

EXISTENCE AND UNICITY OF PLURIHARMONIC MAPS TO EUCLIDEAN BUILDINGS AND APPLICATIONS

YA DENG AND CHIKAKO MESE

ABSTRACT. Given a complex smooth quasi-projective variety X , a reductive algebraic group G defined over some non-archimedean local field K and a Zariski dense representation $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G(K)$, we construct a ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic map from the universal cover of X into the Bruhat-Tits building $\Delta(G)$ of G , with appropriate asymptotic behavior. We also establish the uniqueness of such a pluriharmonic map in a suitable sense, and provide a geometric characterization of these equivariant maps. This paper builds upon and extends previous work by the authors jointly with G. Daskalopoulos and D. Brotbek.

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. Technical preliminary	3
2. Pluriharmonic maps to Euclidean buildings	5
3. Multivalued section and spectral cover	9
4. Unicity of pluriharmonic maps	15
5. On the singular set of harmonic maps into Euclidean buildings	19
References	22

0. INTRODUCTION

0.1. **Main results.** We first establish the following existence theorem of equivariant pluriharmonic maps to Bruhat-Tits buildings.

Theorem A. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety and let G be a reductive group defined over a non-archimedean local field K . Let $\Delta(G)$ be the enlarged Bruhat-Tits building of G . Denote by $\pi_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ the universal covering map. If $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G(K)$ is a Zariski dense representation, then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *There exists a ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic map $\tilde{u} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ with logarithmic energy growth.*
- (ii) *\tilde{u} is harmonic with respect to any Kähler metric on \tilde{X} .*
- (iii) *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism from a smooth quasi-projective variety Y . Denote by $\tilde{f} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ the lift of f between the universal covers of Y and X . Then the f^* - ϱ -equivariant map $\tilde{u} \circ \tilde{f} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ is pluriharmonic and has logarithmic energy growth.*
- (iv) *There is a proper Zariski closed subset Ξ of X such that the singular set $\mathcal{S}(\tilde{u})$ of \tilde{u} defined in Definition 1.2 is contained in $\pi_X^{-1}(\Xi)$.*

Note that when X is a compact Kähler manifold, Theorems A.(i) to A.(iii) were established by Gromov-Schoen in [GS92] and Theorem A.(iv) was proved by Eyssidieux in [Eys04]. In the case where G is semisimple, Theorems A.(i) to A.(iii) were proven by the authors with Brotbek in [BDDM22, Theorem A].

In general, the uniqueness of the equivariant pluriharmonic map in Theorem A is not guaranteed, although it can be established under additional assumptions on the representation (cf. [DM23b, BDDM22]). However, we prove the uniqueness in a suitable local setting over a dense open subset of \tilde{X} that has full Lebesgue measure.

Date: Friday 11th October, 2024.

Key words and phrases. (Pluri)harmonic map, Bruhat-Tits buildings, spectral cover.

Theorem B. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety and let G be a reductive group defined over a non-archimedean local field K . For a representation $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G(K)$, if $\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ are two ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic maps with logarithmic energy growth, then for almost every point $x \in \tilde{X}$, it has an open neighborhood Ω such that*

- (i) *there exists an apartment A of $\Delta(G)$ that contains both $\tilde{u}_0(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{u}_1(\Omega)$;*
- (ii) *The map $\tilde{u}_0|_{\Omega} : \Omega \rightarrow A$ is a translate of $\tilde{u}_1|_{\Omega} : \Omega \rightarrow A$.*

It is worthwhile mentioning that Theorems A and B were established by Corlette-Simpson [CS08] for the case where $G = \mathrm{PSL}_2$ and the representation ϱ has quasi-unipotent monodromies at infinity. In this setting, the Bruhat-Tits building of G is a tree, and the energy of the ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic map \tilde{u} is proven to be finite.

Finally, we give a geometric characterization of pluriharmonic maps with logarithmic energy growth in terms of spectral covers.

Theorem C. *Let X, ϱ, G and \tilde{u} be as in Theorem A. Let \overline{X} be a smooth projective compactification of X such that $\Sigma := \overline{X} \setminus X$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Then we have the following:*

- (i) *The ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic map \tilde{u} induces a multivalued logarithmic 1-form η on the log pair (\overline{X}, Σ) , satisfying the properties in Lemma 3.8.(ii).*
- (ii) *Such η does not depend on the choice of \tilde{u} ; i.e., if \tilde{v} is another ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic map with logarithmic energy growth, the multivalued logarithmic 1-form induced by \tilde{v} is η .*
- (iii) *There exists a ramified Galois cover $\pi : \overline{X}^{\mathrm{sp}} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ such that $\pi^*\eta$ becomes single-valued; i.e., $\pi^*\eta = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m\} \subset H^0(\overline{X}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \pi^*\Omega_{\overline{X}}(\log \Sigma))$.*
- (iv) *Denote by $\Sigma_1 := \overline{X}^{\mathrm{sp}} \setminus X^{\mathrm{sp}}$. Let $\mu : \overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{X}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ be a log resolution of $(\overline{X}^{\mathrm{sp}}, \Sigma_1)$, with $\Sigma_Y := \mu^{-1}(\Sigma_1)$ a simple normal crossing divisor. Then $\{\mu^*\omega_1, \dots, \mu^*\omega_m\} \in H^0(\overline{Y}, \Omega_{\overline{Y}}(\log \Sigma_Y))$ are pure imaginary, i.e., the residue of every $\mu^*\omega_j$ at each irreducible component of Σ_Y is a pure imaginary number.*

We mention that Theorem C.(iv) is analogous to Mochizuki's notion of *pure imaginary harmonic bundles* induced by pluriharmonic maps to symmetric spaces associated with complex semisimple local systems over quasi-projective varieties (cf. [Moc07]). In our case, however, a spectral cover is required to transform the multivalued logarithmic 1-form induced by the pluriharmonic map into single-valued logarithmic 1-forms.

In this paper, we assume that K is a non-archimedean local field endowed with a *discrete* non-archimedean valuation. On the other hand, a recent paper by C. Breiner, B. Dees, and the second author [BDM24] introduces techniques to study the case for a general non-archimedean valuation local field L . In the sequel, we will show that Theorem A, Theorem B, and Theorem C generalize to the case where $\Delta(G)$ is a Bruhat-Tits building associated with a reductive group defined over any non-archimedean field.

0.2. Notation and Convention.

- (a) Unless otherwise specified, algebraic varieties are assumed to be connected and defined over the field of complex numbers.
- (b) Let G be a reductive group defined over a non-archimedean local field K . We denote by $\Delta(G)$ the Bruhat-Tits building of G , which is a non-positively curved (NPC for short) space. Denote by $d(\bullet, \bullet)$ the distance function on $\Delta(G)$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}G$ the derived group of G , which is semisimple.
- (c) For a complex space X , denote by X^{norm} the normalization of X .
- (d) A *log smooth pair* (\overline{X}, Σ) consists of a smooth projective variety \overline{X} and a simple normal crossing divisor Σ . We denote by $X := \overline{X} \setminus \Sigma$, and $\pi_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ the universal covering map.
- (e) Say a function \tilde{f} (resp. a 1-form $\tilde{\eta}$) on \tilde{X} descends on X if there exists a function f (resp. a 1-form η) on X such that $\tilde{f} = \pi_X^*f$ (resp. $\tilde{\eta} = \pi_X^*\eta$).
- (f) Let \overline{X} be a smooth projective variety. A line bundle L on \overline{X} is *sufficiently ample* if there exists a projective embedding $\iota : \overline{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ such that $L = \iota^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d)$ for some $d \geq 3$.
- (g) A linear representation $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(K)$ with K some field is called *reductive* if the Zariski closure of $\varrho(\pi_1(X))$ is a reductive algebraic group over \overline{K} .

If Y is a closed smooth subvariety of X , we denote by $\varrho_Y : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow G(K)$ the composition of the natural homomorphism $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ and ϱ .

- (h) Denote by \mathbb{D} the unit disk in \mathbb{C} , and by \mathbb{D}^* the punctured unit disk. We write $\mathbb{D}_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < r\}$, $\mathbb{D}_r^* := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z| < r\}$, and $\mathbb{D}_{r_1, r_2} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid r_1 < |z| < r_2\}$.

Acknowledgment. The first author is supported in part by ANR-21-CE40-0010. The second author is supported in part by NSF DMS-2304697. Part of this research was performed while the second author was visiting the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute (MSRI), now becoming the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute (SLMath), which is supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant No. DMS-1928930).

1. TECHNICAL PRELIMINARY

For more details of this section, we refer the readers to [BDDM22].

1.1. Equivariant maps and sections. Endow X with a Kähler metric g . Let $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G(K)$ be a representation where G is a reductive algebraic group over a non-archimedean local field K . The set of all ϱ -equivariant maps into $\Delta(G)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the set of all sections of the fiber bundle $\Pi : \tilde{X} \times_{\varrho} \Delta(G) \rightarrow X$. More precisely, for a ϱ -equivariant map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \Delta(G)$, we define a section of Π by setting $f(\pi_X(\tilde{p})) = [(\tilde{p}, \tilde{f}(\tilde{p}))]$, where \tilde{p} is any point in \tilde{X} . We shall use this notation throughout this paper.

One can also define the energy density function $|\nabla \tilde{f}|^2$ of \tilde{f} , and we refer the readers to [KS93, BDDM22] for the definition. Since \tilde{f} is equivariant, $|\nabla \tilde{f}|^2$ on \tilde{X} is a $\pi_1(X)$ -invariant function, and thus it descends to a function on X , denoted by $|\nabla f|^2$. We also define the energy of f in any open subset U of X by setting

$$(1.1) \quad E^f[U] = \int_U |\nabla f|^2 d\text{vol}_g.$$

1.2. Pullback bundles. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism between smooth quasi-projective varieties. Let C be an NPC space, and let $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{Isom}(C)$ be a homomorphism. Let \tilde{Y} be a connected component of $\tilde{X} \times_X Y$. Then we have the following commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{Y} & \\ & \downarrow \pi_{\tilde{Y}} & \\ \pi_Y \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{f} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow \hat{\pi}_Y & & \downarrow \pi_X \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array} \right. & & \end{array}$$

It induces a fiber bundle $\hat{\Pi}_Y : \hat{Y} \times_{f^* \varrho} C \rightarrow Y$, such that one has the following commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{Y} \times_{f^* \varrho} C & \xrightarrow{F} & \tilde{X} \times_{\varrho} C \\ \downarrow \hat{\Pi}_Y & & \downarrow \Pi_X \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X. \end{array}$$

By § 1.1, a ϱ -equivariant map $\tilde{u} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow C$ corresponds to a section $u : X \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_{\varrho} C$ of Π_X . The composition

$$u \circ f : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_{\varrho} C$$

defines a section of the fiber bundle $\hat{Y} \times_{f^* \varrho} C \simeq f^*(\tilde{X} \times_{\varrho} C) \rightarrow Y$, which in turn defines a $f^* \varrho$ -equivariant map $\hat{u}_f : \hat{Y} \rightarrow C$. Define $\tilde{u}_f := \hat{u}_f \circ \pi_{\tilde{Y}}$, which is an $f^* \varrho$ -equivariant map $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow C$. It defines a section

$$u_f : Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y} \times_{f^* \varrho} C.$$

In this paper, we will mainly focus on the special case where Y is a closed smooth subvariety of X and $\iota : Y \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion map. In this cases, we will use the notation

$$(1.2) \quad u_Y : Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y} \times_{\varrho_Y} C.$$

in place of u_ι , where $\varrho_Y : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{Isom}(C)$ denotes the composition of $\iota_* : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ and ϱ . Denote by $\widetilde{u}_Y : \widetilde{Y} \rightarrow C$ the corresponding ϱ_Y -equivariant map.

1.3. Regularity results of Gromov-Schoen. Let X be a hermitian manifold and let $\tilde{u} : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ be a ϱ -equivariant harmonic map. Following § 1.2, let $u : X \rightarrow \widetilde{X} \times_{\varrho} \Delta(G)$ be the section corresponding to \tilde{u} . We recall some results in [GS92].

Theorem 1.1 ([GS92], Theorem 2.4). *A harmonic map $\tilde{u} : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous.* \square

Definition 1.2 (Regular points and singular points). A point $x \in \widetilde{X}$ is said to be a *regular point* of \tilde{u} if there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{N} of x and an apartment $A \subset \Delta(G)$ such that $\tilde{u}(\mathcal{N}) \subset A$. A *singular point* of \tilde{u} is a point in \widetilde{X} that is not a regular point. Note that if $x \in \widetilde{X}$ is a regular point (resp. singular point) of \tilde{u} , then every point of $\pi_X^{-1}(\pi_X(x))$ is a regular point (resp. singular point) of \tilde{u} . We denote by $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{u})$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}(\tilde{u})$) the set of all regular points (resp. singular points) of \tilde{u} and let $\mathcal{R}(u) = \pi_X(\mathcal{R}(\tilde{u}))$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}(u) = \pi_X(\mathcal{S}(\tilde{u}))$).

Lemma 1.3 ([GS92], Theorem 6.4). *The set $\mathcal{S}(u)$ is a closed subset of X of Hausdorff codimension at least two.* \square

Remark 1.4. B. Dees [Dee22] improved Lemma 1.3 to show that $\mathcal{S}(u)$ is $(n - 2)$ -countably rectifiable where n is the dimension of the domain.

1.4. Logarithmic energy growth. Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Let C be an NPC space. Consider a representation $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{Isom}(C)$. We define:

Definition 1.5 (Translation length). For an element $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$, the *translation length* of $\varrho(\gamma)$ is

$$(1.3) \quad L_{\varrho(\gamma)} := \inf_{P \in C} d(P, \varrho(\gamma)P).$$

If there exists $P_0 \in C$ such that

$$\inf_{P \in C} d(P, gP) = d(P_0, gP_0),$$

then $\varrho(\gamma)$ is called a *semisimple isometry*. For notational simplicity, we write L_γ instead of $L_{\varrho(\gamma)}$ if no confusion arises.

The definition of logarithmic energy growth of a harmonic map was introduced in [DM23a, DM24]. A slightly more intrinsic version is provided in [BDDM22], which we recall here.

Definition 1.6 (logarithmic energy growth). Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety, G be a reductive algebraic group over a non-archimedean local field K , and let $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G(K)$ be a Zariski dense representation. A ϱ -equivariant harmonic map $\tilde{u} : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ has *logarithmic energy growth* if for any holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{D}^* \rightarrow X$ with no essential singularity at the origin (i.e. for some, thus any, smooth projective compactification \overline{X} of X , f extends to a holomorphic map $\bar{f} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \overline{X}$), there is a positive constant C such that for any $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, one has

$$(1.4) \quad -\frac{L_\gamma^2}{2\pi} \log r \leq E^{u_f}[\mathbb{D}_{r, \frac{1}{2}}] \leq -\frac{L_\gamma^2}{2\pi} \log r + C,$$

where L_γ is the translation length of $\varrho(\gamma)$ with $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ corresponding to the loop $\theta \mapsto f(\frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta})$.

1.5. A Bertini-type theorem.

Proposition 1.7 ([BDDM22, Proposition 2.11]). *Let (\overline{X}, Σ) be a log smooth pair with $n := \dim X \geq 2$. Fix a projective embedding $\iota : \overline{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ and denote by $L := \iota^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(3)$. For any element $s \in H^0(\overline{X}, L)$, we set $\overline{Y}_s := s^{-1}(0)$, $Y_s := \overline{Y}_s \setminus \Sigma$, and denote by $\iota_{Y_s} : Y_s \rightarrow X$ the inclusion map. Let*

$$(1.5) \quad \mathbb{U} = \{s \in H^0(\overline{X}, L) \mid \overline{Y}_s \text{ is smooth and } \overline{Y}_s + \Sigma \text{ is a normal crossing divisor}\}.$$

For $q \in X$, consider the subspace

$$(1.6) \quad V(q) = \{s \in H^0(\overline{X}, L) \mid s(q) = 0\} \text{ and } \mathbb{U}(q) = \mathbb{U} \cap V(q).$$

Then

- (i) The set $\mathbb{U}(q)$ is non-empty.
- (ii) For any $p, q \in X$, and $v \in T_p X$, there exists some $s \in \mathbb{U}(q)$ such that $p \in Y_s$ and Y_s is tangent to v .
- (iii) For each $s \in \mathbb{U}$, $\pi_1(Y_s) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective.

Note that the last assertion follows from the Lefschetz theorem in [Eyr04].

2. PLURIHARMONIC MAPS TO EUCLIDEAN BUILDINGS

In this section we prove Theorem A. As a warm-up, we begin by considering the following special case.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varrho : \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, +)$ be a representation. Consider $\exp : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ as the universal covering map. Then there exists a ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic map $\tilde{u} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with logarithmic energy growth. Furthermore,*

- (i) the holomorphic 1-form $\partial\tilde{u} = \exp^*(\zeta d \log z)$ for some $\zeta \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) such \tilde{u} is unique up to a translation by a constant.

Proof. Let γ be the equivalent class in $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$ represented the loop $\theta \mapsto e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ in \mathbb{C}^* . Then $\varrho(\gamma)(x) = x + a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u} : \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ w &\mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_0^w (\exp^*(-\sqrt{-1}\frac{a}{2\pi}d \log z + \sqrt{-1}\frac{a}{2\pi}d \log \bar{z})). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{u}(w) = \frac{a}{2\pi}\text{Im}(w)$. Thus, $\tilde{u}(w + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}) = \tilde{u}(w) + a$, that is a ϱ -equivariant. We have moreover $\partial\tilde{u}(w) = -\sqrt{-1}\frac{a}{4\pi}dw$, which is a holomorphic 1-form on \mathbb{C}^* . It follows that $\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{u} \equiv 0$. Thus, \tilde{u} is pluriharmonic, and

$$\partial\tilde{u} = \exp^*(-\sqrt{-1}\frac{a}{4\pi}d \log z).$$

This proves Item (i).

Endow \mathbb{D}^* with the standard Euclidean metric $\sqrt{-1}\frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{2}$. However, note that the energy is independent of the choice of metric on the Riemann surface. We can easily compute the energy of u in the annulus $\mathbb{D}_{r,1} := \{r < |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} E^u[\mathbb{D}_{r,1}] &= \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r,1}} |du|^2 \frac{\sqrt{-1}dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r,1}} \left|\frac{a}{2\pi}d\theta\right|^2 r dr \wedge d\theta \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_r^1 d \log r = \frac{a^2}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 1.5, the translation length $L_\gamma = |a|$. By Definition 1.6, \tilde{u} has logarithmic energy growth. In conclusion, \tilde{u} is a pluriharmonic map with logarithmic energy growth.

Let us prove Item (ii). If $\tilde{v} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is another ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic map with logarithmic energy growth, then $\partial\tilde{v}$ is a holomorphic 1-form, which descends to 1-form η on \mathbb{C}^* such that $\exp^*\eta = \partial\tilde{v}$. By [BDDM22], η is a logarithmic form on \mathbb{C}^* . Hence there exists a constant $b = b_1 + \sqrt{-1}b_2$ with $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta = b d \log z$. Note that $d\tilde{v} = \exp^*(\eta + \bar{\eta})$. It follows that

$$(2.1) \quad a = \tilde{v}(w + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}) - \tilde{v}(w) = \int_\gamma (\eta + \bar{\eta}) = -4\pi b_2.$$

Hence $b_2 = -\frac{a}{4\pi}$.

Let us compute the energy of \tilde{v} on the annulus $\mathbb{D}_{r,1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad E^v[\mathbb{D}_{r,1}] &= \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r,1}} |dv|^2 \frac{\sqrt{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r,1}} |2b_1 d \log r - 2b_2 d\theta|^2 r dr \wedge d\theta \\
&= \left(\frac{a}{2\pi}\right)^2 + 4b_1^2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_r^1 d \log r \\
&= \frac{a^2}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r} + 8\pi b_1^2 d \log \frac{1}{r}.
\end{aligned}$$

By eq. (1.4), $b_1 = 0$. This implies that $\partial\tilde{u} = \partial\tilde{v}$. Hence $d(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}) = 0$. Therefore, \tilde{u} is unique up to a translation. The lemma is proved. \square

Let (\bar{X}, Σ) be a log smooth pair. Let us recall the definition of residue of a logarithmic form $\eta \in H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega_{\bar{X}}(\log \Sigma))$ around an irreducible component Σ_i of Σ . We fix an admissible coordinate $(U; z_1, \dots, z_n)$ centered at some point $x_0 \in \Sigma_i$ away from the crossings of Σ such that $(z_1 = 0) = U \cap \Sigma_i = U \cap \Sigma$. Then we can write $\eta = h_1(z) d \log z_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n h_i(z) dz_i$. We define

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Res}_{\Sigma_i} \eta := h_1(0).$$

Note that such definition does not depend on the choice of local coordinate system.

Definition 2.2 (Pure imaginary logarithmic form). Let (\bar{X}, Σ) be a log smooth pair. A logarithmic form η is *pure imaginary* if for each irreducible component Σ_i of Σ , the residue of η at Σ_i is a pure imaginary number.

Note that Definition 2.2 does not depend on the choice of compactification of $X = \bar{X} \setminus \Sigma$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let (\bar{X}, Σ) be a log smooth pair. Let $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, +)$ be a representation. If there exists a ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic map $\tilde{u} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then \tilde{u} has logarithmic energy growth if and only if $\partial\tilde{u}$ descends to a logarithmic form $\eta \in H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega_{\bar{X}}(\log \Sigma))$, that is pure imaginary.*

Proof. We write $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^m \Sigma_i$ into a sum of irreducible components. Fix some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Choose a point $x_0 \in \Sigma_i \setminus \cup_{j \neq i} \Sigma_j$. We take a small embedded disk $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ such that $f^{-1}(\Sigma) = f^{-1}(\Sigma_i) = \{0\}$ and f is transverse to Σ_i at x_0 . Let $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ be the element representing the loop $\theta \mapsto f(\frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta})$. Let \mathbb{H} be the left half plane of \mathbb{C} . Then $\exp : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^*$ is the universal covering map. Let $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the lift of f between universal covers. Then $\tilde{u} \circ \tilde{f} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is f^* -equivariant pluriharmonic map and let u_f be the section defined in § 1.2.

If \tilde{u} has logarithmic energy growth, then by [BDDM22], $\partial\tilde{u}$ descends to a logarithmic form $\eta \in H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega_{\bar{X}}(\log \Sigma))$. Let us prove that η is pure imaginary. By Definition 1.5, the translation length L_γ is given by

$$L_\gamma = \left| \int_\gamma (f^* \eta + f^* \bar{\eta}) \right| = \left| 2\pi \sqrt{-1} (\text{Res}_{\Sigma_i} \eta - \overline{\text{Res}_{\Sigma_i} \eta}) \right|.$$

Since η has logarithmic poles, there is some $h(z) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $f^* \eta = h(z) d \log z$. Write $h(z) = h_1(z) + \sqrt{-1} h_2(z)$, where $h_i(z)$ are real harmonic functions on \mathbb{D} . Then

$$(2.4) \quad L_\gamma = |4\pi h_2(0)|.$$

The energy

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad E^{u_f}[\mathbb{D}_{r,1}] &= \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r,1}} |f^*\eta + f^*\bar{\eta}|^2 \frac{\sqrt{-1}dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r,1}} |h(z)d \log z + \overline{h(z)}d \log \bar{z}|^2 \frac{\sqrt{-1}dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r,1}} |2h_1(z)d \log t - 2h_2(z)d\theta|^2 dt \wedge d\theta \\
&= \int_r^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |2h_1(te^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})|^2 d \log t \wedge d\theta \\
&\quad + \int_r^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |2h_2(te^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})|^2 d \log t \wedge d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|h_i(z)|^2$ are subharmonic functions on \mathbb{D} , by the mean value inequality there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad 8\pi(|h_1(0)|^2 + |h_2(0)|^2) \log \frac{1}{r} \leq E^{u_f}[\mathbb{D}_{r,1}] \leq 8\pi(|h_1(0)|^2 + |h_2(0)|^2) \log \frac{1}{r} + C, \quad \forall r \in (0, 1).$$

By Definition 1.6, we have $h_1(0) = 0$. Hence η is pure imaginary.

We now assume that η is pure imaginary. Let $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be any holomorphic map such that $g^{-1}(\Sigma) = \{0\}$. Then $g^*\eta = h(z) \log z$ with $h(0) \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$. We denote by u_g the section of $\mathbb{D}^* \times_{g^*\varrho} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^*$ defined in § 1.2. By the same manner as (2.4) and (2.6), we can show that u_g has logarithmic energy growth. By Definition 1.6, u has logarithmic energy growth. \square

We can extend Lemma 2.1 to the case of semi-abelian varieties.

Proposition 2.4. *Let A be a semiabelian variety and let $\varrho : \pi_1(A) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^N, +)$ be a representation. Then there is a ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic map $u : \bar{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ with logarithmic energy growth. Such pluriharmonic map is unique up to translation.*

Proof. Note that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^k \xrightarrow{j} A \xrightarrow{\pi} A_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

where A_0 is an abelian variety. Let \bar{A} be the canonical compactification of A such that $\pi : A \rightarrow A_0$ extends to a $(\mathbb{P}^1)^k$ -fiber bundle

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^k \xrightarrow{\bar{j}} \bar{A} \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} A_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\Sigma := \bar{A} \setminus A$ which is a smooth divisor. Let $V \subset H^0(\bar{A}, \Omega_{\bar{A}}(\log \Sigma))$ be the \mathbb{R} -linear subspace consisting of logarithmic forms, whose residues at each irreducible component of Σ are pure imaginary. Let $d := \dim A_0$.

Claim 2.5. *We have $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 2d + k$. The \mathbb{R} -linear map*

$$(2.7) \quad \Psi : V \rightarrow H^1(A, \mathbb{R})$$

$$\eta \mapsto \left\{ \frac{\eta + \bar{\eta}}{2} \right\}$$

is an isomorphism of \mathbb{R} -vector spaces.

Proof. Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(\bar{A}, \Omega_{\bar{A}}(\log \Sigma)) = d+k$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(A, \mathbb{R}) = 2d+k$. We choose a \mathbb{C} -basis $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k$ for $H^0(\bar{A}, \Omega_{\bar{A}}(\log \Sigma)) = d+k$ such that $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d\} \subset \pi^* H^0(A_0, \Omega_{A_0})$. The residues of η_i at each component of Σ is thus zero. Let (w_1, \dots, w_k) be the canonical coordinate of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$. Then $j^*\xi_m = \sum_{i=1}^k a_{mi} d \log w_i$ with $(a_{m1}, \dots, a_{mk}) \in \mathbb{C}^k$. Note that $\bar{j}^*\xi_1, \dots, \bar{j}^*\xi_m$ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of $H^0((\mathbb{P}^1)^k, \Omega_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^k}(\log D))$, where $D := (\mathbb{P}^1)^k \setminus (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$. Note that $d \log w_1, \dots, d \log w_k$ is also \mathbb{C} -basis of $H^0((\mathbb{P}^1)^k, \Omega_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^k}(\log D))$. We can thus replace ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m by some \mathbb{C} -linear

combination such that $\bar{j}^* \xi_i = \sqrt{-1} d \log w_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. This implies that each ξ_i has pure imaginary residues at each irreducible component of Σ . Then we have

$$V := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_d, i\eta_1, \dots, i\eta_d \}.$$

We can see that Ψ is a \mathbb{R} -isomorphism. \square

Let the homomorphism $\text{pr}_i : (\mathbb{R}^N, +) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, +)$ be the projection into i -th factor. Then $\text{pr}_i \circ \varrho : \pi_1(A) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, +)$ is a representation which can be identified with an element $\lambda_i \in H^1(A, \mathbb{R})$ as $H^1(A, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \text{Hom}(H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R})$. Denote by $\zeta_i := \Psi^{-1}(\lambda_i)$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_i : \tilde{A} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ z &\mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_0^z \pi_A^* (\zeta_i + \bar{\zeta}_i). \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain a smooth map $\tilde{u} : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ defined by $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_N)$. This map is pluriharmonic as $\bar{\partial} \tilde{u} = (\frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial} \pi_A^* \zeta_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial} \pi_A^* \zeta_N) = (0, \dots, 0)$. One can verify that \tilde{u} is ϱ -equivariant. Indeed, for any $x \in \tilde{A}$ and any $\gamma \in \pi_1(A)$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{u}_i(\gamma.x) - \tilde{u}_i(x) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{2} (\zeta_i + \bar{\zeta}_i) = \lambda_i(\gamma) = \text{pr}_i \circ \varrho(\gamma)(\tilde{u}_i(x)) - \tilde{u}_i(x).$$

Let us prove that \tilde{u} has logarithmic energy growth. Since $\partial \tilde{u}_i = \frac{1}{2} \pi_X^* \zeta_i$, where ζ_i is a pure imaginary logarithmic 1-form, by Proposition 2.3, $\tilde{u}_i : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\text{pr}_i \circ \varrho$ -pluriharmonic map with logarithmic energy growth. Let $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ be any holomorphic map such that $f^{-1}(\Sigma) = \{0\}$. Let γ be the element in $\pi_1(X)$ represented by the loop $\theta \mapsto f(\frac{1}{2} e^{V-1\theta})$. Let L_i be the translation length of $\text{pr}_i \circ \varrho(\gamma)$. It follows that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, we have

$$\frac{L_i^2}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r} \leq E^{(u_i)_f} [\mathbb{D}_{r,1}] \leq \frac{L_i^2}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r} + C, \quad \forall r \in (0, 1).$$

Note that

$$E^{u_f} [\mathbb{D}_{r,1}] = \sum_{i=1}^N E^{(u_i)_f} [\mathbb{D}_{r,1}], \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\varrho(\gamma)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N L_i^2.$$

We thus have

$$\frac{L_{\varrho(\gamma)}^2}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r} \leq E^{u_f} [\mathbb{D}_{r,1}] \leq \frac{L_{\varrho(\gamma)}^2}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r} + C, \quad \forall r \in (0, 1).$$

Thus, \tilde{u} is a pluriharmonic map with logarithmic energy growth.

Let us prove the uniqueness assertion. Let $\tilde{v} = (\tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_N) : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be another ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic map with logarithmic energy growth. Then for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $\tilde{v}_i : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\text{pr}_i \circ \varrho$ -pluriharmonic map with logarithmic energy growth. By Proposition 2.3, $\partial \tilde{v}_i$ descends to a logarithmic form $\frac{1}{2} \omega_i$ that is pure imaginary. By (2.8), for any $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_i(\gamma.x) - \tilde{v}_i(x) &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{2} (\omega_i + \bar{\omega}_i) = \text{pr}_i \circ \varrho(\gamma)(\tilde{v}_i(x)) - \tilde{v}_i(x) \\ &= \text{pr}_i \circ \varrho(\gamma)(\tilde{u}_i(x)) - \tilde{u}_i(x) \lambda_i(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{2} (\zeta_i + \bar{\zeta}_i). \end{aligned}$$

By Claim 2.5, we have $\zeta_i = \omega_i$. It follows that $d\tilde{u} = d\tilde{v}$. Hence $\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}$ is a constant. The proposition is proved. \square

Let us prove Theorem A, except for Theorem A.(iv), whose proof is deferred to § 5.

Proof of Theorem A. Consider the enlarged Bruhat-Tits building $\Delta(G)$. It is indeed the product of the Bruhat-Tits building of $\Delta(\mathcal{D}G)$ where $\mathcal{D}G$ is the derived group of G , with a real Euclidean space $V := \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $G(K)$ acts on V by translation (cf. [KP23]). The fixator of any point in $\Delta(G)$ is an open and bounded subgroup of $G(K)$. Note that there is a natural action of $\mathcal{D}G(K)$ on $\Delta(G)$. The action of $G(K)$ on $\Delta(\mathcal{D}G)$ is given by the composition of $G(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}G(K)$ with the action of $\mathcal{D}G(K)$ on $\Delta(\mathcal{D}G)$.

We consider the representation $\sigma : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}G(K)$ induced by ϱ , which is Zariski dense. By [BDDM22], there exists a σ -equivariant pluriharmonic map $\tilde{u}_0 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{D}G)$ with logarithmic energy growth.

On the other hand, for the action of $G(K)$ on V , it induces a representation $\tau : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow (V, +)$. Let $a : X \rightarrow A$ be the quasi-Albanese map, and $\tilde{a} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ be a lift of a between universal covers. Note that τ factors through a representation $\tau' : \pi_1(A) \rightarrow (V, +)$. By Proposition 2.4, there exists a τ' -equivariant pluriharmonic map $\tilde{v} : \tilde{A} \rightarrow V$ which has logarithmic energy growth. Therefore, $\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow V$ is a τ -equivariant pluriharmonic map. Since $\partial\tilde{v}$ descends to a tuple of logarithmic 1-forms $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m\}$ on A that are pure imaginary, it implies that $\partial\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a}$ descends to $\{a^*\omega_1, \dots, a^*\omega_m\}$, that are also pure imaginary logarithmic 1-forms on (\bar{X}, Σ) . By Proposition 2.3, $\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a}$ has logarithmic energy growth. We define

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{u} : \tilde{X} &\rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{D}G) \times V \\ x &\mapsto (\tilde{u}_0(x), \tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varrho = (\sigma, \tau)$, \tilde{u} is ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic map. Since both \tilde{u}_0 and $\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a}$ have logarithmic energy growth, \tilde{u} also has logarithmic energy growth. The existence assertion in Theorem A.(i) is established.

Let us prove Theorem A.(ii). By [BDDM22, Theorem A], \tilde{u}_0 is harmonic with respect to an arbitrary Kähler metric ω on \tilde{X} . The pluriharmonicity of $\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a}$ yields that $\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a} \equiv 0$. Thus,

$$\Delta\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a} = -2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a} \equiv 0,$$

where Λ_ω denotes the contraction with ω . It follows that $\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a}$ is harmonic with respect to the metric ω . Therefore, \tilde{u} is harmonic with respect to the metric ω .

Finally, we prove Theorem A.(iii). Let \bar{Y} be a smooth projective compactification with $\Sigma_Y := \bar{Y} \setminus Y$ a simple normal crossing divisor such that f extends to morphism $\tilde{f} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$. Then by [BDDM22, Theorem A], $\tilde{u}_0 \circ \tilde{f} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ is a pluriharmonic map with logarithmic energy growth. By the above arguments, $\partial\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a} \circ \tilde{f} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow V$ descends to logarithmic forms $\{(a \circ f)^*\omega_1, \dots, (a \circ f)^*\omega_m\}$ on the log smooth pair (\bar{Y}, Σ_Y) , that are pure imaginary. By Proposition 2.3, $\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a} \circ \tilde{f}$ is pluriharmonic with logarithmic energy growth. Thus, $\tilde{u} \circ \tilde{f}$ is pluriharmonic with logarithmic energy growth. The theorem is proved. \square

3. MULTIVALUED SECTION AND SPECTRAL COVER

The notion of *multivalued sections* of a holomorphic vector bundle over a complex manifold has appeared in [CDY22, DW24b], and has proven to be important in studying the geometry of complex algebraic varieties that admit a local system over a non-archimedean local field. In this section, we provide a more systematic description of multivalued sections and their properties in a general setting. The construction of multivalued logarithmic 1-forms on log smooth pairs here is equivalent to, though simpler than, that in [CDY22].

3.1. Construction of spectral cover. We start with the following definition.

Definition 3.1 (Multivalued section). Let X be a complex manifold, and let E be a holomorphic vector bundle on X . A multivalued (holomorphic) section of E on X , denoted by η , is a formal sum $Z_\eta = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i Z_i$ where $n_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and each Z_i is an irreducible closed subvariety of E , such that the natural map $Z_i \rightarrow X$ is a finite and surjective.

A multivalued section η is *splitting*, if for each point $x \in X$, it has an open neighborhood Ω_x and holomorphic sections $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m\} \subset \Gamma(\Omega_x, E|_{\Omega_x})$, such that $Z_\eta|_{\Omega_x}$ is the graph of $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m\}$.

Note that in [CDY22], multivalued sections are splitting ones.

Let X be a complex manifold, and let E be a holomorphic vector bundle on X . Assume that η is a splitting multivalued section of E . Let T be a formal variable. Consider $\prod_{i=1}^m (T - \omega_i) =: T^m + \sigma_1 T^{m-1} + \dots + \sigma_m$, where $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m\}$ are local sections of E whose graph is Z_η . Then

σ_i is a local section of $\text{Sym}^i E$. One can see that σ_i is a global section in $H^0(X, \text{Sym}^i E)$. We call $T^m + \sigma_1 T^{m-1} + \dots + \sigma_m$ the *characteristic polynomial* of η , and denote it by $P_\eta(T)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a smooth projective variety endowed with a holomorphic vector bundle E . Let $X' \subset X$ be a topological dense open set. Let η be a splitting multivalued section of $E|_{X'}$ over X' . Assume that for the characteristic polynomial $P_\eta(T) = T^m + \sigma_1 T^{m-1} + \dots + \sigma_m$ of η , its coefficient $\sigma_i \in H^0(X', \text{Sym}^i E|_{X'})$ extends to a section in $H^0(X, \text{Sym}^i E)$ for each i . Then η extends to a multivalued section of E .*

Furthermore, there exists a ramified Galois cover $\pi : X^{\text{sp}} \rightarrow X$ with Galois group G from a projective normal variety such that $\pi^* \eta$ becomes single-valued, i.e., there exists sections $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\} \subset H^0(X^{\text{sp}}, \pi^* E)$ such that $\pi^* \eta = \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$. The group G acts on $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$ as a permutation.

Definition 3.3. The above Galois cover π is called the *spectral cover* of X with respect to η .

Proof. Denote by $\mu : E \rightarrow X$ be projection map. Let $\lambda \in H^0(E, \mu^* E)$ be the Liouville section defined by $\lambda(e) = e$ for any $e \in E$. Consider the section

$$P_\eta(\lambda) := \lambda^m + \mu^* \sigma_1 \lambda^{m-1} + \dots + \mu^* \sigma_m \in H^0(E, \mu^* \text{Sym}^m E).$$

Let $Z \subset E$ be the zero locus of $P_\eta(\lambda)$ (here we count multiplicities). By assumption, one can see that, $Z|_{X'} = Z_\eta$. Moreover, $\mu|_Z : Z \rightarrow X$ is a finite morphism. To show that Z is a multivalued section of E , we need to prove that, for each irreducible component Z_i of Z , $\mu|_{Z_i} : Z_i \rightarrow X$ is surjective.

Let Z^{norm} be the normalization of Z which might not be connected. Then the natural morphism $q : Z^{\text{norm}} \rightarrow X$ is finite. Consider the locus X° of X such that q is étale. Then X° is a Zariski dense open set of X . One can see that $X^\circ \supset X'$. Set $Z_\circ^{\text{norm}} := q^{-1}(X^\circ)$ and $Z^\circ := (\mu|_Z)^{-1}(X^\circ)$. Note that Z_\circ^{norm} is the normalization of Z° .

Claim 3.4. η extends to a splitting multivalued section of E on X° .

Proof. Note that $q : Z_\circ^{\text{norm}} \rightarrow X^\circ$ is étale of degree m . Hence for every $x \in X^\circ$, it has neighborhood Ω_x such that $q^{-1}(\Omega_x)$ is isomorphic to m copy of Ω_x . Thus it gives rise to m natural local sections $s_1, \dots, s_m : \Omega_x \rightarrow Z_\circ^{\text{norm}}$ of $q : Z_\circ^{\text{norm}} \rightarrow X$ such that $s_1(\Omega_x), \dots, s_m(\Omega_x)$ correspond to m components of $q^{-1}(\Omega_x)$. Let $\nu_Z : Z^{\text{norm}} \rightarrow Z$ be the normalization map. Then $\{\nu_Z \circ s_i : \Omega_x \rightarrow Z \subset E\}_{i=1, \dots, m} \subset H^0(\Omega_x, E|_{\Omega_x})$. Note that the graph of $\{\nu_Z \circ s_1, \dots, \nu_Z \circ s_m\}$ is $Z|_{\Omega_x}$. The claim is proved. \square

We still denote by η the extended multivalued section of $E|_{X^\circ}$.

Claim 3.5. *The étale morphism $q|_{Z_\circ^{\text{norm}}} : Z_\circ^{\text{norm}} \rightarrow X^\circ$ gives rise to a representation $\phi : \pi_1(X^\circ) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_m$ where \mathfrak{S}_m is the symmetric group of m elements. Let $\pi : Y^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$ be the Galois étale cover corresponding to the finite index subgroup $\ker \phi$ of $\pi_1(X^\circ)$. Then*

- *the normalization of the base change $Z^\circ \times_{X^\circ} Y^\circ$ is a quasi-projective variety with m connected component such that each component is isomorphic to Y° under the natural map $(Z^\circ \times_{X^\circ} Y^\circ)^{\text{norm}} \rightarrow Y^\circ$.*
- *There are sections $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\} \subset H^0(Y^\circ, \pi^* E)$ such that $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\} = \pi^* \eta$.*
- *G acts on $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$ as a permutation.*

Proof. We fix a base point $x_0 \in X'$. There exists an open neighborhood Ω_{x_0} of x_0 such that, the multivalued section $\eta|_{\Omega_{x_0}}$ is given by sections $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\} \subset H^0(\Omega_{x_0}, E|_{\Omega_{x_0}})$. Consider any loop γ of X° based at x_0 . Since $q|_{Z_\circ^{\text{norm}}} : Z_\circ^{\text{norm}} \rightarrow X^\circ$ is étale, by Definition 3.1, the transport of $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ along $Z_\circ^{\text{norm}}|_\gamma$ gives a permutation of $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ hence an element in the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_m of m elements. We can see that it only depends on the choice of homotopy class of γ and thus it corresponds to a representation $\phi : \pi_1(X^\circ) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_m$. Let $\pi : Y^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$ be the Galois étale cover with the Galois group $G := \pi_1(X^\circ)/\ker \phi$. Then for any loop $\gamma \in \pi_1(Y^\circ)$, the transport of $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ along $Z_\circ^{\text{norm}} \times_{X^\circ} Y^\circ|_\gamma$ is a trivial permutation, which thus gives rise to holomorphic sections $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\} \subset H^0(Y^\circ, \pi^* E)$. It follows that $\pi^* \eta = \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$. One can see that G acts on $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$ as a permutation.

Let $W^\circ \subset \pi^*E$ be the graph variety of $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$. One can see that W° coincides with $Z^\circ \times_{X^\circ} Y^\circ$. Hence the normalization $(Z^\circ \times_{X^\circ} Y^\circ)^{\text{norm}}$ is isomorphic to m copy of Y° . The claim is proved. \square

Note that $\pi : Y^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$ extends to a ramified Galois cover $Y \rightarrow X$ with the Galois group G , where Y is a projective normal variety. We still denote by $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ the extended cover.

Let $\nu : \pi^*E \rightarrow Y$ the natural projection map. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^*E & \xrightarrow{f} & E \\ \downarrow \nu & & \downarrow \mu \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

Let $\lambda' \in H^0(\pi^*E, \nu^*\pi^*E)$ be the Liouville section. Consider the section

$$Q(\lambda') := \lambda'^m + \nu^*\pi^*\sigma_1\lambda'^{m-1} + \dots + \nu^*\pi^*\sigma_m \in H^0(\pi^*E, \nu^*\pi^*\text{Sym}^m E).$$

Let $W \subset \pi^*E$ be the zero scheme of $Q'(\lambda')$. Note that $W|_{\nu^{-1}(Y^\circ)} = W^\circ$, that is the graph variety of $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$. Therefore, over $\nu^{-1}(Y^\circ)$, we have

$$Q(\lambda') = \prod_{i=1}^m (\lambda' - \eta_i).$$

By continuity, it follows that the above equality holds over the whole π^*E . Since we have $Q(\lambda') = f^*P_\eta(\lambda)$, W is equal to the scheme theoretic inverse image $f^{-1}(Z)$. Note that each irreducible component of W is mapped to Y surjectively. It follows that each irreducible component of Z is mapped to X surjectively. Hence Z is a multivalued section of $E \rightarrow X$. We write $\pi : X^{\text{sp}} \rightarrow X$ for $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$. The proposition is proved. \square

3.2. Invariant 1-forms on Bruhat-Tits buildings. Let G be a reductive algebraic group over a non-archimedean local field. Then G induces a real Euclidean space V endowed with a Euclidean metric and an affine Weyl group W acting on V isometrically. Such group W is a semidirect product $T \rtimes W^\nu$, where W^ν is the *vectorial Weyl group*, which is a finite group generated by reflections on V , and T is a translation group of V .

For any apartment A in $\Delta(G)$, there exists an isomorphism $i_A : A \rightarrow V$, which is called a chart. For two charts $i_{A_1} : A_1 \rightarrow V$ and $i_{A_2} : A_2 \rightarrow V$, if $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$, it satisfies the following properties:

- (a) $Y := i_{A_2}(i_{A_1}^{-1}(V))$ is convex.
- (b) There is an element $w \in W$ such that $w \circ i_{A_1}|_{A_1 \cap A_2} = i_{A_2}|_{A_1 \cap A_2}$.

Let us fix orthonormal coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_N) for V . Since $W^\nu \subset \text{GL}(V)$ acts on V isometrically, for any $w \in W^\nu$, (w^*x_1, \dots, w^*x_N) are orthonormal coordinates for V . We define a subset of V^* by setting

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi := \{w^*x_i\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, N\}; w \in W^\nu}.$$

Since W^ν is a finite group, then Φ is a finite set. Note that Φ is invariant under the action by W^ν . We write $\Phi = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$.

We define real affine functions

$$(3.2) \quad \beta_{A,i} := \beta_i \circ i_A(x)$$

on A for each i .

Lemma 3.6. *If $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$, then we have*

$$\{d\beta_{A_1,1}, \dots, d\beta_{A_1,m}\}|_{A_1 \cap A_2} = \{d\beta_{A_2,1}, \dots, d\beta_{A_2,m}\}|_{A_1 \cap A_2}.$$

Proof. By Item (b), there exists an element $w \in W$ such that $\beta_k \circ i_{A_2}|_{A_1 \cap A_2} = \beta_k \circ w \circ i_{A_1}|_{A_1 \cap A_2}$ for any $k = 1, \dots, m$. Recall that W^ν permutes Φ . It follows that there exist $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}$ and a permutation σ of m -elements such that

$$(3.3) \quad \beta_k \circ i_{A_2}|_{A_1 \cap A_2} = \beta_k \circ w \circ i_{A_1}|_{A_1 \cap A_2} = \beta_{\sigma(k)} \circ i_{A_1}|_{A_1 \cap A_2} - a_k$$

for any $k = 1, \dots, m$. This implies the lemma. \square

3.3. Multivalued 1-forms and spectral 1-forms. We prove Theorem C, except for Theorem C.(ii), whose proof is deferred to § 4.

Theorem 3.7. *Let (\bar{X}, Σ) be a smooth log pair. Let ϱ , G and \tilde{u} be as in Theorem A. Then*

- (i) *the pluriharmonic map \tilde{u} induces a multivalued logarithmic 1-form η on the log pair (\bar{X}, Σ) .*
- (ii) *There exists a ramified Galois cover $\pi : \bar{X}^{\text{sp}} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ such that $\pi^*\eta$ becomes single-valued; i.e., $\pi^*\eta := \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m\} \subset H^0(\bar{X}^{\text{sp}}, \pi^*\Omega_{\bar{X}}(\log \Sigma))$.*
- (iii) *Denote by $X^{\text{sp}} = \pi^{-1}(X)$, and let $\Sigma_1 := \bar{X}^{\text{sp}} \setminus X^{\text{sp}}$. Let $\mu : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}^{\text{sp}}$ be a log resolution of $(\bar{X}^{\text{sp}}, \Sigma_1)$, with $\Sigma_Y := \mu^{-1}(\Sigma_1)$ a simple normal crossing divisor. Then logarithmic forms $\{\mu^*\omega_1, \dots, \mu^*\omega_m\}$ are pure imaginary.*

Proof. Step 1. We assume that G is semi-simple. Let u be the corresponding section of $\tilde{X} \times_{\varrho} \Delta(G) \rightarrow X$ of \tilde{u} defined in § 1.2. Let $\mathcal{R}(u) \subset X$ be the regular locus of u defined in Definition 1.2. Then $X \setminus \mathcal{R}(u)$ is an open subset of X of Hausdorff codimension at least two by Lemma 1.3.

For any regular point $x \in \mathcal{R}(u)$ of u (cf. Definition 1.2), one can choose a simply-connected open neighborhood U of x such that

- (1) the inverse image $\pi_{\bar{X}}^{-1}(U) = \coprod_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha}$ is a union of disjoint open sets in \tilde{X} , each of which is mapped isomorphically onto U by $\pi_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.
- (2) For some $\alpha \in I$, there is an apartment A_{α} of $\Delta(G)$ such that $u(U_{\beta}) \subset A_{\beta}$.

Let $\Phi = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ be the subset of V^* defined in (3.1). For each apartment A of $\Delta(G)$, $\{\beta_{A,1}, \dots, \beta_{A,m}\}$ are the affine functions on A defined in (3.2). For each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we define a real function

$$(3.4) \quad u_{\alpha,j} = \beta_{A_{\alpha},j} \circ \tilde{u} \circ (\pi_X|_{U_{\alpha}})^{-1} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

By the pluriharmonicity of \tilde{u} , we have $\partial\bar{\partial}u_{\alpha,j} = 0$ for each j . Hence $\partial u_{\alpha,j}$ is a holomorphic 1-form on U . By [BDDM22, §4.2], the set of holomorphic 1-forms $\{\partial u_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \partial u_{\alpha,m}\}$ on U will glue together into a splitting multivalued 1-forms η over $\mathcal{R}(u)$. Moreover, for the characteristic polynomial $P_{\eta}(T) := T^m + \sigma_1 T^{m-1} + \dots + \sigma_m$ of η defined in § 3.1, each σ_i extends to a logarithmic 1-form in $H^0(\bar{X}, \Omega_{\bar{X}}(\log \Sigma))$. Hence conditions in Proposition 3.2 are fulfilled. It implies that, η extends to a multivalued logarithmic 1-form over (\bar{X}, Σ) , and there exists a spectral cover $\pi : \bar{X}^{\text{sp}} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ with respect to η . The first two assertions of the theorem are proved.

We denote by $\tilde{f} : (\bar{Y}, \Sigma_Y) \rightarrow (\bar{X}, \Sigma)$ be the morphism between log smooth pairs, that is the composition of μ and π . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be the restriction of \tilde{f} over Y . Then by Theorem A, $\tilde{u} \circ \tilde{f} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ is an $f^*\varrho$ -equivariant pluriharmonic map with logarithmic energy growth. Here we denote by $\tilde{f} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ the lift of f between the universal covers. Write $\tilde{v} := \tilde{u} \circ \tilde{f}$ and let v be the corresponding section.

We fix an irreducible component Σ_o of Σ_Y . Since $\mathcal{S}(u) := X \setminus \mathcal{R}(u)$ has Hausdorff codimension at least two, we can choose an embedded transverse disk $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$, such that $g^{-1}(\Sigma_o) = g^{-1}(\Sigma_Y) = \{0\}$, and $g(\mathbb{D})$ intersects with Σ_o transversely. Furthermore, $(f \circ g)^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(u))$ has Hausdorff dimension 0.

We fix the Euclidean metric $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ over \mathbb{D}^* . By the above construction, the multivalued 1-forms associated with the equivariant pluriharmonic maps we defined are functorial. In other words,

$$f^*\eta = \{\mu^*\omega_1, \dots, \mu^*\omega_m\} \subset H^0(\bar{Y}, \Omega_{\bar{Y}}(\log \Sigma_Y))$$

corresponds to the multivalued 1-form induced by \tilde{v} . Thus, applying (3.8.(i)) below, after rescaling of η by multiplying it by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{|W^v|}}$, we obtain the following over $f^{-1}(\mathcal{R}(u))$:

$$|\nabla v|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu^*\omega_i + \mu^*\bar{\omega}_i|^2,$$

where $|\nabla v|^2$ is the energy density function of v . Since $|\nabla v|^2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$, we conclude that the above equality holds over the whole Y .

Let v_g be the section of $\mathbb{D}^* \times_{(f \circ g)^* \varrho} \Delta(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^*$ defined in § 1.2. On the other hand, since $(f \circ g)^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(u))$ has Hausdorff dimension 0, by the same argument as above, we can conclude that

$$|\nabla v_g|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m |g^* \mu^* \omega_i + g^* \mu^* \bar{\omega}_i|^2.$$

Write $g^* \mu^* \omega_i = (a_i(z) + \sqrt{-1}b_i(z))d \log z$, where $a_i(z)$ and $b_i(z)$ are real harmonic functions on \mathbb{D} . Then by the same computation as in (2.5) and (2.6), there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad 8\pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i(0)|^2 + |b_i(0)|^2 \right) \log \frac{1}{r} \leq E^{vs}[\mathbb{D}_{r,1}] \leq 8\pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i(0)|^2 + |b_i(0)|^2 \right) \log \frac{1}{r} + C, \quad \forall r \in (0, 1).$$

Let $\gamma \in \pi_1(Y)$ be the element representing the loop $\theta \mapsto g(\frac{1}{2}e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$. Since v has logarithmic energy growth, by Definition 1.6, we have

$$(3.6) \quad L_{f^* \varrho(\gamma)}^2 = 16\pi^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i(0)|^2 + |b_i(0)|^2 \right).$$

Since $(f \circ g)^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(u))$ has Hausdorff dimension 0, by [Shi68, Corollary 1] there exists a subset $I \subset (0, 1)$ of Lebesgue measure 1, such that for each $r \in I$, the loop ℓ_r in \mathbb{D}^* defined by $\theta \mapsto re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$, does not intersect with $(f \circ g)^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(u))$. Let $\gamma \in \pi_1(Y)$ be the element representing the loop $\theta \mapsto g(re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$. In this case, the translation length $L_{f^* \varrho(\gamma)}$ satisfies that, for any $r \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{f^* \varrho(\gamma)} &\leq \oint_{\ell_r} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m |(g^* \mu^* \omega_i + g^* \mu^* \bar{\omega}_i) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)|^2} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m |2b_i(re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})|^2} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

If letting $r \in I$ tends to 0, we have

$$L_{f^* \varrho(\gamma)}^2 \leq 16\pi^2 \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^2(0).$$

It follows from (3.6) that $a_i(0) = 0$ for each i . Thus, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have $\text{Res}_{\Sigma_o} \mu^* \omega_i = \sqrt{-1}b_i(0)$, which is pure imaginary. Since Σ_o is an arbitrary irreducible component of Σ_Y , it follows that $\mu^* \omega_1, \dots, \mu^* \omega_m$ are pure imaginary logarithmic forms. The theorem is thus proved when G is semisimple.

Step 2. We assume that G is reductive. We shall use the notation introduced in the proof of Theorem A without recalling them explicitly. Recall that $\Delta(G) = \Delta(\mathcal{D}G) \times V$, where V is isometric to \mathbb{R}^N , and $G(K)$ acts on V by translation. Note that \tilde{u} is the product of a σ -equivariant pluriharmonic map $\tilde{u}_0 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{D}G)$ with logarithmic energy growth, and a τ -equivariant pluriharmonic map $\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow V$, also with logarithmic energy growth. Thus, the multivalued 1-form η induced by \tilde{u} is merely the union of the multivalued 1-form η_0 induced by \tilde{u}_0 , and the logarithmic 1-forms $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k\} \subset H^0(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\log \Sigma))$ induced by $\partial(\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a})$. Hence, the spectral cover $\pi : \overline{X^{\text{sp}}} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ with respect to η coincides with the spectral cover with respect to η_0 , whose existence is ensured by Step 1. The first two items are proved.

By Step 1, $f^* \eta_0$ is a finite set of pure imaginary logarithmic 1-forms. Recall that in Theorem A, we prove that $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k\}$ are pure imaginary. Thus, $f^* \zeta_i$ is also pure imaginary for each i . We conclude that $f^* \eta = f^* \eta_0 \cup \{f^* \zeta_1, \dots, f^* \zeta_k\}$ is a set of pure imaginary logarithmic 1-forms. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

By the proof of Theorem 3.7.(i), if G is semi-simple, at each point x_0 of $\mathcal{R}(u)$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x_0 such that, η is given by holomorphic 1-forms $\{\partial u_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \partial u_{\alpha,m}\}$,

where $u_{\alpha,j} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined in (3.4). Let U_α be a connected component of $\pi_X^{-1}(U)$ introduced in item (1). Note that

$$|\nabla u|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N |dx_i \circ i_{A_\alpha} \circ \tilde{u} \circ (\pi_X|_{U_\alpha})^{-1}|^2,$$

where $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ is some orthogonal coordinates for V defined in § 3.2. For any $w \in W$, note that $\{w^* dx_1, \dots, w^* dx_N\}$ is a orthogonal basis for TV^* . Hence, by the definition of Φ defined in (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m |\partial u_{\alpha,j}| &= \sum_{w \in W^v} \sum_{i=1}^N |w^* \partial x_i \circ i_{A_\alpha} \circ \tilde{u} \circ (\pi_X|_{U_\alpha})^{-1}|^2 \\ &= |W^v| \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial x_i \circ i_{A_\alpha} \circ \tilde{u} \circ (\pi_X|_{U_\alpha})^{-1}|^2 \\ (3.7) \quad &= \frac{|W^v|}{2} |\nabla u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The following result will be used in § 5.

Lemma 3.8. *Let X , G , ϱ and \tilde{u} be as in Theorem A. Then there exists a multivalued logarithmic 1-form η on (\bar{X}, Σ) , that is splitting over $\mathcal{R}(u)$, such that for any point $x \in \mathcal{R}(u)$, it has a simply connected open neighborhood U satisfying:*

(i) *over U , η is represented by some holomorphic 1-forms $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{N\ell}\}$ on Ω , and*

$$(3.8) \quad |\nabla u|^2 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N\ell} |\omega_j|^2,$$

where N is the K -rank of G , and ℓ is the cardinality of the vectorial Weyl group W^v of $\mathcal{D}G$.

(ii) *There exists a partition of $\sqcup_{i=1}^\ell \{\omega_{i,1}, \dots, \omega_{i,N}\} = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{N\ell}\}$ satisfying*

• *for each $i = 2, \dots, \ell$, there exists a constant matrix $M_i \in \mathbf{O}(N, \mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$(3.9) \quad [\omega_{i,1}, \dots, \omega_{i,N}] = [\omega_{1,1}, \dots, \omega_{1,N}] \cdot M_i.$$

• *If there exists some apartment A of $\Delta(G)$, such that $\tilde{u}(U_\alpha) \subset A$, where U_α is some connected component of $\pi_X^{-1}(U)$, then for any isometry $i : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, denoting $(u_1, \dots, u_N) = i \circ \tilde{u} \circ (\pi_X|_{U_\alpha})^{-1} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, we have*

$$(3.10) \quad [\partial u_1, \dots, \partial u_N] = [\omega_{1,1}, \dots, \omega_{1,N}] \cdot M \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}}$$

for some constant matrix $M \in \mathbf{O}(N, \mathbb{R})$.

(iii) *For each $p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, η induces a multivalued section η^p on $\Omega_{\bar{X}}^p(\log \Sigma)$.*

Proof. We shall use the notations in Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.7. For each point x_0 of $\mathcal{R}(u_0)$, there exists a simply connected neighborhood U of x_0 such that, for some connected component U_α of $\pi_X^{-1}(U)$, $\tilde{u}_0(U_\alpha)$ is contained in some apartment A of $\Delta(\mathcal{D}G)$. Let (W^v, V) be data of $\mathcal{D}G$ defined in § 3.2. Let N' be the dimension of $\Delta(\mathcal{D}G)$ and ℓ be the cardinality of W^v . Fix orthonormal coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_{N'})$ for V .

We use the notations in § 3.2. Define a set of holomorphic 1-forms on U with a partition as follows:

$$(3.11) \quad \sqcup_{w \in W^v} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} w^* \partial x_1 \circ i_A \circ \tilde{u}_0 \circ (\pi_X|_{U_\alpha})^{-1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} w^* \partial x_{N'} \circ i_A \circ \tilde{u}_0 \circ (\pi_X|_{U_\alpha})^{-1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} \xi_1, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} \xi_k \right\},$$

where ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k are logarithmic 1-forms on (\bar{X}, Σ) induced by the pluriharmonic map $\tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a}$. Thus we have $N = N' + k$, as N is also the dimension of $\Delta(G)$. By Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.7.(i),

(3.11) gives rise to a multivalued logarithmic 1-form on (\overline{X}, Σ) , denoted by η . By (3.7), we have

$$|\nabla u_0|^2 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N'} \frac{1}{|W^v|} |\partial x_1 \circ i_A \circ \tilde{u}_0 \circ (\pi_X|_{U_\alpha})|^2 + \cdots + |\partial x_{N'} \circ i_A \circ \tilde{u}_0 \circ (\pi_X|_{U_\alpha})|^2$$

Note that $\partial \tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a} = (\pi_X^* \xi_1, \dots, \pi_X^* \xi_k)$. Since $|\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla u_0|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v} \circ \tilde{a}|^2$, it yields (3.8).

Note that w is an isometry of V . Lemma 3.8.(ii) follows directly from the construction of η in (3.11).

Let us prove Lemma 3.8.(iii). For each $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_p \leq n$, we define a set of holomorphic p -forms with a partition given by

$$\sqcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \{\pm \omega_{j,i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{j,i_p}\}_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_p \leq n}.$$

By (3.11), this is a well-defined splitting multivalued p -form on $\mathcal{R}(u)$, denoted by η^p .

We fix a smooth hermitian metric h for the vector bundle $\Omega_{\overline{X}}(\log \Sigma)$. It induces a hermitian metric h^p on $\Omega_{\overline{X}}^p(\log \Sigma)$. Since the support $|Z_\eta|$ is compact, there exists a uniform constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\omega_{j,i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{j,i_p}(x)|_{h^p} \leq C, \quad \forall x \in U \cap \mathcal{R}(u)$$

for each I . Let $P_{\eta^p}(T) = T^M + \sigma_1 T^{M-1} + \cdots + \sigma_M$ be the characteristic polynomial of η^p defined in § 3.1, with $\sigma_i \in H^0(\mathcal{R}(u), \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{\overline{X}}^p(\log \Sigma)|_{\mathcal{R}(u)})$. Then the norm of σ_i with respect to the metric h^p is uniformly bounded. By the Hartogs theorem in [Shi68], each σ_i extends to a section of $\text{Sym}^i \Omega_{\overline{X}}^p(\log \Sigma)$ on \overline{X} . The conditions in Proposition 3.2 are fulfilled. We conclude that ϕ^p extends to a multivalued section of $\Omega_{\overline{X}}^p(\log \Sigma)$ on \overline{X} . The last assertion is proved. \square

Remark 3.9. When X is a compact Kähler manifold, spectral covers associated with equivariant harmonic maps to Euclidean buildings were systematically studied by Eyssidieux in [Eys04]. The construction of spectral covers presented here follows the approach of Klingler [Kli13], while the definition of multivalued 1-forms builds on the ideas of [Eys04], which differ slightly from those in [BDDM22, §4].

4. UNICITY OF PLURIHARMONIC MAPS

4.1. Uniqueness of energy density function. Throughout this subsection, G is a reductive algebraic group defined over a non-archimedean local field K . We begin with the following definition.

Definition 4.1 (Directional energy). Let $u : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ be a locally finite energy map from a Riemannian domain Ω . For $V \in \Gamma(\Omega, T_\Omega)$, the *directional energy* defined in [KS93, Theorem 1.9.6] is denoted by $|u_*(V)|^2$. By [KS93, Lemma 1.9.3 and Theorem 2.3.2],

$$|u_*(V)|^2(p) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d^2(u(p), u(\exp_p(tV)))}{t^2} \text{ for a.e. } p \in \Omega.$$

Remark 4.2. Let M be a Riemannian manifold, $\varrho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow G(K)$ be a representation and $u : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ be a ϱ -equivariant map. Given a vector field V defined on M , lift it to \tilde{M} and denote it again by V . Then the energy density function $|u_*(V)|^2$ is a $\pi_1(M)$ -invariant function on \tilde{M} and thus descends to a well-defined L_{loc}^1 -function on M .

Proposition 4.3. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety of dimension n and $\varrho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G(K)$ be a representation. If $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ are two ϱ -equivariant pluriharmonic maps of logarithmic energy growth, then we have*

- (i) $d(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = c$ for some constant $c \geq 0$;
- (ii) $|\tilde{u}_*(V)|^2 = |\tilde{v}_*(V)|^2$ for any holomorphic vector field $V \in \Gamma(\Omega, T_\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset \tilde{X}$ is an open set.

Proof. If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = 1$, then the proposition follows from [DM23a, Lemma 5.8]. Assume by induction that the assertions are both true if $\dim X = n - 1$. We take a smooth projective compactification \overline{X} for X such that $\Sigma := \overline{X} \setminus X$ is a simple normal crossing divisor.

We fix an projective embedding $\iota : \bar{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ and denote by $L := \iota^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(3)$. Let $\mathbb{U}(q) \subset H^0(\bar{X}, L)$ be defined in Proposition 1.7. For any element $s \in H^0(\bar{X}, L)$, let $\iota_{Y_s} : Y_s \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map defined in Proposition 1.7.

Choose any $q \in X$, and any $\tilde{q} \in \tilde{X}$ such that $\pi_X(\tilde{q}) = q$. By Proposition 1.7.(iii), for any section $s \in \mathbb{U}(q)$, letting $\tilde{\iota}_{Y_s} : \tilde{Y}_s \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the lift of ι_{Y_s} between universal covers, we have $\pi_X^{-1}(q) \subset \tilde{\iota}_{Y_s}(\tilde{Y}_s)$. Hence there exists $\tilde{q}_s \in \tilde{Y}_s$ such that $\tilde{\iota}_{Y_s}(\tilde{q}_s) = \tilde{q}$. By Theorem A, the ϱ_{Y_s} -equivariant maps \widetilde{u}_{Y_s} and \widetilde{v}_{Y_s} defined in § 1.2 are pluriharmonic maps of logarithmic energy. The inductive hypothesis implies that there exists a constant $c_{Y_s} \geq 0$ such that $d(\widetilde{u}_{Y_s}(y), \widetilde{v}_{Y_s}(y)) = c_{Y_s}$ for each $y \in \tilde{Y}_s$. Since $\widetilde{u}_{Y_s} = \tilde{u} \circ \tilde{\iota}_{Y_s}$ and $\widetilde{v}_{Y_s} = \tilde{v} \circ \tilde{\iota}_{Y_s}$, it follows that for any other $s' \in \mathbb{U}(q)$, we have

$$c_{Y_s} = d(\widetilde{u}_{Y_s}(\tilde{q}_s), \widetilde{v}_{Y_s}(\tilde{q}_s)) = d(\tilde{u}(\tilde{q}), \tilde{v}(\tilde{q})) = d(\widetilde{u}_{Y_{s'}}(\tilde{q}_{s'}), \widetilde{v}_{Y_{s'}}(\tilde{q}_{s'})) = c_{Y_{s'}}.$$

Hence c_{Y_s} does not depend on the choice of $s \in \mathbb{U}(q)$, which we shall denote by c .

Let p be any other point in X . Then by Proposition 1.7.(ii), there exists $s \in \mathbb{U}(q)$ such that $p \in Y_s$. By Proposition 1.7.(iii), for any $\tilde{p} \in \pi_X^{-1}(p)$, there exists $\tilde{p}_s \in \tilde{Y}_s$ such that $\tilde{\iota}_{Y_s}(\tilde{p}_s) = \tilde{p}$. It follows that

$$d(\tilde{u}(\tilde{p}), \tilde{v}(\tilde{p})) = d(\widetilde{u}_{Y_s}(\tilde{p}_s), \widetilde{v}_{Y_s}(\tilde{p}_s)) = c.$$

Thus, we conclude that $d(\tilde{u}(x), \tilde{v}(x)) \equiv c$ for each $x \in \tilde{X}$.

Let us prove the second assertion. For any local smooth vector field V on \tilde{X} , we know that $|\tilde{u}_*(V)|^2, |\tilde{v}_*(V)|^2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$, and thus it suffices to prove Proposition 4.3.(ii) over the dense open subset $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{u}) \cap \mathcal{R}(\tilde{v})$. Since \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} are both smooth over $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{u}) \cap \mathcal{R}(\tilde{v})$, it suffices to prove that for any point $\tilde{q} \in \mathcal{R}(\tilde{u}) \cap \mathcal{R}(\tilde{v})$, and any $V \in T_{\tilde{q}}\tilde{X}$, we have

$$|\tilde{u}_*(V)|^2 = |\tilde{v}_*(V)|^2.$$

Set $q = \pi_X(\tilde{q})$. By Proposition 1.7.(ii), there exists $s \in \mathbb{U}(q)$ such that $(\pi_X)_*V \in T_q Y_s$. Hence $V \in T_{\tilde{q}}\tilde{Y}_s$.

By the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$|\tilde{u}_*(V)|^2 = |(\widetilde{u}_{Y_s})_*(V)|^2 = |(\widetilde{v}_{Y_s})_*(V)|^2 = |\tilde{v}_*(V)|^2.$$

This yields the second assertion. The proposition is proved. \square

4.2. Proof of unicity theorem. Recall the following definition from [GS92].

Definition 4.4 ([GS92], Section 6). We say that a nonpositively curved N -dimensional complex \mathcal{F} is F -connected if any two adjacent simplices are contained in a totally geodesic subcomplex A which is isometric to a subset of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N .

The regular set and the singular set of a harmonic map into a F -connected complex is defined analogously as in Definition 1.2.

A neighborhood of a point $P_0 \in \Delta(G)$ is isometric to a neighborhood of the origin in the tangent cone $T_{P_0}\Delta(G)$. Two simplices (which are actually simplicial cones) in $T_{P_0}\Delta(G)$ are contained in a totally geodesic subcomplex $T_{P_0}A$ where A is an apartment of $\Delta(G)$. In other words, $T_{P_0}\Delta(G)$ is an N -dimensional F -connected complex. Thus, when we study the local behavior of harmonic maps $u : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ at a point $x_0 \in \Omega$, we can assume that u maps into the N -dimensional, F -connected complex $T_{P_0}\Delta(G)$ where $P_0 = u(x_0)$.

Lemma 4.5 ([GS92], proof of Proposition 2.2). *Let $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a harmonic map from an n -dimensional Riemannian domain to a F -connected complex and $x_0 \in \Omega$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ and $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\sigma \rightarrow \frac{e^{c\sigma^2} \sigma \int_{B_{\sigma}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu}{\min_{Q \in \Delta(G)} \int_{\partial B_{\sigma}(x_0)} d^2(u, Q) d\Sigma}$$

is a non-decreasing functions in the interval $(0, \sigma_0)$. \square

Definition 4.6. For u and x_0 as in Lemma 4.5, we set

$$\text{Ord}^u(x_0) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{c\sigma^2} \sigma \int_{B_\sigma(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu}{\min_{Q \in \Delta(G)} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(x_0)} d^2(u, Q) d\Sigma}.$$

As a limit of non-decreasing sequence of functions, $x \mapsto \text{Ord}^u(x)$ is a upper semicontinuous function. Thus, we have the following:

- (a) By [GS92, Lemma 1.3], $\text{Ord}^u(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in \Omega$.
- (b) By [GS92, Theorem 6.3.(i)], if $x_i \rightarrow x$ and $\text{Ord}^u(x_i) > 1$, then $\text{Ord}^u(x) > 1$.

Lemma 4.7 ([GS92], proof of Theorem 6.4). *Let u be as in Lemma 4.5 and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0(u)$ to be the set of points $x \in \Omega$ such that $\text{Ord}^u(x) > 1$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0(u)$ is a closed set such that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0(u)) \leq n-2$. \square*

Lemma 4.8 ([GS92], proof of Proposition 2.2, Theorem 2.3). *Let u and x_0 be as in Lemma 4.5 and let $\alpha := \text{Ord}^u(x_0)$. There exists a constant $c > 0$ and $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\sigma \rightarrow \frac{e^{c\sigma^2}}{\sigma^{n-1+2\alpha}} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(x_0)} d^2(u, u(x_0)) d\Sigma$$

and

$$\sigma \rightarrow \frac{e^{c\sigma^2}}{\sigma^{n-2+2\alpha}} \int_{B_\sigma(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu$$

are non-decreasing functions in the interval $(0, \sigma_0)$. \square

Remark 4.9. For a finite energy map $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ into a F -connected complex, $|\nabla u|^2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ is not necessarily defined at all points of Ω . On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 4.8 that for a harmonic map u , we can define $|\nabla u|^2$ at every point of $x_0 \in \Omega$ by setting

$$|\nabla u|^2(x_0) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{c_n \sigma^n} \int_{B_\sigma(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu$$

where $c_n \sigma^n$ is the volume of a ball of radius σ in Euclidean space.

Let $u : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ be a harmonic map and $x_0 \in \Omega$. Use normal coordinates centered at x_0 to identify $x_0 = 0$ and let $\mathbb{B}_r(0) = \{x = (x^1, \dots, x^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < r\}$. As mentioned above, we can identify a neighborhood of $u(0)$ with a neighborhood of the origin \mathcal{O} of the tangent cone $T_{u(0)}\Delta(G)$. For $\mu > 0$ and $P \in T_{u(0)}\Delta(G)$, denote by μP to be the point in $T_{u(0)}\Delta(G)$ on the geodesic ray emanating from \mathcal{O} and going through P at a distance $\mu d(\mathcal{O}, P)$ from \mathcal{O} . Let

$$\mu(\sigma) = \left(\sigma^{1-n} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(0)} d^2(u, u(0)) d\Sigma \right)^{-1}.$$

Definition 4.10. The *blow up map* is defined by

$$u_\sigma : \mathbb{B}_1(0) \rightarrow T_{u(0)}\Delta(G), \quad u_\sigma(x) = \mu(\sigma)u(\sigma x).$$

By [GS92, Proposition 3.3] and the paragraph proceeding it, there exists a sequence $\sigma_i \rightarrow 0$ such that u_{σ_i} converges locally uniformly to a non-constant homogeneous harmonic map u_* of degree $\alpha := \text{Ord}^u(x_0)$.

If $\text{Ord}^u(x_0) = 1$, then have the following:

- (a) By [GS92, Proposition 3.1], there exists $m \in \{1, \dots, \min\{n, N\}\}$ such that

$$u_* = J \circ v \Big|_{\mathbb{B}_1(0)}$$

for an isometric and totally geodesic embedding $J : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow T_{u(0)}\Delta(G)$ and a linear map $v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ of full rank.

- (b) By [GS92, Lemma 6.2], the union of all N -flats of $T_{u(0)}\Delta(G)$ containing $J(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathcal{F}$ where \mathcal{F} is a $(N-m)$ -dimensional, F -connected complex.

(c) By [GS92, Theorem 6.3], there exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that $u(B_{\sigma_0}(x_0)) \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathcal{F}$. If we write

$$(4.1) \quad u = (u^1, u^2) : B_{\sigma_0}(x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathcal{F},$$

then $u^1 : B_{\sigma_0}(x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a smooth harmonic map of rank m and $u^2 : B_{\sigma_0}(x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a harmonic map with $\alpha_2 := \text{Ord}^{u^2}(x_0) \geq 1 + \epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$.

Definition 4.11. Let $u : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ be a harmonic map. For $x_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0(u)$, define $m_{x_0} = 0$. For $x_0 \in \Omega \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(u)$, let m_{x_0} be the integer m in eq. (4.1). Let $M := \sup_{x_0 \in \Omega} m_{x_0}$. We say the point $x_0 \in \Omega$ is a *critical point* if $m_{x_0} < M$. We denote the set of critical points by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u)$. Define $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u) = \Omega \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(u)$.

Lemma 4.12. *If $u : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ is a non-constant harmonic map, then $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u) \subset \mathcal{R}(u)$.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u)$; i.e. $m_{x_0} = M$ where M is as in Definition 4.11 and there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that we can write

$$(4.2) \quad u = (u^1, u^2) : B_\sigma(x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M \times \mathcal{F}.$$

By choosing $\sigma > 0$ smaller if necessary, we can assume that u^1 is of rank M at all points $x \in B_{\sigma_0}(x_0)$. Therefore, the restriction of (4.2) to $B_r(x)$ is an expression of u as $u = (u_1, u_2)$ as in eq. (4.1) in $B_r(x) \subset B_{\sigma_0}(x_0)$. By eq. (4.3), $|\nabla u^2|^2(x) = 0$ for all $x \in B_{\sigma_0}(x_0)$. Thus, we conclude that $u^2 \equiv P_0$ for some $P_0 \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence $u(B_\sigma(x_0)) \subset \mathbb{R}^M \times \{P\}$ which implies $B_\sigma(x_0) \subset \mathcal{R}(u)$. \square

Lemma 4.13. *Let u and x_0 be as in Lemma 4.5. Then*

$$\text{Ord}^u(x_0) > 1 \iff |\nabla u|^2(x_0) = 0.$$

Proof. First, assume $\alpha := \text{Ord}^u(x_0) > 1$. Lemma 4.8 implies that there exists a constant $C > 0$ and $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that for $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0)$

$$\int_{\partial B_\sigma(x_0)} d^2(u, u(x_0)) d\Sigma \leq C\sigma^{n-1+2\alpha}.$$

By Remark 4.9, the above inequality and $\alpha > 1$ imply (with c_n equal to the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n)

$$(4.3) \quad |\nabla u|^2(x_0) = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{c_n \sigma^n} \int_{B_\sigma(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{c_n \sigma^{n+1}} \int_{\partial B_\sigma(x_0)} d^2(u, u(x_0)) d\Sigma = 0$$

Next, assume $\text{Ord}^u(x_0) = 1$. Use normal coordinates centered at x_0 and write $u = (u^1, u^2)$ as in eq. (4.1). Define $\theta u = (\theta u^1, \theta u^2)$ by setting $\theta u(x) = \theta^{-1} u(\theta x)$. From [GS92, (5.14)], $\theta u \rightarrow L$ uniformly on compact subsets to a non-constant homogeneous degree 1 map L . Furthermore, since $\alpha_2 := \text{Ord}^{u^2}(x_0) > 1$ (cf. (c)), arguing analogously as (4.3), we get

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_1(0)} d^2(\theta u^2, \theta u^2(0)) d\Sigma = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\theta^{n+1}} \int_{\partial B_\theta(0)} d^2(u^2, u^2(0)) d\Sigma = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} C\theta^{2\alpha_2-2} = 0.$$

By the maximum principle, this implies that $\theta u^2 \rightarrow \theta u^2(0) = u^2(0)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $B_1(0)$. This in turn implies that $\theta u^1 \rightarrow L$ uniformly on compact subsets of $B_1(0)$. Since θu^1 is a smooth harmonic map, $\theta u^1 \rightarrow L$ in C^k for any k in any compact subset of $B_1(0)$. Since $|\nabla L|^2(0) > 0$, we also have $|\nabla u^1|^2(0) = |\nabla_{\theta u^1}|^2(0) > 0$. Therefore, $|\nabla u|^2 > 0$. \square

Lemma 4.14. *The set of critical points $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(u)$ is a closed set of Hausdorff dimension at most $n - 2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.12, $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u) \cup (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(u) \cap \mathcal{R}(u))$. By [GS92, Theorem 6.4], $\mathcal{S}(u)$ is a closed set of Hausdorff dimension at most $n - 2$. Thus, the assertion follows from the fact that the Hausdorff dimension of the set of critical points of a harmonic map into Euclidean space is at most $n - 2$. \square

Lemma 4.15. *For a non-constant harmonic map $u : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta(G)$, let $\Omega^*(u)$ be the set of points $x \in \Omega$ such that there exists $r > 0$ and a chamber C such that $u(B_r(x)) \subset \overline{C}$. Then $\Omega^*(u)$ is an open set of full measure in Ω .*

Proof. The openness of $\Omega^*(u)$ follows from its definition. Denote the complement of $\Omega^*(u)$ by $\Omega^*(u)^c$. We want to show that $\Omega^*(u)^c$ has zero measure. Since $\tilde{S}(u)$ has zero measure, it is sufficient to show that $\Omega^*(u)^c \cap \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u)$ has zero measure.

On the contrary, assume that $\Omega^*(u)^c \cap \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u)$ has positive measure. Then there exists a point $x_0 \in \Omega^*(u)^c \cap \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u)$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(B_\varrho(x_0) \cap \Omega^*(u)^c)}{\mu(B_\varrho(x_0))} = \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(B_\varrho(x_0) \cap \Omega^*(u)^c \cap \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u))}{\mu(B_\varrho(x_0))} = 1.$$

Since $x_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u)$, Lemma 4.12 implies $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}(u)$. Thus, there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{N} of x_0 and a totally geodesic subcomplex A_{x_0} isometric to \mathbb{R}^N such that $u(\mathcal{N}) \subset A$. By choosing \mathcal{N} smaller if necessary, we can assume that $u|_{\mathcal{N}}$ has no critical points since $x_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u)$.

For $k = 0, \dots, N$, denote the k -skeleton of $\Delta(G)$ by $\Delta(G)^{(k)}$. Let k be the smallest integer such that $u(\mathcal{N}) \subset \Delta(G)^{(k)}$. Since u is not locally constant (cf. [GS92, Proposition 4.3]), $k \geq 1$.

First, assume $u(\mathcal{N}) \cap \Delta(G)^{(k-1)} = \emptyset$. Let C be a chamber such that $u(x_0) \in \bar{C}$. Since $u(\mathcal{N}) \subset \Delta(G)^{(k)}$ and $u(\mathcal{N}) \cap \Delta(G)^{(k-1)} = \emptyset$, we conclude that $u(\mathcal{N})$ is a k -dimensional face of \bar{C} . Thus, $u(\mathcal{N}) \subset \bar{C}$. This shows that, for $\varrho > 0$ sufficiently small $B_\varrho(x_0) \subset \mathcal{N} \subset \Omega^*(u)$, contradicting eq. (4.4).

Next, assume $u(\mathcal{N}) \cap \Delta(G)^{(k-1)} \neq \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{N} has no critical points of u , $(u|_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1}(\Delta(G)^{(k-1)})$ is a union of smooth $(n-1)$ -dimensional submanifolds. For any point $x \in \mathcal{N} \setminus (u|_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1}(\Delta(G)^{(k-1)})$, there exists $r > 0$ and a chamber C such that $u(B_r(x)) \subset \bar{C}$. Thus, $\mathcal{N} \setminus (u|_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1}(\Delta(G)^{(k-1)}) \subset \Omega^*(u)$, again contradicting eq. (4.4). \square

Remark 4.16. Note that Lemma 4.15 is of independent interest. For instance, it played a crucial role in [DW24a] in the study of Kollár's conjecture on the positivity of the holomorphic Euler characteristic for varieties with large fundamental groups.

Proposition 4.17. *Let $u_0, u_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta(G)$ be harmonic maps from a bounded Riemannian domain. If $d(u_0, u_1) = c$ for some constant $c \geq 0$ and $|\nabla u_0|^2 = |\nabla u_1|^2$, then for almost all points $x \in \tilde{X}$, there exists $r > 0$ satisfying the following:*

- (i) *There is a N -flat A containing both $u_0(B_r(x))$ and $u_1(B_r(x))$;*
- (ii) *If we fix an isometry $\nu : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, then $\nu \circ u_0 : B_r(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a translation of $\nu \circ u_1 : B_r(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$.*

Proof. For $i = 0, 1$, let $\Omega^*(u_i)$ be the open set of full measure as in Lemma 4.15. Thus, $\Omega^*(u_0) \cap \Omega^*(u_1)$ is of full measure. Lemma 4.15 implies that, for any $x_0 \in \Omega^*(u_0) \cap \Omega^*(u_1)$, there exists $r > 0$ and a chamber C_i such that $u_i(B_r(x_0)) \subset C_i$ for $i = 0, 1$. Let A be N -flat containing chambers C_0 and C_1 and $\nu : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be an isometry. Thus, $\nu \circ u_0$ and $\nu \circ u_1$ are harmonic maps into \mathbb{R}^N . The assumption that $d(u_0, u_1) = c$ implies that $|\nu \circ u_0(x) - \nu \circ u_1(x)| = c$. Thus, $0 = \Delta|\nu \circ u_0 - \nu \circ u_1|^2 = 2|\nabla(\nu \circ u_0 - \nu \circ u_1)|^2$ which implies $\nu \circ u_0$ is a translation of $\nu \circ u_1$. \square

We are able to prove Theorem B.

Proof of Theorem B. The assertion follows immediately from Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.17 below. \square

Proof of Theorem C.(ii). By Theorem B, there exists a dense open subset $\tilde{X}^\circ \subset \tilde{X}$ of full Lebesgue measure such that, for any $x \in \tilde{X}^\circ$,

- (a) there exists an open neighborhood Ω of x and an apartment A of $\Delta(G)$ such that $\tilde{u}_i(\Omega) \subset A$ for $i = 0, 1$;
- (b) the map $\tilde{u}_0|_{\Omega} : \Omega \rightarrow A$ is a translate of $\tilde{u}_1|_{\Omega} : \Omega \rightarrow A$

By the construction in [BDDM22], the multivalued 1-forms η_i induced \tilde{u}_i for $i = 0, 1$ are equal over \tilde{X}° , and splitting over \tilde{X}° . By Definition 3.1, we conclude that $\eta_1 = \eta_2$ over the entire X . The claim is proved. \square

5. ON THE SINGULAR SET OF HARMONIC MAPS INTO EUCLIDEAN BUILDINGS

In this section, we apply Lemma 3.8 and the results from § 4.2 to prove Theorem A.(iv), following the idea by Eyssidieux in [Eys04, Proposition 1.3.3].

Theorem 5.1 (=Theorem A.(iv)). *Let X , ϱ , G and \tilde{u} be as in Theorem A. Then the singular set $S(u)$ defined in Definition 1.2 is contained in a proper Zariski closed subset of X .*

Proof. We assume that \tilde{u} is non-constant. We shall use the notions in § 4.2 with Ω being \tilde{X} . Let M be the positive integer defined in Definition 4.11. Let η be the logarithmic multivalued 1-form induced by \tilde{u} defined in Lemma 3.8. Let $Z_\eta = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i Z_i$ be the formal sum corresponding to η defined in Definition 3.1, where each Z_i is an irreducible closed subvariety of E such that the natural map $Z_i \rightarrow X$ is surjective and finite. Let $|Z_\eta| = \cup_{i=1}^k Z_i \subset \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\log \Sigma)$ be the support of Z_η .

Let M be the positive integer defined in Definition 4.11. Consider the holomorphic bundle $E := \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^M(\log \Sigma)$ on \tilde{X} . By Lemma 3.8.(iii), η induces a multivalued section η^M of E . Let $|Z_{\eta^M}| \subset E$ be the support of the formal sum Z_{η^M} induced by η^M defined in Definition 3.1. Let \tilde{X}° be the set of points x in \tilde{X} such that $|Z_{\eta^M}|_x \not\subset \{0\}$. We shall prove that the Zariski open subset \tilde{X}° is dense in \tilde{X} .

By our definition of M in Definition 4.11 and Lemma 4.12, for any point $x_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u)$, there exists $r > 0$ such that:

- (1) for some connected component Ω of $\pi_X^{-1}(B_r(x_0))$, $\pi_X|_\Omega : \Omega \rightarrow B_r(x_0)$ is an isomorphism, where $B_r(x_0)$ is the geodesic ball centered at x_0 of radius r .
- (2) We have the decomposition

$$\tilde{u} \circ (\pi_X^{-1}|_\Omega)|_{B_r(x_0)} = (u^1, u^2) : B_r(x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M \times \{P_0\},$$

where u^1 is a harmonic map with rank M at each point of $B_r(x_0)$.

By Lemma 3.8.(ii), η is represented by ∂u^1 up to some orthogonal transformation and rescaling. It follows that $|Z_{\eta^M}|_x$ is not $\{0\}$ for every $x \in B_r(x_0)$. Hence we have

$$(5.1) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u) \subset \tilde{X}^\circ,$$

which implies that \tilde{X}° is non-empty. Since \tilde{X}° is Zariski open in \tilde{X} , it follows that $X^\circ := X \cap \tilde{X}^\circ$ is a dense and Zariski open subset of X . The theorem follows from Lemma 4.12 together with Lemma 5.2 below. \square

Lemma 5.2. *We have $\tilde{S}(u) = X \setminus X^\circ$.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. If $\text{Ord}^u(x_0) > 1$, then $|\nabla u|^2(x_0) = 0$ by Lemma 4.13. If $\text{Ord}^u(x_0) = 1$, then we apply Item (c) above (4.2). Thus, in either case, there exists $r > 0$ and an F -connected complex \mathcal{F} such that

- (a) for some connected component Ω of $\pi_X^{-1}(B_r(x_0))$, $\pi_X|_\Omega : \Omega \rightarrow B_r(x_0)$ is an isomorphism.
- (b) We have

$$(5.2) \quad \tilde{u} \circ (\pi_X^{-1}|_\Omega)|_{B_r(x_0)} = (u^1, u^2) : B_r(x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{F},$$

such that $u^1 : B_r(x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is a smooth pluriharmonic map with rank at each point of $B_r(x_0)$ equal to k (see the proof of Lemma 4.12) and $u^2 : B_r(x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a pluriharmonic map with $\text{Ord}^{u^2}(x_0) \geq 1 + \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $|\nabla u^2|^2(x_0) = 0$ by Lemma 4.13. Here, we are using the following convention: If $k = M$, then u^2 is a constant map, and if $k = 0$, then $(u^1, u^2) = u^2$.

Note that \mathcal{F} has an Euclidean building structure. By the proof of Theorem 3.7.(i) and Lemma 3.8, the pluriharmonic map u^2 in (5.2) induces a multivalued 1-form ψ_0 on $B_r(x_0)$ satisfying the properties in Lemma 3.8. Then for each $x_1 \in B_r(x_0) \cap \mathcal{R}(u)$, it has a neighborhood Ω_{x_1} over which the multivalued 1-form ψ are given by holomorphic 1-forms $\sqcup_{i=1}^\ell \{\psi_{i,1}, \dots, \psi_{i,N-k}\}$, that is the partition of ψ_0 in Lemma 3.8.(ii). By (3.8), one has

$$(5.3) \quad |\nabla u^2|^2 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^\ell \sum_{j=1}^{N-k} |\psi_{i,j}|^2.$$

We define $\psi_{i,N-k+j} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} \partial u_j^1$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Therefore, $\sqcup_{i=1}^\ell \{\psi_{i,1}, \dots, \psi_{i,N}\}$ is a multivalued 1-form associated with (u^1, u^2) defined in Lemma 3.8.

We can shrink Ω_{x_1} such that η is given by holomorphic 1-forms $\sqcup_{i=1}^{\ell'} \{\omega_{i,1}, \dots, \omega_{i,N}\}$ on Ω_{x_1} , that is the partition of η in Lemma 3.8.(ii). Hence, by (3.9) and (3.10), for each i and j , there exists a constant matrix $M_{i,j} \in O(N, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(5.4) \quad [\omega_{i,1}, \dots, \omega_{i,N}] = [\psi_{j,1}, \dots, \psi_{j,N}] \cdot M_{i,j} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\ell'}}{\sqrt{\ell}}.$$

By (3.9) and the definition of η^M in Lemma 3.8.(iii), over Ω_{x_1} , the multivalued section η^M of E is given by

$$\sqcup_{j=1}^{\ell'} \{\pm \omega_{j,i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{j,i_M}\}_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_M \leq N}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.8.(iii), (u^1, u^2) induces another multivalued section of $E|_{B_r(x_0)}$, which is locally represented by

$$\sqcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \{\pm \psi_{j,i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_{j,i_M}\}_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_M \leq N}.$$

For notational simplicity, for each $I = (i_1, \dots, i_M) \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_M \leq N$, we write

$$\omega_{j,I} := \omega_{j,i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{j,i_M}, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, \ell'\},$$

and

$$\psi_{j,I} := \psi_{j,i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_{j,i_M}, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}.$$

Therefore, by (5.4) there exists a constant matrix of $\tilde{M}_{i,j} \in O(\binom{N}{M}, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$[\omega_{j,I}]_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_M \leq N} = [\psi_{i,I}]_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_M \leq N} \cdot \tilde{M}_{i,j} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\ell'}}{\sqrt{\ell}} \right)^M.$$

Thus, we have the following equality, which holds over the entire $\mathcal{R}(u) \cap B_r(x_0)$:

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\ell'} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_M \leq N} |\omega_{j,I}|_{h_E}^2 = \frac{(\ell')^{M+1}}{\ell^M} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_M \leq N} |\psi_{1,I}|_{h_E}^2,$$

Note that there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$(5.6) \quad |\psi_{i,N-k+j}(x)| = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}} \partial u_j^1 \right| \leq C, \quad \forall x \in B_r(x_0)$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

We now consider the cases of $x_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(u)$ and $x_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u)$ separately:

(a) If $x_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(u)$, then $M > k$. By (5.3) and (5.6), for any $x \in \Omega_{x_1}$, we have

$$(5.7) \quad |\psi_{1,I}|^2 \leq C^{2k} |\nabla u^2|^{2\lambda(I)},$$

where $\lambda(I)$ denotes the cardinality of $I \cap \{1, \dots, N-k\}$, that is a positive integer. Recall that $|\nabla u^2(x_0)|^2 = 0$. Since C is a constant independent of $x_1 \in B_r(x_0) \cap \mathcal{R}(u)$, it then follows from (5.5) and (5.7) that

$$\lim_{x \in \mathcal{R}(u), x \rightarrow x_0} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell'} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_M \leq N} |\omega_{j,I}|^2(x) = 0.$$

Since the multivalued section η^M on E is locally represented by $\sqcup_{j=1}^{\ell'} \{\pm \omega_{j,I}\}_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_M \leq m}$, it follows that that $|Z_{\eta^M}|_{x_0} \subset \{0\}$. In other words, $x_0 \notin X^\circ$.

(b) If $x_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u)$, by (5.1), we have $x_0 \in X^\circ$.

In conclusion, we have $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(u) = X^\circ$. The lemma is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [BDDM22] D. Brotbek, G. Daskalopoulos, Y. Deng & C. Mese. “Pluriharmonic maps into buildings and symmetric differentials”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2022):arXiv:2206.11835. 2206.11835, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2206.11835>. ↑ 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 12, 15, 19
- [BDM24] C. Breiner, B. K. Dees & C. Mese. “Harmonic Maps into Euclidean Buildings and Non-Archimedean Superrigidity”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2024):arXiv:2408.02783. 2408.02783, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2408.02783>. ↑ 2
- [CDY22] B. Cadorel, Y. Deng & K. Yamanoi. “Hyperbolicity and fundamental groups of complex quasi-projective varieties”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2022):arXiv:2212.12225. 2212.12225, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2212.12225>. ↑ 9
- [CS08] K. Corlette & C. Simpson. “On the classification of rank-two representations of quasiprojective fundamental groups”. *Compos. Math.*, **144(2008)(5)**:1271–1331. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X08003618>. ↑ 2
- [Dee22] B. K. Dees. “Rectifiability of the singular set of harmonic maps into buildings”. *J. Geom. Anal.*, **32(2022)(7)**:Paper No. 205, 57. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12220-022-00943-x>. ↑ 4
- [DM23a] G. Daskalopoulos & C. Mese. “Infinite energy harmonic maps from Riemann surfaces to CAT(0) spaces”. *J. Geom. Anal.*, **33(2023)(10)**:Paper No. 337, 23. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12220-023-01382-y>. ↑ 4, 15
- [DM23b] ———. “Uniqueness of equivariant harmonic maps to symmetric spaces and buildings”. *Math. Res. Lett.*, **30(2023)(6)**:1639–1655. ↑ 1
- [DM24] ———. “Infinite energy harmonic maps from quasi-compact Kähler surfaces”. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, **24(2024)(1)**:103–140. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/ans-2023-0122>. ↑ 4
- [DW24a] Y. Deng & B. Wang. “ L^2 -vanishing theorem and a conjecture of Kollár”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2024):arXiv:2409.11399. 2409.11399, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2409.11399>. ↑ 19
- [DW24b] ———. “Linear Chern-Hopf-Thurston conjecture”. *arXiv e-prints*, (2024):arXiv:2405.12012. 2405.12012, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.12012>. ↑ 9
- [Eyr04] C. Eyrat. “Topology of quasi-projective varieties and Lefschetz theory”. *N. Z. J. Math.*, **33(2004)(1)**:63–81. ↑ 5
- [Eys04] P. Eyssidieux. “Sur la convexité holomorphe des revêtements linéaires réductifs d’une variété projective algébrique complexe”. *Invent. Math.*, **156(2004)(3)**:503–564. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-003-0345-0>. ↑ 1, 15, 19
- [GS92] M. Gromov & R. Schoen. “Harmonic maps into singular spaces and p -adic superrigidity for lattices in groups of rank one”. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, **76(1992)**:165–246. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02699433>. ↑ 1, 4, 16, 17, 18, 19
- [Kli13] B. Klingler. “Symmetric differentials, Kähler groups and ball quotients”. *Invent. Math.*, **192(2013)(2)**:257–286. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-012-0411-6>. ↑ 15
- [KP23] T. Kaletha & G. Prasad. *Bruhat-Tits theory—a new approach*, vol. 44 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2023). ↑ 8
- [KS93] N. J. Korevaar & R. M. Schoen. “Sobolev spaces and harmonic maps for metric space targets”. *Commun. Anal. Geom.*, **1(1993)(4)**:561–659. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/CAG.1993.v1.n4.a4>. ↑ 3, 15
- [Moc07] T. Mochizuki. “Asymptotic behaviour of tame harmonic bundles and an application to pure twistor D -modules. I”. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **185(2007)(869)**:xii+324. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/memo/0869>. ↑ 2
- [Shi68] B. Shiffman. “On the removal of singularities of analytic sets”. *Mich. Math. J.*, **15(1968)**:111–120. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1028999912>. ↑ 13, 15

CNRS, INSTITUT ÉLIE CARTAN DE LORRAINE, UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE, SITE DE NANCY, 54506 VANDŒUVRE-LÈS-NANCY, FRANCE

Email address: ya.deng@math.cnrs.fr

URL: <https://ydeng.perso.math.cnrs.fr>

JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BALTIMORE, MD

Email address, Chikako Mese: cmese@math.jhu.edu

URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/chikaswebpage/home>