

# Radius estimates for nearly stable $H$ -hypersurfaces of dimension 2, 3, and 4.

G. Tinaglia and A. Zhou

## Abstract

In this paper we study the geometry of complete constant mean curvature (CMC) hypersurfaces immersed in an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $N$  ( $n = 2, 3$  and  $4$ ) with sectional curvatures uniformly bounded from below. We generalise radius estimates given by Rosenberg [32] ( $n = 2$ ) and by Elbert, Nelli and Rosenberg [13] and Cheng [2] ( $n = 3, 4$ ) to nearly stable CMC hypersurfaces immersed in  $N$ . We also prove that certain CMC hypersurfaces effectively embedded in  $N$  must be proper.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we refer to a hypersurface  $M$  immersed in a manifold  $N$  with constant mean curvature  $H$  as an  $H$ -hypersurface. Let  $N$  be an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $N$  ( $n = 2, 3$  and  $4$ ) with sectional curvatures uniformly bounded from below. In their seminal papers, Rosenberg [32] ( $n = 2$ , see also [29, 31]) and Elbert, Nelli and Rosenberg [13] and Cheng [2] ( $n = 3, 4$ ) prove radius estimates for stable  $H$ -hypersurfaces immersed in  $N$ . In this paper we generalise these estimates to nearly stable  $H$ -hypersurfaces.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $N$  be an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold ( $n = 2, 3$  and  $4$ ) with sectional curvatures uniformly bounded from below and let  $M$  be a complete,  $\delta_n$ -stable,  $H$ -hypersurface with  $\delta_n < \frac{27}{32}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{19}{64}$  respectively. Then, if  $|H| > 2\sqrt{|\min(0, \mathcal{K})|}$  (where  $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(N)$  denotes the infimum of the sectional curvatures of  $N$ ), there exists a constant  $c := c(n, \delta_n, H, \mathcal{K}) > 0$  such that for any  $p \in M$ ,*

$$\text{dist}_M(p, \partial M) \leq c.$$

See Section 2 for a result involving the scalar curvature of  $N$  when  $n = 2$  that generalizes

the main theorem in [32].

Near stability was a notion widely employed in Colding-Minicozzi Theory, that is [5, 6, 7, 8, 10], to study the geometry of embedded minimal ( $H = 0$ ) disks. Many results about minimal hypersurfaces have employed near stability directly or extended the concept of stability to near stability (see for instance [3, 4, 14, 16, 17, 35]).

In Section 3 we use the radius estimates mentioned above together with the Stable Limit Leaf Theorem by Meeks, Perez and Ros [19] to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *With  $N$  as in Theorem 1.1, let  $M$  be a complete  $H$ -hypersurface effectively embedded in  $N$ . Suppose that the norm of the second fundamental form of  $M$  is locally bounded (bounded in compact extrinsic balls) and  $|H| > 2\sqrt{|\min(0, \mathcal{K})|}$ . Then  $M$  is proper.*

See Remark 3.2 for a stronger statement when  $n = 2$  and [11, 12, 30] for examples of complete  $H$ -surfaces embedded in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  and  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  that are not proper.

Theorem 1.2 is motivated by several results in the literature. In their seminal paper [9], Colding and Minicozzi proved that a complete, minimal surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with finite topology must be proper, see also [5, 6, 7, 8, 10]. Meeks and Rosenberg generalised this to complete minimal surfaces embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with positive injectivity radius [21], see also [20]. Finally, Meeks and Tinaglia further generalised both these results to constant mean curvature (CMC) surfaces [24], see also [23, 25, 26, 27, 28].

## 2 Radius estimates for nearly stable $H$ -hypersurfaces

We begin this section by reminding the reader of the notion of  $\delta$ -stability.

**Definition 2.1.** For  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ , we say that a  $H$ -hypersurface  $M$  immersed in  $N$  is  $\delta$ -stable if

$$\int_M (|\nabla f|^2 - (1 - \delta)(|A|^2 + \overline{Ric}(\nu))f^2) \geq 0,$$

for  $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$ .

When  $\delta = 0$ , then  $M$  is stable.

In what follows, we generalize the radius estimates given in Theorem 1 in [32] and Theorem 1 in [13] for stable  $H$ -hypersurfaces to  $\delta$ -stable  $H$ -hypersurfaces. We begin by generalizing Theorem 1 in [13], that is the Theorem 1.1 when  $\delta = 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Our proof draws from the methods established in [13].

Since  $M$  is  $\delta$ -stable, we can find a smooth function  $u > 0$  on  $M$  such that the  $\delta$ -stability operator satisfies

$$L^\delta u = \Delta u + (1 - \delta)(|A|^2 + \overline{Ric}(\nu))u = 0,$$

see for instance Lemma 2.1 in [18]. By decomposing the symmetric shape operator into the mean curvature and the trace-less part,  $A = HI + \Phi$ , the square norm of  $\Phi$  is

$$|\Phi|^2 = |A|^2 - n|H|^2,$$

so we can write the near-stability operator as

$$L^\delta = \Delta + (1 - \delta)(|\Phi|^2 + nH^2 + \overline{Ric}(\nu)).$$

We use  $ds^2$  to denote the induced metric on  $M$  by  $N$  and conformally change the metric to  $d\tilde{s}^2 = u^{2k}ds^2$ , where we will choose  $k$  later. Fix  $p \in M$  and take  $r > 0$  small enough such that the geodesic ball  $B_M(p, r)$  centred at  $p$  and of  $ds$ -radius  $r$  is contained in the interior of  $M$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a  $d\tilde{s}$ -geodesic which joins  $p$  to  $\partial B_M(p, r)$ . Let  $a$  be the  $ds$ -length of  $\gamma$  and  $\tilde{a}$  be the  $d\tilde{s}$ -length of  $\gamma$ . Then we have  $a \geq r$  and it suffices to prove that there exists a constant  $c = c(n, H, K, \delta) > 0$  such that  $a \leq c$ . To this end, let  $R$  and  $\tilde{R}$  be the curvature tensors of  $M$  in the metrics  $ds$  and  $d\tilde{s}$  respectively. Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{\tilde{e}_1 = \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \tilde{s}}, \tilde{e}_2, \dots, \tilde{e}_n\}$  for  $d\tilde{s}$  such that  $\tilde{e}_2, \dots, \tilde{e}_n$  are parallel along  $\gamma$  and let  $\tilde{e}_{n+1} = \nu$ . This yields an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1 = \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} = u^k \tilde{e}_1, e_2 = u^k \tilde{e}_2, \dots, e_n = u^k \tilde{e}_n\}$  for  $ds$ . Let  $\overline{R}$  be the curvature tensor for the ambient manifold  $N$ . Using this notation,  $R_{11}$  (respectively  $\tilde{R}_{11}$ ) is the Ricci curvature in the direction of  $e_1$  for the metric  $ds$  (respectively  $d\tilde{s}$ ), and  $\overline{R}_{n+1, n+1}$  is  $\overline{Ric}(\nu)$ .

Since  $\gamma$  is  $d\tilde{s}$ -minimising, by the second variation formula for length, we have

$$\int_0^{\tilde{a}} \left( (n-1) \left( \frac{d\phi}{d\tilde{s}} \right) - \tilde{R}_{11} \phi^2 \right) d\tilde{s} \geq 0,$$

for any smooth function  $\phi$  with  $\phi(0) = \phi(\tilde{a}) = 0$ . We use the formula for Ricci curvature under conformal change of metric, (see for instance the appendix in [13] for a full calculation)

$$\tilde{R}_{11} = u^{-2k} \left( R_{11} - k(n-2)(\log u)_{ss} - k \frac{\Delta u}{u} + k \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \right).$$

Then by  $\delta$ -stability,  $L^\delta u = \Delta u + (1 - \delta)(|\Phi|^2 + nH^2 + \overline{Ric}(\nu))u = 0$ , so we can replace the Laplacian term yielding

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_{11} &= u^{-2k}(R_{11} - k(n-2)(\log u)_{ss} \\ &\quad + k(1-\delta)(|\Phi|^2 + nH^2 + \overline{R}_{n+1,n+1}) + k\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2}).\end{aligned}$$

Next, the Gauss equation relates the ambient curvature to the intrinsic curvature

$$R_{ijij} = \overline{R}_{ijij} + h_{ii}h_{jj} - h_{ij}^2 = \overline{R}_{ijij} + (\Phi_{ii} + H)(\Phi_{jj} + H) - (\Phi_{ij} + H\delta_{ij})^2.$$

Letting  $i = 1$  and summing over  $j = 2, \dots, n$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}R_{11} &= \sum_{j=2}^n \overline{R}_{1j1j} + \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{11}\Phi_{jj} + (n-1)\Phi_{11}H + \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{jj}H + (n-1)H^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^n \overline{R}_{1j1j} + \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{11}\Phi_{jj} + (n-2)\Phi_{11}H + \sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_{jj}H + (n-1)H^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Using the traceless property  $\sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_{jj} = 0$  on the second and fourth terms gives

$$R_{11} = \sum_{j=2}^n \overline{R}_{1j1j} - \Phi_{11}^2 + (n-2)\Phi_{11}H + (n-1)H^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2.$$

We substitute this expression into the formula for  $\tilde{R}_{11}$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_{11} &= u^{-2k} \left( \sum_{j=2}^n \overline{R}_{1j1j} - \Phi_{11}^2 + (n-2)\Phi_{11}H + (n-1)H^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - k(n-2)(\log u)_{ss} + k(1-\delta)(|\Phi|^2 + nH^2 + \overline{R}_{n+1,n+1}) + k\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \right) \\ &= u^{-2k} \left( \sum_{j=2}^n \overline{R}_{1j1j} + k(1-\delta)\overline{R}_{n+1,n+1} + (kn(1-\delta) + n-1)H^2 + (n-2)\Phi_{11}H \right) \\ &\quad + u^{-2k} \left( k(1-\delta)|\Phi|^2 - \Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 - k(n-2)(\log u)_{ss} + k\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\varphi = \phi \circ \tilde{s}$  so that  $\varphi(0) = \varphi(a) = 0$ . We combine the above expression with the first

inequality and  $d\tilde{s}^2 = u^{2k}ds^2$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 u^{-k} ds &\geq \int_0^a \varphi^2 u^{-k} \left( \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{R}_{1j1j} + k(1-\delta)\bar{R}_{n+1,n+1} \right) ds \\
&+ \int_0^a \varphi^2 u^{-k} \\
&\left( (kn(1-\delta) + n-1)H^2 + (n-2)\Phi_{11}H + k(1-\delta)|\Phi|^2 - \Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \right) ds \\
&- \int_0^a \varphi^2 u^{-k} \left( k(n-2)(\log u)_{ss} + k \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

We replace  $\varphi$  by  $\varphi u^{k/2}$  to eliminate the  $u^{-k}$ . Then differentiation gives  $(\varphi u^{k/2})_s = \varphi_s u^{k/2} + \frac{k}{2}\varphi u^{(k-2)/2}u_s$  which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds &+ k(n-1) \int_0^a \varphi \varphi_s u_s u^{-1} ds + \frac{k^2(n-1)}{4} \int_0^a \varphi^2 u_s^2 u^{-2} ds \\
&\geq \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left( \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{R}_{1j1j} + k(1-\delta)\bar{R}_{n+1,n+1} \right) ds \\
&+ \int_0^a \varphi^2 \\
&\left( (kn(1-\delta) + n-1)H^2 + (n-2)\Phi_{11}H + k(1-\delta)|\Phi|^2 - \Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \right) ds \\
&- \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left( k(n-2)(\log u)_{ss} + k \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the divergence theorem, we have  $\int \varphi^2 (\log u)_{ss} ds = -2 \int \varphi \varphi_s u_s u^{-1} ds$ . Furthermore, we have  $k^2 \int \varphi^2 u_s^2 u^{-2} ds = \int \varphi^2 (\log u^k)_s^2 ds = k^2 \int \varphi^2 |\nabla u|^2 u^{-2} ds$ . This allows us to combine the terms in the first and last lines as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds &\geq k(n-3) \int_0^a \varphi \varphi_s u_s u^{-1} ds + \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{n-1}{4} \right) \int_0^a \varphi^2 (\log u^k)_s^2 ds \\
&+ \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left( \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{R}_{1j1j} + k(1-\delta)\bar{R}_{n+1,n+1} \right) ds \\
&+ \int_0^a \varphi^2 \\
&\left( (kn(1-\delta) + n-1)H^2 + (n-2)\Phi_{11}H + k(1-\delta)|\Phi|^2 - \Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

We now use the basic inequality  $a^2 + b^2 \geq -ab$  with  $a = (n-2)H$  and  $b = \Phi_{11}/2$  which

yields

$$(n-2)^2 H^2 + \frac{\Phi_{11}^2}{4} \geq -(n-2)H\Phi_{11}.$$

Replacing this in our inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds &\geq k(n-3) \int_0^a \varphi \varphi_s u_s u^{-1} ds + \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{n-1}{4} \right) \int_0^a \varphi^2 (\log u^k)_s^2 ds \\ &+ \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left( \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{R}_{1j1j} + k(1-\delta)\bar{R}_{n+1,n+1} + (kn(1-\delta) - n^2 + 5n - 5)H^2 \right) ds \\ &+ \int_0^a \left( k(1-\delta)|\Phi|^2 - \frac{5}{4}\Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the last term is greater than zero. Using the crude estimate

$$|\Phi|^2 \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_{jj}^2 + 2 \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2,$$

and the traceless property  $\sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_{jj} = 0$  gives us

$$|\Phi|^2 \geq \frac{n}{n-1}\Phi_{11}^2 + 2 \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2.$$

We now need to choose

$$k > \frac{5(n-1)}{4n(1-\delta)} \tag{1}$$

and combine it with the last inequality to estimate the last term as

$$\begin{aligned} &k(1-\delta)|\Phi|^2 - \frac{5}{4}\Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{5}{4}\Phi_{11}^2 + \frac{5(n-1)}{2n} \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 - \frac{5}{4}\Phi_{11}^2 - \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 = \frac{3n-5}{2n} \sum_{j=2}^n \Phi_{1j}^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

as required. Consequently, we now have

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds &\geq k(n-3) \int_0^a \varphi \varphi_s u_s u^{-1} ds + \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{n-1}{4} \right) \int_0^a \varphi^2 (\log u^k)_s^2 ds \\ &+ \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left( \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{R}_{1j1j} + k(1-\delta)\bar{R}_{n+1,n+1} + (kn(1-\delta) - n^2 + 5n - 5)H^2 \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

After choosing  $k$  such that

$$\frac{1}{k} - \frac{n-1}{4} > 0 \quad (\text{that is } k < \frac{4}{n-1}), \quad (2)$$

we can use the inequality  $a^2 + b^2 \geq -ab$  again with  $a = (\frac{1}{k} - \frac{n-1}{4})^{1/2} \varphi(\log u^k)_s$  and  $b = \frac{n-3}{2} (\frac{1}{k} - \frac{n-1}{4})^{-1/2} \varphi_s$  to obtain

$$\left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{n-1}{4} \right) \varphi^2 (\log u^k)_s^2 + \frac{(n-3)^2}{4} \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{n-1}{4} \right)^{-1} \varphi_s^2 \geq -(n-3) \varphi \varphi_s (\log u^k)_s.$$

Hence,

$$(n-1) \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds \geq -\frac{(n-3)^2}{4} \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{n-1}{4} \right)^{-1} \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds + \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left( \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{R}_{1j1j} + k(1-\delta) \bar{R}_{n+1,n+1} + (kn(1-\delta) - n^2 + 5n - 5) H^2 \right) ds.$$

Rearranging the terms, we now have an inequality of the form

$$A \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds \geq \int_0^a \varphi^2 \left( \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{R}_{1j1j} + k(1-\delta) \bar{R}_{n+1,n+1} + (kn(1-\delta) - n^2 + 5n - 5) H^2 \right) ds$$

where  $A := \frac{4(k(2-n) + (n-1))}{4-k(n-1)}$  is positive, thanks to the condition (2). We now want to choose  $B > 0$  such that

$$B \leq \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{R}_{1j1j} + k(1-\delta) \bar{R}_{n+1,n+1} + (kn(1-\delta) - n^2 + 5n - 5) H^2$$

and therefore this would give

$$A \int_0^a (\varphi_s)^2 ds \geq B \int_0^a \phi^2 ds. \quad (3)$$

Recall that  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the infimum of the sectional curvatures of  $N$ . If  $\mathcal{K} \geq 0$  we can set  $B := (kn(1-\delta) - n^2 + 5n - 5) H^2$ , which is indeed positive if  $|H| > 0$  and  $k > \frac{5(n-1)}{4n(1-\delta)}$  (that is assumption (1)), when  $n = 2, 3$  or  $4$ . Otherwise, note that

$$\sum_{j=2}^n \bar{R}_{1j1j} + k(1-\delta) \bar{R}_{n+1,n+1} \geq (kn(1-\delta) + n - 1) \mathcal{K}. \quad (4)$$

Therefore setting  $B := (kn(1 - \delta) - n^2 + 5n - 5)H^2 + (kn(1 - \delta) + n - 1)\mathcal{K}$  we have

$$B \leq \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{R}_{1j1j} + k(1 - \delta)\bar{R}_{n+1,n+1} + (kn(1 - \delta) - n^2 + 5n - 5)H^2$$

and if

$$H^2 > \frac{kn(1 - \delta) + n - 1}{kn(1 - \delta) - n^2 + 5n - 5}\mathcal{K}$$

then  $B$  is also greater than zero.

Using our assumptions (1) and (2), that is  $\frac{5(n-1)}{4n(1-\delta)} < k < \frac{4}{n-1}$ , we can estimate the quotient

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{kn(1 - \delta) + n - 1}{kn(1 - \delta) - n^2 + 5n - 5} &< \frac{16n + 4(n - 1)^2}{5(n - 1)^2 + 4(n - 1)(-n^2 + 5n - 5)} \\ &= \frac{4(n + 1)^2}{(n - 1)(n - 5)(5 - 4n)} < 4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $B$  is positive provided that  $|H| > 2\sqrt{|\mathcal{K}|}$ .

Integrating equation (3) by parts now gives

$$\int_0^a (\varphi_{ss}A + B\varphi)\varphi ds \leq 0.$$

Choose  $\varphi = \sin(\pi sa^{-1})$ , for  $s \in [0, a]$  so that

$$\int_0^a \left( B - \frac{A\pi^2}{a^2} \right) \sin(\pi sa^{-1}) ds \leq 0,$$

which implies

$$B - \frac{A\pi^2}{a^2} \leq 0,$$

that is  $a < \sqrt{A\pi}/\sqrt{B}$ . Setting  $c := \sqrt{A\pi}/\sqrt{B}$ , this finishes the proof of the theorem, provided that we can show that we can find  $\delta > 0$  and  $k$  such that

$$\frac{5(n-1)}{4n(1-\delta)} < k < \frac{4}{n-1}. \quad (5)$$

This inequality is consistent for  $n = 2$  when  $\delta < \frac{27}{32}$ , for  $n = 3$  when  $\delta < \frac{7}{12}$  and for  $n = 4$  when  $\delta < \frac{19}{64}$ .  $\square$

As in the original paper, we can prove a corollary which asserts the non-existence of



certain  $H$ -hypersurfaces.

**Corollary 2.1.** *With  $N$  as in Theorem 1.1, let  $M$  be a complete,  $\delta_n$ -stable,  $H$ -hypersurface with  $\delta_n < \frac{27}{32}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{19}{64}$  respectively. If  $|H| > 2\sqrt{|\min(0, \mathcal{K})|}$ , then  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* From the previous theorem, the radius of an intrinsic geodesic disk of  $M$  that does not meet  $\partial M$  is at most  $c = c > 0$ . Assuming that the boundary of  $M$  is empty, the diameter of  $M$  is at most  $c$ , so the Hopf-Rinow theorem implies that  $M$  is compact. By  $\delta$ -stability, there exists a function  $u > 0$  on  $M$  such that  $L^\delta u = 0$ . By compactness, let  $p \in M$  be the minimum of the function  $u$ . Then

$$0 \leq \Delta u(p) = -(1 - \delta)(|\Phi|^2(p) + nH^2 + \overline{R}_{n+1, n+1}(p))u(p).$$

The choice of  $H$  guarantees that the potential is positive, hence the right hand side is negative which yields a contradiction.  $\square$

Next we generalize Theorem 1 in [32], that is the theorem below when  $\delta = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $N$  be a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold with scalar curvature uniformly bounded from below by  $\mathcal{S}$  and let  $M$  be a complete,  $\delta$ -stable,  $H$ -surface with  $\delta < \frac{3}{4}$ . If  $3H^2 + \mathcal{S} > 0$  then for any  $p \in M$ ,*

$$\text{dist}_M(p, \partial M) \leq 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta}{(3 - 4\delta)(3H^2 + \mathcal{S})}}.$$

*Proof.* In order to prove this theorem, one can follow the proof of Theorem 1.1 with  $n = 2$ . Note that when  $n = 2$ , condition (5) becomes  $\frac{5}{8(1-\delta)} < k < 4$  and thus we can take  $k = \frac{1}{1-\delta}$  as long as  $\delta < \frac{3}{4}$ . With this choice of  $k$ , note that instead of equation (4) we have

$$\sum_{j=2}^n \overline{R}_{1j1j} + k(1 - \delta)\overline{R}_{n+1, n+1} = \overline{R}_{1212} + \overline{R}_{3,3} \geq \mathcal{S}. \quad (6)$$

The proof then continues after defining  $B := 3H^2 + \mathcal{S}$ , and noting that when  $n = 2$ , we have  $A := 4\frac{1-\delta}{3-4\delta}$ .  $\square$

Just like before, one can prove a corollary which asserts the non-existence of certain  $H$ -surfaces.

**Corollary 2.3.** *With  $N$  as in Theorem 2.2, let  $M$  be a complete,  $\delta$ -stable,  $H$ -surface with  $\delta < \frac{3}{4}$ . If  $3H^2 + \mathcal{S} > 0$ , then  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ .*

### 3 Properness of effectively embedded $H$ -hypersurfaces

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. We begin by defining “effectively embedded.”

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\phi: M \looparrowright N$  be an  $H$ -hypersurface. We say that  $M$  is effectively embedded if at any point  $p \in \phi(M)$ , there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that either

1.  $\phi^{-1}(p)$  consists of a single point  $p_1 \in M$  and the connected component of  $B_N(p, \epsilon) \cap \phi(M)$  containing  $p$  is an embedding of the connected component of  $\phi^{-1}(B_N(p, \epsilon) \cap \phi(M))$  that contains  $p_1$ , or
2.  $\phi^{-1}(p)$  consists of two points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ ,  $\phi$  restricted to the connected component  $\Sigma_i$  of  $\phi^{-1}(B_N(p, \epsilon) \cap \phi(M))$  that contains  $p_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is an embedding, the connected component of  $B_N(p, \epsilon) \cap \phi(M)$  containing  $p$  is equal to  $\phi(\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2)$ ,  $\phi(\Sigma_1)$  and  $\phi(\Sigma_2)$  meet tangentially at  $p$  and their mean curvature vectors point in opposite directions.

Note that if  $M$  is embedded, then it is effectively embedded. This definition is natural as it includes limits of a converging sequence of embedded  $H$ -hypersurfaces, see for example [1]. Abusing the notation, when dealing with effectively embedded hypersurfaces, we will ignore the immersion  $\phi$  and when Case 2 of Definition 3.1 occurs, we might refer to either of the  $\phi(\Sigma_i)$  (that is  $\Sigma_i$ ),  $i = 1, 2$ , as the connected component of  $B_N(p, \epsilon) \cap \phi(M)$  (that is  $B_N(p, \epsilon) \cap M$ ) containing  $p$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is going to use the Stable Limit Leaf Theorem in [19]. To that end, we need to recall a few definitions.

**Definition 3.2.** Given  $H > 0$ , a codimension one  $H$ -lamination  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $N$  is a collection of immersed (not necessarily injectively)  $H$ -hypersurfaces  $\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ , called the leaves of  $\mathcal{L}$ , satisfying the following properties:

1.  $\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \{L_\alpha\}$  is a closed subset of  $N$ .
2. Given a leaf  $L_\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  and a small disk  $\Delta \subset L_\alpha$ , there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that, if  $(q, t)$  denote the normal coordinates for  $\exp_q(t\eta_q)$  (here  $\exp$  is the exponential map of  $N$  and  $\eta$  is the unit normal vector field to  $L_\alpha$  pointing to the mean convex side of  $L_\alpha$ ), then:

- (a) The exponential map  $\exp : U(\Delta, \epsilon) = \{(q, t) \mid q \in \text{Int}(\Delta), t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)\}$  is a submersion.
- (b) The inverse image  $\exp^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \cap \{q \in \text{Int}(\Delta), t \in [0, \epsilon)\}$  is a lamination of  $U(\Delta, \epsilon)$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an  $H$ -lamination of  $N$  and let  $L$  be a leaf of  $\mathcal{L}$ . We say that  $L$  is a *limit leaf* if  $L$  is contained in the closure of  $\mathcal{L} - L$ .

A properly effectively embedded  $H$ -hypersurface is an  $H$ -lamination with one leaf. We can now state the Stable Limit Leaf Theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** (Theorem 1 in [19]). *The limit leaves of a codimension one  $H$ -lamination of a Riemannian manifold are stable.*

Finally, we are ready to begin the proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Recall that  $M$  having locally bounded norm of the second fundamental form means that the intersection of  $M$  with any closed extrinsic ball of  $N$  has norm of the second fundamental form bounded from above by a constant that only depends on the ball.

Arguing by contradiction, suppose  $M$  is not proper. The first step in the proof is to observe that  $\overline{M}$ , the closure of  $M$ , has the structure of an  $H$ -lamination.

Let  $p \in \overline{M}$ . Since  $|A|$  is locally bounded, we can apply Theorem 4.2 to give a sufficiently small harmonic chart  $(U, \phi, B_N(p, r))$  such that for any  $\epsilon \in (0, r)$ , if  $\Sigma$  denotes the set of connected components of  $\phi^{-1}(M \cap B_N(p, r))$  intersecting the Euclidean ball  $B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0, \epsilon)$ , then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\rho \in (\epsilon, r)$ , and  $C' < \infty$  and a rotation  $R \in O(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that:

1. Every connected component of  $\Sigma := R(\Sigma) \cap B(\rho) \times \mathbb{R}$  is the graph of a function  $u$  over  $B(\rho)$ .
2. For all such functions  $u$ , we have  $\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B(\rho))} \leq C'$ .

Note that  $\Sigma = \Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_-$ , where  $\Sigma_+$  ( $\Sigma_-$  respectively) is the collection of components whose mean curvature vector is pointing “upward” (“downward”).

If  $p \in M$  and  $M$  is proper in a neighbourhood  $W$  of  $p$  then  $M \cap W = \overline{M} \cap W$  and, after possibly using a smaller chart,  $\Sigma$  consists of a finite number of connected components and  $\overline{M}$  has the structure of an  $H$ -lamination in a neighbourhood of  $p$ . Else, the number

of connected components in  $\Sigma$  is infinite and there exists a sequence of connected components  $\sigma_n \in \Sigma$  such that  $p \notin \sigma_n$  but  $p \in \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n$ . After passing to a sub-sequence and without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\sigma_n \in \Sigma_+$ , for all  $n$ . Moreover, a standard compactness argument gives that  $\sigma_n$  converges  $C^{2,\alpha}$  to a graph  $\sigma$  containing  $p$ , with constant mean curvature  $H$ , bounded  $|A|$  and upward pointing mean curvature vector. Note that if  $\phi^{-1}(\sigma) \not\subset M$  then, by definition,  $\phi^{-1}(\sigma) \subset \overline{M}$ . Furthermore, observe that if  $\sigma_-$  is a component in  $\Sigma_-$  that is above  $\sigma$ , then  $\sigma_-$  cannot be arbitrarily close to  $p$ , see for instance [22, Lemma 3.1]. Therefore, after possibly using an even smaller chart, no component of  $\Sigma_-$  is above  $\sigma$ . Therefore, by taking  $\Delta = \sigma$  in Definition 3.2, this discussion shows that  $\overline{M}$  satisfies condition 2 of Definition 3.2.

Using more or less the previous arguments, it is fairly standard to show that  $\overline{M} - M$ , and therefore  $\overline{M}$  is a collection of  $H$ -hypersurfaces. Finally, by definition,  $\overline{M}$  is a closed subset of  $N$ . This finishes to prove the observation that  $\mathcal{L} := \overline{M}$  is an  $H$ -lamination.

Next, we claim that  $\overline{M} \neq M$ . Arguing by contradiction, suppose that  $\overline{M} = M$  is the only leaf in the lamination  $\mathcal{L}$ . Since  $M$  is not proper and  $\overline{M} = M$ ,  $M$  contains a limit point, namely there is a point  $p \in M$  and a sequence of points  $p_n \in M$  converging to  $p$  extrinsically, but not intrinsically. It's not hard to see that the set of limit points is open and closed. This implies that every point  $x \in M$  is a limit point. Let  $U$  in  $M$  be a small geodesic ball centred at  $x$  which is the limit as  $n$  goes to infinity of a sequence of pairwise disjoint balls  $U_n$  in  $M$  centered at  $p_n$ . Performing this construction again for all  $p_n \in U_n$ , we obtain pairwise disjoint balls  $U_{n,m} \subset M$  which converge to  $U_n$  in  $N$  as  $m$  goes to infinity. We can then repeat this process, for example next on  $U_{n,m}$  and again on the resulting balls. This iterative process yields an uncountable number of disjoint balls on  $M$  which contradicts the second countable intrinsic property of the topology of a manifold. This shows that  $\overline{M} - M$  is not empty.

Let  $L$  be a leaf  $\overline{M} - M$ . Then, by definition,  $L$  is a limit leaf of  $\mathcal{L}$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $L$  is stable and by Theorem 1 in [32], when  $N$  is a 3-dimensional manifold (see Remark 3.2), and Theorem 1 in [13], when  $N$  is a 4 or 5-dimensional manifold,  $L$  cannot exist (see Corollary 2.1 with  $\delta = 0$ ). This final contradiction proves that  $M$  must be proper.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** Analogously to what happened in Section 2, thanks to Theorem 1 in [32] (see Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 with  $\delta = 0$ ) when the dimension of  $N$  is 3, one can replace the condition  $|H| > 2\sqrt{|\min(0, \mathcal{K})|}$  with  $3H^2 + \mathcal{S} > 0$  (where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a uniform bound from

below for the scalar curvature of  $N$ ), and prove a stronger properness result.

## 4 Properties of effectively embedded $H$ -hypersurfaces with bounded $\|A\|$

To keep the paper self-contained, in this section we state a few properties of hypersurfaces effectively embedded in a manifold with bounded second fundamental form that were used in the proof of Theorem 1.2. These statements are generalizations of existing results.

Given a point  $x$  in a hypersurface  $M$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , a neighbourhood of  $x$  is always graphical over the tangent plane of  $M$  at  $x$ . However, the size of such neighbourhood depends on  $x$  and, in general, it could be very small. However, when the norm of the second fundamental form of  $M$  is bounded, then the size of such neighbourhood is uniformly bounded from below independently of the point, see for example [36].

Analogous results are true for hypersurfaces in a manifold  $N$ . We begin by referencing a result by Hebey and Herzlich [15] that establishes that the metric respect to some harmonic coordinates is locally uniformly  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -controlled for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , depending only on the bounds on the injectivity radius and sectional curvatures of  $N$ . The version stated below is presented by Rosenberg, Souam and Toubiana in the appendix of [33]. Note that the version in [33] is stated for 3-dimensional manifolds. It is not hard to see that the proof works in higher dimensions [15].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $(N, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold with absolute sectional curvature bounds  $|K| \leq \Lambda < \infty$ . Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $N$  and define the fattening*

$$\Omega(\delta) := \{x \in N : \text{dist}_N(x, \Omega) < \delta\}.$$

*Suppose that there exists an  $i > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \Omega(\delta)$ , we have  $\text{inj}_N(x) > i$ . Then there exists a constant  $Q_0 > 1$  and a radius  $r_0 > 0$  which depend only on  $i, \delta, \Lambda$  and  $\alpha$ , but not on  $N$ , such that for any  $x \in \Omega$ , there exists a harmonic chart  $(U, \phi, B_N(x, r_0))$  with  $\phi(0) = x$ . Furthermore, we have  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -control over the metric tensor, that is*

$$Q_0^{-1}\delta_{ij} \leq g_{ij} \leq Q_0\delta_{ij}$$

*as quadratic forms, and  $\|(\phi^*g)_{ij}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(U)} \leq Q_0$*

Using the result above, by transferring the problem onto Euclidean space, in the appendix of [34], Saturnino proves the following theorem. Once again this result is stated there for surfaces in a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold but its proof works in higher dimensions.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Suppose  $(N, g)$  is a manifold with absolute sectional curvature bounds  $|K| \leq \Lambda < \infty$  and let  $M \subset N$  be an effectively embedded  $H$ -hypersurface. Let  $\Omega \subset N$  be an open set lying away from the boundary of  $N$ , and suppose the norm of the second fundamental form of  $M$  in  $\Omega$  is bounded above by a constant  $C < \infty$ . Fix any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and suppose  $\delta, i, r_0$ , and  $Q_0$  are as in Theorem 4.1. Fix an  $r \in (0, r_0)$  and let  $x \in \Omega$  be such that  $d_M(x, \partial M) > r$ .*

*Choose a harmonic chart  $(U, \phi, B_N(x, r))$  as in Theorem 4.1. For any  $\epsilon \in (0, r)$ , let  $\Sigma$  be the set of connected components of  $\phi^{-1}(M \cap B_N(x, r))$  intersecting the Euclidean ball  $B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0, \epsilon)$ . Then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\rho \in (\epsilon, r)$ , and  $C' < \infty$  depending only on  $\Lambda, C, i$ , and  $\alpha$ , and a rotation  $R \in O(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that:*

1. *Every connected component of  $R(\Sigma) \cap B(\rho) \times \mathbb{R}$  is the graph of a function  $u$  over  $B(\rho)$ .*
2. *For all such functions  $u$ , we have  $\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B(\rho))} \leq C'$ .*

In fact, in [34] the hypersurfaces are assumed to be properly embedded but the proof works for effectively embedded hypersurfaces. Note that in this more general case the number of connected components in  $\Sigma$  could be infinite and connected component must be intended in the sense described in Definition 3.1.

Giuseppe Tinaglia at [giuseppe.tinaglia@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:giuseppe.tinaglia@kcl.ac.uk)

Department of Mathematics, King's College London, London, WC2R 2LS, U.K.

Alex Zhou at [alex.zhou@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:alex.zhou@kcl.ac.uk)

Department of Mathematics, King's College London, London, WC2R 2LS, U.K.

## References

- [1] Theodora Bourni, Ben Sharp, and Giuseppe Tinaglia. Cmc hypersurfaces with bounded morse index. *Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, 2022(786):175–203, May 2022.

- [2] Xu Cheng. On constant mean curvature hypersurfaces with finite index. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 86:365–374, 04 2006.
- [3] Xu Cheng and Detang Zhou. Manifolds with weighted Poincaré inequality and uniqueness of minimal hypersurfaces. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 17(1):139–154, 2009.
- [4] Otis Chodosh and Chao Li. Generalized soap bubbles and the topology of manifolds with positive scalar curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 199(2):707–740, 2024.
- [5] Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi, II. The space of embedded minimal surfaces of fixed genus in a 3-manifold i; estimates off the axis for disks. *Annals of Mathematics*, 160:27–68, 2002.
- [6] Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi, II. The space of embedded minimal surfaces of fixed genus in a 3-manifold ii; multi-valued graphs in disks. *Annals of Mathematics*, 160:69–92, 2002.
- [7] Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi, II. The space of embedded minimal surfaces of fixed genus in a 3-manifold iii; planar domains. *Annals of Mathematics*, 160:523–572, 2002.
- [8] Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi, II. The space of embedded minimal surfaces of fixed genus in a 3-manifold iv; locally simply connected. *Annals of Mathematics*, 160:573–615, 2002.
- [9] Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi, II. The calabi-yau conjectures for embedded surfaces. *Annals of Mathematics*, 167(1):211–243, 2008.
- [10] Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi, II. The space of embedded minimal surfaces of fixed genus in a 3-manifold v; fixed genus. *Annals of Mathematics*, 181:1–153, 2012.
- [11] Baris Coskunuzer, William H. Meeks III, and Giuseppe Tinaglia. Non-properly embedded  $h$ -planes in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 105(3):405 – 425, 2017.
- [12] Baris Coskunuzer, William H. Meeks III, and Giuseppe Tinaglia. Non-properly embedded  $h$ -planes in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ . *Mathematische Annalen*, 370(3):1491–1512, 2018.

- [13] Maria Fernanda Elbert, Barbara Nelli, and Harold Rosenberg. Stable constant mean curvature hypersurfaces. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 135(10):3359–3366, 2007.
- [14] Hai-Ping Fu. On complete  $\delta$ -stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS)*, 34(1):69–79, 2009.
- [15] Emmanuel Hebey and Marc Herzlich. Harmonic coordinates, harmonic radius and convergence of riemannian manifolds. *Rendiconti di Matematica e delle sue Applicazioni. Serie VII*, 17, 01 1997.
- [16] Han Hong, Haizhong Li, and Gaoming Wang. On  $\delta$ -stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . 2024. arXiv 2407.03222.
- [17] William H. Meeks, III, Joaquín Pérez, and Antonio Ros. Liouville-type properties for embedded minimal surfaces. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 14(4):703–723, 2006.
- [18] William H. Meeks, III, Joaquín Pérez, and Antonio Ros. Stable constant mean curvature surfaces. In *Handbook of geometric analysis. No. 1*, volume 7 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 301–380. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2008.
- [19] William H. Meeks, III, Joaquín Pérez, and Antonio Ros. Limit leaves of an H lamination are stable. *J. Differential Geom.*, 84(1):179–189, 2010. MR2629513, Zbl 1197.53037.
- [20] William H. Meeks, III and Harold Rosenberg. The uniqueness of the helicoid. *Annals of Mathematics*, 161(2):727–758, 2005.
- [21] William H. Meeks, III and Harold Rosenberg. The minimal lamination closure theorem. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 133, 06 2006.
- [22] William H. Meeks, III and Giuseppe Tinaglia. Existence of regular neighborhoods for  $H$ -surfaces. *Illinois J. Math.*, 55(3):835–844, 2011.
- [23] William H. Meeks, III and Giuseppe Tinaglia. Chord arc properties for constant mean curvature disks. *Geometry & Topology*, 22(1):305–322, October 2017.
- [24] William H. Meeks, III and Giuseppe Tinaglia. Curvature estimates for constant mean curvature surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 168(16):3057–3102, 2019.



- [25] William H. Meeks, III and Giuseppe Tinaglia. Limit lamination theorems for  $H$ -surfaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 748:269–296, 2019.
- [26] William H. Meeks, III and Giuseppe Tinaglia. One-sided curvature estimates for  $H$ -disks. *Camb. J. Math.*, 8(3):479–503, 2020.
- [27] William H. Meeks, III and Giuseppe Tinaglia. Limit lamination theorem for  $H$ -disks. *Invent. Math.*, 226(2):393–420, 2021.
- [28] William H. Meeks, III and Giuseppe Tinaglia. Geometry of constant mean curvature surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 2024.
- [29] Barbara Nelli and Harold Rosenberg. Global properties of constant mean curvature surfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ . *Pacific J. Math.*, 226(1):137–152, 2006.
- [30] Magdalena Rodríguez and Giuseppe Tinaglia. Nonproper Complete Minimal Surfaces Embedded in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ . *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2015(12):4322–4334, 05 2014.
- [31] Antonio Ros and Harold Rosenberg. Properly embedded surfaces with constant mean curvature. *Amer. J. Math.*, 132(6):1429–1443, 2010.
- [32] Harold Rosenberg. Constant mean curvature surfaces in homogeneously regular 3-manifolds. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 74(2):227–238, 2006.
- [33] Harold Rosenberg, Rabah Souam, and Eric Toubiana. General curvature estimates for stable  $h$ -surfaces in 3-manifolds and applications. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 84(3), 2009.
- [34] Artur B. Saturnino. On the genus and area of constant mean curvature surfaces with bounded index. *The Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 31(12):11971–11987, 2021.
- [35] Luen-Fai Tam and Detang Zhou. Stability properties for the higher dimensional catenoid in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137(10):3451–3461, 2009.
- [36] Giuseppe Tinaglia. On curvature estimates for constant mean curvature surfaces. In *Geometric analysis: partial differential equations and surfaces*, volume 570 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 165–185. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.