

**MORDELL-TORNHEIM TYPE SERIES OVER LATTICE  
PARALLELOGRAMS BY TELESCOPIC SUMMATION**

NIKITA KALININ

ABSTRACT. We prove a Mordell–Tornheim type formula for a sum over lattice vectors. We obtain yet another simple proof of Zagier’s formula for a sum  $D_{1,1,1}$  using the same method.

Keywords:  $\zeta$  function, Mordell–Tornheim series. AMS classification: 11E16, 11F67, 11M35

1. Let  $A = \{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2, \det(x \ y) = 1\}$ , i.e., the set of all lattice parallelograms in the first quadrant of the oriented area one.

**Theorem 1.**

$$4 \sum_A \frac{1}{|x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 \cdot |x+y|^2} = \pi.$$

*Proof.* Let us consider  $F(x, y) = \frac{x \cdot y}{|x|^2 \cdot |y|^2}$ . Then,

$$(1) \quad F(x, y) - F(x+y, y) - F(x, x+y) = \frac{-2 \det(x \ y)^2}{|x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 \cdot |x+y|^2}.$$

Let  $A_n = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \cap [0, n]^2\}$ . We telescope

$$F(x, y) - F(x+y, y) - F(x, x+y)$$

over  $\{x, y \in A_n, \det(x \ y) = 1\}$  obtaining the sum of  $-F(x+y, y) - F(x, x+y)$  over  $B_n = \{x, y \in A_n, \det(x \ y) = 1, x+y \notin A_n\}$ .

The latter sum tends to  $-\pi/2$  since the area of the parallelogram spanned by  $x, y$  is 1, so  $\frac{x \cdot y}{|x|^2 \cdot |y|^2}$  is the angle between  $x$  and  $y$  up to second order terms, and the set of angles at the origin of the parallelograms in  $B_n$  partition the angle  $\pi/2$  of the first quadrant. □

The above formula is inspired by the formulae like [5] for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$

$$\frac{1}{mn} = \frac{1}{(m+n)n} + \frac{1}{m(m+n)}$$

$$G(m, n) - G(m+n, n) - G(m, m+n) = \frac{2}{m^2 n^2}, \text{ where}$$

---

GUANGDONG TECHNION ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (GTIIT), 241 DAXUE ROAD, SHANTOU, GUANGDONG PROVINCE 515603, P.R. CHINA, TECHNION-ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAIFA, 32000, HAIFA DISTRICT, ISRAEL, NIKAANSPB@GMAIL.COM

$$G(m, n) = \frac{2}{m^3 n} + \frac{1}{m^2 n^2} + \frac{2}{m n^3}.$$

**2.** The motivation to study such formulae for vectors (and not for numbers) is to get relations for the lattice sums that are similar to the relations for the Mordell-Tornheim series [9], [5]. For  $k, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  define

$$(k, n, m) = \sum_{(b,d)=1, b,d>0} \frac{1}{b^k d^n (b+d)^m}.$$

For example,

$$(2, 2, 2) = \sum_{(b,d)=1, b,d>0} \frac{1}{b^2 d^2 (b+d)^2} = 1/3.$$

Then  $(k, n, m) = (k-1, n, m+1) + (k, n-1, m+1)$  for  $k, n \geq 1$  follows from  $\frac{1}{bd} = \frac{1}{b(b+d)} + \frac{1}{(b+d)d}$ .

Unfortunately, this is no longer true for vectors, as we have

$$\frac{1}{|x||y|} - \frac{1}{|x+y||y|} - \frac{1}{|x||x+y|} = \frac{|x+y| - |x| - |y|}{|x||y||x+y|}.$$

However, telescoping as in Theorem 1 one gets

**Theorem 2.**

$$\sum_A \frac{|x| + |y| - |x+y|}{|x||y||x+y|} = \pi/2 - 1.$$

Also it is known [7] that

$$\sum_A (|x| + |y| - |x+y|) = 2 \text{ and } \sum_A (|x| + |y| - |x+y|)^2 = 2 - \pi/2.$$

**3.** One can evaluate the following sum by the same method. Let  $B_n = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \det(x \ y) = n\}$ ,

**Theorem 3.**

$$\sum_{(x,y) \in B_n} \frac{n^2}{|x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 \cdot |x+y|^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi}{n} \cdot \sigma_1(n).$$

*Proof.* We use (1) and follow the proof of Theorem 1. Note that there are  $\sigma_1(n)$  (the sum of divisors of  $n$ ) non-equivalent sublattices of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  of determinant  $n$ , each on them is generated by two vectors

$$\left(\frac{n}{d}, 0\right), (k, d), 0 \leq k < d$$

where  $d$  is a divisor of  $n$ . For a fixed lattice of this type the vectors  $(k + j\frac{n}{d}, d)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  partition the angle  $\pi$  of the upper halfplane (c.f. with  $\pi/2$  in Theorem 1). Then,  $\frac{x \cdot y}{|x|^2 |y|^2} \approx \frac{1}{n}$ . (the angle between  $x$  and  $y$ ), finally,  $\frac{1}{2}$  comes from  $-2$  in Eq (1).  $\square$

Using our methods, one can give an alternative proof of the following Zagier's formula. Let

$$D_{1,1,1}(z) = \sum'_{\omega_1+\omega_2+\omega_3=0} \frac{y^3}{|\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3|^2}, \omega_k \in \mathbb{Z}z + \mathbb{Z}, z = x + i \cdot y,$$

and  $\sum'$  is the sum over all non-infinite summands (in this particular case it means that we remove from the summation all terms where one of  $\omega_i$  is 0).

Then, as it is proven in [2, 1]

$$(2) \quad D_{1,1,1}(z) = 2E(z, 3) + \pi^3 \zeta(3),$$

where  $E(z, s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum'_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{y^s}{|mz+n|^{2s}}$ , a non-holomorphic Eisenstein series.

$$\text{Look at } D_{1,1,1}(i, 3) = \sum'_{\omega_1+\omega_2+\omega_3=0} \frac{1^3}{|\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3|^2}, \omega_k \in \mathbb{Z}i + \mathbb{Z}.$$

Among such triples of vectors, there are collinear triples. If  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$  are collinear, let  $\omega$  be the primitive vector in the direction of two of them who point in the same direction. Then the opposite vector can be  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$  (three choices) and  $\sum_{b,d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \frac{1}{b^2 d^2 (b+d)^2} = \zeta(6)/3$ . So the sum over all such triples is equal to

$$\left( \frac{1}{\zeta(6)} \sum'_{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{|\omega|^6} \right) \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{\zeta(6)}{3} = 2E(i, 3) \text{ as the first term in Eq (2).}$$

For non-collinear triples, up to central symmetry, we may assume that two (say,  $\omega_1, \omega_2$ ) of the vectors  $\omega_i$  belong to  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \{\mathbb{Z}_{<0} \times \{0\}\}$ . Then, we may assume that the parallelogram generated by  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  has a positive signed area. All these choices give a factor of 12.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^3 \zeta(3) &= D_{1,1,1}(i, 3) - 2E(i, 3) = 12 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \sum_{(x,y) \in B_n} \frac{1}{|x|^2 \cdot |y|^2 \cdot |x+y|^2} = \\ &= 12 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi}{n^3} \cdot \sigma_1(n) = \pi \cdot 6\zeta(3)\zeta(2) = \pi^3 \zeta(3) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\sum \frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)\zeta(s-1)$  and  $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$ . Thus we reproved Zagier's formula (2) for  $z = i, s = 3$ .

4. Similarly we get

$$\sum'_{\omega_1+\omega_2+\omega_3=0, \omega_k \in \mathbb{Z}i+\mathbb{Z}} \frac{|\det(\omega_1 \ \omega_2)|^{-s}}{|\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3|^2} = 6\pi \cdot \zeta(s+3)\zeta(s+2),$$

By changing the lattice to  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot z + \mathbb{Z}$  for  $z = x + i \cdot y$  we obtain

**Theorem 4.**

$$\sum'_{\omega_1+\omega_2+\omega_3=0, \omega_k \in \mathbb{Z}z+\mathbb{Z}} \frac{|\det(\omega_1 \ \omega_2)|^{-s}}{|\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3|^2} = \frac{6\pi}{y^3} \cdot \zeta(s+3)\zeta(s+2),$$

As a corollary of this theorem, by substituting  $s = 0$ , we obtain (2) for arbitrary values of  $z$ .

**5.** Thanks to users of mathoverflow, I learned that Theorem 1 was used as an intermediate step by Adolf Hurwitz to present class number  $h(d)$  as the sum of an infinite series; see his essentially ignored article [6] (§4 equation 8), back in 1905, see also a historical account in [3] (vol. III, p. 167). Hurwitz's proof works for any positively defined binary quadratic form (our case corresponds to  $q(x) = |x|^2, x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ ) and consists of using a rational parametrization of a quadric curve to cut its interior into triangles corresponding to consecutive Farey fractions  $(r/s, r + r'/s + s', r'/s')$ , and then the area of this triangle is proportional to

$$(q(r, s) \cdot q(r', s') \cdot q(r + r', s + s'))^{-2}.$$

A recent development of Hurwitz's type of formulae [4, 8] is due to the study of Conway's topographs.

I learned this method of telescoping from Fedor Nazarov. I am grateful to the University of Geneva, where this note was written.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Brown. A class of non-holomorphic modular forms I. *Research in the Mathematical Sciences*, 5:1–40, 2018.
- [2] E. D'Hoker, M. Green, Ö. Gürdoğan, and P. Vanhove. Modular graph functions. *Communications in Number Theory and Physics*, 2017.
- [3] L. E. Dickson. *History of the Theory of Numbers*. Chelsea Publishing Company, 1952.
- [4] W. Duke, Ö. Imamoglu, and Á. Tóth. On a class number formula of Hurwitz. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 23(12):3995–4008, 2021.
- [5] H. Gangl, M. Kaneko, and D. Zagier. Double zeta values and modular forms. *Automorphic forms and zeta functions*, pages 71–106, 2006.
- [6] A. Hurwitz. Über eine darstellung der klassenzahl binärer quadratischer formen durch unendliche reihen. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, (Bd. 129):S. 187–213, 1905.
- [7] N. Kalinin and M. Shkolnikov. Tropical formulae for summation over a part of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . *European Journal of Mathematics*, 5(3):909–928, 2019.
- [8] C. O'Sullivan. Topographs for binary quadratic forms and class numbers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.14405*, 2024.
- [9] L. Tornheim. Harmonic double series. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 72(2):303–314, 1950.