2$. Thus it is enough to show $f(x_2, y_2) \neq 0$. Let, for example $x_0 \leq x_1$. Then $f(x_2, x) \neq 0$ since $0 \neq f(x_0, y_0) = f(x_0, x)f(x, y_0)$. Analogously we have $f(y, y_2) \neq 0$ and therefore $f(x_2, y_2) = f(x_2, x)f(x, y)f(y, y_2) \neq 0$.

f(u, u) = f(v, v) = 1, since $0 \neq f(u, v) = f(u, u)f(u, v) = f(u, v)f(v, v)$.

Lemma 2. Let x, y be as in the previous lemma. Then

$$I_{(x,y)} = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{J}} I, \text{ where}$$
(21)

$$\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J}_{(x,y)} := \{ I \subseteq J \mid I \text{ is an interval},$$

$$x, y \in I, f(u, v) \neq 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in I \text{ such that } u < v \}.$$

$$(22)$$

Proof. Note that by Lemma 1 $I_{(x,y)} \in \mathcal{J}$ implying $I_{(x,y)} \subseteq \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{J}} J$.

Let, on the other hand $I \in \mathcal{J}$. Then there are sequences $(a_n), (b_n)$ such that (a_n) is decreasing, (b_n) is increasing, $a_n \leq x < y \leq b_n$ and $I = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [a_n, b_n]$. Since $a_n, b_n \in I$ the value $f(a_n, b_n)$ has to be $\neq 0$. So $[a_n, b_n] \subseteq I_{(x,y)}$. Therefore $I \subseteq I_{(x,y)}$ for all $I \in \mathcal{J}$. \Box

Lemma 3. Let x, y be as above and assume that $u \in J \setminus I_{(x,y)}$. Then either

$$u < v \text{ for all } v \in I_{(x,y)} \text{ or}$$
 (23)

$$v < u \text{ for all } v \in I_{(x,y)}.$$
 (24)

Moreover in case (23) f(u, v) = 0 and in case (24) f(v, u) = 0.

Proof. Assume $v \le u \le w$ for some $v, w \in I_{(x,y)}$. The $u \in [v, w] \subseteq I_{(x,y)}$, a contradiction.

So, let u < v for all $v \in I_{(x,y)}$, and suppose that $f(u, v_0) \neq 0$ for some $v_0 \in I_{(x,y)}$. Then there are $x_0, y_0 \in I_{(x,y)}$ such that $x_0 \leq v_0 \leq y_0$, $x_0 \leq x < y \leq y_0$ and $f(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$. Then $f(u, y_0) = f(u, v_0)f(v_0, y_0) \neq 0$ since $f(u, v_0), f(v_0, y_0) \neq 0$. But then $[u, y_0] \subseteq I_{(x,y)}$ contradicting $u \notin I_{(x,y)}$.

The other case, v < u for all $v \in I_{(x,y)}$ may be treated similarly.

Lemma 4. Let $(x, y) \in \Delta^{\circ}$ be such that $f(x, y) \neq 0$. Then $I_{(u,v)} = I_{(x,y)}$ for all $u, v \in I_{(x,y)}$ satisfying u < v.

Proof. By Lemma 1 we have $f(u', v') \neq 0$ for all $u', v' \in I_{(x,y)}$ with u' < v'. Thus using Lemma 2 results in $I_{(x,y)} \subseteq I_{(u,v)}$. Therefore $x, y \in I_{(u,v)}$, which analogously implies $I_{(u,v)} \subseteq I_{(x,y)}$.

Lemma 5. Let I_1, I_2 be intervals such that $I_1 \cap I_2 = \{a\}$. Then either $a = \min(I_1) = \max(I_2)$ or $a = \min(I_2) = \max(I_1)$.

Proof. The (simple) considerations are left to the reader.

Lemma 6. Let $(x, y), (u, v) \in \Delta^{\circ}$ with $f(x, y), f(u, v) \neq 0$. Then either $I_{(x,y)} = I_{(u,v)}$ or $I_{(x,y)} \cap I_{(u,v)} = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $I_{(x,y)} \cap I_{(u,v)} \neq \emptyset$. If this intersection contains two different points a, b with, say, a < b, then $I_{(x,y)} = I_{(a,b)} = I_{(u,v)}$ by Lemma 4. Otherwise $I_{(x,y)} \cap I_{(u,v)} = \{a\}$. Using Lemma 5 we may assume, without loss of generality that $a = \max I_{(x,y)} = \min I_{(u,v)}$. Therefore $x < y \le a \le u < v$ and $f(x, a), f(a, v) \ne 0$. Thus also $f(x, v) = f(x, a)f(a, v) \ne 0$ which implies by Lemma 2 that $[x, v] \subseteq I_{(x,y)} \cap I_{(u,v)}$. So $I_{(x,y)} \cap I_{(u,v)} = \{a\}$ is not possible. Accordingly $I_{(x,y)} \cap I_{(u,v)} \ne \emptyset$ implies $I_{(x,y)} = I_{(u,v)}$.

Now we are able to formulate necessary conditions for the solutions f of the Sincov equation on $\Delta = \Delta_J$.

Theorem 5. Let $f: \Delta = \Delta_J \to \mathbb{R}$ be a solution of (17). Then there is a countable (possibly empty) system S of pairwise disjoint non-trivial intervals $I \subseteq J$ and there is a function $d: \bigcup_{I \in S} I \to \mathbb{R}^{\times} := \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, such that

$$f(x,y) = \frac{d(y)}{d(x)}, \quad x, y \in I \in \mathcal{S}, x \leq y.$$
(25)

Moreover for any $x \in I \in S$

$$f(x, y) = f(z, x) = 0, \quad I \neq z < x < y \notin I.$$
(26)

and

$$f(x,x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \bigcup_{I \in S} I, \\ 0 \text{ or } 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(27)$$

Proof. Let $S := \{I_{(x,y)} \mid (x,y) \in \Delta^{\circ}, f(x,y) \neq 0\}$. Then the intervals in S are pairwise disjoint by Lemma 6. Moreover S is countable since every $I_{(x,y)}$ equals $I_{(r,s)}$ with $x \leq r < s < y$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ by Lemma 4.

Let $I \in \mathcal{S}$ and $x_0 \in I$. Then $d: I \to \mathbb{R}^{\times}$,

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} f(x_0, x) & \text{, if } x \ge x_0 \\ \frac{1}{f(x, x_0)} & \text{, if } x < x_0, \end{cases}$$
(28)

is well defined and satisfies $f(x,y) = \frac{d(y)}{d(x)}$ for all $x, y \in I, x \leq y$. This can be easily seen by distinguishing the cases $x \geq x_0$, $y < x_0$ and $x < x_0 \leq y$.

(26) follows from Lemma 3.

Since $f(x,x)f(x,y) = f(x,y) \neq 0$ for $x, y \in I \in S$, hence f(x,x) = 1 which is the first case of (26). The second case of (26) follows from f(x,x) = f(x,x)f(x,x) and from Lemma 3. (Note also that f(x,y) = 0 for all $x, y \notin \bigcup_{I \in S} I$ with x < y.)

Finally we prove that all Sincov functions on $\Delta = \Delta_J$ may be obtained by using the just derived necessary conditions.

Theorem 6. Let J be a non-trivial interval and S at most countable (maybe empty) set of disjoint subintervals of J. Let furthermore $d: \bigcup_{I \in S} I \to \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ be an arbitrary function. Then $f: \Delta = \Delta_J \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x,y) \coloneqq \begin{cases} \frac{d(y)}{d(x)}, & \text{if } x, y \in I \in S, \\ 0, & \text{if } x, y \text{ not in the same } I \in S, \\ 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ arbitrarily}, & \text{if } x = y \notin \bigcup_{I \in S} I \end{cases}$$

$$(29)$$

satisfies (17).

Proof. Let $x \leq y \leq z$. If $x, z \in I(\in S)$ then also $y \in I$. Moreover

$$f(x,y)f(y,z)=rac{d(y)}{d(x)}rac{d(z)}{d(y)}=rac{d(z)}{d(x)}=f(x,z).$$

If $x \in I$ and $z \notin I$ we have f(x, z) = 0. Assuming $y \in I$ implies f(y, z) = 0 and therefore 0 = f(x, z) = f(x, y)f(y, z). This also holds true when also $y \notin I$.

If $x \notin \bigcup_{I \in S} I$ we have f(x, z) = 0 for x < z and therefore $0 = f(x, z) = 0 \cdot f(y, z) = f(x, y)f(y, z)$ if additionally x < y. In case y = x we have f(y, z) = 0 implying (17). If finally x = y = z we again have f(x, z) = f(x, y)f(y, z).

Remark 3. Gronau in [Grillhof2022] gave two types of solutions. The first one with $f(x, y) = \delta_x(y)$ for all $x \leq y$ is the special case $S = \emptyset, g = 1$ of Theorem 6. The second one may be described by $S = \{[x_0, y_0]\}, \sigma = d_{[x_0, y_0]}$ and g(x) = 0 for all $x \notin [x_0, y_0]$.

Remark 4 (Generalization). The codomain of the function f may be chosen much more general without altering the results.

Let (G, \cdot) be an arbitrary non necessarily abelian group with neutral element 1 and add an *absorbing* element 0, such that $0 \notin G$ and in $G' := G \cup \{0\}$ we have $x \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot x = 0$. Then the only elements in G' with $x^2 = x$ are 0 and 1. This, more or less, implies that Theorems 5, 6 also hold in the new situation with the modification that the functions d are defined as

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} f(x_0, x) &, \text{ if } x \ge x_0 \\ f(x, x_0)^{-1} &, \text{ if } x < x_0, \end{cases}$$
(30)

because then $f(x, y) = d(x)^{-1}d(y)$ in Theorem 5 and

$$f(x,y)f(y,z) = d(x)^{-1}d(y)d(y)^{-1}d(z) = d(x)^{-1}d(z) = f(x,z)$$

in the proof of Theorem 6.

Examples for groups with added absorbing elements are $G \cup \{0\}$ where G is subgroup of K^{\times} for division algebras K, in particular \mathbb{R} and $[0, \infty)$ and also, for any n and any field K, the union $G \cup \{0\}$ where G is a subgroup $Gl_n(K)$ and 0 the null matrix. The last example itself is a special case of $G \cup \{0\}$ where G is a subgroup of the group of units in a unitary ring R and 0 the zero element in R.

Remark 5. In [BFM2019] the Sincov equation is considered in the form

$$g(x,z) = g(x,y)g(y,z), \quad x > y > z, x, y, z \in J,$$

$$(31)$$

with J = (0, 1). The general solution of (31) is easily derived from Theorems 5 and 6 by

- i) considering f defined by f(y, x) = g(x, y) when x > y and
- ii) by observing that we may extend f to the pairs (x, x) by choosing $f(x, x) \in \{0, 1\}$ as in Theorems 5 and 6.

As a result of Theorems 6 and 5 we obtain the following characterization of F(K, s, t) satisfying (11), (12) and (16).

Corollary 1. The function $F:[0,\infty) \times \Delta_J \to [0,\infty)$ satisfies the conditions (11), (12) and (16) iff there is a countable (possibly empty) system S of pairwise disjoint non-trivial intervals $I \subseteq J$ and there is a function $d: \bigcup_{I \in S} I \to \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, such that

$$F(K, s, t) = K \frac{d(s)}{d(t)}, \quad s, t \in I \in \mathcal{S}, s \le t.$$
(32)

Moreover for any $s \in I \in S$

$$F(K, s, t) = F(K, u, s) = 0, \quad I \neq u < s < t \notin I.$$

$$(33)$$

and

$$F(K, s, s) = \begin{cases} K & \text{if } s \in \bigcup_{I \in S} I, \\ 0 & \text{or } K & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(34)

Remark 6. This corollary also implies Theorem 3 by observing that (13) implies $S = \{\mathbb{R}\}$ and also that d is monotonically increasing.

Acknowledgement

We would like to express our gratitude to the anonymous referee for the valuable comments, which help to clarify certain points and increase the quality of the paper.

References

- J. Aczél, Lectures on functional equations and their applications, New York and London: Academic Press. XIX, 510 p., 1966.
- [2] M. Baczyński, W. Fechner, S. Massanet, A Functional Equation Stemming from a Characterization of Power-based Implications, 2019 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE), 1-6, 2019
- [3] E. Wolfgang, Functional equations in economics. With contributions by Frank Stehling and Fritz Pokropp. English. Reading, Mass. : Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., 1978.
- [4] R. Grünwald, G. Molnár, ISFE report: The 58th International Symposium on Functional Equations Bildungshaus Grillhof, Innsbruck (Austria), June 19–26, 2022 Dedicated to the memory of János Aczél, the founder of Symposia, to appear at Aequationes Math., 2022.
- [5] J. Schwaiger, Theoretical arguments concerning the practical rule of interest compounding, Selected Topics in Functional Equations. Ber. Math.-Stat. Sekt. Forschungsges. Joanneum-Graz 285-296, 296/1-296/13, 1988.

Gergely Kiss,	Jens Schwaiger
Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics	Institut für Mathematik
	Karl-Franzens Universität Graz
Reáltanoda utca 13-15	Heinrichstraße 36
H-1053 Budapest	A-8010 Graz
Hungary	Austria
kigergo57@gmail.com	jens.schwaiger@uni-graz.at