# NON-ADMISSIBLE IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF $p$-ADIC GL$_n$ IN CHARACTERISTIC $p$

EKNATH GHATE, DANIEL LE, MIHIR SHETH

ABSTRACT. Let $p > 3$ and $F$ be a non-archimedean local field with residue field a proper finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$. We construct smooth absolutely irreducible non-admissible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ defined over the residue field of $F$ extending the earlier results of the authors for $F$ unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. This construction uses the theory of diagrams of Breuil and Paškūnas. By parabolic induction, we obtain smooth absolutely irreducible non-admissible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ for $n > 2$.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $p$ be a prime number. This note concerns the smooth representation theory of (connected) $p$-adic reductive groups over coefficient fields of characteristic $p$ initiated in [BL94]. This theory has its origins in the study of congruences between automorphic forms and plays an important role in the mod $p$ Langlands program proposed by Breuil [Bre03]. In our context, smooth means that the stabilizers of vectors are open subgroups. Spaces of automorphic forms provide natural sources of smooth representations which are also *admissible*, i.e., the space of vectors invariant under any compact open subgroup is finite-dimensional. Over characteristic 0 fields, building upon Harish-Chandra's work [HC70], Jacquet [Jac75] and Bernstein [Ber74] showed that any irreducible (or finite length) smooth representation of a $p$-adic reductive group is automatically admissible by reducing to the supercuspidal case. Vignéras extended this result to base fields of positive characteristic different from $p$ [Vig96]. The proofs use Haar measures which do not exist in characteristic $p$. Nevertheless, [AHHV17, Question 1] asked whether a similar result holds in characteristic $p$. It is not hard to see that smooth irreducible representations of $p$-adic reductive groups which are anisotropic modulo center are finite-dimensional. Berger showed that any irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p$ admits a central character [Ber12]. Barthel-Livné and Breuil classified the irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p$ with central character [BL94, Bre03] and a direct computation shows that each such representation is admissible. Together these results imply that any absolutely irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over a field of characteristic $p$ is admissible. Recently, the authors [Le19, GS20] used the theory of diagrams developed by Breuil and Paškūnas [Pas04, BP12] to construct absolutely irreducible smooth representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ in characteristic $p$ which are *not* admissible

when $F$ is a proper finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $p > 2$ (see also [GS22]). This naturally leads one to ask which $p$-adic reductive groups admit irreducible non-admissible representations. Here, we focus on the case of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $p > 3$ and $n \geq 2$. Let $F$ be a non-archimedean local field with residue field a proper finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then there is an absolutely irreducible non-admissible smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ defined over the residue field of $F$.*

The hypothesis in Theorem 1.1 that the residue field of $F$ is not $\mathbb{F}_p$ cannot be entirely removed given the results of Berger, Barthel-Livné, and Breuil above (see also Remark 3.5). Following the methods of [Le19], we also have a counterexample to a Schur-type lemma for irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let $p > 3$ and $F$ be a non-archimedean local field with residue field a proper finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then there is an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ over the residue field of $F$ whose endomorphism algebra contains an algebraically closed field.*

We prove Theorem 1.1 by first constructing smooth absolutely irreducible non-admissible representations for $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. The construction is uniform and provides a new construction in the cases when $F$ is an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. By parabolically inducing non-admissible irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, we obtain such representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ for $n > 2$. The proof of the irreducibility of induced representations uses Herzig's comparison isomorphism between compact and parabolic inductions. We remark that the non-admissible irreducible representations constructed here have a central character. The ones for $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ are necessarily supersingular by the classification of Barthel-Livné. The ones for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ with $n > 2$ are, by contrast, not supersingular.

The reason for restricting to unramified extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ in our earlier works is that we used some of the results of [BP12] relying on delicate Witt vector computations to prove the irreducibility. Recently, one of us [She22] introduced cyclic modules to circumvent the irreducibility arguments of [BP12] and construct infinitely many supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ with fixed central character under the assumptions in Theorem 1.1. Our construction of an irreducible non-admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ involves splicing two cyclic modules together. The resulting diagram is quite different from the diagrams appearing in [BP12, Le19, GS20], namely the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$-subrepresentation generated by a pro-$p$ Iwahori fixed eigenvector can have reducible socle. This construction was inspired by similar features of the mod $p$ cohomology of $U(3)$ arithmetic manifolds (see [LLHLM20]). Finally, one of the motivations for our construction is a recent conjecture of Emerton, Gee, Hellmann, and Zhu [EGH, Conjecture 2.4.3] stating that there should exist a fully faithful functor from the category of smooth representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ to the category of quasicoherent sheaves on an appropriate moduli stack of Langlands parameters. The existence of irreducible non-admissible smooth $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$-representations should have an interpretation in terms of the geometry of this moduli stack. We hope to return to this in future work.

**Notation and convention.** Let $p > 3$ be a prime number. Let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be the algebraic closure of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ of size $p^f$. Fix an embedding $\mathbb{F}_{p^f} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Let $F$ be a non-archimedean local field of residual characteristic $p$ and residue degree $f > 1$. Let $\mathcal{O}_F \subseteq F$ be the valuation ring with a uniformizer $\varpi$. Throughout the note, except for the last part, we work with the group $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, $K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$, $\Gamma = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})$, and $Z$ be the center of $G$. Let $B$ and $U$ be the subgroups of $\Gamma$ consisting of the upper triangular matrices and the upper triangular unipotent matrices respectively. Let $I$ and $I(1)$ be the preimages of $B$ and $U$ respectively under the reduction modulo $\varpi$ map $K \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$. The subgroups $I$ and $I(1)$ of $K$ are the Iwahori and the pro-$p$ Iwahori subgroup of $K$ respectively. The normalizer $N$ of $I$ in $G$ is a subgroup generated by $I$ and $\Pi = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Note that $N$ is also the normalizer of $I(1)$ in $G$. Let $K(1)$ denote the kernel of the map $K \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$, i.e., the first principal congruence subgroup of $K$. Unless stated otherwise, all representations considered in this note are on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-vector spaces.

A *weight* is an irreducible representation of $\Gamma$. Any weight is of the form of

$$\left( \bigotimes_{j=0}^{f-1} \mathrm{Sym}^{r_j} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^2 \circ \Phi^j \right) \otimes \det{}^m$$

for some integers $0 \le r_0, \ldots, r_{f-1} \le p - 1$ and $0 \le m \le p^f - 2$, where $\Phi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is the automorphism induced by the Frobenius map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^p$ on $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ and $\det : \Gamma \to \mathbb{F}_{p^f}^\times$ is the determinant character. We denote such a weight by $\boldsymbol{r} \otimes \det^m$ where $\boldsymbol{r}$ is the $f$-tuple $(r_0, \ldots, r_{f-1})$ of integers. Let $\sigma = \boldsymbol{r} \otimes \det^m$ be a weight; its subspace $\sigma^U$ of $U$-fixed vectors is 1-dimensional and stable under the action of $B$ because $B$ normalizes $U$. The resulting $B$-character, denoted by $\chi(\sigma)$, sends $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in B$ to $a^r(ad)^m$ where $r = \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} r_j p^j$. Any $B$-character valued in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ factors through the quotient $B/U$ which is identified with the subgroup of diagonal matrices in $B$ by the section $B/U \to B$, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) U \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. For a $B$-character $\chi$, let $\chi^s$ be the inflation to $B$ of the conjugation-by-$s$ character $t \mapsto \chi(sts^{-1})$ on $B/U$ where $s = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. We say that a weight is *generic* if it is not equal to $(0, 0, \ldots, 0) \otimes \det^m$ or $(p-1, p-1, \ldots, p-1) \otimes \det^m$ for any $m$. The map $\sigma \mapsto \chi(\sigma)$ gives a bijection from the set of generic weights to the set of $B$-characters $\chi$ such that $\chi \ne \chi^s$. If $\sigma$ is a generic weight, let us denote by $\sigma^{[s]}$ the generic weight corresponding to the character $\chi(\sigma)^s$. For $\sigma = \boldsymbol{r} \otimes \det^m$, we have $\sigma^{[s]} = (p-1-r_0, \ldots, p-1-r_{f-1}) \otimes \det^{m+r}$. For a $B$-representation $V$ and a character $\chi$, we denote by $V^\chi$ the $\chi$-isotypic component of $V$. We refer the reader to [BL94, §1] for all non-trivial assertions in this paragraph.

Given two weights $\sigma$ and $\tau$, let $E(\sigma, \tau)$ be the unique non-split $\Gamma$-extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \sigma \longrightarrow E(\sigma, \tau) \longrightarrow \tau \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\tau$ by $\sigma$ whenever it exists [BP12, Corollary 5.6]. A finite-dimensional representation of $\Gamma$ is said to be *multiplicity-free* if the multiset of its Jordan-Hölder factors is multiplicity-free. For any group $H$, the socle and the cosocle of an $H$-representation $\pi$ are denoted by $\mathrm{soc}_H \pi$ and $\mathrm{cosoc}_H \pi$ respectively.

Note that a weight is a smooth irreducible representation of $K$ (resp. of $KZ$) and a $B$-character is a smooth $I$-character (resp. $IZ$-character) via the map $K \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$ (resp. $KZ \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$). In fact, the weights exhaust all smooth irreducible representations of $K$ (resp. of $KZ$ such that $\varpi$ acts trivially). In the last section, we also talk of $\mathrm{M}(\mathcal{O}_F)$-weights for a Levi subgroup $\mathrm{M} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n$ which mean smooth irreducible representations of $\mathrm{M}(\mathcal{O}_F)$.

## 2. The spliced module

We recall some notation from [She22, §1] that is used in this section. Let $(\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^f$ be the set of $f$-tuples of linear polynomials in $x$ having integral coefficients with leading coefficient $\pm 1$. For $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_0(x), \ldots, \lambda_{f-1}(x))$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}' = (\lambda_0'(x), \ldots, \lambda_{f-1}'(x)) \in (\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^f$, let

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} \circ \boldsymbol{\lambda}' := (\lambda_0(\lambda_0'(x)), \ldots, \lambda_{f-1}(\lambda_{f-1}'(x))) \in (\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^f.$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in (\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^f$ be the $f$-tuple of polynomials defined by

$$\mu_0(x) := x - 1,$$
$$\mu_1(x) := p - 2 - x,$$
$$\mu_j(x) := p - 1 - x \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq f - 1.$$

When $f = 2$, the condition $2 \leq j \leq f-1$ is empty and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_0(x), \mu_1(x)) = (x-1, p-2-x)$. Let $g \in S_f$ denote the cyclic permutation $(123 \ldots f)$, and let

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)} := g^{k-1}\boldsymbol{\mu} \circ g^{k-2}\boldsymbol{\mu} \circ \ldots \circ g\boldsymbol{\mu} \circ \boldsymbol{\mu} \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq l,$$

where $l$ is equal to $f$ (resp. $2f$) if $f$ is odd (resp. even). We let $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(0)} = (x, x, \ldots, x)$. It follows from the definition of $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)}$ that, for $1 \leq k \leq l$,

$$(2.1) \qquad \mu_j^{(k)}(x) = \begin{cases} \mu_j^{(k-1)}(x) - 1 & \text{if } j \equiv 1 - k \mod f, \\ p - 2 - \mu_j^{(k-1)}(x) & \text{if } j \equiv 2 - k \mod f, \\ p - 1 - \mu_j^{(k-1)}(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall from [She22, Lemma 1.4 (1)] that $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(l)} = \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(0)} = (x, x, \ldots, x)$. We assign to $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)}$ an element $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)} \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^f$ according to the rule that its $j$-th entry $m_j^{(k)}$ is 0 if and only if the sign of $x$ in $\mu_j^{(k)}(x)$ is $+$.

**Lemma 2.2.** *(1) For all $1 \leq k \leq l$, $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)} = g^k \boldsymbol{m}^{(l-k)}$.*
*(2) For $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq l - 1$ and $k_1 \neq k_2$, $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_2)}$ are (cyclic) permutations of each other if and only if $k_2 = l - k_1$.*

(3) *For $1 \leq k \leq l-1$, $k \neq \frac{l}{2}$ if $f$ is even, $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)}$ is not equal to any of its non-trivial cyclic permutations.*

*Proof.* (1) By definition, $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} g^i \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)}$. Since $\boldsymbol{m}^{(l)} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} g^i \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} g^i \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)} + g^k \sum_{i=0}^{l-k-1} g^i \boldsymbol{m}^{(1)} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0), \ \text{ i.e., } \ \boldsymbol{m}^{(k)} + g^k \boldsymbol{m}^{(l-k)} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0).$$

Since an element of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^f$ is equal to its additive inverse, (1) follows.

(2) If $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_2)}$ are (cyclic) permutations of each other for $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq l-1$, then the tuples $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_2)}$ have the same number of 0's. When $f$ is odd (resp. even), the number of 0's in $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)}$ for odd $k$ equals $k$ (resp. $k$ if $k \leq \frac{l}{2}$ and $l-k$ if $k > \frac{l}{2}$), and the number of 0's in $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)}$ for even $k$ equals $l-k$ (resp. $\frac{l}{2} - k$ if $k \leq \frac{l}{2}$ and $k - \frac{l}{2}$ if $k > \frac{l}{2}$). Hence, it follows that if $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_2)}$ are (cyclic) permutations of each other, then either $k_1 = k_2$ or $k_2 = l - k_1$. This proves the forward implication. The converse statement follows from (1).

(3) By (1), it is enough to show (3) for $1 \leq k \leq f-1$. Now, (3) follows from the observation that for $1 \leq k \leq f-1$, the tuple $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)}$ is a cyclic permutation of a tuple of the form $k$ 0's followed by $(f-k)$ 1's (resp. $(f-k)$ 0's followed by $k$ 1's) for odd (resp. even) $k$. $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** $\{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(l-1)}, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(l)}, g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)}, g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)}, \ldots, g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(l-1)}\}$ *is a set of distinct $f$-tuples in $(\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^f$.*

*Proof.* By [She22, Lemma 1.4 (2)], it is enough to prove that $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k_1)} \neq g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k_2)}$ for $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq l-1$. If $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k_1)} = g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k_2)}$ for some $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq l-1$, then we have $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_1)} = g\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_2)}$ for the corresponding elements in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^f$. We now find all the pairs $(k_1, k_2)$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_1)} = g\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_2)}$. If $k_1 = k_2 = k$, then $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)} = g\boldsymbol{m}^{(k)}$. By Lemma 2.2 (3), it follows that $f$ is even and $k = f = \frac{l}{2}$. If $k_1 \neq k_2$, we use Lemma 2.2 (1) and (2) to find that $\boldsymbol{m}^{(l-k_1)} = g^{k_1-1}\boldsymbol{m}^{(l-k_1)}$. By Lemma 2.2 (3), $g^{k_1-1}$ must be the identity permutation. This gives $k_1 = 1$ (resp. $k_1 = 1$ or $\frac{l}{2} + 1$) for odd (resp. even) $f$. Therefore, the pairs $(k_1, k_2)$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_1)} = g\boldsymbol{m}^{(k_2)}$ are

(1) $(1, l-1)$ if $f$ is odd,
(2) $(1, l-1)$, $(\frac{l}{2} + 1, \frac{l}{2} - 1)$, $(\frac{l}{2}, \frac{l}{2})$ if $f$ is even.

In Case (1), one checks using (2.1) that $\mu_0^{(1)}(x) = x - 1 \neq x + 1 = \mu_1^{(l-1)}(x)$. Thus $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)} \neq g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(l-1)}$. In Case (2), one checks using (2.1) again that $\mu_0^{(1)}(x) = x - 1 \neq x + 1 = \mu_1^{(l-1)}(x)$ in the first subcase, $\mu_1^{(\frac{l}{2}+1)}(x) = x + 1 \neq x - 1 = \mu_2^{(\frac{l}{2}-1)}(x)$ in the second subcase, and $\mu_0^{(\frac{l}{2})}(x) = p - 1 - x \neq p - 3 - x = \mu_1^{(\frac{l}{2})}(x)$ in the third subcase. $\square$

For $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_0(x), \ldots, \lambda_{f-1}(x)) \in (\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^f$ and $\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^f$,

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{r}) := (\lambda_0(r_0), \lambda_1(r_1), \ldots, \lambda_{f-1}(r_{f-1})) \in \mathbb{Z}^f.$$

Recall the linear polynomial $e(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{f-1}]$ associated to $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in (\mathbb{Z} \pm x)^f$ in [BP12, §2]:

$$e(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(x_0, \ldots, x_{f-1}) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} p^j(x_j - \lambda_j(x_j))\right) & \text{if } \lambda_{f-1}(x_{f-1}) \in \{x_{f-1}, x_{f-1} - 1\}, \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(p^f - 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} p^j(x_j - \lambda_j(x_j))\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now let $\boldsymbol{r} = (r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_{f-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^f$ such that $1 \le r_j \le p - 3$ for all $j$, and consider the following generic weights of $\Gamma$

$$\sigma_k := \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{r}) \otimes \det^{e_k(\boldsymbol{r})} \text{ for all } 0 \le k \le l,$$

where

$$e_0(\boldsymbol{r}) := 0 \text{ and } e_k(\boldsymbol{r}) := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} e(g^j\boldsymbol{\mu})(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(j)}(\boldsymbol{r})) \text{ for all } 1 \le k \le l.$$

It is shown in [She22, Lemma 1.4 and Theorem 1.6] that $\sigma_l = \sigma_0 = \boldsymbol{r}$, $E(\sigma_k, \sigma_{k-1}^{[s]})$ exists for all $1 \le k \le l$, and $E(\sigma_k, \sigma_{k-1}^{[s]})^U = \chi(\sigma_k) \oplus \chi(\sigma_{k-1})^s$ for all $1 \le k \le l$. In other words, $C := \bigoplus_{k=1}^l E(\sigma_k, \sigma_{k-1}^{[s]})$ is a *cyclic module* of $\Gamma$ (see [She22, Definition 1.1]). Permuting the $f$-tuples of $\sigma_k$'s by the application of $g \in S_f$, we obtain another cyclic module of $\Gamma$. Indeed, let

$$\sigma'_k := (g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)})(\boldsymbol{r}) \otimes \det^{e'_k(\boldsymbol{r})} \text{ for all } 0 \le k \le l,$$

where

$$e'_0(\boldsymbol{r}) := 0 \text{ and } e'_k(\boldsymbol{r}) := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} e(g^{j+1}\boldsymbol{\mu})((g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(j)})(\boldsymbol{r})) \text{ for all } 1 \le k \le l.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *For all* $1 \le k \le l$, $E(\sigma'_k, \sigma'^{[s]}_{k-1})$ *exists, and* $C' := \bigoplus_{k=1}^l E(\sigma'_k, \sigma'^{[s]}_{k-1})$ *is a multiplicity-free cyclic module of* $\Gamma$.

*Proof.* The arguments similar to those in the proof of [She22, Lemma 1.4 (3)] show that the integer $e'_l(\boldsymbol{r})$ is independent of $\boldsymbol{r}$ and is 0 modulo $p^f - 1$. Thus $\sigma'_l = \sigma'_0 = \boldsymbol{r}$. Now the first graded piece $\mathrm{gr}^1_{\mathrm{cosoc}}(\mathrm{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi(\sigma'_{k-1})^s)$ of the cosocle filtration of $\mathrm{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi(\sigma'_{k-1})^s$ is

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{f-1} (g^i\boldsymbol{\mu})((g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k-1)})(\boldsymbol{r})) \otimes \det^{(g^i\boldsymbol{\mu})((g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k-1)})(\boldsymbol{r}))} \det^{e'_{k-1}(\boldsymbol{r})}.$$

So, $g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k)} = g^k\boldsymbol{\mu} \circ g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k-1)}$ implies that $\sigma'_k \subseteq \mathrm{gr}^1_{\mathrm{cosoc}}(\mathrm{Ind}_B^\Gamma \chi(\sigma'_{k-1})^s)$ for all $1 \le k \le l$. As a result, $E(\sigma'_k, \sigma'^{[s]}_{k-1})$ exists for all $k$, and $E(\sigma'_k, \sigma'^{[s]}_{k-1})^U = \chi(\sigma'_k) \oplus \chi(\sigma'_{k-1})^s$. As the $f$-tuples $\{g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)}, g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)}, \dots, g\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(l)}\}$ are all distinct, it follows that $C'$ is a cyclic module. The multiplicity-freeness of $C$ implies that $C'$ is also multiplicity-free. $\qquad\square$

Let $\sigma := \sigma_l = \sigma'_l$ and $\sigma^{[s]} := \sigma^{[s]}_l = \sigma'^{[s]}_l$. Note that $\sigma$ (resp. $\sigma^{[s]}$) occurs with multiplicity two in the socle (resp. cosocle) of $C \oplus C'$ while all the other socle (resp. cosocle) weights occur with multiplicity one by Lemma 2.3. We construct a certain subquotient of $C \oplus C'$ by splicing $C$ and $C'$ together along $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{[s]}$. The resulting spliced module will have multiplicity-free socle and cosocle.

Let $\iota_\sigma$ and $\iota_{\sigma^{[s]}}$ be the compositions

$$\sigma \overset{\Delta}{\hookrightarrow} \sigma \oplus \sigma \hookrightarrow \mathrm{soc}_\Gamma(C \oplus C') \quad\text{and}\quad \sigma^{[s]} \overset{\Delta}{\hookrightarrow} \sigma^{[s]} \oplus \sigma^{[s]} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{cosoc}_\Gamma(C \oplus C') \quad\text{respectively,}$$

where the first map $\Delta$ in both is the diagonal embedding and the second map in both is the natural inclusion. As the cyclic modules $C$ and $C'$ are individually multiplicity-free (Lemma 2.4), $\sigma \notin \mathrm{cosoc}_\Gamma(C \oplus C')$ and $\sigma^{[s]} \notin \mathrm{soc}_\Gamma(C \oplus C')$. Thus, one has the following short exact sequence of $\Gamma$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \sigma \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{l-1} \sigma_k \oplus \sigma'_k\right) \longrightarrow \frac{C \oplus C'}{\iota_\sigma(\sigma)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{cosoc}_\Gamma(C \oplus C') \longrightarrow 0.$$

Define the spliced module $D_0$ to be the submodule of $\frac{C \oplus C'}{\iota_\sigma(\sigma)}$ that sits in the following short exact sequence

$$(2.5) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow \sigma \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{l-1} \sigma_k \oplus \sigma'_k\right) \longrightarrow D_0 \longrightarrow \iota_{\sigma^{[s]}}(\sigma^{[s]}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{l-1} \sigma_k^{[s]} \oplus \sigma'^{[s]}_k\right) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The Hasse diagram of the cosocle filtration of $D_0$ looks as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\sigma_{l-2}^{[s]} & & & \sigma_1^{[s]} & \sigma_{l-1}^{[s]} & \sigma^{[s]} & \sigma'^{[s]}_{l-1} & \sigma'^{[s]}_1 & & & \sigma'^{[s]}_{l-2} \\
\Big| & \oplus & \cdots & \oplus \;\Big| & \oplus & \diagdown\diagup & \oplus & \Big|\; \oplus & \cdots & \oplus & \Big| \\
\sigma_{l-1} & & & \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 & \sigma & \sigma'_1 & \sigma'_2 & & & \sigma'_{l-1}
\end{array}
$$

Notice that $D_0$ is a direct sum of $2(l-2)$ non-split extensions and two indecomposable modules of length 3 shown in the middle of the above diagram. Of these two indecomposable modules, let us denote the one with socle $\sigma$ by $M(\sigma)$ and the other one with cosocle $\sigma^{[s]}$ by $M(\sigma^{[s]})$. The module $M(\sigma)$ is a quotient of $E(\sigma, \sigma^{[s]}_{l-1}) \oplus E(\sigma, \sigma'^{[s]}_{l-1})$ such that the natural surjection $E(\sigma, \sigma^{[s]}_{l-1}) \oplus E(\sigma, \sigma'^{[s]}_{l-1}) \twoheadrightarrow M(\sigma)$ restricted to individual extensions is an isomorphism. Similarly, the module $M(\sigma^{[s]})$ is a submodule of $E(\sigma_1, \sigma^{[s]}) \oplus E(\sigma'_1, \sigma^{[s]})$ such that the natural maps $\frac{M(\sigma^{[s]})}{M(\sigma^{[s]}) \cap E(\sigma_1, \sigma^{[s]})} \to E(\sigma'_1, \sigma^{[s]})$ and $\frac{M(\sigma^{[s]})}{M(\sigma^{[s]}) \cap E(\sigma'_1, \sigma^{[s]})} \to E(\sigma_1, \sigma^{[s]})$ are isomorphisms.

**Remark 2.6.** Though the socle and the cosocle of $D_0$ are multiplicity-free by construction, $D_0$ need not be multiplicity-free. For example, when $f = 2$, the weight $(p - 2 - r_0, r_1 + 1) \otimes \det^{r_0+p(p-1)}$ occurs in the socle of $C$ as well as in the cosocle of $C'$.

Let $D_1 := D_0^U$, $S_1 := (\mathrm{soc}_\Gamma D_0)^U$, and $Q_1 := (\mathrm{cosoc}_\Gamma D_0)^U$. The $B$-representations $S_1$ and $Q_1$ are multiplicity-free, i.e., for a $B$-character $\chi$, we have $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} S_1^\chi \leq 1$ and $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} Q_1^\chi \leq 1$.

**Lemma 2.7.** *As $B$-representations,*

$$D_1 = S_1 \oplus Q_1 = \chi(\sigma) \oplus \chi(\sigma)^s \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{l-1} \chi(\sigma_k) \oplus \chi(\sigma_k') \oplus \chi(\sigma_k)^s \oplus \chi(\sigma_k')^s \right).$$

*Thus, for a $B$-character $\chi$, $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} S_1^\chi = 1$ if and only if $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} Q_1^{\chi^s} = 1$.*

*Proof.* The second part follows from the first part and the discussion before the lemma. The first part is equivalent to the claim that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} D_1 = 4l - 2$ because $D_0$, by definition, has length $4l-2$ (2.5). Note that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(C \oplus C')^U = 4l$ implies that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \left( \frac{C \oplus C'}{\iota_\sigma(\sigma)} \right)^U \geq 4l-1$. However, the $\Gamma$-module $\frac{C \oplus C'}{\iota_\sigma(\sigma)}$ has length $4l - 1$. Hence $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \left( \frac{C \oplus C'}{\iota_\sigma(\sigma)} \right)^U = 4l - 1$. Since $D_0$ sits in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow D_0 \longrightarrow \frac{C \oplus C'}{\iota_\sigma(\sigma)} \longrightarrow \sigma^{[s]} \longrightarrow 0$$

and the functor of $U$-invariants is left exact, we have

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} D_1 + \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \mathrm{Im} \left( \left( \frac{C \oplus C'}{\iota_\sigma(\sigma)} \right)^U \to \left( \sigma^{[s]} \right)^U \right) = \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \left( \frac{C \oplus C'}{\iota_\sigma(\sigma)} \right)^U = 4l - 1.$$

As $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \mathrm{Im} \left( \left( \frac{C \oplus C'}{\iota_\sigma(\sigma)} \right)^U \to \left( \sigma^{[s]} \right)^U \right) \leq 1$ and $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} D_1 \leq 4l - 2$, the claim follows. $\square$

**Remark 2.8.** We remark that one can work with any two cyclic modules of $\Gamma$ arising from two different cyclic permutations of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ to form a spliced module $D_0$ (see [She22, Remark 1.7]).

**Remark 2.9.** Recently, M. Schein [Sch23] constructed interesting *cyclic* diagrams built out of principal series to construct irreducible admissible supercuspidal representations of $G$ with $K$-socles compatible with Serre's weight conjecture in the ramified setting.

## 3. Infinite-dimensional irreducible diagram

To construct diagrams in the sense of [BP12, §9], equip the spliced module $D_0$ with a smooth $KZ$-action via $KZ \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$ such that $\varpi$ acts trivially. Equip $D_1$ with a smooth $N$-action by defining the action of $\Pi$ to be a linear automorphism of order 2 that maps $S_1^\chi$ to $Q_1^{\chi^s}$ for all $I$-characters $\chi$ such that $S_1^\chi \neq 0$ (see Lemma 2.7). This gives rise to a basic 0-diagram $(D_0, D_1, \mathrm{can})$ where $\mathrm{can} : D_1 \hookrightarrow D_0$ is the canonical inclusion (see [BP12,

Introduction, page 3] for the definition of a basic 0-diagram). It is easy to see that the diagram $(D_0, D_1, \mathrm{can})$ is irreducible.

Let $D_0(\infty) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_0(i)$ be the smooth $KZ$-representation with component-wise $KZ$-action, where there is a fixed isomorphism $D_0(i) \cong D_0$ of $KZ$-representations for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Following [Le19], we denote the natural inclusion $D_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} D_0(i) \hookrightarrow D_0(\infty)$ by $\iota_i$, and write $v_i := \iota_i(v)$ for $v \in D_0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $D_1(\infty) := D_0(\infty)^{I(1)} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (S_1 \oplus Q_1)$. We define a $\Pi$-action on $D_1(\infty)$ as follows. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$. For all integers $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\Pi v_i := \begin{cases} \lambda_i (\Pi v)_i & \text{if } v \in S_1^{\chi(\sigma)}, \\ (\Pi v)_{i-1} & \text{if } v \in S_1^{\chi(\sigma_1)}, \\ (\Pi v)_{i+1} & \text{if } v \in S_1^{\chi(\sigma_1')}, \\ (\Pi v)_i & \text{if } v \in S_1^\chi \text{ for } \chi \in \{\chi(\sigma_2), \ldots, \chi(\sigma_{l-1}), \chi(\sigma_2'), \ldots, \chi(\sigma_{l-1}')\}. \end{cases}$$

This uniquely determines a smooth $N$-action on $D_1(\infty)$ such that $\varpi = \Pi^2$ acts trivially on it. Thus we get a basic 0-diagram $D(\lambda) := (D_0(\infty), D_1(\infty), \mathrm{can})$ with the above actions where can is the canonical inclusion $D_1(\infty) \hookrightarrow D_0(\infty)$.

**Proposition 3.1.** *If $\lambda_{i-1}\lambda_i \neq \lambda_{-1}\lambda_0$ for all $i \neq 0$, then the basic 0-diagram $D(\lambda)$ is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Let $W \subseteq D_0(\infty)$ be a non-zero $KZ$-subrepresentation such that $\Pi$ stabilizes $W^{I(1)}$. The claim is $W = D_0(\infty)$. We have $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\tau, W) \neq 0$ for some $\tau \in \mathrm{soc}_K D_0$. We first consider the case $\tau = \sigma$.

There exists a non-zero $(c_i) \in \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ such that

$$\left( \sum_i c_i \iota_i \right) (\sigma) \subseteq W.$$

We pick $(c_i)$ with $\#(c_i) := \#\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : c_i \neq 0\}$ minimal. We first show that $\#(c_i) = 1$. The $\Pi$-action on $\left(\sum_i c_i \iota_i\right) (S_1^{\chi(\sigma)})$ gives $\left(\sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_i\right) (Q_1^{\chi(\sigma)^s}) \subseteq W^{I(1)}$ which implies that $\left(\sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_i\right) (M(\sigma^{[s]})) \subseteq W$ because $M(\sigma^{[s]})$ is indecomposable. Hence

$$(3.2) \qquad \left( \sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_i \right) (\sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_1') \subseteq W.$$

Now the $\Pi$-action on $\left(\sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_i\right) (S_1^{\chi(\sigma_1)})$ and $\left(\sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_i\right) (S_1^{\chi(\sigma_1')})$ gives respectively

$$\left( \sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_{i-1} \right) (Q_1^{\chi(\sigma_1)^s}) \subseteq W^{I(1)} \text{ and } \left( \sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_{i+1} \right) (Q_1^{\chi(\sigma_1')^s}) \subseteq W^{I(1)}.$$

Hence

$$\left( \sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_{i-1} \right) (E(\sigma_2, \sigma_1^{[s]})) \subseteq W \text{ and } \left( \sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_{i+1} \right) (E(\sigma_2', \sigma_1'^{[s]})) \subseteq W.$$

The cyclicity of the $\Pi$-action on $I$-characters of $C$ and $C'$ then gives respectively

$$(3.3) \qquad \left(\sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_{i-1}\right)(E(\sigma_k, \sigma_{k-1}^{[s]})) \subseteq W \ \text{ and } \ \left(\sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_{i+1}\right)(E(\sigma_k', \sigma_{k-1}'^{[s]})) \subseteq W$$

for all $2 \le k \le l$. Therefore

$$(3.4) \qquad \left(\sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_{i-1}\right)(\sigma) \subseteq W \ \text{ and } \ \left(\sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_{i+1}\right)(\sigma) \subseteq W.$$

Thus, by increasing or decreasing the index $i$ if needed, we may assume $c_0 \ne 0$. Now, repeating the above argument for $\left(\sum_i \lambda_i c_i \iota_{i-1}\right)(\sigma) \subseteq W$, we obtain

$$\left(\sum_i \lambda_{i-1}\lambda_i c_i \iota_{i-2}\right)(\sigma) \subseteq W \ \text{ and } \ \left(\sum_i \lambda_{i-1}\lambda_i c_i \iota_i\right)(\sigma) \subseteq W.$$

Note that $\left(\sum_i \lambda_{-1}\lambda_0 c_i \iota_i\right)(\sigma) \subseteq W$. So it follows that

$$\left(\sum_i (\lambda_{i-1}\lambda_i - \lambda_{-1}\lambda_0) c_i \iota_i\right)(\sigma) \subseteq W.$$

Write $c_i' := (\lambda_{i-1}\lambda_i - \lambda_{-1}\lambda_0)c_i$ so that $\left(\sum_i c_i' \iota_i\right)(\sigma) \subseteq W$. If $\#(c_i) > 1$, then the hypothesis on $(\lambda_i)$ contradicts the minimality of $(c_i)$ because $\#(c_i') = \#(c_i) - 1$. Therefore $c_0 \iota_0(\sigma) \subseteq W$ and hence $\iota_0(\sigma) \subseteq W$.

Now we repeat the above argument for $\iota_0(\sigma) \subseteq W$ to show that $\iota_0(D_0) \subseteq W$. Indeed, the $\Pi$-action on $\iota_0(S_1^{\chi(\sigma)})$ gives

$$\iota_0(M(\sigma^{[s]})) \subseteq W.$$

By (3.4), we have

$$\iota_{-1}(\sigma) \subseteq W \ \text{ and } \ \iota_1(\sigma) \subseteq W.$$

Using (3.2) for the above inclusions, we obtain

$$\iota_1(\sigma_1) \subseteq W \ \text{ and } \ \iota_{-1}(\sigma_1') \subseteq W,$$

and then using (3.3), we get

$$\iota_0(E(\sigma_k, \sigma_{k-1}^{[s]})) \subseteq W \ \text{ and } \ \iota_0(E(\sigma_k', \sigma_{k-1}'^{[s]})) \subseteq W$$

for all $2 \le k \le l$. Together with the inclusion $\iota_0(M(\sigma^{[s]})) \subseteq W$, this gives

$$\iota_0(D_0) \subseteq W.$$

Repeat the argument for $\iota_{-1}(\sigma) \subseteq W$ and $\iota_1(\sigma) \subseteq W$ to obtain $\bigoplus_{i=0,\pm 1} \iota_i(D_0) \subseteq W$, and so on. This process eventually gives $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \iota_i(D_0) = D_0(\infty) \subseteq W$.

If $\operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau, W) \ne 0$ for $\tau \ne \sigma$, then using the cyclicity of the $\Pi$-action as above, we reduce to the case $\operatorname{Hom}_K(\sigma, W) \ne 0$. $\qquad \square$

**Remark 3.5.** The main idea here to construct an infinite-dimensional irreducible diagram is to arrange the $\Pi$-action on the infinite sum of a spliced module so that the cycling on one loop increases the index and the cycling on the other decreases the index. This construction does not work for $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ when $F$ has residue degree 1 because the cyclic modules of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ are principal series representations, i.e., extensions of the form $E(\tau, \tau^{[s]})$, and principal series are too small to form spliced modules with two loops.

## 4. Proofs of main theorems

*Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $n = 2$.* We first construct a desired representation $\pi$ of $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. The construction is similar to that of [Le19, Theorem 3.1] or [GS20, Theorem 1]. Let $\Omega$ be the smooth injective $K$-envelope of $D_0$ equipped with the $KZ$-action such that $\varpi$ acts trivially. The smooth injective $I$-envelope $\mathrm{inj}_I D_1$ of $D_1$ is an $I$-direct summand of $\Omega$. Let $e$ denote the projection of $\Omega$ onto $\mathrm{inj}_I D_1$. There is a unique $N$-action on $\mathrm{inj}_I D_1$ compatible with that of $I$ and compatible with the action of $N$ on $D_1$. By [BP12], Lemma 9.6, there is a non-canonical $N$-action on $(1-e)(\Omega)$ extending the given $I$-action. This gives an $N$-action on $\Omega$ whose restriction to $IZ$ is compatible with the action coming from $KZ$ on $\Omega$.

Let $D(\lambda) = (D_0(\infty), D_1(\infty), \mathrm{can})$ be an irreducible infinite-dimensional diagram from Proposition 3.1. Let $\Omega(\infty) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega(i)$ with component-wise $KZ$-action where there is a fixed isomorphism $\Omega(i) \cong \Omega$ of $KZ$-representations for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. As before, denote the natural inclusion $\Omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega(i) \hookrightarrow \Omega(\infty)$ by $\iota_i$, and write $v_i := \iota_i(v)$ for $v \in \Omega$. Let $\Omega_\chi$ denote the smooth injective $I$-envelope of an $I$-character $\chi$. We have

$$e(\Omega) = \mathrm{inj}_I D_1 = \mathrm{inj}_I S_1 \oplus \mathrm{inj}_I Q_1 = \bigoplus \Omega_{S_1^\chi} \oplus \Omega_{Q_1^{\chi^s}}.$$

If $v \in (1-e)(\Omega)$, we define $\Pi v_i := (\Pi v)_i$ for all integers $i$. Otherwise, we define

$$\Pi v_i := \begin{cases} \lambda_i (\Pi v)_i & \text{if } v \in \Omega_{S_1^{\chi(\sigma)}}, \\ (\Pi v)_{i-1} & \text{if } v \in \Omega_{S_1^{\chi(\sigma_1)}}, \\ (\Pi v)_{i+1} & \text{if } v \in \Omega_{S_1^{\chi(\sigma_1')}}, \\ (\Pi v)_i & \text{if } v \in \Omega_{S_1^\chi} \text{ for } \chi \in \{\chi(\sigma_2), \ldots, \chi(\sigma_{l-1}), \chi(\sigma_2'), \ldots, \chi(\sigma_{l-1}')\}. \end{cases}$$

By demanding that $\Pi^2$ acts trivially, this defines a smooth $N$-action on $\Omega(\infty)$ which is compatible with the $N$-action on $D_1(\infty)$, and whose restriction to $IZ$ is compatible with the action coming from $KZ$ on $\Omega(\infty)$. By [Pas04, Corollary 5.5.5], there is a smooth $G$-action on $\Omega(\infty)$. Take $\pi$ to be the $G$-representation generated by $D_0(\infty)$ inside $\Omega(\infty)$. The smooth representation $\pi$ has a property that $\mathrm{soc}_K \pi = \mathrm{soc}_K D_0(\infty)$. Since $D(\lambda)$ is irreducible and $\mathrm{soc}_K D_0(\infty)$ is infinite-dimensional, it follows that $\pi$ is irreducible and non-admissible.

Note that the spliced module $D_0$, the diagram $D(\lambda)$, and the module $\Omega(\infty)$ are all defined over the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ of $F$. Hence, if $(\lambda_i) \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_{p^f}^\times$ and $\lambda_{i-1}\lambda_i \neq \lambda_{-1}\lambda_0$ for all $i \neq 0$, then the $G$-representation $\pi$ has a model $\pi_0$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ that is absolutely irreducible and non-admissible. This gives Theorem 1.1 for $n = 2$. $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let $\pi$ be a non-admissible irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.1 for $n = 2$. Let $(\mu_i) \in \prod_{i \geq 0} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ be an $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$-basis for $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with $\mu_0 = 1$. Let $\lambda_{-1} = \lambda_0 = 1$. Define inductively $\lambda_i = \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_{i-1}\lambda_{i-1}}$ for $i > 0$ and let $\lambda_i = \lambda_{-i-1}$ for $i < 0$. Then the restriction of scalars of $\pi$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ is irreducible and its endomorphism algebra contains $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Indeed, for the irreducibility, suppose that $W \subseteq \pi$ is a non-zero $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}[G]$-subrepresentation. We have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^f}[K]}(\tau, W) \neq 0$ for some weight $\tau$ in the $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}[K]$-socle of $D_0$. Let $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^f}}$ denote an $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$-model of $\sigma$. Using the $\Pi$-action, we may assume $\tau = \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^f}}$. The proof of Proposition 3.1 shows that $c_0 \iota_0(\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^f}}) \subseteq W$ for some $c_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$. Moreover, repeated use of (3.3) gives $\lambda_0 \lambda_1 c_0 \iota_0(\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^f}}) \subseteq W$ and $\lambda_0 \lambda_j \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \lambda_i^2 \right) c_0 \iota_0(\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^f}}) \subseteq W$ for all $j > 1$. Since $\lambda_0 \lambda_1 = \mu_1$ and since $\lambda_0 \lambda_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \lambda_i^2 = \mu_j$ for $j > 1$ by an easy induction on $j$, we have $c\iota_0(\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{p^f}}) \subseteq W$ for all $c \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and thus $\iota_0(\sigma) \subseteq W$. Then one proceeds as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 to show that $D_0(\infty) \subseteq W$ which implies that $W = \pi$. $\qquad\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $n > 2$.* Let $\mathrm{P} = \mathrm{MN}$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n$ with Levi subgroup $\mathrm{M} = \mathrm{GL}_2 \times (\mathrm{GL}_1)^{n-2}$. Let $\overline{\mathrm{P}} = \mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ be the opposite parabolic subgroup. Let $\rho$ be a non-admissible irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.1 for $n = 2$, and let $\chi$ be a character of $(F^\times)^{n-2}$. Consider the smooth irreducible non-admissible representation $\rho \otimes \chi$ of $\mathrm{M}(F)$, and let

$$\pi = \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\mathrm{P}}(F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\rho \otimes \chi)$$

be the parabolically induced representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. It is clear that $\pi$ is non-admissible because

$$\pi^{K(1)} = \left( \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\mathrm{P}}(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}(\rho \otimes \chi) \right)^{K(1)} = \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})} \left( (\rho \otimes \chi)^{M(1)} \right)$$

and the latter is not finite-dimensional. Here, $K(1) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{p^f}))$ and $M(1) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{M}(\mathcal{O}_F) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f}))$.

Recall that for a Levi subgroup $\mathrm{L} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n$, an $\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{O}_F)$-weight is, by definition, a smooth irreducible representation of $\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{O}_F)$. The endomorphism algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{L}(F)}(\text{c-Ind}_{\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{L}(F)}\tau)$ of the compactly induced representation $\text{c-Ind}_{\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{L}(F)}\tau$ of an $\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{O}_F)$-weight $\tau$ is called the spherical algebra of $\mathrm{L}(F)$ and is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{L}(F)}(\tau)$. For a smooth representation $V$ of $\mathrm{L}(F)$, an $\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{O}_F)$-weight of $V$ simply means a smooth irreducible $\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{O}_F)$-subrepresentation of $V$.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If every $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$-weight of $\pi$ is M-regular (in the sense of* [Her11, Definition 2.4]*), then $\pi$ is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Let $\tau$ be a (non-zero) $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$-weight of $\pi$. We will show that $\tau$ generates $\pi$ as a $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$-representation. By Frobenius reciprocity, the canonical inclusion $\tau \hookrightarrow \pi|_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}$ corresponds to an injection $\tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})} \hookrightarrow (\rho \otimes \chi)|_{M(\mathcal{O}_F)}$ which makes $\tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})}$ into an $\mathrm{M}(\mathcal{O}_F)$-weight of $\rho \otimes \chi$, cf. [Her11, Lemma 2.3 and (2.13)]. Let $\tau_\rho := \tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})}|_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)}$ and $\chi_0 := \chi|_{(\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^{n-2}}$ so that $\tau \cong \tau_\rho \otimes \chi_0$. The spherical Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{M}(F)}(\tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})})$ of $\mathrm{M}(F)$ is

isomorphic to the tensor product $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F)}(\tau_\rho) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{(F^\times)^{n-2}}(\chi_0)$ of the spherical Hecke algebras of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ and $(F^\times)^{n-2}$. The algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F)}(\tau_\rho)$ is commutative by [BL94, Proposition 8 (1)] and the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{(F^\times)^{n-2}}(\chi_0)$ is commutative by [HV15, §2.10]. Hence, the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{M}(F)}(\tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})})$ is commutative. Under Frobenius reciprocity, the injection $\tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})} \hookrightarrow (\rho \otimes \chi)\big|_{M(\mathcal{O}_F)}$ corresponds to a map $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{M}(F)}\left(\text{c-Ind}_{\mathrm{M}(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{M}(F)} \tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})}, \rho \otimes \chi\right)$. We claim that $f$ is an eigenvector for the action of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{M}(F)}(\tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})})$ on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{M}(F)}\left(\text{c-Ind}_{\mathrm{M}(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{M}(F)} \tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})}, \rho \otimes \chi\right)$. Indeed, the restriction of the injection $\tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})} \hookrightarrow (\rho \otimes \chi)\big|_{M(\mathcal{O}_F)}$ to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ gives a map $f_\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F)}\left(\text{c-Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(F)} \tau_\rho, \rho\right)$. It is enough to show that $f_\rho$ is an eigenvector for the action of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F)}(\tau_\rho)$ on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F)}\left(\text{c-Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(F)} \tau_\rho, \rho\right)$. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F)}(\tau_\rho)$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[S^{\pm 1}, T]$ where the Hecke operators $S$ and $T$ correspond to the characteristic functions supported on $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \varpi & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi \end{smallmatrix}\right) \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi \end{smallmatrix}\right) \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ respectively. Since $\rho$ has central character, $f_\rho$ is an eigenvector for the operator $S$. We now show that $T \cdot f_\rho = f_\rho \circ T = 0$. By [Sch23, Lemma 2.1], $f_\rho(T(\tau_\rho))$ is contained in a $K$-subrepresentation $W$ of $\rho$ generated by $\Pi v$ for a non-zero $v \in \tau_\rho^{I(1)}$. As $\rho$ is constructed from a spliced module, $W$ has length at most 3 (see the Hasse diagram). On the other hand, $W$ naturally receives a surjection from $\mathrm{Ind}_I^K \chi(\tau_\rho)^s$ which is multiplicity-free of length at least 4 (as $f > 1$) and has socle isomorphic to $\tau_\rho$, cf. [BP12, Theorem 2.4]. Therefore $\tau_\rho$ is not a Jordan-Hölder factor of $W$. Hence $f_\rho(T(\tau_\rho)) = 0$. As $f_\rho$ and $T$ are $G$-equivariant, $T \cdot f = 0$ on $\text{c-Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(F)} \tau_\rho$. This finishes the proof of the claim.

The set of eigenvalues of $f$ gives a character $\psi : \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{M}(F)}(\tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})}) \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and a surjective map

$$(4.2) \qquad \text{c-Ind}_{\mathrm{M}(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{M}(F)} \tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{M}(F)}(\tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})}), \psi} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \twoheadrightarrow \rho \otimes \chi$$

of $\mathrm{M}(F)$-representations. Further, as $\tau$ is M-regular, there is a natural isomorphism

$$(4.3) \quad \text{c-Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \tau \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\tau), \psi} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\mathrm{P}}(F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \left( \text{c-Ind}_{\mathrm{M}(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{M}(F)} \tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{M}(F)}(\tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})}), \psi} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \right)$$

of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$-representations by [Her11, Theorem 3.1]. Therefore, (4.2) and (4.3) together give a surjective map

$$(4.4) \qquad \text{c-Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \tau \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\tau), \psi} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \twoheadrightarrow \pi$$

of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$-representations because $\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\mathrm{P}}(F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}$ is exact. Since $\tau$ generates the left-hand side of (4.4) as a $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$-representation, it also generates $\pi$ as a $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$-representation.

Now, if $\pi' \subseteq \pi$ is a non-zero subrepresentation, then $\pi'$ contains a (non-zero) $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$-weight. By the previous paragraph, this weight generates $\pi$ as a $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$-representation. Hence $\pi' = \pi$. $\qquad \square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *There exists a smooth character $\chi$ of $(F^\times)^{n-2}$ such that $\pi = \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\mathrm{P}}(F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\rho \otimes \chi)$ is irreducible.*

*Proof.* We use the notation $F(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ in [Her09, §3.3] to denote weights. By Lemma 4.1, it suffices to show that there exists a smooth character $\chi$ of $(F^\times)^{n-2}$ such that every $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$-weight of $\pi$ is M-regular. We pick $0 \leq a, b < p^f - 1$ such that $a \neq b$ and $a$ is different from all the determinant powers of weights in $\mathrm{soc}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)}\rho$. Such an $a$ exists because there are at most $4f - 1$ distinct weights in $\mathrm{soc}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)}\rho = \mathrm{soc}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)}D_0(\infty)$, and $p^f - 1 > 4f - 1$ for $p > 3$ and $f > 1$. Consider the alternating tensor product $\chi_0 = F(a) \otimes F(b) \otimes F(a) \ldots$ of $F(a)$ and $F(b)$ as a character of $(\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^{n-2}$, and let $\chi$ be a character of $(F^\times)^{n-2}$ such that $\chi|_{(\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^{n-2}} = \chi_0$. We claim that $\chi$ works. Indeed, let $\tau = F(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ be a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$-weight of $\pi$ with $p^f - 1 \geq a_i - a_{i+1} \geq 0$ for all $i$. Note that $\tau$ is M-regular if and only if $a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n$ are distinct, cf. the paragraph after [Her11, Definition 2.4]. Since $\tau^{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})} = F(a_1, a_2) \otimes F(a_3) \otimes \ldots \otimes F(a_n)$ is an $\mathrm{M}(\mathcal{O}_F)$-weight of $\rho \otimes \chi$, we find that $a_2$ modulo $p^f - 1$ is the determinant power of a weight in $\mathrm{soc}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)}\rho$, and for $i \geq 3$, $a_i \equiv a \mod p^f - 1$ (resp. $b \mod p^f - 1$) if $i$ is odd (resp. even). By the construction of $\chi$, we have $a_i \not\equiv a_{i+1} \mod p^f - 1$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$. As the sequence $a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n$ is decreasing, this implies that $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $2 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$. $\square$

We now take $\chi$ as in the proof of Lemma 4.5. Then it follows from Lemma 4.5 that $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ admits a smooth irreducible non-admissible representation $\pi = \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\mathrm{P}}(F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\rho \otimes \chi)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. As explained in the proof of Theorem 1.1 for $n = 2$, the $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$-representation $\rho$ can be chosen to have a model $\rho_0$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$. Then

$$\pi_0 = \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\mathrm{P}}(F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\rho_0 \otimes \chi)$$

is a model of $\pi$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ because $\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\mathrm{P}}(F)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}$ commutes with scalar extension [HV19, Proposition III.12 (i)]. It is clear that $\pi_0$ is absolutely irreducible and non-admissible. $\square$

**Remark 4.6.** We remark that the methods of this note to construct non-admissible irreducible representations also apply to other connected split reductive groups $\mathbb{G}$ whenever $\mathbb{G}$ contains $\mathrm{GL}_2$ as a Levi subgroup, e.g., $\mathrm{GSp}_4$ or $\mathrm{G}_2$.

## References

[AHHV17]  Noriyuki Abe, Guy Henniart, Florian Herzig, and Marie-France Vignéras, *Questions on mod p representations of reductive p-adic groups*, 2017, available at `https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.02063`.

[Ber74]   Joseph Bernstein, *All reductive p-adic groups are of type I*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **8** (1974), no. 2, 3–6. MR 0348045

[Ber12]   Laurent Berger, *Central characters for smooth irreducible modular representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova **128** (2012), 1–6 (2013). MR 3076828

[BL94]    Laure Barthel and Ron Livné, *Irreducible modular representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_2$ *of a local field*, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), no. 2, 261–292. MR 1290194

[BP12]    Christophe Breuil and Vytautas Paškūnas, *Towards a modulo p Langlands correspondence for* $\mathrm{GL}_2$, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **216** (2012), no. 1016, vi+114. MR 2931521

[Bre03]     Christophe Breuil, *Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p-adiques de* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. *I*, Compositio Math. **138** (2003), no. 2, 165–188. MR 2018825

[EGH]       Matthew Emerton, Toby Gee, and Eugen Hellmann, *An introduction to the categorical p-adic Langlands program*, available at https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.01404.

[GS20]      Eknath Ghate and Mihir Sheth, *On non-admissible irreducible modulo p representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **358** (2020), no. 5, 627–632. MR 4149863

[GS22]      ———, *Diagrams and mod p representations of p-adic groups*, Perfectoid Spaces, Infosys Sci. Found. Ser., Springer Nature Singapore, 2022, pp. 37–50. MR 4433441

[HC70]      Harish-Chandra, *Harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 162, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970, Notes by G. van Dijk. MR 0414797

[Her09]     Florian Herzig, *The weight in a Serre-type conjecture for tame n-dimensional Galois representations*, Duke Math. J. **149** (2009), no. 1, 37–116. MR 2541127

[Her11]     ———, *The classification of irreducible admissible mod p representations of a p-adic* $\mathrm{GL}_n$, Invent. Math. **186** (2011), no. 2, 373–434. MR 2845621

[HV15]      Guy Henniart and Marie-France Vignéras, *A Satake isomorphism for representations modulo p of reductive groups over local fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **701** (2015), 33–75. MR 3331726

[HV19]      ———, *Representations of a p-adic group in characteristic p*, Representations of reductive groups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 101, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2019, pp. 171–210. MR 3930018

[Jac75]     Hervé Jacquet, *Sur les représentations des groupes réductifs p-adiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **280** (1975), Aii, A1271–A1272. MR 369624

[Le19]      Daniel Le, *On some nonadmissible smooth irreducible representations for* $\mathrm{GL}_2$, Math. Res. Lett. **26** (2019), no. 6, 1747–1758. MR 4078693

[LLHLM20]   Daniel Le, Bao V. Le Hung, Brandon Levin, and Stefano Morra, *Serre weights and Breuil's lattice conjecture in dimension three*, Forum Math. Pi **8** (2020), e5, 135. MR 4079756

[Pas04]     Vytautas Paškūnas, *Coefficient systems and supersingular representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) (2004), no. 99, vi+84. MR 2128381

[Sch23]     Michael M. Schein, *A family of irreducible supersingular representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ *for some ramified p-adic fields*, Isr. J. Math. **255** (2023), no. 2, 911–930 (English).

[She22]     Mihir Sheth, *On irreducible supersingular representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, Pacific J. Math. **321** (2022), no. 2, 431–442. MR 4562577

[Vig96]     Marie-France Vignéras, *Représentations l-modulaires d'un groupe réductif p-adique avec* $l \neq p$, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 137, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996. MR 1395151

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI - 400005, INDIA.
  *Email address*: eghate@math.tifr.res.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 N. UNIVERSITY STREET, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907, USA.
  *Email address*: ledt@purdue.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE - 560012, INDIA.
  *Email address*: mihirsheth@iisc.ac.in