WHERE IS THE [O III] λ 4363 EMITTING REGION IN ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI?

TOHRU NAGAO¹, TAKASHI MURAYAMA¹, AND YOSHIAKI TANIGUCHI^{1,2}

¹ Astronomical Institute, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Aramaki, Aoba, Sendai 980-8578,

Japan

² Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822

The Astrophysical Journal, 549, in press

ABSTRACT

The emission-line flux ratio of $[O III]\lambda 4363/[O III]\lambda 5007 (R_{OIII})$ is a useful diagnostic for the ionization mechanism and physical properties of emission-line regions in active galactic nuclei (AGNs). However, it is known that simple photoionization models underpredict the $[O III]\lambda 4363$ intensity, being inconsistent with observations. In this paper, we report on several pieces of evidence that a large fraction of the [O $III]\lambda 4363$ emission arises from the dense gas obscured by putative tori: (1) the visibility of high- R_{OIII} regions is correlated to that of broad-line regions, (2) higher- R_{OIII} objects show hotter mid-infrared colors, (3) higher- R_{OIII} objects show stronger highly-ionized emission lines such as $[Fe VII]\lambda 6087$ and $[Fe x]\lambda 6374$, and (4) higher- R_{OIII} objects have broader line width of $[O III]\lambda 4363$ normalized by that of $[O III]\lambda 5007$. To estimate how such a dense component contributes to the total emission-line flux, dual-component photoionization model calculations are performed. It is shown that the observed values of R_{OIII} of type 1 AGNs may be explained by introducing a 5% - 20% contribution from the dense component while those of type 2 AGNs may be explained by introducing a 0% - 2% contribution. We also discuss the $[O III]\lambda 4363$ emitting regions in LINERs in the framework of our dual-component model.

Subject headings: galaxies: nuclei - galaxies: Seyfert - quasars: emission lines

1. INTRODUCTION

It has been often considered that emission-line regions around active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are photoionized by the nonthermal continuum radiation from central engines (e.g., Davidson 1977; Yee 1980; Kwan & Krolik 1981; Shuder 1981; Cohen 1983; Cruz-González et al. 1991; Osterbrock 1993; Evans et al. 1999). However, this photoionization scenario has sometimes been confronted with several serious problems.

One of such problems is that any single-zone photoionization models underpredict the $[O III]\lambda 4363/[O III]\lambda 5007$ intensity ratio, R_{OIII} (e.g., Koski & Osterbrock 1976; Ferland & Netzer 1983; Filippenko & Halpern 1984; Viegas-Aldrovandi & Gruenwald 1988). The reason for the underprediction of R_{OIII} is thought to be that photoionization of gas in optically thick condition is hard to accomplish electron temperatures above a few $\times 10^4$ K if density of the gas is typical in narrow-line regions (NLRs). In order to solve this problem, many studies have been carried out. Such attempts can be roughly divided into the following two categories. One is based on the idea that a high density component may contribute to achieve the observed high R_{OIII} (e.g., Baldwin 1975; Osterbrock, Koski, & Phillips 1976; Filippenko & Halpern 1984; Filippenko 1985). This idea is attributed to the fact that the critical density $(n_{\rm cr})$ of the $[O III]\lambda 4363$ emission $(3.3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3})$ is higher than that of the [O III] λ 5007 emission (7.0 ×10⁵ cm⁻³); which leads to high R_{OIII} when the gas density is higher than $\sim 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The other idea is to introduce high temperature regions whose temperature is more than a few times 10^4 K. To achieve such high temperatures, either shockheated regions (e.g., Koski & Osterbrock 1976; Heckman 1980; Dopita & Sutherland 1995) or optically-thin components (e.g., Wilson, Binette, & Storchi-Bergmann 1997) is required.

In addition, some previous studies reported that the values of $R_{\rm OIII}$ depend on the AGN type; i.e., type 1 Seyfert nuclei (S1s) exhibit higher $R_{\rm OIII}$ than type 2 Seyfert nuclei (S2s) (e.g., Osterbrock et al. 1976; Heckman & Balick 1979; Shuder & Osterbrock 1981; Cohen 1983). This tendency seems to suggest that a part of the [O III] λ 4363 emission arises from the regions which are obscured only in S2s by any materials, such as dusty tori. However, this tendency may be interpreted by the intrinsic (that is, not due to obscuration effects) difference of NLR properties, such as the size (Schmitt & Kinney 1996; Kraemer et al. 1998) or the ionization states (Schmitt 1998).

To investigate the reason why S1s show high $R_{\rm OIII}$ than S2s seems useful to explore where and how the [O III] λ 4363 emission is radiated. Moreover, these may lead to the new solution to the underprediction problem of $R_{\rm OIII}$. Therefore, in this paper, we present how the observed values of $R_{\rm OIII}$ are different among various types of Seyferts based on a large sample of Seyferts compiled from the literature. Then, we compare $R_{\rm OIII}$ with various parameters and discuss the nature of the [O III] λ 4363 emitting regions in AGNs.

2. DATA COMPILATION

2.1. Data

We briefly summarize the policy of classification of Seyfert nuclei in this paper, which is the same as that in Nagao, Taniguchi, & Murayama (2000c). Seyfert nuclei are often divided into three types based on the visibility of broad components of hydrogen recombination lines: i.e., S1, Seyfert 1.5 (S1.5), and S2. The S1s consist of typical S1s (BLS1s; broad-line Seyfert 1 galaxies) and narrow-line Seyfert 1 galaxies (NLS1s; e.g., Osterbrock & Pogge 1985; Boller, Brandt, & Fink 1996). The type of Seyfert 1.2 (S1.2) is included in the type of BLS1 in this paper. We divide the type of S2 into $S2^+$ and $S2^-$; the former one exhibits the evidence for the existence of broad-line regions (BLRs) and the latter does not show such the evidence. For the convenience, both types of $S2^+$ and $S2^-$ are referred as $S2_{total}$ when needed; i.e., $S2_{total} = S2^+ + S2^-$. There are two populations in the types of $S2^+$: one shows weak symptoms of the existence of BLRs in their optical or near-infrared (NIR) spectra ($S2_{RBLR}$; type 2 Seyfert with the reddened BLR) and another one exhibits the hidden BLR which is detected only in polarized spectra $(S2_{HBLR})$. The type of $S2_{RBLR}$ consists of Seyfert 1.8 galaxies (S1.8s), Seyfert 1.9 galaxies (S1.9s), and the Seyfert galaxies with the broad Paschen or Bracket line $(S2_{NIR-BLR}s)$. They are combined into $S2^+$ when statistical treatments are needed.

In order to investigate statistical properties of the observed values of $R_{\rm OIII}$ for each type of Seyferts, we compiled $R_{\rm OIII}$ from the literature. The number of compiled objects is 214; 26 NLS1s, 56 BLS1s, 54 S1.5s, 4 S1.8s, 16 S1.9s, 5 S2_{NIR-BLR}s, 8 S2_{HBLR}s, and 45 S2⁻s. We basically referred to Véron-Cetty & Véron (1998) for the AGN type of each object. Though Mrk 335, Mrk 766, Mrk 1126, H 34.06, H 1934–063, HE 1029–1831, and J 13.12 are not classified as NLS1 by Véron-Cetty & Véron (1998), they are treated as NLS1 in this paper because they have been classified as NLS1 (Osterbrock & Pogge 1985; Vaughan et al. 1999; Rodríguez-Ardia, Pastoriza, & Donzelli 2000).

All the objects are listed in Table 1 together with their redshifts, R_{OIII} , and the fluxes at 3.5μ m, 12μ m, 25μ m, and 60μ m. The values of R_{OIII} in this table are the averaged ones among the references given there. We do not make the reddening correction for the values of R_{OIII} since it is often difficult to measure the narrow Balmer component, particularly for S1s. It is noted that we do not use any upper limit data in this study.

2.2. Selection Bias

Because we do not impose any selection criteria upon our sample, it is necessary to test whether or not the various samples are appropriate for our comparative study. There would be possible biases if there are any systematic differences in the redshift distributions or in the intrinsic nuclear luminosity distributions, thus we investigate those distributions below.

First we investigate the redshift distributions. We show the histograms of redshift in Figure 1. The mean redshift and the 1σ deviation for each type are given in Table 2. There seems to be a tendency that the redshifts of the objects in the samples of the BLS1 and the S1.5 are larger than those in the other samples. In order to confirm whether or not this tendency is statistically real, we apply the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistical test (see Press et al. 1988). The null hypothesis is that the redshift distributions among the NLS1s, the BLS1s, the S1.5s, the $S2^+s$, and the $S2^-s$ come from the same underlying population. The KS probabilities are given in Table 3. The KS test leads to the following results. (1) The redsifts of the NLS1s, of the BLS1s, and of the S1.5s are statistically indistinguishable. (2) The redshifts of the $S2^+$ and of the $S2^{-}$ are also statistically indistinguishable. (3) However, the former and the latter are statistically different. Does this difference of the redshift cause any possible biases against the following comparative study? To investigate this issue, we examine the relation between R_{OIII} , which is our main interest in this paper, and redshift (Figure 2). Figure 2 suggests that there is no correlation between R_{OIII} and redshift. This means that the redshift difference among the samples is thought not to cause a bias against the investigation of properties of R_{OIII} .

Second we consider the intrinsic AGN power. The socalled AGN unified model (Antonucci & Miller 1985; see for a review Antonucci 1993) requires anisotropic nuclear radiation. This may cause systematic differences in intrinsic AGN power among the types of Seyferts depending on selection criteria. Statistical properties of emission-line ratios for each type of Seyferts might suffer from this bias of intrinsic luminosity. Therefore, we investigate the intrinsic AGN power distributions using the $IRAS 60\mu m$ luminosity, which is regarded as rather isotropic emission (e.g., Pier & Krolik 1992; Efstathiou & Rowan-Robinson 1995; Fadda et al. 1998) though this might be contaminated with the influence of circumnuclear star formation. The histograms of the 60μ m luminosity are shown in Figure 3. The mean $60\mu m$ luminosities and 1σ deviations are given in Table 2. Here we adopt a Hubble constant $H_0 = 50$ km $s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}$ and a deceleration parameter $q_0 = 0$. We apply the KS test where the null hypothesis is that the distribution of the 60μ m luminosity of the samples come from the same underlying population. The resultant KS probabilities are given in Table 3. The KS test suggests that there is no systematic difference in the 60μ m luminosity among the types of Seyfert galaxies. It is noted that there is no correlation between R_{OIII} and the 60 μ m luminosity, i.e., the intrinsic AGN power (Figure 4).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Dependence of R_{OIII} on the AGN Type

We show the histograms of R_{OIII} for each type of Seyfert galaxies in Figure 5. The mean and the 1σ deviation of R_{OIII} for each type are given in Table 2. In Figure 5, it is clearly shown that the $S2_{total}$ s exhibit lower R_{OIII} than the NLS1s, the BLS1s, and the S1.5s. There seems to be a tendency also in details of the $S2_{total}$; i.e., the values of $R_{\rm OIII}$ of the S1.8s are higher than those of the S1.9s, and those of the S1.9s are higher than those of the $S2_{NIR-BLR}s$, the $S2_{HBLR}s$, and the $S2^{-}s$ although the numbers of the samples are small. These properties can be interpreted that the more the BLR emission suffers the reddening, the lower the observed value of R_{OIII} is. We apply the KS test where the null hypothesis is that the distribution of $R_{\rm OIII}$ of the various types comes from the same underlying population. The resultant KS probabilities are given in Table 3. The KS test leads to the following results. (1)The NLS1s, the BLS1s, and the S1.5s are statistically indistinguishable in the frequency distribution of R_{OIII} . (2) The $S2^+$ and the $S2^-$ are also statistically indistinguishable. (3) However, the NLS1s, of the BLS1s, and of the S1.5s have statistically higher R_{OIII} values than the S2⁺s and the S2⁻s. These results are consistent with the previous works; Osterbrock et al. (1976), Heckman & Balick (1979), and Shuder & Osterbrock (1981) have mentioned that the S1s show higher R_{OIII} values than the S2s, and



FIG. 1.— The frequency distributions of the redshift for the NLS1s, the BLS1s, the S1.5s, the S2⁺s, and the S2⁻s.



FIG. 2.— R_{OIII} are plotted as a function of the redshift. The NLS1s, the BLS1s, the S1.5s, the S2⁺s, and the S2⁻s are shown by filled circles, open ones, triangles, diamonds, and squares, respectively.



FIG. 3.— The frequency distributions of the 60μ m luminosity for the NLS1s, the BLS1s, the S1.5s, the S2⁺s, and the S2⁻s. The luminosities are normalized by the solar luminosity.



FIG. 4.— R_{OIII} are plotted as a function of the 60μ m luminosity. The symbols are the same as in Figure 2.

TABLE 2 MEANS AND DEVIATIONS OF REDSHIFT, $\nu L_{\nu}(60\mu)$, and $R_{\rm OIII}$ for Each Types of Seyfert Galaxies

$type^{a}$	Redshift	$\log \left(\nu L_{\nu} (60 \mu \mathrm{m}) / L_{\odot}\right)$	R _{OIII}
NLS1 (26)	0.0567 ± 0.0532	11.694 ± 0.563	0.126 ± 0.132
BLS1 (56)	0.1329 ± 0.1612	11.664 ± 0.571	0.091 ± 0.077
S1.5(54)	0.1192 ± 0.1768	11.546 ± 0.499	0.107 ± 0.210
$S2_{total}$ (78)	0.0407 ± 0.0674	11.454 ± 0.621	0.039 ± 0.044
$S2^{+}(33)$	0.0375 ± 0.0657	11.480 ± 0.496	0.047 ± 0.054
S1.8(4)	0.0996 ± 0.1383	11.694 ± 0.387	0.097 ± 0.070
S1.9(16)	0.0255 ± 0.0401	11.368 ± 0.495	0.053 ± 0.060
$S2_{NIR-BLR}$ (5)	0.0594 ± 0.0564	11.368 ± 0.109	0.029 ± 0.021
$S2_{HBLR}$ (8)	0.0168 ± 0.0104	11.635 ± 0.522	0.023 ± 0.011
$S2^{-}(45)$	0.0431 ± 0.0685	11.429 ± 0.717	0.033 ± 0.032

^aThe number of objects for each type is written in parenthesis.

TABLE 3 THE RESULTS OF THE KS TEST CONCERNING THE REDSHIFT, $\nu L_{\nu}(60\mu)$, and $R_{\rm OIII}$.

Type	NLS1	BLS1	S1.5	$S2^+$	$S2^{-}$
			Redshift		
NLS1		1.671×10^{-1}	6.734×10^{-1}	1.831×10^{-3}	8.579×10^{-2}
BLS1			6.818×10^{-2}	3.525×10^{-9}	5.410×10^{-6}
S1.5				5.872×10^{-6}	3.685×10^{-3}
$S2^+$					1.624×10^{-1}
$S2^{-}$					
			$\nu L_{\nu}(60\mu \mathrm{m})$		
NLS1		8.792×10^{-1}	7.908×10^{-1}	8.970×10^{-1}	3.923×10^{-1}
BLS1			4.439×10^{-1}	5.986×10^{-1}	1.920×10^{-1}
S1.5				4.788×10^{-1}	6.648×10^{-1}
$S2^+$					7.911×10^{-1}
$S2^-$					
			Roiii		
NLS1		7.877×10^{-1}	2.037×10^{-1}	1.555×10^{-4}	9.933×10^{-9}
BLS1			4.064×10^{-1}	5.735×10^{-5}	4.612×10^{-10}
S1.5				2.045×10^{-3}	4.106×10^{-6}
$S2^+$					1.264×10^{-1}
$S2^{-}$					



FIG. 5.— The frequency distributions of R_{OIII} for the NLS1s, the BLS1s, the S1.5s, and the S2_{total}s. The details of the frequency distributions of the S2_{total}s are also shown: i.e., for the S1.8s, the S1.9s, the S2_{NIR-BLR}s, the S2_{HBLR}s, and the S2⁻s.

Cohen (1983) has found that the S1.5s also show higher R_{OIII} values than the S2s. Nagao, Murayama, & Taniguchi (2000a) recently confirmed that the observed R_{OIII} values are statistically indistinguishable between the NLS1s and the BLS1s (see also Rodríguez-Ardia et al. 2000).

It seems possible that the difference in R_{OIII} among the types of Seyfert galaxies is attributed to the systematic difference in the amounts of the reddening, because we do not make any reddening correction for the compiled emission-line flux data. Thus we investigate the reddening effect on R_{OIII} adopting the Cardelli's extinction curve (Cardelli, Clayton, & Mathis 1989). It results in that the correction factors for the observed value of R_{OIII} is 1.222 for the reddening if we assume $A_V = 1.0$ mag, which is typical difference in the amounts of reddening for NLRs between S1s and S2s (Dahari & De Robertis 1988; see also De Zotti & Gaskell 1985). This factor is too small to explain the systematic difference of R_{OIII} among the types of Seyfert galaxies. Therefore we conclude that the reason of the systematic difference of R_{OIII} among the Seyfert types is not the extinction effect but any other mechanism, discussed later.

3.2. Correlation between R_{OIII} and MIR-Color

Dust grains within dusty tori in AGNs absorb NIR to soft X-ray photons emitted from the central engine, and re-emit the thermal radiation in the mid-infrared (MIR) regime. Since the tori are quite optically thick, the MIR spectrum has strong dependence on the viewing angle (e.g., Heckman, Chanbers, & Postman 1992; Giuricin, Mardirossian, & Mezzetti 1995; Heckman 1995; Fadda et al. 1998; Murayama, Mouri & Taniguchi 2000). This means that the hot inner surface of dusty tori is seen when the torus is observed from a favored (i.e., more face-on) view but obscured when observed from a unfavored (i.e., more edge-on) view. Therefore, it is interesting to investigate correlations between the MIR colors and $R_{\rm OIII}$.

The dependences of R_{OIII} on the flux ratios of IRAS 12 μ m and L band to IRAS 25 μ m are shown in Figure 6. These two flux ratios are used to investigate the visibility of the hot inner surface of dusty tori in AGNs. The method using the flux ratio of L band to IRAS 25 μ m is proposed by Murayama et al. (2000) for the purpose of reducing the influence of star-formation. In Figure 6, there appears a positive correlation in each diagram. In order to investigate whether or not these positive correlations are statistically significant, we apply the Spearman's rank test (see Press et al. 1988) where the null hypothesis is that the observed value of R_{OIII} is not correlated with the flux ratios of IRAS 12 μ m and of L band to IRAS 25 μ m. The resulting probabilities are 3.598×10^{-5} for the flux ratio of IRAS 12 μ m to IRAS 25 μ m and 3.254 $\times 10^{-4}$ for the flux ratio of L band to IRAS 25 μ m, which mean that the positive correlations shown in Figure 6 are statistically real. This means that the hotter the observed MIR colors are, the higher the observed values of R_{OIII} are.

3.3. Correlation between R_{OIII} and HINER Components

Pier & Voit (1995) investigated the hydrodynamic and line-emitting properties of dense clouds exposed to an AGN continuum emission at the inner edge of the torus. Since such regions have a large covering factor and a high



FIG. 6.— R_{OIII} are plotted as functions of the flux ratios of *IRAS* 12 μ m (left) and of *L*-band (right) to *IRAS* 25 μ m. The symbols are the same as in Figure 2.



FIG. 7.— R_{OIII} are plotted as functions of the line ratios of [Fe VII] λ 6087/[O III] λ 5007 (left) and of [Fe X] λ 6374/[O III] λ 5007 (right). The symbols are the same as in Figure 2.

density $(n_{\rm H} \sim 10^{7-8} {\rm cm}^{-3})$, those clouds are thought to be a plausible place to produce the highly-ionized emission lines such as [Fe VII] λ 6087 and [Fe X] λ 6374¹. This picture is consistent with the fact that such highly-ionized emission lines are stronger in S1s than in S2s (Murayama & Taniguchi 1998a; Nagao et al. 2000c). That is, a large part of the high-ionization nuclear emission-line regions (HINERs; Binette 1985; Murayama, Taniguchi, & Iwasawa 1998) is located at the region which is obscured in S2s by any materials, such as dusty tori.

Since R_{OIII} is higher in S1s than in S2s and n_{cr} of the [O III] λ 4363 emission is comparable with n_{cr} of [Fe VII] λ 6087, it is interesting to investigate the relation between the intensity of the [O III] λ 4363 emission and those of the HINER emission lines, [Fe VII] λ 6087 and [Fe X] λ 6374. The flux of these HINER lines is normalized by the flux of [O III] λ 5007 following the manner of Murayama & Taniguchi (1998a) and Nagao et al. (2000c). The results are shown in Figure 7. There is a positive correlation for each case, especially between R_{OIII} and the flux ratio of [Fe VII] λ 6087/[O III] λ 5007. This means that the strong [O III] λ 4363 emitting regions are located at the same place as the HINERs.

It should be noted that the value of R_{OIII} correlates to the intensity of [Fe X] λ 6374 worse than to that of [Fe VII] λ 6087. This is consistent with the remark of Nagao et al. (2000c) that the intensity of [Fe X] λ 6374 is less suitable to investigate the viewing angle toward tori than that of [Fe VII] λ 6087; they claimed that a part of the [Fe X] λ 6374 emission is radiated from spatially extended, low-density gas (see also Korista & Ferland 1989; Golev et al. 1995; Murayama et al. 1998; Nagao et al. 2000b).

3.4. Kinematical Investigation of [O III] Emitting Regions

Emission-line width of the NLR emission gives us some pieces of useful information about the kinematical and geometrical properties of gas clouds in the NLRs. Some earlier works have shown that there is a correlation between the emission-line width and $n_{\rm cr}$ of the emission line [e.g., Pelat, Fosbury, & Alloin 1981; Atwood, Baldwin, & Carswell 1982; Filippenko & Halpern 1984; De Robertis & Osterbrock 1984 (DRO84); Filippenko 1985; De Robertis & Osterbrock 1986 (DRO86); Appenzeller & Östreicher 1988; Espey et al. 1994]. This correlation is broadly interpreted as follows. A given emission line is emitted most efficiently from gas clouds whose densities are close to $n_{\rm cr}$. On the other hand, we can use line width as a rough measure of location of the emitting region if we assume that the NLR line widths are dominated either by random virialized motion or by Keplerian rotation. Therefore the correlation between line width and $n_{\rm cr}$ suggests that high-density gas clouds are located near the central engine relative to lowdensity gas clouds (DRO84; DRO86; see also Ferguson et al. 1997). This allows us to study the geometrical relationship between the [O III] λ 4363 and the [O III] λ 5007 emitting regions.

DRO84 measured the line widths of $[O III]\lambda 4363$ and $[O III]\lambda 5007$ for 11 broad-line Seyfert galaxies (NLS1s, BLS1s, and S1.5s) and DRO86 measured those for 13 narrow-line

Seyfert galaxies (S2⁺s and S2⁻s). Using these data, which are corrected for the instrumental broadening, we compare the kinematical and geometrical properties between the [O III] λ 4363 and the [O III] λ 5007 emitting regions in the two samples. Note that the [O III] λ 4363 emission is weak and that sometimes the deblending this line from H γ may be difficult. Therefore we do not attempt to collect the linewidth data from a large number of the literature and use only ones presented by De Robertis & Osterbrock. However, the difficulty in the measurement of the line widths may cause any systematical errors, which must be kept in mind. Since the numbers of the samples of DRO84 and DRO86 are small, we do not divide the sample into more detailed ones in this section.

The means and the 1σ deviations of the full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of [O III] λ 4363 and [O III] λ 5007, and ratios of them are given in Table 4. The histograms of these parameters are shown in Figure 8. In order to investigate whether or not the distributions of the line width of $[O III]\lambda 4363$ and that of $[O III]\lambda 5007$ are statistically different, and whether or not these distributions are statistically different between the samples of DRO84 and DRO86, we apply the KS test. The KS test leads to the following results. (1) For the DRO84 sample, FWHM([O III $\lambda 4363$) is larger than FWHM ([O III] $\lambda 5007$) though the statistical significance is low $(P_{\rm KS} = 0.012)$. (2) For the DRO86 sample, FWHM([O III] λ 4363) and FWHM([O III] λ 5007) are statistically indistinguishable ($P_{\rm KS} = 0.226$). (3) FWHM([O III] λ 4363) of the DRO84 sample is larger than that of the DRO86 sample though the statistical significance is low $(P_{\text{KS}} = 0.023)$. (4) FWHM([O III] λ 5007) of the DRO84 sample and that of the DRO86 sample are statistically indistinguishable ($P_{\rm KS} = 0.330$). And finally, (5) the ratio of FWHM([O III] λ 4363)/FWHM([O III] λ 5007) of the DRO84 sample is larger than that of the DRO86 sample though the statistical significance is low $(P_{\rm KS} =$ 0.023). These results are summarized in Table 5. All these results support the idea that the strong $[O III]\lambda 4363$ emitting region is located at inner region comparing to the [O III] λ 5007 emitting region, and such a strong [O III] λ 4363 emitting region is visible only in S1s but obscured in S2s, although a much larger sample will be necessary to confirm these arguments.

To investigate the relationship between the visibility and kinematics of the $[O III]\lambda 4363$ emitting regions more directly, we examine the dependence of R_{OIII} on FWHM([O III] λ 4363) and that on the ratio of FWHM([O $III]\lambda 4363)/FWHM([O III]\lambda 5007)$ in Figure 9. In order to examine whether or not there are any correlations in these parameters statistically, we apply the Spearman's rank test where the null hypothesis is that the observed value of R_{OIII} is not correlated with FWHM([O III] λ 4363) or FWHM([O III] λ 4363)/FWHM([O III] λ 5007). The resulting probabilities are 0.165 for FWHM([O III] λ 4363) and 0.032 for FWHM([O III] λ 4363)/FWHM([O III] λ 5007). These results mean that there is no correlation between $R_{\rm OIII}$ and FWHM([O III] $\lambda 4363$) while there is a marginal tendency of a positive correlation between R_{OIII} and the ratio of FWHM([O III] λ 4363)/FWHM([O III] λ 5007). This

¹The critical densities of these emission lines are 3.6×10^7 cm⁻³ for [Fe VII] $\lambda 6087$ and 4.8×10^9 cm⁻³ for [Fe x] $\lambda 6374$ (De Robertis & Osterbrock 1986b). Thus these highly ionized emission lines can be radiated in the clouds of $n_{\rm H} \sim 10^{7-8}$ cm⁻³. However, low-ionization emission lines such as [O III] $\lambda 5007$ are suppressed by a collisional de-excitation in such a dense gas cloud because $n_{\rm cr}$ of low-ionization emission lines are generally low comparing to $n_{\rm H}$ of the dense gas clouds.



FIG. 8.— The frequency distributions of FWHM([O III] λ 4363) (left), FWHM([O III] λ 5007) (middle), and the ratio of FWHM([O III] λ 4363) to FWHM([O III] λ 5007) (right) for the samples of DRO84, which represent broad-line Seyfert galaxies (upper), and of DRO86, which represent narrow-line Seyfert galaxies (lower).



FIG. 9.— R_{OIII} are plotted as functions of FWHM([O III] λ 4363) (left) and the ratio of FWHM([O III] λ 4363) to FWHM([O III] λ 5007) (right). The objects in DRO84 sample and in DRO86 are shown by circles and filled squares, respectively.

 $TABLE \ 4$ The Statistical Properties for the Sample of $DRO84^a {\rm and} \ DRO86^b$

sample		mean and deviation
DRO84	FWHM([O III]λ4363) FWHM([O III]λ5007) FWHM([O III]λ4363)/FWHM([O III]λ5007)	684 ± 225 387 ± 157 2.03 ± 0.96
DRO86		$\begin{array}{c} 492 \pm 168 \\ 434 \pm 147 \\ 1.16 \pm 0.25 \end{array}$

^aDe Robertis & Osterbrock (1984).

^bDe Robertis & Osterbrock (1986).

TABLE 5 The Results of the KS Test Concerning the Kinematic Properties

	KS Prob.
FWHM([O III] λ 4363) versus FWHM([O III])	$[1]\lambda 5007)$
DRO84 DRO86	$0.012 \\ 0.226$
DRO84 versus DRO86	
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{FWHM}([O\ \mbox{iii}]\lambda4363) \\ \mbox{FWHM}([O\ \mbox{iii}]\lambda5007) \\ \mbox{FWHM}([O\ \mbox{iii}]\lambda4363)/\mbox{FWHM}([O\ \mbox{iii}]\lambda5007) \end{array}$	$0.023 \\ 0.330 \\ 0.023$

difference is caused because FWHM([O III] λ 4363) reflects not only the location of the [O III] λ 4363 emitting region but also the mass of a supermassive black hole while the effect of the dispersion of the mass of a supermassive black hole among objects is reduced in the value of FWHM([O III] λ 4363)/FWHM([O III] λ 5007).

It should be noted that there are some lines of evidence which show that some of broader emission-line widths of highly-ionized emission lines are due to outflows, not to the depth of gravitational potentials (e.g., Moore & Cohen 1996; Kaiser et al. 2000; Nelson et al. 2000; Crenshaw & Kraemer 2000). If this is the case, line widths might not contain the information concerning the geometry of lineemitting gas clouds. However, unfortunately, the present data cannot distinguish these two interpretations.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Where is the [O III] λ 4363 Emitting Region in AGNs?

In this section, we discuss why the observed values of R_{OIII} are higher in S1s than in S2s and how the high R_{OIII} comparing to the predicted values by simple photoionization models is achieved.

The first problem is the type dependence of the observed values of R_{OIII} , shown in Figure 5. There are two possible interpretations to understand this dependence. One is that the [O III] λ 5007 emission is stronger in S2s than S1s due to the intrinsically (i.e., not due to inclination effects) larger size of NLRs of S2s as proposed by Schmitt & Kinney (1996). Another is that the strong [O III] λ 4363 emitting regions exist somewhere but obscured by something on the line of sight when we see S2s. Because the intrinsic AGN luminosity is similar among the various types of Seyferts in our sample as mentioned in Section 2.2, the former case predicts the stronger [O III] λ 5007 luminosity in S2s than in S1s and similar $[O III]\lambda 4363$ luminosity among the Seyfert types. On the other hand, the latter case predicts the similar $[O III]\lambda 5007$ luminosity among the Seyfert types and the stronger $[O III]\lambda 4363$ luminosity in S1s than in S2s. These two cases may be the extreme ones and the real situation might be intermediate between the two cases. However, it is interesting to investigate which case is close to the observed properties of emission-line spectra. Therefore we compare the observed emission-line luminosity of $[O III]\lambda 5007$ and $[O III]\lambda 4363$ among various types of Seyferts, which is shown in Figure 10. To quantify the statistical significance of the difference in the luminosity distribution among the Seyfert types, we apply the KS test where the null hypothesis is that the distribution of these emission-line luminosities come from the same underlying population. The KS test leads to the following results. (1) The distributions of the $[O III]\lambda 5007$ luminosity are statistically indistinguishable among the BLS1s, the S1.5s, and the S2s though the [O III] λ 5007 luminosity of the NLS1s are weaker than that of other Seyfert types. (2) The distributions of the [O III] λ 4363 luminosity are statistically indistinguishable among the NLS1s, BLS1s, and the S1.5s though the $[O III]\lambda 4363$ luminosity of the S2s are weaker than that of the BLS1s and the S1.5s. The KS probabilities are given in Table 6. These results are consistent with the latter case; i.e., the type dependence of the observed values of $R_{\rm OIII}$ is not due to the dilution by a more extended NLR in S2s but due to the enhancement of the [O III] λ 4363 emission in S1s. The reason why the [O III] λ 5007 luminosity is smaller in the NLS1s than in other types may be that NLS1s are the extreme objects on the "eigenvector 1" of Boroson & Green (1992). More explicitly, there is a relation that the weaker the [O III] λ 5007 emission is, the narrower the FWHM of H β is (e.g., Boroson & Green 1992; Brandt & Boller 1998; Sulentic et al. 2000). Following this relation, NLS1s may tend to exhibit weak [O III] λ 5007 emissions as shown in Figure 10.

The properties of emission-line width described in Section 3.4 also support the idea that the dependence of $R_{\rm OIII}$ on AGN types is not due to the dilution of $R_{\rm OIII}$ by extended low density gas in S2s but due to the obscuration of the strong [O III] λ 4363 emitting region in S2s. The S1s exhibit the broad [O III] λ 4363 comparing to [O III] λ 5007 while the S2s do not so. This suggests the existence of the inner, strong [O III] λ 4363 emitting region which is obscured in S2s. We, therefore, conclude that the dependence of $R_{\rm OIII}$ on AGN types is attributed to the obscuration effect.

Now we consider the following problems. Where is the O III/ λ 4363 emitting region in AGNs? And, how is the high R_{OIII} comparing to the predicted values by simple photoionization models achieved? According to the current unified model of AGNs, it is natural to consider that the material obscuring the strong $[O III]\lambda 4363$ emitting regions in S2s is dusty tori. If this is the case, the strong [O III] $\lambda 4363$ emitting region may be either the inner surface of dusty tori described by Pier & Voit (1995) or the dense gas clouds near the central engine, which are obscured by the tori, though these two alternatives cannot be distinguished only by the statistical tests presented in this paper. This is consistent with the similarity of the location between the HINER and the strong $[O III]\lambda 4363$ emitting region, which is suggested by the correlation between the intensity of the HINER emission and R_{OIII} (see Section 3.3) because large parts of the HINER emission is thought to arise from such dense clouds (Pier & Voit 1995; Murayama & Taniguchi 1998a, 1998b; Nagao et al. 2000c). The MIR properties described in Section 3.2 also support this geometrical consideration of the [O III] λ 4363 emitting region; i.e., the correlations between the MIR colors and R_{OIII} mean the similarity between the visibility of relatively hot inner surface of dusty tori and that of the strong [O III] λ 4363 emitting region.

However, some of S2s also exhibit large R_{OIII} , which is also difficult to be explained by the simple one-zone photoionization model. They may be have dense gas clouds in NLR, which is not obscured by dusty tori because of the large distance from the nucleus, or the escaping [O III] λ 4363 emission from the leaky parts of the tori.

4.2. Interpretation Using the Dual-Component Photoionization Model

As described in Section 4.1, high-density gas clouds obscured by tori, which are located either at the inner surface of dusty tori or near the central engine where is obscured by the tori, are thought to emit a large fraction of the [O III] λ 4363 emission. In order to investigate whether or not such an idea is consistent with photoionization scenarios quantitatively, we perform dual-component photoionization model calculations following the manner of Murayama



FIG. 10.— The frequency distributions of the [O III] λ 5007 luminosity (left) and the [O III] λ 4363 luminosity (right) for the NLS1s, the BLS1s, the S1.5s, and the S2_{total}s.

Type	NLS1 BLS1		S1.5	$S2_{total}$			
		$L_{\rm [OIII]}$	\$5007				
NLS1		4.291×10^{-3}	4.394×10^{-4}	4.445×10^{-2}			
BLS1			9.312×10^{-1}	8.359×10^{-2}			
S1.5				1.225×10^{-2}			
$S2_{total}$			• • •				
		$L_{\rm [OIII]}$	\4363				
NLS1		2.519×10^{-2}	2.263×10^{-2}	4.419×10^{-1}			
BLS1			7.925×10^{-1}	2.515×10^{-5}			
S1.5				5.194×10^{-5}			
$S2_{total}$							

TABLE 6 The Results of the KS Test Concerning the $L_{\rm [OIII]\lambda5007}$ and $L_{\rm [OIII]\lambda4363}$



FIG. 11.— The calculated emission-line ratio of [Fe X] λ 6374/[Fe VII] λ 6087 (solid line) and the column density (dashed line) of the truncated torus component are shown as functions of the ionization parameter of the torus component, $U_{\rm DC}$.



FIG. 12.— The diagram of R_{OIII} versus [Fe VII] λ 4363/[O III] λ 5007. The symbols are the same as in Figure 2. Our model calculations are superposed in the figure. The fraction of the contribution from torus components are also shown. The data points will move on the diagram as shown by the arrow if the extinction correlation of $A_V = 1.0$ is applied.

& Taniguchi (1998b). This method takes account of such high-density gas clouds as a strong $[O III]\lambda 4363$ emitter, in addition to the typical NLR component. Here we assume the second situation, i.e., the strong $[O III]\lambda 4363$ emitting region is not physically associated by the tori. Therefore we do not consider effects of dust, such as depletion of heavy metals, through the following calculations.

Our calculation methods are as follows. We perform photoionization model calculations using the spectral synthesis code *Cloudy* version 90.04 (Ferland 1996), which solves the equations of statistical and thermal equilibrium and produces a self-consistent model of the run of temperature as a function of depth into the nebula. Here we assume an uniform-density gas cloud with a plane-parallel geometry. The dense component (DC) is assumed to be truncated clouds; i.e., optically thin clouds for the ionizing photons, for the purpose of avoiding unusually strong [O I] emission (see Murayama & Taniguchi 1998b). The parameters for the calculations are (1) the hydrogen density of the cloud $(n_{\rm DC} \text{ and } n_{\rm NLR})$, (2) the ionization parameter $(U_{\rm DC} \text{ and } U_{\rm NLR})$, which is defined as the ratio of the ionizing photon density to the electron density, (3) the thickness of the torus component which is represented by the optical depth for ionizing photons, (4) the chemical compositions of the gas, (5) the shape of the input SED of ionizing photons, and (6) the fraction of DC to the NLR component.

Here we assume $n_{\rm DC} = 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. We perform several model runs covering $10^1 \text{ cm}^{-3} \le n_{\rm NLR} \le 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The ionization parameter of the NLR component is as-sumed as $U_{\rm NLR} = 10^{-2}$. The ionization parameter and the hydrogen column density of DC are determined using following two conditions; ([Fe x] $\lambda 6374/$ [Fe VII] $\lambda 6087)_{DC}$ = 0.8 and ([Fe VII] $\lambda 6087/[O III]\lambda 5007$)_{DC} = 1.0. The former ratio is the typical value of Seyfert galaxies (Nagao et al. 2000c) and the latter condition is introduced by Murayama & Taniguchi (1998b) as a truncated dense gas cloud. As the result, $U_{\rm DC}=10^{-1.48}$ and $N_{\rm DC}=10^{20.76}$ $\rm cm^{-2}$ are adopted ² (see Figure 10). The calculations are stopped when the gas temperature falls to 4000 K for the NLR component. We set the gas-phase elemental abundances to be solar ones taken from Grevesse & Anders (1989) with extensions by Grevesse & Noels (1993). We adopt the power-law continuum as the input spectrum, where the spectral index is assumed as $\alpha = -1.5$ (see Ferland & Netzer 1983) between 10 μ m and 50 keV for the form $f_{\nu} \propto \nu^{\alpha}$. The spectral index is set to $\alpha = 2.5$ at lower energy (i.e., $\lambda \ge 10 \mu m$) and to $\alpha = -2$ at higher energy (i.e., $h\nu \geq 50$ keV). The fraction of DC to the NLR component is treated as a free parameter in our calculations. The further details for this dual-component photoionization model are described in Murayama (1998) and Murayama & Taniguchi (1998b).

We present our results of model calculations and compare them with the observations in Figure 12, which is a diagram of R_{OIII} versus [Fe VII] $\lambda 6087/[\text{O III}]\lambda 5007$. We find that the model grids are roughly consistent with the observations if we take the effects of the correction for the extinction into account. Though the dispersion of observation is larger than the model grids, this is thought to be attributed the fact that the parameters, such as U_{DC} , $U_{\rm NLR}$, $n_{\rm DC}$, and $N_{\rm DC}$, are different from object to object. It is shown that the $R_{\rm OIII}$ of the S1s can be explained by introducing a 5% ~ 20% contribution from DC while the $R_{\rm OIII}$ of the S2s can be explained by introducing a 0% ~ 2% contribution from DC. These fractions are consistent with the results of Murayama & Taniguchi (1998b), who introduce a ~ 10% contribution from the dense gas clouds to explain intensities of the HINER emission of S1s.

4.3. RoIII in LINERs

In some low-ionization nuclear emission-line regions (LINERs), the observed values of $R_{\rm OIII}$ are far larger than that predicted by one-zone photoionization models. Because of this property, the dominant mechanism for the ionization in LINERs has been frequently regarded as shock ionization (e.g., Fosbury et al. 1978; Heckman 1980; Baldwin, Phillips, & Terlevich 1981). However, Filippenko (1985) pointed out that there is a correlation between the emission-line width and $n_{\rm cr}$ of the emission line over the range 10^3 cm⁻³ $\leq n_{\rm cr} \leq 10^7$ cm⁻³ in a LINER PKS 1718-649. This suggests that LINERs may also possess high-density regions up to 10^7 cm⁻³, which mean that the high $R_{\rm OIII}$ in LINERs may be explained by photoionization models.

Although it is not clear whether or not there is a dusty torus in all LINERs, there is several pieces of evidence that the unified models of AGNs can apply to some of LINERs; i.e., some LINERs exhibit broad components in their optical spectra (e.g., Ho et al. 1997b), in UV spectra (e.g., Barth et al. 1996), or only in polarized spectra (Barth, Filippenko, & Moran 1999a, 1999b). Therefore it is interesting to examine the properties of $R_{\rm OIII}$ of LINERs in the framework of our dual component model.

In order to investigate this issue, we compiled R_{OIII} of LINERs from the literature. Since the optical spectra of LINERs are often contaminated by stellar features from the host galaxy strongly, careful subtraction of such stellar features from observed spectra is needed to discuss the properties of faint emission lines such as $[O III]\lambda 4363$. Our compiled sample consists of the objects that such careful subtraction was applied to: 8 LINERs with broad components (L1.9s) and 7 LINERs without broad components (L2s). Here it must be kept in mind that some of the L2s may not be AGNs; a part of LINERs may be shockheated galaxy (e.g., Heckman 1980; Baldwin et al. 1981; Heckman 1986; Gonzárez Delgado & Pérez 1996) and others may be the objects ionized by hot stellar component (e.g., Filippenko & Terlevich 1992; Binette et al. 1994; Alonso-Herrero et al. 2000; Taniguchi, Shioya, & Murayama 2000). It is noted that the compiled samples may be biased in favor of the higher R_{OIII} objects because it is often difficult to detect weak [O III] λ 4363 emission owing to the relatively strong stellar feature. The objects we compiled are given in Table 7, and the histograms of R_{OIII} for the L1.9s and the L2s are shown in Figure 13. The means and the deviations of $R_{\rm OIII}$ are 0.099 ± 0.054 for the L1.9s and 0.048 ± 0.030 for the L2s. There are a tendency that the values of the L1.9s are higher than those of L2s though these are statistically indistinguishable ($P_{\rm KS}$) = 0.252).

²Because it is known that there is uncertainty in collision strengths for the [Fe x] λ 6374 emission, the derived values of $U_{\rm DC}$ and $N_{\rm DC}$ may also suffer such uncertainties.



FIG. 13.— The frequency distributions of $R_{\rm OIII}$ for the L1.9s and the L2s.



FIG. 14.— Same as Figure 9 but the sample of LINERs (NGC 3031, NGC 7213, and PKS 1718-649) are added. The crosses denote the LINERs.

TABLE 7 Observed values of $R_{\rm OIII}$ for LINERs

Object Name	$R_{ m OIII}$	Reference ^a
	LINER with a broad component	
NGC 1052	0.0606	HFS93
	0.0353	HFS97
NGC 1275	0.0923	HFS93
NGC 3031	0.1884	HFS93
	0.0938	HFS96
NGC 3226	0.0901	m HFS97
NGC 4278	0.1353	m HFS97
NGC 4395	0.0312	HFS93
NGC 4579	0.0510	m HFS97
NGC 7213	0.2030	FH84
-	LINER without a broad component	
NGC 1167	0.0090	HFS93
NGC 1961	0.0750	m HFS97
NGC 3504	0.0618	HFS93
NGC 4102	0.0300	m HFS97
NGC 6500	0.0500	m HFS97
NGC 7714	0.0121	HFS93
PKS 1718-649	0.0960	F85

^a References for the data of R_{OIII} . Each abbreviation means as follows; F85: Filippenko (1985); FH84: Filippenko & Halpern (1984); HFS93: Ho, Filippenko, & Sargent (1993); HFS96: Ho, Filippenko, & Sargent (1996); and HFS97: Ho, Filippenko, & Sargent (1997a).

In the sample, the emission-line width of [O III] λ 4363 has been measured for three LINERs; NGC 3031 (Ho, Filippenko, & Sargent 1996), NGC 7213 (Filippenko & Halpern 1984), and PKS 1718-649 (Filippenko 1985). These data follow our dual component model; i.e., higher $R_{\rm OIII}$ objects show larger values of FWHM([O III] λ 4363) and FWHM([O III] λ 4363)/FWHM([O III] λ 5007 (Figure 14).

These results seem to suggest that the [O III] λ 4363 emitting regions are located at inner than the [O III] λ 5007 emitting regions and that the [O III] λ 4363 emission has an anisotropic property for LINERs, too. Further observations are needed to investigate this issue in detail.

5. SUMMARY

In this paper we proposed the idea that a large fraction of [O III] λ 4363 originates in dense gas clouds obscured by the torus, which cause high values of R_{OIII} comparing to predictions of simple one-zone photoionization models. We have shown some observational properties of R_{OIII} which support our model.

- The values of R_{OIII} of the NLS1s, the BLS1s, and the S1.5s are higher than those of the S2s. This difference suggests a large fraction of [O III] λ 4363 emission is hidden by the torus in S2s.
- The higher- R_{OIII} objects show hotter MIR colors. The hotter MIR colors are thought to be attributed to the hotter dusty grains located at the inner surface of the dusty tori, which can be seen if we see the torus from more face-on view. Therefore, this means that the higher R_{OIII} objects are seen from more face-on view toward dusty tori than the lower R_{OIII} objects.
- The higher- R_{OIII} objects show stronger HINER emission. Since a large fraction of HINER emission is thought to arise from dense gas clouds at the inner surface of the dusty tori (Murayama & Taniguchi 1998a, 1998b), this means that the higher R_{OIII} can be attributed to the significant flux contribution from such a high dense cloud as described by Pier & Voit (1995).
- The S1s have wider FWHM([O III] λ 4363) and FWHM([O III] λ 4363)/FWHM([O III] λ 5007) than the S2s. This suggests that the [O III] λ 4363 emitting regions are located inner than the [O III] λ 5007 emitting regions and have an anisotropic property.
- The higher-R_{OIII} objects show larger FWHM([O III]λ4363)/FWHM([O III]λ5007) ratios. This also suggests that the [O III]λ4363 emitting regions are located inner than the [O III]λ5007 emitting regions.

As shown in section 3.4, there is too little information to discuss the kinematical and structural properties of the [O III] λ 4363 emitting region because it is often difficult to observe this emission line accurately. Therefore further observations are needed to confirm this dual-component model. In particular, the spatial distribution of R_{OIII} should be investigated to judge the validity for this model. This dual-component model predicts that the higher R_{OIII} (~ 0.1) is seen only in nuclear region.

We would like to thank Gary Ferland for providing his code *Cloudy* to the public. We also thank the anonymous referee and Yasuhiro Shioya for some useful comments, and Shingo Nishiura for his kind assistance. YT would like to thank Rolf-Peter Kudritzki, Bob McLaren, and Dave Sanders at Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii for their warm hospitality. This research has made use of the NED (NASA extragalactic database) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under construct with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. TM is supported by a Research Fellowship from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists. This work was financially supported in part by Grant-in-Aids for the Scientific Research (Nos. 10044052, and 10304013) of the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, and Science.

REFERENCES

- Alonso-Herrero, A., Rieke, M. J., Rieke, G. H., & Shields, J. C. 2000, ApJ, 530, 688
- Antonucci, R. R. J. 1993, ARA&A, 31, 473
- Antonucci, R. R. J., & Miller, J. S. 1985, ApJ, 297, 621
- Appenzeller, I., & Östreicher, R. 1988, AJ, 95, 45
- Atwood, B., Baldwin, J. A., & Carswell, R. F. 1982, ApJ, 257, 559 Baldwin, J. A. 1975, ApJ, 201, L26

18

- Baldwin, J. A., Phillips, M. M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, PASP, 93, 5 Barth, A. J., Filippenko, A. V., & Moran, E. C. 1999a, ApJ, 515,
- L61
- Barth, A. J., Filippenko, A. V., & Moran, E. C. 1999b, ApJ, 525, 673
- Barth, A. J., Reichert, G. A., Filippenko, A. V., Ho, L. C., Shields, J. C., Mushotzky, R. F., & Puchnarewicz, E. M. 1996, AJ, 112, 1829
- Bergvall, N., Johansson, L., & Olofsson, K. 1986, A&A, 166, 92
- Binette, L. 1985, A&A, 143, 334 Binette, L., Magris, C. G., Stasińska, G., & Bruzual, A. G. 1994, A&A, 292, 13
- Boksenberg, A., Shortridge, K., Allen, D. A., Fosbury, R. A. E., Penston, M. V., & Savage, A. 1975, MNRAS, 173, 381
 Boller, T., Brandt, W. N., & Fink, H. 1996, A&A, 305, 53
 Boroson, T. A., & Green, R. F. 1992, ApJS, 80, 109
 Brandt, W. N., & Boller, Th. 1999, ASP Conf. Ser. 175, in Structure

- and Kinematics of Quasar Broad Line Regions, ed. Gaskell, C. M. et al. (San Francisco: ASP), 265 Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, ApJ, 345,245 Cohen, R. D. 1983, ApJ, 273, 489

- Cohen, R. D. 1959, ApJ, 213, 469
 Cohen, R. D., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1981, ApJ, 243, 81
 Costero, R., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1977, ApJ, 211, 675
 Crenshaw, D. M., & Kraemer, S. B. 2000, ApJ, 532, L101
 Crenshaw, D. M., Peterson, B. M., Korista, K. T., Wagner, R. M., & Aufdenberg, I. P. 1991, A 1 101, 1202
- & Aufdenberg, J. P. 1991, AJ, 101, 1202
 Cruz-González, I., Carrasco, L., Serrano, A., Guichard, J., Dultzin-Hacyan, D., & Bisiacchi, G. F. 1994, ApJS, 94, 47
 Cruz-González, I., Guichard, J., Serrano, A., & Carrasco, L. 1991,
- PASP, 103, 888 Dahari, O., & De Robertis, M. M. 1988, ApJS, 67, 249 Davidson, K. 1977, ApJ, 218, 20 Davidson, K., & Kinman, T. D. 1978, ApJ, 225, 776

- De Robertis, M. M., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1984, ApJ, 286, 171 (DR084)
- De Robertis, M. M., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1986a, ApJ, 301, 98 De Robertis, M. M., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1986b, ApJ, 301, 727 (DRO86)
- De Zotti, G., & Gaskell, C. M. 1985, A&A, 147, 1 Diaz, A. I., Prieto, M. A., & Wamsteker, W. 1988, A&A, 195, 53 Dopita, M. A., & Sutherland, R. S. 1995, ApJ, 455, 468

- Durret, F., & Bergeron, J. 1988, A&AS, 75, 273 Efstathiou, A., & Rowan-Robinson, M. 1995, MNRAS, 273, 649
- Espey, B. R. et al. 1994, ApJ, 434, 484 Evans, I., Koratkar, A., Allen, M., Dopita, M., & Tsvetanov, Z. 1999, ApJ, 521, 531
- Fadda, D., Giuricin, G., Granato, G., & Vecchies, D. 1998, ApJ, 496, 117
- Ferguson, J. W., Korista, K. T., Baldwin, J. A., & Ferland, G. J. 1997, ApJ, 487, 122 Ferland, G. J. 1996, Hazy: A Brief Introduction to Cloudy
- (Lexington; Univ. Kentucky Dept. Phys. Astron.)
- Ferland, G. J., & Netzer, H. 1983, ApJ, 264, 105
- Ferland, G. J., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1986, ApJ, 300, 658
 Ferland, G. J., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1987, ApJ, 318, 145

- Fillippenko, A. V. 1985, ApJ, 289, 475 Fillippenko, A. V., & Halpern, J. P. 1984, ApJ, 285, 458
- Fillippenko, A. V., & Terlevich, R. 1992, ApJ, 397, L79
 Fosbury, R. A. E., Mebold, U., Goss, W. M., & Dopita, M. A. 1978, MNRAS, 183, 549
- Fosbury, R. A. E., & Sansom, A. E. 1983, MNRAS, 204, 1231
- Giuricin, G., Mardirossian, F., & Mezzetti, M. 1995, ApJ, 446, 550
 Glass, I. S. 1979, MNRAS, 186, 29
 Glass, I. S. 1981, MNRAS, 197, 1067
- Golev, V., Yankulova, I., Bonev, T., & Jockers, K. 1995, MNRAS, 273, 129
- González Delgado, R. M., & Pérez, E. 1996, MNRAS, 281, 1105
- Grevesse, N., & Anders. E. 1989, in AIP Conf. Proc. 183, Cosmic Abundance of Matter, ed. Waddington, C. J. (New York: AIP), 1
- Grevesse, N., & Noels, A. 1993, in Origin & Evolution of the Elements, ed. Prantzos, N., Vangioni-Flam, E., & Casse, M. (Cambridge Univ. Press), 15
- Heckman, T. M. 1980, A&A, 87, 152 Heckman, T. M. 1986, PASP, 98, 159 Heckman, T. M. 1995, ApJ, 446, 101
- Heckman, T. M., & Balick, B. 1979, A&A, 79, 350

- Heckman, T. M., Chambers, K. C., & Postman, M. 1992, ApJ, 391,
- Heckman, T. M., Lebofsky, M. J., Rieke, G. H., & Van Breugel, W. 1983, ApJ, 272, 400
- Ho, L. C., Filippenko, A. V., & Sargent, W. L. W. 1993, ApJ, 417, 63
- Ho, L. C., Filippenko, A. V., & Sargent, W. L. W. 1996, ApJ, 462, 183
- Ho, L. C., Filippenko, A. V., & Sargent, W. L. W. 1997a, ApJS, 112, 315
- Ho, L. C., Filippenko, A. V., Sargent, W. L. W., & Peng, C. Y.
- Hor, L. G., Happens, I.L. S., L. S., Crenshaw, J. B., Crenshaw, D. M.,
 Gull, T. R., Kraemer, S. B., Nelson, C. H., Ruiz, J., & Weistrop,
- Gull, 1. R., Kraemer, S. B., Nelson, C. H., Ruiz, J., & Weistrop, D. 2000, ApJ, 528, 260
 Kemp, J. C., Rieke, G. H., Lebofsky, M. J., & Coyne, G. V. 1977, ApJ, 215, L107
 Kollatschny, W., & Fricke, K. J. 1983, A&A, 125, 276
 Korista, K. T., & Ferland, G. J. 1989, ApJ, 343, 678
 Koski, A. T. 1978, ApJ, 223, 56
 Koski, A. T., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1976, ApJ, 203, L49
 Kraemer, S. B. Crenshaw, D. M. Filippenko, A. V. & Peterson, B.

- Kraemer, S. B., Crenshaw, D. M., Filippenko, A. V., & Peterson, B. M. 1998, ApJ, 499, 719
 Kraemer, S. B., Wu, C.-C., Crenshaw, D. M., & Harrington, J. P. 1994, ApJ, 435, 171

- Kunth, D., & Sargent, W. L. W. 1979, A&A, 76, 50 Kwan, J., & Krolik, J. H. 1981, ApJ, 250, 478 Lípari, S., Tsvetanov, Z., & Macchetto F. 1993, ApJ, 405, 186 McLaren, R. A., Maza, J., McAlary, C. W., & McGonegal, R. J. 1983, ApJS, 52, 341 Moore, D., & Cohen, R. D. 1996, ApJ, 470, 301 Morris, S. L., & Ward, M. J. 1988, MNRAS, 230, 639 Moshir, M., et al. 1992, Explanatory Supplement to the *IRAS* Faint

- Source Survey (Version 2, JPL-D-10015 8/92; Pasadena: JPL) Murayama, T. 1998, Doctor's thesis, Tohoku Univ.

- Murayama, T., Mouri, H., & Taniguchi, Y. 2000, ApJ, 528, 179 Murayama, T., & Taniguchi, Y. 1998a, ApJ, 497, L9 Murayama, T., & Taniguchi, Y. 1998b, ApJ, 503, L115 Murayama, T., Taniguchi, Y., & Iwasawa, K. 1998, AJ, 115, 460 Nagao, T., Murayama, T., & Taniguchi, Y. 2000a, ApJ, 545, in press (astro-ph/0008006)
- Nagao, T., Murayama, T., Taniguchi, Y., & Yoshida, M. 2000b, AJ, 119, 620
- Nagao, T., Taniguchi, Y., & Murayama, T. 2000c, AJ, 119, 2605 Nelson, C. H., Weistrop, D., Hutching, J.B., Crenshaw, D. M., Gull, T. R., Kaiser, M. E., Kraemer, S. B., & Lindler, D. 2000, ApJ, 531, 25
- O'Connell, R. W., & Kingham, K. A. 1978, PASP, 90, 244
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1977, ApJ, 215, 733
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1981, ApJ, 246, 696 Osterbrock, D. E. 1985, PASP, 97, 25
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1993, ApJ, 404, 551 Osterbrock, D. E., Koski, A. T., & Phillips, M. M. 1976, ApJ, 206, 898

Pier, E. A., & Krolik, J. H. 1992, ApJ, 401, 99
Pier, E. A., & Voit, G. M. 1995, ApJ, 450, 628
Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., & Flannery, B. P. 1988, Numerical Recipes in C (Cambridge University Press)

Reynolds, C. S., Ward, M. J., Fabian, A. C., & Celotti, A. 1997, MNRAS, 291, 403

Rieke, G. H., & Low, F. J. 1972, ApJ, 176, L95 Rodríguez-Ardia, A., Pastoriza, M. G., & Donzelli, C. J. 2000, ApJS,

Rudy, R. J., Levan, P. D., & Rodríguez-Espinosa, J. M. 1982, AJ, 87, 598

Stein, W. A., & Weedman, D. W. 1955, ApJ, 205, 44
 Stephens, S. A. 1989, AJ, 97, 10
 Sulentic, J. W., Zwitter, T., Marziani, P., & Dultzin-Hacyan, D. 2000, ApJ, 536, L5

Taniguchi, Y., Shioya, Y., & Murayama, T. 2000, AJ, 120, 1265

Osterbrock, D. E., & Pogge, R. W. 1985, ApJ, 297, 166

Phillips, M. M., & Frogel, J. A. 1980, ApJ, 235, 761

Schmitt, H. R. 1998, ApJ, 506. 647 Schmitt, H. R., & Kinney, A. L. 1996, ApJ, 463, 498 Shuder, J. M. 1980, ApJ, 240, 32 Shuder, J. M. 1981, ApJ, 244, 12 Shuder, J. M., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1981, ApJ, 250, 55

Stauffer, J., Schild, R., & Keel, W. 1983, ApJ, 270, 465

- Pelat, D., Fosbury R. A. E., & Alloin, D. 1981, MNRAS, 195, 787
 - Phillips, M. M. 1978, ApJ, 226, 736 Phillips, M. M., Charles, P. A., & Baldwin, J. A. 1983, ApJ, 266,

Rieke, G. H. 1978, ApJ, 226, 550

485

126, 63

- Terlevich, R., Melnick, J., Masegosa, J., Moles, M., & Copetti, M. V. F. 1991, A&AS, 91, 285
 Ulrich, M. -H., & Péquignot, D. 1980, ApJ, 238, 45
 Vaughan, S., Reeves, J., Warwick, R., & Edelson, R. 1999, MNRAS, 309, 113
 Véron-Cetty, M. -P., & Véron, P. 1998, ESO Sci. Rept. No.18 (European Southern Observatory)
 Viegas-Aldrovandi, S. M., & Gruenwald, R. B. 1988, ApJ, 324, 683
- Ward, M., Penston, M. V., Blades, J. C., & Turtle, A. J. 1980, MNRAS, 193, 563
 Wilson, A. S., Binette, L., & Storchi-Bergmann, T. 1997, ApJ, 482, L131

- L131
 Winkler, H. 1992, MNRAS, 257, 677
 Yee, H. K. C. 1980, ApJ, 241, 894
 Zamorano, J., Gallego, J., Rego, M., Vitores, A. G., & Gonzalez-Riestra, R. 1992, AJ, 104, 1000

Name	Another Name	$\operatorname{Redshift}$	$R_{\rm OIII}$ ^a	References ^b	$F_{ u}(3.5 \mu \mathrm{m}) \ \mathrm{(Jy)}$	$\operatorname{References}^{c}$	$\begin{array}{c}F_{\nu}(12\mu\mathrm{m})^{\mathrm{d}}\\(\mathrm{Jy})\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}F_{\nu}(25\mu\mathrm{m})^{\mathrm{d}}\\(\mathrm{Jy})\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}F_{\nu}(60\mu\mathrm{m})^{\mathrm{d}}\\(\mathrm{Jy})\end{array}$
NLS1									
NGC 4748	MCG -2-33-34	0.0146	0.0492	RAPD00			0.1708	0.3705	1.163
Mrk 42		0.0240	0.1339	C91, K78, OP85			< 0.0987	< 0.1394	0.3172
Mrk 291		0.0352	0.1031	077			< 0.0662	< 0.0884	0.3368
Mrk 335	PG 0003+199	0.0258	0.0699	O77, P78	0.0982	M83	0.3021	0.3777	0.3433
Mrk 359	UGC 1032	0.0174	0.0735	DK78, OP85			0.1192	0.4376	1.132
Mrk 493	UGC 10120	0.0319	0.4568	C91, OP85	0.0155	RLRE82	0.0881	0.1918	0.6937
Mrk 504	PG 1659+294	0.0359	0.0308	O 77	0.0093	SW76			
Mrk 507		0.0559	0.0593	K78			0.0531	0.1042	0.5445
Mrk 766	NGC 4253	0.0129	0.0294	CG94, OP85	0.0543	RLRE82	0.3855	1.295	4.026
Mrk 783		0.0672	0.0895	OP85			< 0.1005	< 0.1992	0.3096
Mrk 957	5C 3.100	0.0711	0.0952	K78			< 0.1882	0.245	2.095
Mrk 1126	NGC 7450	0.0106	0.1163	OP85					
Mrk 1239		0.0199	0.0892	C91, CG94, OP85, RAPD00	0.157	RLRE82	0.650	1.141	1.335
1E 1031+5822		0.248	0.0683	S 89					
1E 1205 + 4657		0.102	0.3646	S 89					
1E 12287+123		0.116	0.5395	S 89					
2E 1226 + 1336		0.150	0.0312	S 89					
I Zw 1	UGC 545	0.0611	0.0761	077, P78	0.111	SW76	0.5118	1.211	2.243
H 34.06	IRAS F06083-5606	0.0318	0.1023	RAPD00			< 0.0614	0.0882	0.2373
H 1934-063	IRAS 19348-0619	0.0106	0.0437	RAPD00			0.4963	1.064	2.808
HE 1029-1831	IRAS 10295-1831	0.0403	0.0980	RAPD00			0.1391	0.4108	2.545
IRAS 15091-2107		0.0446	0.0500	W92 DADDaa			0.2304	0.4986	1.521
J 13.12 V 990		0.0120	0.3101	RAPD00					
Kaz 320 MC 01110 0122		0.0345	0.0595	Z92					
MS 01119-0132 MS 01449 0055		0.120	0.1188	289					
M5 01442-0055	•••	0.080	0.0207	209					
BLS1									
NGC 4235		0.0080	0.2837	MW88	0.0258	RLRE82	< 0.1259	< 0.1566	0.3164
Mrk 10	UGC 4013	0.0293	0.0247	O77	0.0134	SW76	0.1396	0.2206	0.813
Mrk 40	Arp 151	0.0211	0.1667	077					
Mrk 69		0.0760	0.0837	077					
Mrk 79	UGC 3973	0.0222	0.0457	C83, O77	0.0487	SW76	0.3062	0.7625	1.503
Mrk 106		0.1235	0.0310	077					
Mrk 124		0.0563	0.0730	O77, P78	0.0307	SW76	0.1188	0.2664	0.6831
Mrk 141		0.0417	0.0816	077	0.011	R78	0.1279	0.1624	0.7408
Mrk 142	PG 1022+519	0.0449	0.0680	077					
Mrk 236		0.0520	0.0804	077			< 0.0636	< 0.0963	0.1733
Mrk 279	UGC 8823	0.0294	0.0670	083, 077	0.032	R78	< 0.205	< 0.3328	1.255
Mrk 304	11 Zw 175, PG 2214+139	0.0658	0.1061		0.0337	SW76			
Mrk 374 M h 202		0.0435	0.0738	077 077	0.0147	S W 76	0.1123	0.194	0.2658
Mrk 382 M l 5 41		0.0338	0.0322	077	0.0100	 Mon	< 0.1469	< 0.2431	0.2154
MrK 541 M h 500	NGC 869	0.0394	0.2217	077	0.0123	M83	< 0.140	< 0.180	0.3504
MrK 590 M b 619	NGU 863	0.0264	0.2000				0.1917	0.2214	0.4893
MrK 618 Mal: 704		0.0356	0.0410	F18 C92	0.0000	DIDESS	0.3365	0.7884	2.706
MrK (U4 Male 976	DC 16121652	0.0292	0.0726	000 8 00	0.0000	RLRE82	0.3943	0.3324	0.3030
Mrk 870	FG 1013+038 UCC 774	0.1290	0.2717	202 (192			< 0.0972	0.2309	0.3973
MIR 370	000 //4	0.0490	0.0000				0.2400	0.0110	0.6001

TABLE 1. The Properties of the Objects in Our Sample
--

Name	Another Name	$\mathbf{Redshift}$	$R_{\rm OIII}{}^{\rm a}$	$\operatorname{References}^{\mathrm{b}}$	$F_{ u}(3.5 \mu \mathrm{m}) \ \mathrm{(Jy)}$	$\operatorname{References}^{c}$	$F_{m{ u}}(12\mu\mathrm{m})^{\mathrm{d}}\ \mathrm{(Jy)}$	$F_{m{ u}}(25\mu\mathrm{m})^\mathrm{d}\ \mathrm{(Jy)}$	$F_{m{ u}}(60\mu\mathrm{m})^\mathrm{d}$ (Jy)
1E 0514-0030		0.292	0.4949	S89					
1H 1927-516		0.0403	0.0792	RAPD00					
1H 2107-097		0.0268	0.1696	RAPD00					
$2 E \ 0150 1015$		0.361	0.0341	S89					
2E 0237 + 3953		0.528	0.0757	S89					
2E 1401+0952		0.441	0.0565	S89					
$2 \ge 1556 + 2725$	PGC 56527	0.0904	0.0884	S 89					
2E 1847+3329		0.509	0.0649	S89					
3C 263		0.646	0.0515	P78					
3C 382		0.0579	0.1600	077	0.036	H83			
III Zw 2		0.0898	0.0273	077	0.036	R78			
A 08.12		0.0293	0.0759	RAPD00					
Arp 102 B	· · ·	0.0242	0.0571	SSK83					
B2 $1425 + 26$	PG 1425+267	0.3660	0.0417	P78					
B2 $1512 + 37$	PG 1512+370	0.3707	0.0393	P78					
C 16.16		0.0795	0.0588	RAPD00					
ESO 438-G09		0.0245	0.0541	KF83			< 0.3208	0.6241	3.144
ESO 578-G09	· · ·	0.0349	0.0898	RAPD00					
F 10.01		0.0784	0.0391	RAPD00					
Fairall 9	ESO 113-IG45	0.0470	0.1200	W92	0.125	M83			
Fairall 1116	Tololo 0349-406	0.0582	0.0900	W92			< 0.0981	0.1313	0.1577
Fairall 1146		0.0316	0.0436	RAPD00					
H 34.03	IRAS F05561-5357	0.0967	0.1287	RAPD00			0.0796	0.1694	0.4799
J 10.09	IRAS F12312-2047	0.0230	0.1565	RAPD00			< 0.1252	< 0.1873	0.3816
M 02.30	IRAS F10306-2651	0.0688	0.1875	RAPD00			< 0.0864	< 0.105	0.2557
MC 1104+167	$4C \ 16.30$	0.632	0.0941	P78					
MS 02255 + 3121		0.058	0.1270	S89					
MS 07451 + 5545		0.174	0.0337	S89					
MS 08451 + 3751		0.307	0.1198	S89					
MS 08495 + 0805	• • •	0.062	0.0395	S89					
MS 11397+1040		0.150	0.0215	S89					
MS 15251+1551		0.230	0.0405	S89					
MS 22152-0347	• • •	0.242	0.0329	S 89					
PKS 1417-19		0.120	0.1039	RAPD00					
Tololo 20	IL FEAD CHARACTER	0.030	0.0924	MW 88		DIDESS			
Ton 1542	Mrk 771, PG 1229+204	0.0640	0.1167	P78	0.0194	RLRE82			
S1.5									
NGC 985		0.0431	0.1351	CG94			0.2075	0.5232	1.381
NGC 1019		0.0242	0.8387	PCB83			< 0.1381	< 0.1454	0.3549
NGC 1566		0.0050	0.1600	W92	0.0519	G 81	0.831	1.219	14.71
NGC 3227		0.0039	0.0314	C83, O77	0.0783	M83	0.6671	1.764	7.825
NGC 3516		0.0088	0.0381	O77, P78, UP80			0.4258	0.8937	1.758
NGC 3783		0.0097	0.0500	W92	0.0509	M83	0.8394	2.492	3.257
NGC 4151		0.0033	0.0219	B75	0.314	K77			· · · ·
NGC 5548		0.0172	0.1025	C83, O77	0.0986	M83	0.4006	0.769	1.073
NGC 6814		0.0052	0.0715	MW88	0.0329	M83	< 0.4896	0.5986	5.517
NGC 6860		0.0149	0.0672	LTM93			0.2397	0.3321	0.9538
NGC 7469		0.0163	0.0336	C83, O77, P78	0.117	G 81	1.348	5.789	25.87
Mrk 6	IC 450	0.0185	0.0179	C83, K78	0.046	R78	0.2239	0.6866	1.183
Mrk 9		0.0399	0.0667	P78	0.0487	SW76	0.2147	0.439	0.7676

TABLE 1. (continued)

Name	Another Name	$\operatorname{Redshift}$	$R_{\rm OIII}{}^{\rm a}$	$\operatorname{References}^{\mathrm{b}}$	$F_{m{ u}}({ m 3.5\mum})\ ({ m Jy})$	$\operatorname{References}^{c}$	$F_{m{ u}}(12\mu\mathrm{m})^\mathrm{d} \ \mathrm{(Jy)}$	$F_{m u}(25\mu\mathrm{m})^\mathrm{d}\ (\mathrm{Jy})$	$F_{m{ u}}(60\mu\mathrm{m})^\mathrm{d} \ \mathrm{(Jy)}$
Mrk 110	PG 0921+525	0.0353	0.0463	077	0.0097	SW76			
Mrk 290	PG 1534+580	0.0296	0.0429	077	0.0212	SW76	< 0.1065	< 0.1441	0.1708
Mrk 315		0.0389	0.0652	K78	0.017	R78	< 0.2274	0.3318	1.464
Mrk 372	IC 1854	0.0310	0.0266	K78	0.0102	SW76	< 0.128	0.1675	0.303
Mrk 506		0.0430	0.0402	C83, O77	0.0128	SW76			
Mrk 609		0.0341	0.1486	CG94					
Mrk 699	III Zw 77	0.0342	0.1035	FO87, KS79, O81, OK78			< 0.138	< 0.0851	0.2453
Mrk 817	UGC 1501+106	0.0315	0.0425	C83	0.0601	RLRE82	0.3355	1.175	2.118
Mrk 841	PG 1501+106	0.0362	0.0119	C83	0.0409	RLRE82	0.1924	0.4726	0.4593
Mrk 864		0.0719	0.0310	S 89			< 0.1241	0.1348	0.2859
Mrk 926	MCG -2-58-22	0.0473	0.0795	C83, DB88, MW88	0.0473	RLRE82			
Mrk 1320		0.1030	1.3917	MW88			< 0.0980	< 0.1239	0.2182
Mrk 1393		0.0544	0.0298	MW88					
1E 0057+3110		0.287	0.0765	S89					
1E 1011+0329		0.313	0.0635	S89					
1H 1142-178	IRAS F11431-1810	0.0329	0.0306	W92, RAPD00			< 0.1163	< 0.1199	0.2285
2E 0844+3743		0.451	0.1353	S89					
2E 1008+3452		0.140	0.0130	S89					
2E 1227 + 1403		0.100	0.2549	589					
$2E 1615 \pm 0.0011$	IRAS 16154+0611	0.0379	0.0562	MW88			< 0.2925	< 0.1328	0.8438
3C 120		0.0330	0.0864	DB88_077	0.070	BL72	0.2861	0.6353	1 283
3C 227		0.0862	0.0802	077					1.200
3C 281		0.602	0.1080	P78					
3C 380		0.692	0.0714	P78					
3C 390 3		0.0561	0 1212	077	0.016	H83	0.1277	0.2873	0.2037
3C 445	IBAS F22212-0221	0.0562	0.0610	MW88_077			0.2076	0.2637	0.3061
4C 61 20	110/15/1/22/21/2-02/21	0.499	0.0010	P78			0.2010	0.2001	0.5001
II Zw 1		0.422	0.0258	077			< 0 1472	0.2713	1 455
B3 07541394	IBAS E07546±3928	0.0040	0.0200	589			< 0.1395	0.2715	0 1729
ESO 362 G18	MCG 5 13 17	0.000	0.0201	BAPDOG W92			0.1000	0.2100	1 396
Epicoll 51	FSO 140 G43	0.0120	0.0400	W02	0.118	C 81	0.2250	1.035	1.844
MCC = 6.30.15	ESO 383 C35	0.0142	0.0778	MW88 B07	0.113	M83	0.3803	0.8088	1.044
MCG 8 11 11	LIGC 3374	0.0011	0.0113	C83	0.0874	M83	0.6304	1.048	3.005
MB 2251 178	000 3314	0.0200	0.0220		0.0074	M83	0.0534 0.1591	/ 0.2128	0.312
MS 01262 0606		0.008	0.1155	200	0.0182	14100	0.1021	0.2120	0.012
MS 04194 0809	IDAS 04194 0802	0.400	0.1100	2 02 2 00			0.1901	0 5205	0.6225
MS 1398513195	11(A) 04124-0603	0.0019	0.0190	569			0.1691	0.0200	0.0000
DKC 0E10 4E	Diston A	0.241	0.1071	D GS For	0.0922	C 70			
FIND UD10-40 DKC 9944100	r ictor A	0.0331	0.0900	100 D70	0.0200	G19			
FR3 2344+09 SPS 17011610		0.077	0.05491	E 10 200					
303 1701+010 Top 236		0.104	0.0384	202 520					
1011 2 30		0.400	0.0364	202					
S1.8									
Mrk 334	UGC 6	0.0220	0.0726	CG94			0.2257	1.048	4.345
Mrk 744	NGC 3786	0.0089	0.2167	CG94					
Mrk 1218	NGC 2622	0.0286	0.0547	CG94			< 0.1337	< 0.3364	0.4283
MS 04494-1823		0.3387	0.0428	S89					
S1.9									
			0.0400	Edog MELoo Hot				1 500	
MCC 464	TO 1 1 0100 000	///////////////////////////////////////	/ / / /						1 1/10 /1

TABLE 1. (continued)

Name	Another Name	$\mathbf{Redshift}$	$R_{\rm OIII}{}^{\rm a}$	References ^b	$F_{m u}(3.5 \mu { m m}) \ ({ m Jy})$	$\operatorname{References}^{c}$	$F_{ u}(12\mu\mathrm{m})^{\mathrm{d}}$ (Jy)	$F_{ u}(25\mu\mathrm{m})^{\mathrm{d}} \ \mathrm{(Jy)}$	$F_{m{ u}}(60\mu\mathrm{m})^\mathrm{d}$ (Jy)
NGC 513	Akn 41	0.0195	0.2674	CG94			0.1665	0.2769	1.935
NGC 2110		0.0076	0.0429	CG94, S80	0.0822	G81	0.3488	0.8397	4.129
NGC 2992		0.0077	0.0200	S80, W80	0.0724	M83			
NGC 3982		0.0037	0.0016	PCB83			0.5073	0.8335	6.567
NGC 4507		0.0118	0.0283	PCB83	0.073	G81	0.4566	1.387	4.310
NGC 5252		0.0231	0.0759	CG94					
NGC 5674		0.0249	0.1046	CG94			0.1441	0.281	1.444
NGC 5728		0.0093	0.0288	PCB83			0.2434	0.8817	8.163
NGC 6890		0.0081	0.0303	PCB83			0.3422	0.6541	3.855
NGC 7314		0.0047	0.0215	MW88			0.2679	0.5788	3.736
Mrk 1388		0.0213	0.0514	O85			< 0.1536	0.2309	0.1744
3C 219		0.1744	0.0570	CO81					
IC 5135	NGC 7130	0.0162	0.0256	PCB83			0.5882	2.117	16.48
PKS 2048-57	IC 5063	0.0113	0.0200	PCB83			1.067	3.910	5.337
Tololo 1351-375	Tololo 113	0.0520	0.0229	MW 88			0.1063	0.3162	0.4524
$\rm S2_{NIR-BLR}$									
NGC 5506		0.0062	0.0126	MW88, S80	0.255	M83	1.282	3.638	8.409
Mrk 176	UGC 6527	0.0274	0.0139	K78	0.0255	SW76	0.1702	0.2421	0.6937
3C 184.1		0.1182	0.0262	K78					
3C 223		0.1368	0.0230	CO81					
$\mathrm{ESO}434 ext{-}\mathrm{G}40$	MCG -5-23-16	0.0083	0.0700	W92	0.1068	M83			
$S2_{HBLR}$									
NGC 788		0.0136	0.0372	CG94			0.1869	< 0.5148	0.5105
NGC 1068	Messier 77	0.0038	0.0129	K78	1.70	BL72	39.70	85.04	176.2
NGC 4388		0.0084	0.0245	CG94. S80			0.9964	3.463	10.24
NGC 7674	Mrk 533	0.0291	0.0088	K94. SO81			0.6724	1.896	5.588
Mrk 3	UGC 3426	0.0135	0.0141	K78	0.036	R78	0.7125	2.896	3.770
Mrk 348	NGC 262	0.0150	0.0170	K78	0.0369	SW76	0.308	0.8347	1.290
Mrk 477	I Zw 92	0.0378	0.0291	K94, KS79, OK78, SO81			0.1263	0.5093	1.313
Mrk 1210	UGC 4203	0.0135	0.0410	T91			0.4965	2.075	1.892
S2 ⁻									
NGC 1229		0.0363	0.0348	PCB83			0 1466	0.6312	1 5 4 8
NGC 1358		0.0134	0.0625	PCB83			< 0.0829	< 0.1236	0.3781
NGC 1386		0.0029	0.0382	PCB83	0.046	PF80	0 4927	1 433	5 396
NGC 1410	III Zw 55 UGC 2821	0.0253	0.0206	K78	0.008	B78			
NGC 3081		0.0080	0.0150	PCB83					
NGC 3281		0.0107	0.0293	DB88, PCB83			0.8896	2.633	6.861
NGC 3393		0.0125	0.0099	DPW88			0.131	0.7528	2 251
NGC 5135		0.0137	0.0166	PCB83			0.638	2.401	16.91
NGC 5643		0.0040	0.0193	PCB83			1.098	3.647	19 49
NGC 6300		0.0037	0.0379	PCB83			0.9268	2 272	14.65
NGC 7582		0.0053	0.0175	CG94 W80	0.160	G81	1.620	6 436	49.10
NGC 7682		0.0171	0.0117	CG94	0.100		1.020	0.100	-10.10
Mrk 1	NGC 449	0.0159	0.0136	K78	0.011	B78	< 1.887	0.8648	2 5 3 1
Mrk 34		0.0505	0.0110	K78	0.010	B78	0.0684	0.464	0.8092
Mrk 78		0.0372	0.0075	K78	0.011	R78	0.1978	0.5546	1 1 1 0
111K 10	-	0.0012	0.0010	1110	0.011	11/0	0.1210	0.0040	1.110

TABLE 1. (continued)

Name	Another Name	$\operatorname{Redshift}$	$R_{\rm OIII}{}^{\rm a}$	$\operatorname{References}^{\mathrm{b}}$	$\begin{array}{c}F_{\nu}(3.5\mu\mathrm{m})\\(\mathrm{Jy})\end{array}$	${ m References^c}$	$\begin{array}{c}F_{\nu}(12\mu\mathrm{m})^{\mathrm{d}}\\(\mathrm{Jy})\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}F_{\nu}(25\mu\mathrm{m})^{\mathrm{d}}\\(\mathrm{Jy})\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}F_{\nu}(60\mu\mathrm{m})^{\mathrm{d}}\\(\mathrm{Jy})\end{array}$
Mrk 198		0.0240	0.0192	K78	0.009	R78	< 0.1209	0.1364	0.8236
Mrk 268		0.0 3 99	0.0417	K78	0.007	R78	0.1083	0.2798	1.381
Mrk 270	NGC 5283	0.0090	0.0292	K78	0.007	R78			
Mrk 273	UGC 8696	0.0378	0.0257	K78	0.019	R78	0.2352	2.282	21.74
Mrk 461	UGC 8718	0.0162	0.1150	CG94			< 0.0989	0.1242	0.3749
Mrk 573	UGC 1214	0.0173	0.0179	K78			< 0.250	< 0.932	1.088
Mrk 607	NGC 1320	0.0091	0.0294	DRO 86			0.3303	1.065	2.152
Mrk 612		0.0203	0.0150	SO81			0.128	0.2675	1.159
Mrk 622	UGC 4229	0.0232	0.0040	SO81			< 0.1875	0.4051	1.281
Mrk 686	NGC 5695	0.0141	0.1577	CG94			< 0.1053	0.1288	0.5655
3C 33		0.0592	0.0203	K78					
3C 98		0.0305	0.0246	CO77					
3 C 99		0.426	0.0150	CO 81					
3C 192		0.0598	0.0311	CO77					
3C 223.1		0.1075	0.0200	CO 81					
3C 317	UGC 9799	0.0342	0.1100	CO81					
3C 327	IRAS 15599+0206	0.1048	0.0156	CO77, OK78			0.0918	0.3194	0.5993
3C 433		0.1016	0.0307	K78					
3C 452		0.0811	0.0191	K78					
$4C_{-}39.72$		0.2061	0.0320	CO 81					
Akn 79	UGC 1757	0.0175	0.1327	CG94					
Akn 347	NGC 4074	0.022	0.0200	SO81					
ESO 306-G25	Tololo 0544-395	0.025	0.0222	T91			< 0.0867	0.1144	0.1968
ESO 428-G14		0.0054	0.0180	BJO86					
ESO 540-G14		0.0055	0.0392	CG94			< 0.133	< 0.0989	0.2797
IC 1515		0.0222	0.0432	PCB83			< 0.1528	< 0.2345	0.5658
UGC 6100		0.0293	0.0234	CG94			0.1453	0.2018	0.5743
UM 16		0.0579	0.0140	SO81, T91					
UM 82		0.051	0.0127	T91					
UM 625		0.025	0.0212	T91			< 0.133	< 0.1211	0.3209

TABLE 1. (continued)

 $^{\rm a}R_{\rm OIII}$ is the emission-line ratio of [O $\rm III]\lambda4363/[O \rm III]\lambda5007.$

^bReferences for the data of R_{OIII} . Each abbreviation means as follows; B75: Boksenberg et al. (1975); BJO86: Bergvall, Johansson, & Olofsson (1986); C83: Cohen (1983); C91: Crenshaw et al. (1991); CG94: Cruz-Gonzárez et al. (1994); CO77: Costero & Osterbrock (1977); CO81: Cohen & Osterbrock (1981); DB88: Durret & Bergeron (1988); DRO86: De Robertis & Osterbrock (1986a); DK78: Davidson & Kinman (1978); DPW88: Diaz, Prieto, & Wamsteker (1988); F85: Filippenko (1985); F087: Ferland & Osterbrock (1987); FS83: Fosbury & Sansom (1983); K78: Koski (1978); K94: Kraemer et al. (1994); KF83: Kollatshny & Fricke (1983); KS79: Kunth & Sargent (1979); LTM93: Lípari, Tsvetanov, & Macchetto (1993); MW88: Morris & Ward (1992); MTI98: Murayama, Taniguchi, & Iwasawa (1998); O77: Ostbrock (1977); O81: Osterbrock (1981); O85: Osterbrock (1985); F087: Frike (1985); P78: Phillips (1977); O81: Osterbrock (1981); O85: Osterbrock (1985); SV79: Kunth & Sargent (1977); O81: Osterbrock (1981); O85: Osterbrock (1985); K79: Kunth & Sargent (1977); O81: Osterbrock (1981); O85: Osterbrock (1985); OK78: O'connell & Kingham (1978); OP85: Osterbrock & Pogge (1985); P78: Phillips (1978); PCB83: Phillips, Charles, & Baldwin (1983); R97: Reynolds et al. (1997); RAPD00: Rodríguez-Ardia, Pastoriza, & Donzelli (2000); S80: Shuder (1980); S89: Stephens (1989); SO81: Shuder & Osterbrock (1981); SSK83: Stauffer, Schild, & Keel (1983); T91: Terlevich et al. (1991); UP80: Ulrich & Péquignot (1980); W80: Ward et al. (1980); W92: Winkler (1992): and Z92: Zamorano et al. (1992)

^cReferences for the data of $F_{\nu}(3.5\mu\text{m})$. Each abbreviation means as follows; G79: Glass (1979); G81: Glass (1981); H83: Heckman et al. (1983); K77: Kemp et al. (1977); M83: McLaren et al. (1983); PF80: Phillips & Frogel (1980); R78: Rieke (1978); RL72: Rieke & Low (1972); RLRE82: Rudy, Leven, & Rodriguez-Espinosa (1982); and SW76: Stein & Weedman (1976).

^dData taken from Moshir et al. (1992)